O rientational D efects in Ice Ih: A n Interpretation of E lectrical C onductivity M easurem ents

Maurice de Koning¹, Alex Antonelli¹, Antonio J. R. da Silva² and A. Fazzio²

¹Instituto de F sica G leb W ataqhin,Universidade Estadual de Campinas,

Unicamp, 13083-970, Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil and

² Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo,

Caixa Postal 66318, 05315-970, Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

We present a rst-principles study of the structure and energetics of B jerrum defects in ice Ih and compare the results to experimental electrical conductivity data. While the DFT result for the activation energy is in good agreement with experiment, we nd that its two components have quite di erent values. A side from providing new insight into the fundamental parameters of the microscopic electrical theory of ice, our results suggest the activity of traps in doped ice in the tem perature regime typically assumed to be controlled by the free migration of L defects.

PACS num bers: 61.72 Bb, 72.80.-r, 31.15 Ar

W hile the isolated waterm olecule is one of the sim plest in Nature, the condensed phases of H_2O reveal many complex features that still elude complete understanding [1, 2]. An example is one of the most abundant crystalline solids on Earth, the proton-disordered hexagonal ice Ih, for which several aspects of structure-properties relationship have yet to be clari ed [3].

A n important issue concerns the role of crystal defects in the peculiar electrical properties of ice Ih. W hen an electric eld is applied to an ice specimen, it becomes polarized by the therm ally activated reorientation of the molecular dipoles. To explain the molecular origin of this phenomenon, B jerrum [4] postulated the existence of orientational defects that represent local disruptions of the hydrogen-bond network of ice Ih. W hile the conceptual picture of these B jerrum defects is now well established, [3] a quantitative understanding of their structure and energetics is still lacking, rendering a direct interpretation of experimental electrical conductivity data di cult.

R ecent atom istic studies [5, 6, 7] based on em piricalpotentials have provided im portant qualitative insight into the structure and dynam ics of B jerrum defects, but have not yet attempted to make direct contact with experimental conductivity data. Furthermore, the few ab initio studies [8, 9] in the literature involved clusters that are too small to reliably capture the properties of a defect embedded in bulk crystal. In this Letter, we present an ab initio study of the structure and energetics of B jerrum defects in ice Ih using a large supercell. M oreover, based on Jaccard's defect-based m icroscopic electrical theory of ice [3, 10], we interpret the results in term s of experim ental electrical conductivity data for doped ice Ih.

Fig. 1a) provides a schematic picture of the form ation of B jerrum defects in ice Ih. Defect-free ice Ih, in which each molecule is hydrogen-bonded to its four tetrahedrally positioned neighbors, obeys Pauling's two ice rules [3]: (i) each molecule o ers/accepts two hydrogens to/from two neighboring molecules, and (ii) there is precisely one hydrogen between each nearest-neighbor pair of oxygens. The proton-disordered character in plies that there is no long-range order in the orientations of the H_2O molecules or hydrogen bonds. The B jerrum defect pair is a violation of ice rule (ii): it is obtained by the indicated rotation of molecule 1, after which there are two hydrogens between molecules 1 and 2 (D defect) and none between molecules 1 and 3 (L defect). After the initial formation, the pair can be further separated by successive molecular rotations, eventually creating a pair of independent, or free, D and L defects. Their motion through the crystal provokes the rotation of the water molecules along their paths, providing a mechanism for electrical polarization.

In both pure as well as doped ice, the conductivity $_{D\,L}$ due to B jerrum defects is essentially controlled by L defects [3]. A coording to Jaccard's electrical theory of ice [10] it takes the form $_{D\,L} = q_{D\,L} n_{L} _{L}$, where $q_{D\,L}$ is the electrice charge carried by the B jerrum defects, n_{L} is the concentration of free L defects and $_{L}$ is their m obility. Its tem perature dependence is described by [3]

$$_{DL}(T) = \frac{q_{DL}}{T} \exp(E = k_{B} T);$$
 (1)

where T is the absolute tem perature, k_B is Boltzm ann's constant and E is a characteristic activation energy. In pure ice, the latter is given by [3]

$$E = \frac{1}{2}E_{DL} + E_{Lm}; \qquad (2)$$

where $E_{\,D\,L}$ is the formation energy of an independent defect pair and $E_{\,Lm}\,$ is the m igration energy of a free L defect.

W hile the activation energy can be determ ined experim entally by m easuring $_{DL}$ as a function of T and adjusting it to Eq. (1), it is not possible to directly isolate the components E_{DL} and E_{Lm} . To achieve this, additional conductivity m easurem ents need to be carried out on doped ice sam ples, $[\beta]$ in which an extrinsic concentration of L defects is injected. This indirect procedure, however, is subject to large uncertainties and has revealed incompatibilities between di erent experiments [3].

Here we use a density-functional theory (DFT) [11] approach to explicitly compute the formation and migration energies E_{DL} and E_{Lm} . Our calculations are performed using the 96-m olecule supercell labeled 3 2 2 in Ref. 12. The calculations are executed using the VASP package [13, 14] using the Perdew-W ang 91 generalized-gradient approximation [11] and the projector-augmented-wave [15] approach. Brillouin-zone sampling was limited to the -point and we use a plane wave cut-o of $E_{cut} = 700 \text{ eV}$. The elects of spurious im age dipole interactions were evaluated [16] and found to be negligible for all investigated structures.

F irst, we relax the defect-free crystal supercell, allowing both the atom ic and supercell coordinates to relax at zero stress. The resulting hexagonal lattice parameters a = 4:383A and c = 7:16A, are 2 % below the experimental values measured at T = 10 K [3]. The average intram olecular oxygen-hydrogen separation of 1:01 A is in excellent agreement with the experimental value of

1.006 1.008A. As appears typical of DFT calculations on ice Ih [17] our calculations slightly overestim ate the sublimation energy of 0.69 eV compared to the experimental value 0.61 eV [3].

Next, we create an \embryonic" B jerrum defect pair according to Fig. 1a) and relax it at constant volum e. The resulting structure is shown in Fig. 1b) and is qualitatively similar to those observed in a recent molecular dynamics (MD) study [7]. Compared to the initial geom etry in Fig. 1b), one can no longer recognize a D defect in the sense of its description in Fig. 1a) due to the large electrostatic repulsion between the two hydrogen atom s [3, 6, 7]. The total-energy part of the form ation energy of this structure is found to be 0.55 eV. To account for zero-point contributions, known to be relevant in ice [3], we also evaluate the change in the local inter and intram olecular vibrationalm odes with respect to the defect-free crystal for the molecules in the vicinity of the defect, using the local harm onic approximation [18]. O verall, the zero-point contribution lowers the form ation energy by about 10% to 0.50 eV.

To estimate the formation energy E_{DL} of an independent pair of B jerrum defects, we move the L defect through the crystalby a series of molecular rotations, followed by structural relaxation. A ssum ing that the zeropoint contribution is the same as for the embryonic defect pair, the formation energy as a function of the number of molecular rotations is shown in Fig.2. It quickly reaches a plateau value around 1.1 eV, subject to small uctuations due to the disordered character of the hydrogenbond network. However, a more accurate estimate of E_{DL} requires an analysis of its asymptotic behavior as a function of distance between the defect pair near the

plateau value. Because of their elective charges \mathfrak{G}_L , this behavior is expected to be of the form

$$E_{form}(\mathbf{r}) = E_{DL} - \frac{q_{DL}^2}{4 - q_{DL}};$$
 (3)

where r is the distance between the point charges $q_{\rm DL}$, and $_1$ is the high-frequency dielectric constant of ice Ih [3]. For each relaxed B jernum defect pair we position a point-charge $+q_{\rm DL}$ on the dangling proton of the D defect and center a charge $q_{\rm DL}$ m idway between the two oxygen atoms of the L defect, after which we adjust the formation energies of the defect pairs separated by more than 3 m olecular rotations to Eq. (3). The results, shown in the inset of Fig. 2, are consistent with 1=r behavior and the intercept gives an asymptotic value $E_{\rm DL} = (1:153 \quad 0:04)$ eV. In addition, the slope of the t provides an estimate for the elective charge which, using the experimental value $_1 = 3.2$ [3], gives $q_{\rm DL} = (0:34 \quad 0:07)$ e, in good agreement with the experimental value $q_{\rm DL} = 0:38$ e [3].

Having determined E_{DL} we now compute the migration energy barrier $E_{\,\text{Lm}}$. Given the disorder in the hydrogen-bond network, this barrier is expected to uctuate depending on the local environm ent of the L defect. For this purpose, we computed 6 distinct barriers at 3 different L-defect sites (13, 14 and 17 m olecular rotations, cf. Fig. 2) by starting from the relaxed D-L con guration and rotating one of the 2 m olecules hosting the L defect as shown in Fig. 3a), constraining only the rotating proton while allow ing full relaxation of all other coordinates. This yields transition states of the type shown in Fig. 3b), in which the angle 6ABC is essentially bisected by the coplanar rotating OH bond. The resulting energy barriers (neglecting zero-point e ects) vary in the range E_{Lm} ' 0:10 0:14 eV, indicating the signi cance of the disorder but an overall high m obility of free L defects. These results are consistent with the recent MD

ndings obtained at T = 230 K [6], for which, assuming an attempt frequency $_0 = 24 \text{ THz}$ typical for librational modes in ice Ih [3], the present barriers give an average migration time ranging between 4 and 35 ps.

The experimental estimates for the formation and migration energies are obtained from conductivity measurements on ice doped with a substitutional concentration of HF [10, 19] or HCl [20] molecules. Since each molecule has only one proton, they introduce an extrinsic, temperature-independent concentration of L defects. Measurements of the conductivity $_{\rm DL}$ as a function of T then typically yield an A mensus-type plot with 3 distinct activation energies, as shown in Fig. 4 [3].

The high-tem perature regim e I is believed to be controlled by intrinsic behavior, characterized by the activation energy Eq. (2). The lower-tem perature regimes II and III are assumed to be dom inated by the extrinsic L defects. In the former, the tem perature dependence of the conductivity has been attributed to the fire motion of extrinsic L defects β so that E_{II} = E_{Lm}. In regime III the tem perature is so low that the extrinsic L defects are not completely dissociated from their dopant molecules so that the activation energy involves an additional dissociation energy, giving E_{III} = $\frac{1}{2}$ E_{diss} + E_{Lm} β].

C onsidering the experimental results [10, 19, 20] reproduced in Table I, the activation energy for regime I is quite well established, even for dierent dopant species, showing a dispersion of less than 0.05 eV among the different experiments. The values for the individual components $E_{\rm D\,L}$ and $E_{\rm Lm}$, how ever, show considerably larger deviations. The migration barrier values vary between 0.19 eV and 0.315 eV, leading to formation energies $E_{\rm D\,L}$ ranging from 0.66 eV to 0.79 eV.

Comparing these to our DFT calculations (cf. Table I), we notice that both components of the activation energy deviate signi cantly from the experimental values. The DFT result for E_{DL} is more than 46 % larger than the largest experimental value, whereas E_{Lm} is about 37 % lower than the lowest experimental estimate. In this light, it is quite striking that, despite the discrepancies for the individual components, the DFT estimate for the net activation energy in Eq. (2) agrees quite well with the experim ental results, deviating about 10% from the highest experim entalestim ate. This seem s to dem onstrate the di culty involved in the interpretation of conductivity experiments in doped ice samples under conditions not controlled by intrinsic properties. Speci cally, the fact that the DFT estim ate for the migration barrier is system atically and signi cantly lower than all experim ental estim ates indicates that, as suggested in R ef. 3, the regime interpreted as being controlled by free extrinsic L defects, may in fact involve the activity of traps that obstruct their motion, leading to the higher e ective m igration barriers deduced experim entally.

In sum m ary, we have conducted a st-principles study of the energetics of B jerrum defects in ice Ih and com – pared the results to experim ental electrical conductivity for doped ice samples. The results provide new insight into the parameters in Jaccards m icroscopic electrical theory of ice. W hile the DFT value for the net activation energy is in good agreem ent with experim ent, we

nd that its two components have quite di erent values from those inferred from experiment. In particular, our results predict a migration barrier for L-defect motion that is signi cantly lower than the lowest experimental estimate, hinting at the presence of traps in the regime usually interpreted as being controlled by the free migration of extrinsic L defects.

The authors gratefully acknow ledge nancial support from the Brazilian agencies FAPESP and CNPq.

- [2] B.J.Murray, D.A.Knopf, and A.K.Bertram, Nature 434, 202 (2005).
- [3] V.F.Petrenko and R.W. Whitworth, The Physics of Ice (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999).
- [4] N.Bjerrum, Science 115, 385 (1952).
- [5] R.Hassan and E.S.Campbell, J.Chem. Phys. 97, 4326 (1992).
- [6] R. Podeszwa and V. Buch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4570 (1999).
- [7] N. Grishina and V. Buch, J. Chem. Phys. 120, 5217 (2004).
- [8] M.D.Newton, J.Phys.Chem. 87, 4267 (1983).
- [9] P. L. P lum m er, in P hysics and C hem istry of Ice, edited by N. M aeno and T. H ondoh (H okkaido U niversity P ress, Sapporo, 1992), p. 54.
- [10] C. Jaccard, Helv. Phys. Acta 32, 89 (1959).
- [11] R.M. Martin, Electronic Structure: Basic Theory and Practical Methods (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [12] J.A.Hayward and J.R.Reimers, J.Chem. Phys. 106, 1518 (1997).
- [13] G.K resse and J.H afner, Phys. Rev. B 47, R 558 (1993); Phys. Rev. B 49, 14251 (1994).
- [14] G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Comp. Mat. Sc. 6, 15 (1996); Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [15] G.K resse and D.Joubert, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1758 (1999).
- [16] L.N.Kantorovich, Phys.Rev.B 60, 15476 (1999).
- [17] P.J.Feibelm an, Science 295, 99 (2002).
- [18] R.LeSar, R.Najafabadi, and D.J.Srolovitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 624 (1989).
- [19] G.C.Camplin, J.W.Glen, and J.Paren, J.G laciology 21, 123 (1978).
- [20] I. Takei and N. Maeno, J. Phys. (Paris) 48, C1, 121 (1987).

^[1] I.F.W .Kuo and C.J.M undy, Science 303, 658 (2004).

DFT calculations. Е $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}\,\mathrm{m}}$ Е_{DL} Ref.10 (HF) 0.575 0.235 0.68 Ref.19 (HF) 0.625 0.315 0.664 0.585 0.190 0.79 Ref.20 (HCl)

TABLE I: A ctivation energies and their components E $_{\rm D\,L}$ and E $_{\rm L\,m}$ determ ined from doped ice experiments and the present




FIG.1: a) Schematic picture of the formation of a B jerrum defect pair from the defect-free ice In structure. The defect pair is created by the indicated rotation of molecule 1. b) R elaxed DFT structure obtained from the con guration in panela).




FIG. 2: Form ation energy of the B jerrum defect pair as a function of the number of m olecular rotations separating them. D ashed line is to guide the eye. Inset shows leastsquares t of the form ation energies of the defect pairs separated by m ore than 3 m olecular rotations to Eq. (3).




FIG. 3: Identi cation of transition state for the m igration of an independent L defect. a) Equilibrium structure of the independent L defect. b) Typical transition state.




FIG.4: Schematic representation of a characteristic experimental A mhenius plot of the conductivity $_{\rm D\,L}$ as a function of tem perature in a doped ice lh sample [3].