Spin polarization of light atom s in jellium: D etailed electronic structures

$$V.U.Nazarov_1^1 C.S.Kim_1^1 and Y.Takada^2$$

¹D epartm ent of Physics and Institute for Condensed Matter Theory, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757, Korea

² Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

(Dated: March 23, 2024)

We revisit the problem of the spontaneous magnetization of an sp in purity atom in a simple metal host. The main features of interest are: (i) Formation of the spherical spin density/charge density wave around the impurity; (ii) Considerable decrease in the size of the pseudoatom in the spin-polarized state as compared with the paramagnetic one, and (iii) Relevance of the electron a nity of the isolated atom to this spin polarization, which is clarified by tracing the transformation of the pseudoatom into an isolated negative ion in the low-density lim it of the enveloping electron gas.

PACS numbers: 75.30 Fv, 71.45 Lr, 71.55 Ak

Interests in spintronics are on the rise from both scienti c and technological points of view 1,2 Since devices in spintronics involve active control and m anipulation of spin degrees of freedom in solid-state systems, it is absolutely necessary to have a deeper understanding of fundamental interactions between electron spins and its solid-state environments. In view of this situation, we are interested in a composite system of an atom in mersed into the otherwise hom ogeneous electron gas (EG).

In an isolated atom, the ground state obeys the H und's multiplicity rule that requires the highest spin con guration compatible with the Pauli's exclusion principle. Physically this rule is interpreted as the consequence of an electively larger nuclear charge in a higher spin conguration due essentially to the exchange elect. Similarly in a uniform EG, the samele elect favors spin polarization, bringing about the spontaneous spin-symmetry breaking or the spin-density-wave state which was proven to be the ground state at arbitrary electron densities within the Hartree-Fock (exchange only) approximation. The correlation elect, however, acts in the opposite direction and this elect is so strong in an EG as to lead eventually to the paramagnetic ground state for the majority of metals.

This paper deals with the composite system of an atom immersed into EG. Investigation of atoms embedded in the EG in both their paramagnetic 6,7,8,9,10,11 and spin-polarized 12,13,14,15,16 states has a long history. However, to the best of our knowledge, some important features of the electronic structure of the spontaneously spin-polarized states of this system have not been addressed so far. More specically, they include: (i) Formation of the spherical combined spin density/charge density wave, which slowly decays with the distance from the impurity; (ii) Signicant shrinkage of spin-polarized pseudoatoms as compared with their spin-neutral counterparts, and (iii) Demonstration of the way how the spin-polarized states of the impurities turn into those of the negative

ions of the corresponding isolated atoms as the density of the enveloping EG tends to zero. The purpose of this work is to elucidate the above points.

W e are concerned with an impurity of the atomic number Z (a pseudoatom) embedded into the otherwise homogeneous EG at zero temperature characterized by its electron-density parameter $r_s=(3\text{--}4~n_0)^{1\text{--}3}$, where n_0 is the uniform density of the EG in the absence of the impurity. In the spin-density functional theory (SDFT), 17 the Kohn-Sham equation is written in atom ic units as

$$(1=2) + v^{eff}(r)$$
 i, $(r) = i{i}$ (r); (1)

where the spin index takes either " or #, $_i$ and $_i$; are, respectively, the energy level and the wave function of a K ohn-Sham electron orbital, v^{eff} (r) given by

$$v^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) = Z = \mathbf{r} + [n(\mathbf{r}^{0}) \quad n_{0}] = \mathbf{\dot{r}} \quad \mathbf{r}^{0} \mathbf{\dot{j}} d\mathbf{r}^{0}$$
 (2)
 $+ v^{\text{xc}}([n_{"}; n_{\#}]; \mathbf{r}) \quad v^{\text{xc}}(n_{0})$

is the e ective potential, where $n(r) = n_r(r) + n_\#(r)$ is the local electron density, $v^{xc}([n_\#;n_\#];r)$ de ned as

$$v^{xc}([n_*;n_*];r) = E^{xc}[n_*;n_*] = n (r)$$
 (3)

is the spin-dependent exchange and correlation (xc) potential with E $^{\rm xc}$ [n_*;n_{\#}] being the total xc energy of the system , and $v^{\rm xc}$ (n_0) is the spin-independent xc potential at the uniform electron density n_0 . The spin densities are self-consistently determined as

$$n(x) = X$$
 j_{i} , $(x)^{2}$: (4)

The energy of a pseudoatom is the dierence between the energies of the EG with and without the impurity:

where $^0_{1;}$ (k) stands for the derivative of the phase-shift of the angular momentum 1 of the wave-function for a state in the continuous spectrum in the potential in Eq. (2). In Eq. (5) the rst term represents the contribution from the bound states, the second term comes from the change in the density of continuum states due to the interaction with the impurity, while all the rest are ordinary (S)DFT contributions to the total energy 18 regrouped to insure the convergence of integrals.




FIG. 1: Total energy of the spin-polarized (solid curves) and spin-neutral (dashed curves) states of the B, C, N, and O pseudoatom's versus the EG density parameter $r_{\rm s}$.

We have solved Eqs. (1)-(4) self-consistently for the atoms in the rst two rows of the periodic table im mersed into the EG of various densities. For H, He, Li, Be, F, and Ne pseudoatoms, spin-neutral ground states have been found in the EG density range of 3 $\, r_{\rm S} \,$ 14. For B, C, N, and O pseudoatoms, on the other hand, we found spin-polarized ground states at the density of the EG lower than a certain threshold values, while the ground state was spin-neutral at higher EG densities. These conclusions agree with those of earlier studies. 15

In Fig. 1 we plot the total energy of Eq. (5) of the spin-polarized and spin-neutral lowest-energy states of the B, C, N, and O pseudoatom s within the local spin-density approximation (LSDA) to the SDFT using the parametrization of the correlation energy of Ref. 19. In all the four cases, below a denite threshold value of the EG density, which is dierent for dierent impurity atoms, the spin-polarized ground state has persistently lower total energy compared with its unpolarized coun-

terpart.

Our method of breaking the spin-symmetry was to start with imposing the occupancy of the 2p bound state with 3 electrons with spin up and less than 3 electrons with spin down. Then we let the system relax self-consistently to its ground-state. No unoccupied bound states would remain upon the achievement of self-consistency: The 2p bound states we had had partially

lled would disappear in the self-consistent potential for spin-down electrons. For spin-up electrons, depending upon the sort of the impurity atom and the density of EG, this state would either remain and then be lled with 3 electrons, or it would disappear as well. The net spin polarization would remain nite in either case.

The results of the calculated spin densities for the carbon atom in the EG of $r_{\rm s}=6$ are shown in Fig. 2, together with the total electron density of the polarized as well as the unpolarized system . We note that at larger distances from the center, the amplitude of the Friedeloscillations of the total density in the spin-polarized state is signicantly smaller than that in the neutral state, resulting in the electively more compact pseudoatom . The latter inding is consistent with results for isolated atom $s^{2.0}_{\star}$. The inset in Fig. 2 shows the local polarization

$$(r) = [n_*(r) \quad n_*(r)] = [n_*(r) + n_*(r)];$$
 (6)

The oscillating and slowly decaying local spin polarization around the impurity together with Friedeloscillations of the charge-density represent a spherical combined charge-density/spin-density wave. We determine the total electronic spin of the pseudoatom as

$$S = (1=2) [n_*(r) n_*(r)]dr;$$
 (7)

In Fig. 3 (left panel), the total spin of Eq. (7) is plotted against the electron-density parameter $r_{\rm s}$. We conclude that there exists a nite net spin excess or spontaneous magnetization of the impurity in the EG at electron densities below the threshold values. The net electronic spin of Eq. (7) depends on both the atom ic number of the impurity atom and the EG density, which nots itself in contrast with the result for the net charge of the impurity: Due to the full screening of a charge in the EG, which is closely related to the Friedel sum rule, the pseudoatom charge is

$$Z$$
 $Z = [n_*(r) + n_*(r) \quad n_0]dr;$ (8)




FIG. 2: D eviation of the density of electrons with spin up, spin down, and the total electron density from n_0 =2, n_0 =2, and n_0 (dashed, dotted, and solid curves), respectively, around the C atom in EG of r_s = 6. The dashed-dotted curve represents the unpolarized calculation. The inset shows the local polarization of Eq. (6). All curves are multiplied by $4 \, {\rm r}^2$.




FIG. 3: Left: Spin of an impurity versus the EG density parameter $r_{\rm s}$. Solid lines are the ttings of the data with Eq. (9). Right: The number of electrons in the sphere of radius R for pseudoatoms in EG (solid lines); $Z + (R = r_{\rm s})^3$ (dashed lines).

which is uniquely determ ined by the sort of the impurity. While at intermediate densities of the EG the total spin of a pseudoatom is governed by complicated manybody interactions within the impurity atom EG system, the trend in a pseudoatom's spin at low densities (large $r_{\rm s}$) has a clear qualitative interpretation. Because of the positive electron a nity (EA) of the B, C, and O isolated atom s (0:010,0:046, and 0:054 a.u., respectively, 21) the limiting case of these atoms immersed into the EG at zero EG density are the negative ions (NI) of the corresponding atoms. According to the Hund's rule, the populations of the 2p orbital are with 2 electrons with spin up $^{(3}{\rm P}$), 3 electrons with spin up $^{(4}{\rm S}$), and 3 electrons with spin up $^{(4}{\rm S}$), and 3 electrons with

trons with spin up and 2 electrons with spin down $\ell P)$ for B , C , and O ions, respectively, corresponding to the total spin of 1, 3/2, and 1/2, respectively, which is clearly satis ed in Fig. 3 at large $\mathfrak g$. On the other hand, the NI of the N atom is unstable although long living (EA = 0.003 a.u.²1), and the slow growth of the spin of this pseudoatom between 1 and 3/2 at large $r_{\rm s}$ can be understood as the competition between the NI 3P and atom ic 4S states.

In the right panel in Fig. 3, the integrated number of electrons in a sphere of radius R are plotted versus the radius of the sphere for the EG of $r_s = 14$. The plateaus in the case of B, C, and O close to the number of electrons of 6, 7, and 9, respectively, prove unambiguously the NI character of the corresponding states, while for N this number is between 7 and 8, inferring a state interm ediate between an atom and NI. The growth in the num ber of electrons to the right from plateaus is due to the electron density approaching the constant value of no at large distances from the center. This qure also shows that for a low-density EG, electrons extra to an atom or NI, whichever supported in the zero-density limit, are pushed away from the center leaving a region of nearly zero electron density between the atom /ion and the region of nearly uniform EG, where the number of electrons in the sphere of radius R is approximately $Z + (R = r_s)^3$ (dashed curves).

For the period 1 and the rest of the period 2 atom s the sam e argum ents lead to the spin-neutrality of the corresponding pseudoatom s: In the case of H, Li and F, which also have positive EA, the acquisition of an extra electron completes the outer shells, causing the corresponding pseudoatom s to be spin-neutral in the low-density limit. For a dierent reason but to the same e ect, in the case of He, Be and Ne, the spin-neutrality holds because of the non-existence of their NI (even unstable ones with su ciently long life-time²¹), while the corresponding atom ic states have zero spin.

The steep fall in the spin of a pseudoatom near the critical point seen in Fig.3 is suggestive of a phase transition of the second order with the power dependence of the spin on $r_{\rm s}$ near its critical value $r_{\rm sc}$

$$S = a(r_s - r_{sc})$$
: (9)

In Table I, the best t values of the parameters in Eq. (9) are listed. These value strongly suggest that the exponent is universal and equal to 0.5.13

A tom	В	С	N	0
r _{sc}	4.46	3.91	4.13	5.52
	0.50	0.52	0.50	0.46
a	0.94	1.11	0.73	0.24

TABLE I: Best t param eters in Eq. (9).

At the intermediate EG densities between the threshold value and zero, the total spin of a pseudoatom obtained via Eq. (7) is not, generally speaking, a multiple




FIG. 4: Sensitivity of the energy of the C impurity to the choice of the xc potential. Solid (dashed) curves refer to the polarized (neutral) states. The xc potentials used: LSDA of Ref. 19 (PZ) and of Ref. 17 (GL), and GGA of Ref. 22 (PBE).

of 1/2, as seen in Fig. 3. This fundamental dierence between an isolated atom and the present pseudoatom is brought about by the contribution of the in nite number of delocalized electrons in the latter case.²³

In order to make connection to the earlier works as well as to test the sensitivity of our results to the choice of the xc potential, we have repeated the calculations for the C pseudoatom within LSDA using the parametrization of the xc energy of Ref. 17 and also beyond LSDA within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in its PBE version. ²² In the former case our results for the unpolarized states reproduce those of Ref. 9. As shown

in Fig. 4, regardless of the choice of the xc potential, we have been able to obtain the spin polarized ground state of an atom embedded in the EG.W hile the total energies of the both polarized and unpolarized states are shifted depending on a speci c approximation, their di erence (i.e., the stabilization energy of the polarized state) does not show considerable sensitivity to the choice of the xc potential.

In conclusion, we have performed the spin-density functional calculation of the spin states of the period 1 and 2 atoms embedded in electron gas. For H, He, Li, Be, F, and Ne pseudoatoms, we have obtained the spin-neutral ground states in a wide density range of the electron gas. On the contrary, for B, C, N, and O pseudoatom s, there occurs a transition into the spin-polarized state at a critical density of the electron gas which depends on the atom ic num ber of the im purity. Both results are in accord with earlier studies. In the spin-polarized state, the pseudoatom is found to be of a smaller effective size com pared with its spin-neutral counterpart, which is a feature in common with isolated atoms. We also observe a combined spherical spin-density/chargedensity wave which manifests itself as the Friedel-like oscillations. In the lim it of the low density of the electron gas the electronic structure of a pseudoatom is found to converge to that of the negative ion of the corresponding isolated atom. The electronic structure of the spinpolarized state is largely di erent from that of the spinneural one, which will certainly have impact on such applications as the stopping power of metals for ions and the residual resistivity of alloys.

VUN and CSK acknow ledge support by the Korea Research Foundation by Grant No. KRF-2003-015-C00214.

¹ S. A. Wolf, D. D. Awschalom, R. A. Buhrmanb, J. M. Daughton, S. von Molnar, M. L. Roukes, A. Y. Chtchenkanova, and D. M. Treger, Science 294, 1488 (2002).

² I. Zutic, J. Fabian, and S.D. Sarm a, Rev. M od. Phys. 76, 323 (2004).

 $^{^{3}}$ R.J.Boyd, Nature 310, 480 (1984).

⁴ A.W. Overhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 462 (1960).

⁵ A.W. Overhauser, Phys. Rev. 128, 1437 (1962).

⁶ C.O.A lm bladh, U. von Barth, Z.D. Popovic, and M.J. Stott, Phys. Rev. B 14, 2250 (1976).

 $^{^{7}\,}$ K .N $\,$ rskov and N .D .Lang, Phys.Rev.B 21,2131 (1980).

 $^{^{8}}$ M .J.Stott and E.Zarem ba, Phys.Rev.B 22,1564 (1980).

⁹ M. J. Puska, R. M. Niem inen, and M. Manninen, Phys. Rev. B 24, 3037 (1981).

¹⁰ M .J.Puska and R .M .N iem inen, Phys.Rev.B 43, 12221 (1991).

¹¹ C.M enchini, M.I. Trioni, and G.P. Brivio, Phys. Rev. B 67, 035408 (2003).

¹² R.M.N. imm inen and M.Puska, J.Phys.F:Met.Phys.10, L123 (1980).

 $^{^{\}rm 13}$ N .Stefanou and N .Papanikolaou, J.Phys.: Condens.M at-

ter 3, 3777 (1991).

¹⁴ N. Papanikolaou, N. Stefanou, R. Zeller, and P. H. Dederichs, Phys. Rev. B 46, 10858 (1992).

¹⁵ N. Papanikolaou, N. Stefanou, R. Zeller, and P. H. Dederichs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 629 (1993).

P.M avropoulos, N. Stefanou, and N. Papanikolaou, Phys. Rev. B 58, 1096 (1998).

¹⁷ O. Gunnarsson and B. I. Lundqvist, Phys. Rev. B 13, 4274 (1976).

 $^{^{18}}$ W .K ohn and L.J.Sham , Phys.Rev.140, A1133 (1965).

¹⁹ J.P.Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5048 (1981).

²⁰ R.J.Boyd, Nature 250, 566 (1974).

²¹ H. Hotop and W. C. Lineberger, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. D ata 14,731 (1985).

J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Emzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).

B ecause of the delocalized electrons, we can follow the convergence of a pseudoatom to NI within LDA, while for an isolated NILDA fails:¹⁹ The screening at large distances eliminates the di-culty of LDA for NI, i.e., an electron feeling the -1 charge if the self-interaction is not subtracted.