# Soft elasticity of RNA gels and negative Poisson ratio

Am ir Ahsan, Joseph Rudnick and Robijn Bruinsma Department of Physics, UCLA, Box 951547, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547 (Dated: April 14, 2024)

W e propose a model for the elastic properties of RNA gels. The model predicts anom alous elastic properties in the form of a negative Poisson ratio and shape instabilities. The anom alous elasticity is generated by the non-G aussian force-deform ation relation of single-stranded RNA. The e ect is greatly magni ed by broken rotational symmetry produced by double-stranded sequences and the concom itant soft modes of uniaxial elastom ers.

PACS num bers: 62.20 D c, 87.14 G g, 82.35 Lr

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

The study of the viscoelastic properties of networks of exible, synthetic polymers has for many years been a central topic of polymer science. The classical Flory theory for the elasticity of nubber and of gels treats these systems as networks of nodes linked by highly exible chains [1, 2, 3]. Scaling relations for the viscoelastic moduli that result from this model have been well con m ed [3]. The study of networks of biopolymers has provided a fresh in petus to the eld. Gels of sem i-

exible biopolymers, like F-Actin, were shown to obey novel scaling relations[4]. The focus of the present paper is on the elasticity of a dienent biopolymer system, namely a network or gel of RNA chains. The folding of smaller RNA molecules has been already extensively discussed in the molecular biology literature in the context of Ribozymes[5], but extended RNA gels have not received much attention. The genome of single-stranded (ss) RNA viruses for instance may form a very promising small scale realization of an RNA gel, as discussed in the conclusion. It is the purpose of this paper to present a simple model for RNA gels that indicates that such gels should have rather unusual elastic properties that will distinguish them not only from Flory-type gels but also from gels of sem i- exible biopolymers like F-Actin.

A single-stranded (\ss") RNA chain can be folded rst into a \secondary" structure that consists of the pattern of optim al pairing of the bases of the chain [5]. This secondary structure is represented as a planar, branched graph of duplexed double-stranded (\ds") sequences linked by \bubbles" and \stem -loops" com posed of unpaired bases. A three-dim ensional \tertiary" structure is obtained if one also allow s com plem entary pairing between the bases of di erent bubbles and stem -loops of the secondary structure. The model we will study assum es a highly sim pli ed tertiary network topology com posed of an array of rigid rods (the ds com plem entary sequences) that are linked by exible chains (the ss sequences). Two exible chains em erge from either of the two ends of each rod (see Fig. 1). Models of this type have in fact been used to describe the folding kinetics of Ribozym es [6]. A key ingredient of our model is that these exible chains are not assumed to have the elastic properties of either a Gaussian chain or a sem i- exible worm -like chain. Instead, we will exam ine the elasticity of the network for a general class of interaction potentials. Speci cally, we will consider chains that obey the force-extension curve of ss DNA as measured by singlem olecule m icrom echanics.

The particular network of rods and springs that we propose to investigate as a representation of complexed RNA is displayed in Fig. 1. The rods are centered on



FIG. 1: The network of rods and springs that form s the basis of the negative Poisson ratio system. As indicated in the gure, the length of the sides of the underlying altered cubic lattice is a and the length of the rods is 21.

an altered square lattice. The angle, that an edge of this lattice makes with respect to the vertical, as shown in Fig. 1, parameterizes the overall characteristics of the lattice. If , which ranges between 0 and =2, is equal to =4 the lattice is square. At either of the limits of , the lattice has collapsed onto itself. The edges of the unit cells of the lattice, which do not represent any physical quantity, are represented by grey lines in the gure. The rods are thick solid lines, and the springs are depicted as dashed lines connecting the ends of neighboring rods. As shown in the gure, the length of the edges of the underlying lattice is a, and the length of the rods is 21.

The model belongs to a class of system s, namely uni-

axial and biaxial elastom ers, that are known to have unusual elastic properties. The internal rotational degrees of freedom produce what is known as \soft" elasticity in the form of large shape changes under applied elds as well as a vanishing of the Poisson ratio [7]. The anom alous elasticity of networks with broken rotational symmetry is an unavoidable and fundam ental feature according to a theorem by Golubovic and Lubensky [8]. One of the aim softhe present paper is to exam ine the consequences of this theorem for a concrete model. Speci cally, we will explore precisely what physical properties of the interaction potential characterizes anom alous soft elasticity. W e will argue in particular that control of the soft elasticity can be achieved by altering the physical properties of the non-Gaussian springs and that this can be achieved in the context of RNA gels.

The proposed model can be viewed as a modi ed version of a hexagonal lattice of hinged rods. It is actually known in them aterialscience literature that system s that can be represented by models of this type exhibit unusual elasticity. A conventional hexagonal lattice, shown at the top Fig. 2, will expand vertically when compressed horizontally if the rods are rigid and freely hinged. On the



FIG.2: Two types of hexagonal networks of hinged rigid rods. The network shown at the top of the gure exhibits a positive Poisson ratio, in that it expands vertically when compressed horizontally. The network at the bottom contracts vertically when compressed horizontally and thus has a negative Poisson ratio.

other hand, the network at the bottom of the gure consisting of non-convex hexagonalunits contracts vertically when compressed horizontally. The network is thus characterized by a negative Poisson ratio. The conditions for constructing a network of hinged rods that exhibits a negative Poisson ratio can be elegantly dem onstrated by the popularH oberm an Sphere [9], which m aintains its spherical shape as it expands and collapses[10]. The generic term for a material with a negative Poisson ratio is \auxetic." A negative Poisson ratio is known to be exhibited by Iron Pyrites [11], self-avoiding, xedconnectivity m em branes [12], m onocrystalline Z inc [13], C arbon N itride [14], polyethylene foam s [15], two dim ensionalm esh-like system s [16], structures com posed of rotating rigid units [17] and in network-em bedded com posites [18]. B iologically, a negative Poisson ratio has been found to be exhibited by both skin [19] and bone [20].

## II. POISSON RATIO OF A TW O DIMENSIONAL NETWORK OF RODS AND SPRINGS

W e will start by exploring the properties of the model shown in Fig. 1 as a two-dimensional network. We will represent a distortion of the lattice in terms of a strain tensor<sup>\$</sup>, so that the displacement  $r_i = (x_i; y_i)$ , of a lattice vertex originally at the location  $r_i = (x_i; y_i)$  is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \mathbf{x}_{x} \mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{y} \mathbf{y}_{i} \tag{2.1}$$

and similarly for  $y_i$ . We will also allow the rods to rotate in the plane by the angle  $\ .$ 

Consider, now, the triad of rods with connecting springs shown in Fig. 3. Note that the underlying lattice

FIG.3: A triad of neighboring rods, connected in this gure by two springs connected to the top end of the lower central rod. The rods and the lattice are shown in an as-yet undistorted state. The lattice is compressed horizontally as compared to the one shown in Fig. 1.

is more compressed along the horizontal direction than the lattice shown in Fig. 1. As will be demonstrated below, the degree of alteration of the square lattice is controlled by the requirement that the rod and spring network can be stabilized by an osmotic pressure. The distance between the upper end of the lower rod and the lower end of the rod on the right is equal to

$$d_{1}^{2} = (a_{xy}\cos 21\sin + a\sin + a_{xx}\sin)^{2} + (21\cos + a\cos + a_{yy}\cos + a_{yx}\sin)^{2}$$

$$(2.2)$$



and the distance between the upper end of the lower rod and the lower end of the rod to the left is given by

$$d_2^2 = (a_{xy}\cos 21\sin a\sin a_{xx}\sin)^2 + (21\cos + a\cos + a_{yy}\cos a_{yx}\sin)^2$$
(2.3)

The angle in (2.2) and (2.3) is the angle to which all the rods rotate under the in uence of the uniform distortion of the lattice as parameterized by the constant strain tensor  $^{\$}$ .

## A . N on-G aussian behavior of the springs

In order to facilitate numerical calculations, we will parameterize the interactions mediated by the springs in terms of the square of the distance between the spring end-points. That is, we express the energy of the interaction in terms of the variable x, where

$$x = d^2 d_0^2$$
 (2.4)

The quantity d is the distance between end points, and  $d_0$  is the distance between end-points in the equilibrium state. Next, let V (d) be the interaction potential that represents the free energy of an ss sequence connecting two points a distance d apart. W e will expand V (d) in a Taylor series around the equilibrium state x = 0:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
q & & \\
\nabla & d_0^2 + x \\
= & V & (d_0) + \frac{x}{2d_0} V^0 (d_0) + \frac{x^2}{8d_0^3} \left[ V^0 (d_0) + d_0 V^{00} (d_0) \right] \\
& + \\
= & _0 + _1 x + \frac{2}{2} x^2 + 
\end{array}$$
(2)

It is important to note that even if the interaction, V (d), is convex upward, so that V  $^{(0)}(d) > 0$ , the second order cce cient, <sub>2</sub>, in the expansion above can be negative. C onsider for instance the case of a simple power-law interaction energy of the form

$$V (d) = C d^p$$
 (2.6)

with C a positive coe cient and p a power greater than one. Then,

$$V^{0}(d_{0}) = C p d_{0}^{p-1}$$
 (2.7)

and

$$d_0 V^{(0)}(d_0) = C p(p 2) d_0^{p-1}$$
 (2.8)

If p < 2, then, assuming that the coecient C is greater than zero, the second order coecient in (2.5), 2, will be negative. In the case of G aussian chains with Hookelaw-type harm onic interaction, 2 is equal to zero, as are all higher order coe cients in the expansion of the interaction in terms of the variable x. On the other hand, for a freely pinted chain or a worm -like chain  $_2 > 0$ 

Under the assumption that the interaction mediated by the springs is always attractive, the angle, at which the rods tilt must adjust in such a way as to minimize the sum of the two distances,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ . Taking the derivative of  $d_1 + d_2$  with respect to and constructing an extremum equation, we end up with the relationship

8alcos 
$$[(_{yy} + 1) \sin _{xy} \cos ] = 0$$
 (2.9)

The strain tensor is assumed to be a small quantity. This means that the solution to the equation above is, to an accuracy su cient for our purposes

$$=_{xy}$$
 (2.10)

The total energy associated with the interactions mediated by the springs shown in Fig. 3 is then given by

$$E = {}_{0} + {}_{1} d_{1}^{2} d_{0}^{2} + d_{2}^{2} d_{0}^{2} + \frac{2}{2} d_{1}^{2} d_{1}^{2} d_{0}^{2} + d_{2}^{2} d_{0}^{2} d_{0}^{2}$$
(2.11)

with in (2.2) and (2.3) as given by (2.10).

# B. Determ ination of the angle , expansion of the energy in strain coordinates and calculation of the Poisson ratio

The next step is to expand the resulting expression to second order in the strain tensor. At zeroth order, we are left with the coe cient  $_0$ . To rst order in  $^{\$}$  we have the following contribution to the energy

5) 
$$4a_1 a_{xx} \sin^2 + yy \cos (a \cos 21)$$
 (2.12)

The appearance of term s linear in the strain energy indicates that the system has a tendency to spontaneously deform. First, consider the case of a uniform contraction. In term s of the strain tensor, this yields an energy going as  $_{xx} + _{yy}$ . This tendency will be countered by an osm otic pressure that increases as the monom er concentration grow sunder contraction. In order that an osm otic pressure can completely balance the rst order energy for  $d = d_0$  (the equilibrium state) in (2.12), it is necessary that the coe cients of  $_{xx}$  and  $_{yy}$  in that expression be equal to each other. This requirem ent translates into an equation for the lattice angle that is satis ed when

$$= \arccos^{4} \frac{1}{2a} + \frac{1}{2a} + \frac{1}{2a} + \frac{1}{2}$$
(2.13)

If the coe cients of  $_{xx}$  and  $_{yy}$  are unequal, then the system undergoes a spontaneous shear tranform ation. Two lim its of the above expression are noteworthy. When l = 0, so the rods are in nitesimal in extent, then as

given by (2.13) is equal to =4, consistent with an underlying square lattice. On the other hand, when l = a=2, we nd a from (2.13) that is equal to zero, which im plies a horizontal collapse of the com plex. In this lim it, the rods are exactly long enough that their tips touch in the event of such a collapse of the lattice. Henceforth we will assume that 1 lies in the range between 0 and a=2.

A ssum ing cancellation of the linear term , the energy is now , at lowest non-trivial order, quadratic in the elements of  $^{\$}$  . This contribution to the quadratic energy is of the form

In light of translational invariance, this energy will be the same for the energy supplied by the two springs attached to the upper tip of every rod in the com plex, under the assumption of a uniform strain. We have thus e ectively calculated the energy of interaction in the entire com plex.

The strain induced by an externally applied stress,  $\check{}$  , is the solution to the set of equations

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho}_{ij} \stackrel{h_{s}}{=}_{ij} \qquad (2.15)$$

W e will take the strain to be exerted in the y direction, so  $_{yy}$  is the only non-zero element of the strain tensor. A fier solving (2.15) for the elements of the strain tensor we can extract the Poisson ratio, , via the relationship

$$= \frac{xx}{yy}$$
(2.16)

Following a straightforward calculation we obtain the explicit result

$$= \frac{a^{2}(a^{2} + 4l^{2})_{2}}{(a^{2} + 1^{2} + a^{2})_{2} + (l=a)^{2})_{1} + a^{2}(a^{2} + 4l^{2})_{2}}$$
(2.17)

#### C. Poisson ratio when there are no rods

It is useful to consider certain limiting cases of Eq. (2.17). First, consider the case l = 0, which corresponds to a network in which the rods are replaced by point contacts. If we set l = 0 in the expression (2.17), the expression for the Poisson ratio further simpli es to

$$\frac{a^2}{1+a^2} = \frac{1}{2}$$
(2.18)

which predicts a negative Poisson ratio, if the ratio  $_{2}=_{1}$  is negative. To check for stability of the lattice one can determ ine the eigenvalues of the energy matrix  $^{\$}$ . When l = 0, this four-by-four matrix is degenerate, and there are two distinct eigenvalues:  $a^{2}_{1} a^{4}_{2}$  and  $a^{2}_{1} + 3a^{4}_{2}$ . Both eigenvalues are positive, corresponding to a stable

lattice, provided  $_1=3a^2 < _2 < _1=a^2$ , where we assume that  $_1 > 0$ . For  $_2$  in this range, the Poisson ratio varies from -1/2 to 1/2. It follows that a moderately negative Poisson ratio is, in fact, possible in a lattice consisting entirely of non-G aussian springs. Note that this is not related to the existence of internal rotational degrees of freedom.

# D. Poisson ratio at general l

We now set l = 0.45a. This leads to an equilibrium state that is compressed horizontally as in Fig. 3. In Fig. 4 we show as a function of  $_2$ .



FIG. 4: The two Poisson ratio of the two-dimensional lattice according to (2.17).

W e have again checked the stability by computing the determ inant of the four-by four matrix with elements  $_{ij;kl}$ . Figure 5 shows a typical plot of the determ inant as a function of  $_2$ . The determ inant vanishes at



FIG.5: The determ inant of the elastic energy m atrix i when l= 0.45a, and  $_1$  and a have been set equal to one.

 $_2 = 6.232$ , corresponding to the emergence of a negative eigenvalue of the energy matrix <sup>\$</sup> and the development of a mechanical instability in the complex [21]. At that threshold value of  $_2$ , we nd = 4.21226, so a substantial negative P oisson ratio is indeed possible close to a mechanical instability. Figure 6 shows the determ inant for an extended range of the interaction coe cient  $_2$ . As illustrated in that gure, the range of stability of the energy matrix is bounded from above as well as below as a function of  $_2$ .



FIG.6: The determ inant of the energy matrix i as a function of 2, with l= 0:45a and a and 1 set equal to one. The range of stability is indicated by the heavy line on the horizontal axis.

If we compare these results with those in the case 1 = 0 we conclude that the broken rotational symmetry for 1 = 0 has greatly ampli ed the negative Poisson ratio produced by a negative value of 2. There is indeed no intrinsic physical bound on the Poisson ratio in an anisotropic solid [22]. The ampli cation e ect is most dram atic when  $_2$  approaches the threshold of the mechanical instability, at  $_2 = 6232$ . Note though that according to Eq. (2.17) the Poisson ratio form ally is zero even at this critical point for a G aussian network and any other system with  $_2 = 0$ . It should be recalled here that the Poisson ratio is zero in conventional nem atic elastom ers [7]. One can compare the e ect of a negative  $_2$ to that of a sm all m agnetic eld applied to a m agnet as one approaches the Curie tem perature. The divergence of the susceptibility ampli es the e ect of the applied

eld. In the next section we will extend the notion of the sort of complex we have been discussing to a three dimensional system.

## III. THREE D IM ENSIONAL NETWORK OF RODSAND SPRINGSEMBODYING A NEGATIVE POISSON RATIO

## A. Prelim inaries: description of param eters

The way in which the three-dimensional network is constructed will be a generalization of the twodimensional case. Imagine a sheared version of a cubic lattice rotated so that the z-axis is along a diagonal. A rod is placed at each vertex of this lattice, and each end of this rod is connected to the ends of the three rods that are closest to it by a spring having a non-linear forceextension relation. Figure 7 depicts a portion of this lattice of rods, shown as short solid lines. The springs are represented by dashed lines and the edges of the lattice shown for illustrative purposes on ly are represented by

grey lines. The z axis is along the principal diagonal, shown as a grey dashed and dotted line in the gure, and the x axis is aligned with the projection in the x-y plane of an edge of the cube. Three neighboring vertices of



FIG. 7: Portion of the cubic lattice, shown unsheared here, with the rods at the vertices. The \springs" connecting the vertices are shown as dashed lines. The edges of the cubic lattice in which the rods are embedded are shown as grey lines. Note that these edges do not represent actual physical structures. Only those springs that connect two rods shown in the gure are depicted. Finally, a principle diagonal, lying along the z axis, is shown as a dashed and dotted line.

the cube are indexed as shown in Fig. 8. The organiza-



FIG.8: Three vertices of the cubic lattice with associated rods and springs attached to them. The numbers are the indices of the vertices. As in Fig 7, the springs are shown as dashed lines.

tion illustrated there ought to be understood as a kind of \averaged" version of a structure in which each rod term inates in two springs, as shown in Fig. 1.

The rod with index 0 is assumed to be located at the origin. The coordinates of the corners on which the neighboring rods sit are given by

$$x_1 = a \cos \qquad (3.1)$$

$$y_1 = 0$$
 (3.2)

$$z_1 = a \sin \qquad (3.3)$$

$$x_2 = A \cos \cos(2 = 3)$$
 (3.4)

$$y_2 = a \cos \sin (2 = 3)$$
 (3.5)

$$z_2 = a \sin (3.6)$$

$$x_3 = a \cos \cos(4 = 5)$$
 (5.7)

$$y_3 = a \cos \sin(4 = 3)$$
 (3.8)

$$z_3 = a \sin \qquad (3.9)$$

The quantity a in Eqs. (3.1) { (3.9) is the length of a cube edge. The quantity is the angle that the edges of the cube in Fig. 8 m ake with respect to the x-y plane. In the case of an unsheared cubic lattice, this angle is equal to  $\arctan(1=2)$ . Note that when ==2, the edges connecting the site at the origin to the three neighboring ones are all vertical, so that the neighboring sites all lie at exactly the sam e location. Each edge in the cubic lattice has length a and the length of the rods is 21, again as shown in Fig. 8. Initially, the rods point along the z-axis. How ever, we will assume that when the lattice shears the rods change orientation. The direction in which the rods point will be described in term softhe standard spherical angles and . See Fig. 9 for the representation of the angles and . The next step is to allow the lattice to

- ---



FIG.9: The angles and . All three edges shown in the gure have an orientation with respect to the horizontal plane.

distort. As in the previous section we represent the distortion in terms of a strain tensor  ${}^{\$}$  , so that placing one of the vertices of the lattice at the origin, the displacement  $r_i = (x_i; y_i; z_i)$ , of any other lattice vertex originally a the location  $r_i = (x_i; y_i; z_i)$  is given by

$$x_{i} = x_{x}x_{i} + x_{y}y_{i} + x_{z}z_{i}$$
 (3.10)

and similarly for  $y_i$  and  $z_i$ .

## B. Determ ination of the angles , and .

First, we calculate the quantities  $(x_i; y_i; z_i)$  for each of the springs shown in Fig. 8. Then, we expand the energy to rst and second order in the  $(x_i; y_i; z_i)$ . The resulting

expression is then expanded once again, now to second order in both the strain tensor  $\stackrel{\circ}{}$  and the rotation angle  $\,$  . As both quantities are expected to be small, we restrict our consideration to term s that are at most quadratic in either one or both of them . The next step is to determ ine the angle , (show n in Fig. 9) by which the lattice shears. This angle is again controlled by the requirement that the lattice, in the absence of any imposed stress, can be stabilized by an osm otic pressure , that couples only to the total volume of the lattice, or in other words to the

combination 
$$Tr = xx + yy + zz$$
.

If we expand the energy of the lattice to rst order in  $^{\circ}$ and zeroth order in , we obtain the following expression

$$3a^{2}(xx + yy)\cos^{2} + 6a_{zz}\sin(a\sin 21)$$
 (3.11)

The requirement that this reduce to a function of the trace of the strain tensor only leads to a quadratic equation for sin . The solution to this equation is

$$= \arcsin \frac{21 + p}{3a^2 + 41^2} = \frac{p}{3a} \frac{1}{3a} = \frac{p}{3a} \frac{1}{3a} = \frac{1}{3a} \frac{1}{3a} \frac{1}{3a} = \frac{1}{3a} \frac{1}{3a} \frac{1}{3a} = \frac{1}{3a} \frac{1}{3a} \frac{1}{3a} \frac{1}{3a} = \frac{1}{3a} \frac{$$

It is useful to consider the two lim its of the above expres $sion._p \mathbb{W}$  hen 1! 0, the argum ent of the arcsin reduces to 1= 3, which is consistent with an arctangent equal to 1= 2 and a cubic lattice. On the other hand, as 1! a=2, the angle goes to =2, which means that the lattice collapses onto itself. In the latter lim it, the rods are long enough that they touch end-to-end when that collapse takes place.

Inserting the value of given by the right hand side of (3.12) into the expression (3.11), we nd for the dependence of the energy on uniform dilations of the lattice

$$\frac{2}{3}(x_{xx} + y_{yy} + z_{zz}) \frac{h}{3a^{2}} 4l^{2} 2l \frac{p}{3a^{2} + 4l^{2}} (3.13)$$

so the Equation of State of the system is

$$v_0 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{h}{1} 3a^2 4l^2 2l 3a^2 + 4l^2$$
 (3.14)

where

$$v_{0} = a^{3} (3^{2} \overline{3}=2) \cos^{2} \sin \frac{(3a^{2} 81^{2})^{p} \overline{3a^{2}+41^{2}}}{3^{p} \overline{3}}$$
(3.15)

is the volume per rod in the lattice. As 1! = 2, the expression multiplying the trace of the strain tensor vanishes, and the linear dependence of the energy on uniform dilations of the lattice is lost. The osm otic pressure, approaches the lim iting value 2  $_1$ = 3a.

In light of this initial adjustment of the lattice, the next step is to determ ine the extent to which the lattice responds to an external stress. The response manifests itself in three quantities: the strain tensor  $\overset{\circ}{}$  , the rod

tilt angle and the azim uthal angle, , of the rods. As it turns out, the azim uthal angle appears only in the term that is rst order in , and the form of that term is A cos + B sin , where A and B are linear functions of the strain tensor and general functions of the angle . This expression is minim ized when = arctan A=B and is equal in this case to  $\frac{P}{A^2 + B^2}$ . The second-order-in- contribution to the energy of the deform ed lattice is equal to

h  
6al 2al 2 (
$$\cos_0$$
 (l=a))<sup>2</sup> + 1 sin 0 (l=a) <sup>2</sup> D<sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>  
(3.16)

If we denote by D  $_0$  the portion of the deform ation energy that is zeroth order in  $\$  and second order in the strain tensor, the net free energy as a function of the polar angle is

$$p \frac{p}{A^2 + B^2} + D_2^2 \qquad (3.17)$$

M in in izing (3.17) with respect to , we end up with our

nal result for the dependence of the energy on the strain tensor <sup>\$</sup> in the uniform ly strained lattice. In terms of the quantities de ned above, the energy has the form

$$D_{0} \quad \frac{A^{2} + B^{2}}{4D_{2}} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ i_{jjk1} i_{jk1} \\ h_{s} \\ i \end{array}$$
(3.18)

As indicated in (3.18), the energy of the uniform ly distorted lattice with the angles , and relaxed to the values dictated by energy m inim ization and lattice stabilization is purely quadratic in the strain tensor. The tensorial quantity  $_{ij;k1}$  is symmetric with respect to interchange of the index pairs, ij and kl. The response of the system of rods and springs to an externally generated stress tensor,  $^{\$}$ , follows from the solution to the set of linear equations

$$\frac{h_{s} i}{(0_{ij})} = i$$
(3.19)

G iven the overall anisotropy of the lattice, sym m etry argum ents yield only restricted inform ation. If we orient the z axis along the direction de ned by the rods in the unstressed lattice, then sym m etry argum ents tell us that a stress entirely in the z direction results in equal values of the strain tensor components  $_{xx}$  and  $_{yy}$ , while  $_{xy} = _{yx} = 0$ .

## C. Poisson ratios for l = 0

O noe again we consider the case of a lattice consisting of springs only, in which the rods are replaced by point connections. For l = 0, the Poisson ratio of interest becomes

$$= \frac{4 (a^2 _2 = _1)}{3 + 4 (a^2 _2 = _1)}$$
(3.20)

and the determ inant of the energy matrix is

det<sup>\$</sup> = 512a<sup>18</sup> 
$$\frac{9}{1}$$
 1 +  $\frac{a^2}{1}$  (3.21)

This means the energy matrix is associated with a stable equilibrium state as long as  $a^2 = 1 > 1=2$ . Figure 10 is a plot of the Poisson ratio as given by (3.20) in the range of stability of the energy. The lim its of this quantity are



FIG. 10: The Poisson ratio as a function of  $a^2_{2=1}$  when l=0. The asymptote of one is also indicated.

1 as  $a^2 _{2=1}$  ! 1 and -2 as  $a^2 _{2=1}$  ! 1=2, which are the lim its of the Poisson ratio in an isotropic solid. Thism eans that, as in two dimensions, broken rotational symmetry is not essential for the negative Poisson ratio.

## D. Poisson ratios for $1 \div 0$

To explore the properties of the Poisson ratio for  $l \in 0$ , we will focus on the exponent p in the power law interaction (2.6). Consider the graph shown in Fig. 11, which is of the Poisson ratio, de ned as

$$= \frac{xx + yy}{zz}$$
(3.22)

in the case of a stress entirely in the z direction ( $_{ij} = 0 \exp pt$  for  $_{zz}$ ). The length, l, of the rod has been set equal to 0.4a. Note that the Poisson ratio passes through zero as the power law passes through the power associated with the Gaussian spring. When p < 2, the Poisson ratio is negative, which means that the lattice system resists shearing.

Figure 12 is a graph of the Poisson ratio when l = 0.45aas a function of p. The range of stability, i.e. of a positive determ inant for  $^{\$}$ , is for p > 1, with p = 1 the location of the onset of instability. Recall that in the two dimensional case, approaches a nite negative value at



FIG.11: Graph of the Poisson ratio versus the exponent, p, of the power law in (2.6).



FIG. 12: The Poisson ratio, plotted against the exponent p in (2.6). Here p ranges from zero to three. As noted in the text, a value of pless than one is inconsistent with mechanical stability.

the point of instability. From Fig. 12 we see that the Poisson ratio diverges to m inus in nity at the instability threshold. The ampli cation e ect of the rotational degree of freedom in producing a large negative Poisson ratio is thus m uch m ore pronounced in three dim ensions. By \tuning" the physical properties of the non-G aussian springs it is possible to dram atically alter the elastic response of the network.

## IV. RNA IN NETWORKSAND A NEGATIVE POISSON RATIO

In this conclusion, we will apply the results of the last section to RNA networks and discuss the consequences in terms of soft elasticity and negative Poisson ratios. In Sections II and III we learned that  $_2$  functions as a control parameter for elasticity. If  $_2$  is positive, one obtains conventional elasticity. As noted in the Introduction, gels of exible and sem i exible polymers are conventionally modeled as networks of G aussian chains, worm -like chains or freely jointed chains, allofwhich have

 $_2 > 0$ . If  $_2$  is negative, we predict a range of anom alous elasticity, with negative Poisson ratios term inating in a mechanical instability at a critical value for 2, at which point diverges to minus in nity. Is it realistic for a biopolymer network to have a negative 2? The 2 parameter can in principle be determined from the force-extension curves of the nonlinear springs. For an RNA network of the type shown in Fig. 1, this would be the force-extension curve of single stranded RNA.Forceextension curves have been measured for single-straned RNA and folded RNA molecules [23] but not yet for long single-stranded chains. Such measurements have been perform ed for single-stranded DNA strands of about 104 bases [24]. A typical set of results, taken from [24] is shown in Fig. 13. The force-extension curve rises rapidly when the extension reaches the contour length. This is preceded by a range of extensions for which the force is relatively constant, which may be due to progressive loss of stacking interaction. The force-extension curve for sm all extensions is presum ably dom inate by entropic elasticity. We tted the measured data with a ffh order polynom ial form, from which we were able to extract the quantities  $_1$  (in pN / m<sup>2</sup>) and  $_2$  (in pN / m<sup>4</sup>), shown in Figs. 14 and 15.



FIG.13: Force versus extension data for a segment of singlestranded charom id DNA [25] with a backbone length of 5.7 m in one millimolar phosphate bu er. The data were extracted from Figure 1 of [24]. Also shown in this gure is a fth order polynom ial t to the data.

The  $_2$  controlparam eter is indeed negative for extensions less than 3 m. It should be noted that  $_1$  and  $_2$  in general are expected to be quite sensitive to solvent conditions. We can now make use of the methods described above to compute the Poisson ratio for given ratio l=a, and we nd that there is indeed a negative Poisson ratio over the full range of values of the length parameter a, the value of l=a having been xed at 0.45 (see Fig. 16).

These results are, of course, merely illustrative, but they demonstrate that RNA should be a beautiful \laboratory system " for the study of gels with anom alous elastic properties. As Fig. 15 shows, one can tune the control parameter  $_2$  by adjusting the extension, which



FIG.14: The quantity  $_1$  as de ned in (2.5) and as derived from the tting curve in Fig. 13.



FIG.15: The quantity  $_2$  as de ned in (2.5) and as derived from the tting curve in Fig.13.

can, in turn, be achieved via changes in the osmotic swelling pressure of the system. The second key param – eter, the l=a ratio, can be \program m ed" into the RNA m olecules by alternating random sequences with com – plem entary hom opolym er sequences of prescribed length (e.g. strings of C m onom ers alternating with strings of G m onom ers). The m ost challenging feature would be



FIG.16: The Poisson ratio, , derived from the parameters  $_1$  and  $_2$  displayed in Figs.14 and 15. The ratio  $=\!a$  is xed at the value 0.45. Note that the parameter a on the horizontal axis of this plot refers to the distance between rod centers and does not coincide with the extension shown in Figs. 14 and 15.

to generate alignm ent between the rods to produce a nem atic elastom er. Curiously, large ssRNA molecule n the form of 1,400 base-long viral genom es are found to be quite anisotropic according to low angle X -ray di raction and light scattering studies [26]. This suggests that large ssRNA molecules may be naturally anisotropic. A seem – bly of the gel under mild shear ow may enhance this natural anisotropy. In sum mary, RNA gels are expected to be rich laboratory systems for the study of fundam ental elasticity.

#### A. Im plications for viral assembly

It is interesting to speculate about possible consequences of a negative Poisson ratio for RNA gels. A curious problem of viral assem bly is the large discrepancy between the density of the genom e in solution and the sam e genom e encapsidated by the virus's protein shell. E ncapsidation proceeds by self-assem bly: a solution of capsid proteins and viral RNA m olecules will spontaneously assem ble to form infectious viruses under physiological condittions. The assem bly is driven by generic electrostatic a nity between the proteins and the RNA m olecules, though speci c interactions are required to initiate assem bly see, for instance [27]. The scenario for the encapsidation of single-stranded RNA genom es into spherical viruses is not fully understood, but Fig. 17 indicates a likely scenario. A partially condensed RNA m olecules



FIG.17: The rst steps in the assembly of a spherical RNA virus. In Fig. 17a, the capsom eres are beginning to come together. They attach to the portion of the genome in immediate proximity to them. Figure 17b shows what happens to the RNA complex as the capsom eres assemble and the RNA is compressed horizontally if the elastic response of the complex is characterized by a positive Poisson ratio. Figure 17c shows what happens if the RNA complex has a negative Poisson ratio.

form s a condensation surface for capsid proteins of op-

posite (i.e. positive) charge, and a curved shell starts to form. The challenge for the assembly process is how compaction of the genome can be achieved. If the RNA m aterial had a positive Poisson ratio, then compaction of the genome at the nucleo-protein interface by the electrostatic a nity would produce swelling of the remainder of the molecule that is not in close contact with the growing shell. It would seem that completion of assembly is not possible. A negative Poisson ratio, on the other hand, would lead to collapse of the RNA material into the shell. It would be fascinating if model systems could be developed with, for instance, RNA gels in contact with a pos-

- P.J.Flory, Statistical M echanics of C hain M olecules (Interscience, New York, 1969).
- [2] P.J.Flory, Journal Of Chem ical Physics 15, 397 (1947).
- [3] M. Rubinstein and R. H. Colby, Polymer Physics (Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York, 2003).
- [4] M.L.Gardel, J.H.Shin, F.C.MacKintosh, L.Mahadevan, P.Matsudaira, and D.A.Weitz, Science 304, 1301 (2004).
- [5] D. Thirum alai and C. Hyeon, Biochem istry 44, 4957 (2005).
- [6] H. Isam bert and E.D. Siggia, Proceedings Of The National A cademy Of Sciences Of The United States Of America 97, 6515 (2000).
- [7] M.W amer and S.K utter, Physical Review E 65 (2002), and references therein.
- [8] L.G olubovic and T.C. Lubensky, Physical Review Letters 63, 1082 (1989).
- [9] See http://www.hoberman.com/fold/main/index.htm.
- [10] In the context of the elasticity of an isotropic solid, a negative Poisson ratio represents the dom inance of the tendency of a body to retain its shape over the tendency to conserve its volum e. See [28].
- [11] A.E.H.Love, A Treatise on the M athem atical Theory of E lasticity (D over, New York, 1944).
- [12] M. Bowick, A. Cacciuto, G. Thorleifsson, and A. Travesset, Physical Review Letters 87, 148103/1 (2001).
- [13] V.A.Lubarda and M.A.M eyers, Scripta M aterialia 40, 975 (1999).
- [14] G.Yuejin and I.Goddard, W .A., Chem ical Physics Letters 237, 72 (1995).
- [15] B.Brandeland R.S.Lakes, Journal of Materials Science 36, 5885 (2001).
- [16] N.Gaspar, X.J.Ren, C.W. Smith, J.N.Grima, and

itively charged substrate to verify this scenario. We conclude that RNA gels with negative Poisson ratios would be well-adapted for easy encapsidation.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

The authors gratefully acknowledge support of the National Science Foundation through NSF grant DMR 0404507.

K.E.Evans, Acta Materialia 53, 2439 (2005).

- [17] J.N.Grima, A.A. Iderson, and K.E.Evans, Physica Status Solidi B-Basic Solid State Physics 242, 561 (2005).
- [18] K.E.Evans, M.A.N kansah, and I.J.Hutchinson, Acta M etallurgica et M aterialia 40, 2463 (1992).
- [19] D.R.Veronda and R.A.W estm ann, Journal Of B iom echanics 3, 111 (1970).
- [20] J.L.W illiam s and J.L.Lew is, Journal Of Biom echanical Engineering-Transactions Of The Asme 104, 50 (1982).
- [21] The instability is associated with an anisotropic expansion or contraction of the lattice in which xy = yx = 0 and xx = yy = 8:42452.
- [22] T.C.T.Ting and T.Y.Chen, Quarterly Journal Of M echanics And Applied M athem atics 58, 73 (2005), part 1.
- [23] M. C. W illiams and I. Rouzina, Current Opinion In Structural Biology 12, 330 (2002).
- [24] M.N.Dessinges, B.Maier, Y.Zhang, M.Peliti, D.Bensim on, and V.Croquette, Physical Review Letters 89 (2002).
- [25] I. Saito and G. R. Stark, Proceedings Of The National A cademy Of Sciences Of The United States Of America 83, 8664 (1986).
- [26] G. Ribitsch, R. Declercq, W. Folkhard, P. Zipper, J. Schurz, and J. Clauwaert, Zeitschriff Fur Naturforschung C-A Journal Of Biosciences 40, 234 (1985).
- [27] A. Zlotnick, R. Aldrich, J. M. Johnson, P. Ceres, and M. J. Young, Virology 277, 450 (2000).
- [28] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, A. M. Kosevich, and L. P. Pitaevskii, Theory of elasticity (Butterworth-Heinem ann, Oxford; Boston, 1995), 3rd ed.