

Spin polarization of light atoms in jellium : Detailed electronic structures

V. U. Nazarov,¹ C. S. Kim,¹ and Y. Takada²¹Department of Physics and Institute for Condensed Matter Theory,
Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757, Korea²Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

(Dated: March 23, 2024)

We revisit the problem of the spontaneous magnetization of an impurity atom in a simple metal host. The main features of interest are: (i) Formation of the spherical spin density/charge density wave around the impurity; (ii) Considerable decrease in the size of the pseudoatom in the spin-polarized state as compared with the paramagnetic one, and (iii) Relevance of the electron affinity of the isolated atom to this spin polarization, which is clarified by tracing the transformation of the pseudoatom into an isolated negative ion in the low-density limit of the enveloping electron gas.

PACS numbers: 75.30.Fv, 71.45.Lr, 71.55.Ak

Interests in spintronics are on the rise from both scientific and technological points of view.^{1,2} Since devices in spintronics involve active control and manipulation of spin degrees of freedom in solid-state systems, it is absolutely necessary to have a deeper understanding of fundamental interactions between electron spins and its solid-state environments. In view of this situation, we are interested in a composite system of an atom immersed into the otherwise homogeneous electron gas (EG).

In an isolated atom, the ground state obeys the Hund's multiplicity rule that requires the highest spin configuration compatible with the Pauli's exclusion principle. Physically this rule is interpreted as the consequence of an effectively larger nuclear charge in a higher spin configuration due essentially to the exchange effect.³ Similarly in a uniform EG, the same effect favors spin polarization, bringing about the spontaneous spin-symmetry breaking or the spin-density-wave state which was proven to be the ground state at arbitrary electron densities within the Hartree-Fock (exchange only) approximation.^{4,5} The correlation effect, however, acts in the opposite direction⁵ and this effect is so strong in an EG as to lead eventually to the paramagnetic ground state for the majority of metals.

This paper deals with the composite system of an atom immersed into EG. Investigation of atoms embedded in the EG in both their paramagnetic^{6,7,8,9,10,11} and spin-polarized^{12,13,14,15,16} states has a long history. However, to the best of our knowledge, some important features of the electronic structure of the spontaneously spin-polarized states of this system have not been addressed so far. More specially, they include: (i) Formation of the spherical combined spin density/charge density wave, which slowly decays with the distance from the impurity; (ii) Significant shrinkage of spin-polarized pseudoatoms as compared with their spin-neutral counterparts, and (iii) Demonstration of the way how the spin-polarized states of the impurities turn into those of the negative

ions of the corresponding isolated atoms as the density of the enveloping EG tends to zero. The purpose of this work is to elucidate the above points.

We are concerned with an impurity of the atomic number Z (a pseudoatom) embedded into the otherwise homogeneous EG at zero temperature characterized by its electron-density parameter $r_s = (3/4\pi n_0)^{1/3}$, where n_0 is the uniform density of the EG in the absence of the impurity. In the spin-density functional theory (SDFT),¹⁷ the Kohn-Sham equation is written in atomic units as

$$(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}))\psi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i \psi_i(\mathbf{r}); \quad (1)$$

where the spin index σ takes either \uparrow or \downarrow , ϵ_i and ψ_i are, respectively, the energy level and the wave function of a Kohn-Sham electron orbital, $v^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})$ given by

$$v^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{Z}{r} + \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} [n(\mathbf{r}') - n_0] + v^{\text{xc}}(n_\uparrow; n_\downarrow; \mathbf{r}) - v^{\text{xc}}(n_0) \quad (2)$$

is the effective potential, where $n(\mathbf{r}) = n_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) + n_\downarrow(\mathbf{r})$ is the local electron density, $v^{\text{xc}}(n_\uparrow; n_\downarrow; \mathbf{r})$ defined as

$$v^{\text{xc}}(n_\uparrow; n_\downarrow; \mathbf{r}) = E^{\text{xc}}[n_\uparrow; n_\downarrow] / n(\mathbf{r}) \quad (3)$$

is the spin-dependent exchange and correlation (xc) potential with $E^{\text{xc}}[n_\uparrow; n_\downarrow]$ being the total xc energy of the system, and $v^{\text{xc}}(n_0)$ is the spin-independent xc potential at the uniform electron density n_0 . The spin densities are self-consistently determined as

$$n_\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \psi_{i\sigma}^2(\mathbf{r}) \quad (4)$$

The energy of a pseudoatom is the difference between the energies of the EG with and without the impurity:




FIG. 2: Deviation of the density of electrons with spin up, spin down, and the total electron density from $n_0=2$, $n_0=2$, and n_0 (dashed, dotted, and solid curves), respectively, around the C atom in EG of $r_s = 6$. The dashed-dotted curve represents the unpolarized calculation. The inset shows the local polarization of Eq. (6). All curves are multiplied by $4r^2$.




FIG. 3: Left: Spin of an impurity versus the EG density parameter r_s . Solid lines are the fittings of the data with Eq. (9). Right: The number of electrons in the sphere of radius R for pseudoatoms in EG (solid lines); $Z + (R=r_s)^3$ (dashed lines).

which is uniquely determined by the sort of the impurity.

While at intermediate densities of the EG the total spin of a pseudoatom is governed by complicated many-body interactions within the impurity atom-EG system, the trend in a pseudoatom's spin at low densities (large r_s) has a clear qualitative interpretation. Because of the positive electron affinity (EA) of the B, C, and O isolated atoms (0.010, 0.046, and 0.054 a.u., respectively,²¹) the limiting case of these atoms immersed into the EG at zero EG density are the negative ions (NI) of the corresponding atoms. According to the Hund's rule, the populations of the 2p orbital are with 2 electrons with spin up (2P), 3 electrons with spin up (4S), and 3 elec-

trons with spin up and 2 electrons with spin down (2P) for B, C, and O ions, respectively, corresponding to the total spin of 1, 3/2, and 1/2, respectively, which is clearly satisfied in Fig. 3 at large r_s . On the other hand, the NI of the N atom is unstable although long living (EA = 0.003 a.u.²¹), and the slow growth of the spin of this pseudoatom between 1 and 3/2 at large r_s can be understood as the competition between the NI 3P and atomic 4S states.

In the right panel in Fig. 3, the integrated number of electrons in a sphere of radius R are plotted versus the radius of the sphere for the EG of $r_s = 14$. The plateaus in the case of B, C, and O close to the number of electrons of 6, 7, and 9, respectively, prove unambiguously the NI character of the corresponding states, while for N this number is between 7 and 8, inferring a state intermediate between an atom and NI. The growth in the number of electrons to the right from plateaus is due to the electron density approaching the constant value of n_0 at large distances from the center. This figure also shows that for a low-density EG, electrons extra to an atom or NI, whichever supported in the zero-density limit, are pushed away from the center leaving a region of nearly zero electron density between the atom/ion and the region of nearly uniform EG, where the number of electrons in the sphere of radius R is approximately $Z + (R=r_s)^3$ (dashed curves).

For the period 1 and the rest of the period 2 atoms the same arguments lead to the spin-neutrality of the corresponding pseudoatoms: In the case of H, Li and F, which also have positive EA, the acquisition of an extra electron completes the outer shells, causing the corresponding pseudoatoms to be spin-neutral in the low-density limit. For a different reason but to the same effect, in the case of He, Be and Ne, the spin-neutrality holds because of the non-existence of their NI (even unstable ones with sufficiently long lifetime²¹), while the corresponding atomic states have zero spin.

The steep fall in the spin of a pseudoatom near the critical point seen in Fig. 3 is suggestive of a phase transition of the second order with the power dependence of the spin on r_s near its critical value r_{sc}

$$S \propto (r_s - r_{sc})^\alpha \quad (9)$$

In Table I, the best fit values of the parameters in Eq. (9) are listed. These values strongly suggest that the exponent is universal and equal to 0.5.¹³

Atom	B	C	N	O
r_{sc}	4.46	3.91	4.13	5.52
	0.50	0.52	0.50	0.46
α	0.94	1.11	0.73	0.24

TABLE I: Best fit parameters in Eq. (9).

At the intermediate EG densities between the threshold value and zero, the total spin of a pseudoatom obtained via Eq. (7) is not, generally speaking, a multiple




FIG. 4: Sensitivity of the energy of the C impurity to the choice of the xc potential. Solid (dashed) curves refer to the polarized (neutral) states. The xc potentials used: LSDA of Ref. 19 (PZ) and of Ref. 17 (GL), and GGA of Ref. 22 (PBE).

of $1/2$, as seen in Fig. 3. This fundamental difference between an isolated atom and the present pseudoatom is brought about by the contribution of the infinite number of delocalized electrons in the latter case.²³

In order to make connection to the earlier works as well as to test the sensitivity of our results to the choice of the xc potential, we have repeated the calculations for the C pseudoatom within LSDA using the parametrization of the xc energy of Ref. 17 and also beyond LSDA within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in its PBE version.²² In the former case our results for the unpolarized states reproduce those of Ref. 9. As shown

in Fig. 4, regardless of the choice of the xc potential, we have been able to obtain the spin polarized ground state of an atom embedded in the E.G. While the total energies of the both polarized and unpolarized states are shifted depending on a specific approximation, their difference (i.e., the stabilization energy of the polarized state) does not show considerable sensitivity to the choice of the xc potential.

In conclusion, we have performed the spin-density functional calculation of the spin states of the period 1 and 2 atoms embedded in electron gas. For H, He, Li, Be, F, and Ne pseudoatoms, we have obtained the spin-neutral ground states in a wide density range of the electron gas. On the contrary, for B, C, N, and O pseudoatoms, there occurs a transition into the spin-polarized state at a critical density of the electron gas which depends on the atomic number of the impurity. Both results are in accord with earlier studies. In the spin-polarized state, the pseudoatom is found to be of a smaller effective size compared with its spin-neutral counterpart, which is a feature in common with isolated atoms. We also observe a combined spherical spin-density/charge-density wave which manifests itself as the Friedel-like oscillations. In the limit of the low density of the electron gas the electronic structure of a pseudoatom is found to converge to that of the negative ion of the corresponding isolated atom. The electronic structure of the spin-polarized state is largely different from that of the spin-neutral one, which will certainly have impact on such applications as the stopping power of metals for ions and the residual resistivity of alloys.

VUN and CSK acknowledge support by the Korea Research Foundation by Grant No. KRF-2003-015-C 00214.

- ¹ S. A. Wolf, D. D. Awschalom, R. A. Buhrman, J. M. Daughton, S. von Molnar, M. L. Roukes, A. Y. Chtchenkanova, and D. M. Treger, *Science* 294, 1488 (2002).
- ² I. Zutic, J. Fabian, and S. D. Sarma, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 76, 323 (2004).
- ³ R. J. Boyd, *Nature* 310, 480 (1984).
- ⁴ A. W. Overhauser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 4, 462 (1960).
- ⁵ A. W. Overhauser, *Phys. Rev.* 128, 1437 (1962).
- ⁶ C. O. Almbladh, U. von Barth, Z. D. Popovic, and M. J. Stott, *Phys. Rev. B* 14, 2250 (1976).
- ⁷ K. Nørskov and N. D. Lang, *Phys. Rev. B* 21, 2131 (1980).
- ⁸ M. J. Stott and E. Zurek, *Phys. Rev. B* 22, 1564 (1980).
- ⁹ M. J. Puska, R. M. Nieminen, and M. Manninen, *Phys. Rev. B* 24, 3037 (1981).
- ¹⁰ M. J. Puska and R. M. Nieminen, *Phys. Rev. B* 43, 12221 (1991).
- ¹¹ C. Marchini, M. I. Trioni, and G. P. Barvino, *Phys. Rev. B* 67, 035408 (2003).
- ¹² R. M. Nieminen and M. Puska, *J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.* 10, L123 (1980).
- ¹³ N. Stefanou and N. Papanikolaou, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 3, 3777 (1991).
- ¹⁴ N. Papanikolaou, N. Stefanou, R. Zeller, and P. H. Dedrichs, *Phys. Rev. B* 46, 10858 (1992).
- ¹⁵ N. Papanikolaou, N. Stefanou, R. Zeller, and P. H. Dedrichs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71, 629 (1993).
- ¹⁶ P. Mavropoulos, N. Stefanou, and N. Papanikolaou, *Phys. Rev. B* 58, 1096 (1998).
- ¹⁷ O. Gunnarsson and B. I. Lundqvist, *Phys. Rev. B* 13, 4274 (1976).
- ¹⁸ W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.* 140, A1133 (1965).
- ¹⁹ J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B* 23, 5048 (1981).
- ²⁰ R. J. Boyd, *Nature* 250, 566 (1974).
- ²¹ H. Hotop and W. C. Lineberger, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 14, 731 (1985).
- ²² J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 3865 (1996).
- ²³ Because of the delocalized electrons, we can follow the convergence of a pseudoatom to NI within LDA, while for an isolated NI LDA fails.¹⁹ The screening at large distances eliminates the difficulty of LDA for NI, i.e., an electron feeling the -1 charge if the self-interaction is not subtracted.