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A bstract
The evolution of open system s , sub ject to both H am iltonian and dissipative forces, is studied by writing the nm elem ent of the tim e ( $t$ ) dependent density $m$ atrix in the form

$$
n m(t)=\frac{1}{A}_{=1}^{X^{A}}{ }_{n}(t) m \quad(t)
$$

T he so called "square root factors", the (t)'s, are non-square $m$ atrioes and are averaged over A system s ( ) of the ensem ble. T his square-root description is exact. Evolution equations are then postulated for the
$(t)$ factors, such as to reduce to the Lindblad-type evolution equations for the diagonalterm $s$ in the density $m$ atrix. For the $o$-diagonalterm $s$ they di er from the Lindblad-equations. The "square root factors" ( $t$ ) are not unique and the equations for the (t)'s depend on the speci c representation chosen. Two criteria can be suggested for xing the choice of ( $t$ )'s one is simplicity of the resulting equations and the other has to do w ith the reduction of the di erence betw een the ( $t$ ) form alism and the Lindblad-equations.

W hen the $m$ ethod is tested on cases which have been previously treated by other m ethods, our results agree w ith them. E xam ples chosen are (i) m olecular system $s$, such that are either periodically driven


#### Abstract

near level degeneracies, for which we calculate the decoherence occurring in $m$ ultiple Landau-Zener transition, or else when undergoing descent around conical intersections in the potential surfaces, (ii) form al dissipative system sw ith $L$ indblad-type operators representing either a non $M$ arkovian process or a tw o-state system coupled to bosons.

A ttractive features of the present factorization $m$ ethod are com plete positivity, the no higher than linear increase of the im plem entation $e$ ort w th the num ber of states involved and the introduction of random ness only at the start of the process.


K eyw ords: D ecoherence, Lindblad operators, Landau-Zener crossing, conical intersections, $N$ on $-M$ arkovian processes

PACS num ber $(s): 03.65$ B z

## 1 Introduction

T he present authors have proposed a variational form ulation to study the tim e evolution of the density $m$ atrix for situations including both H am iltonian and dissipative processes [1] $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{1}\right]$. This was based on an expression for the tim e (t)-dependent density m atrix, originally devised in [̄] and developed by [3] $]$, which w as w ritten form ally as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\quad(t) \quad+(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this work we apply this "square root" or "factorization" m ethod to the investigation of quantum trajectories in a decoherent environm ent.

In the above, the "square-root factors" are the non-square matrix ( $t$ ) whose com ponents are w ritten as

$$
n_{n}(t)
$$

(2)
and its herm itean conjugate. The upper index designates the system in the ensemble and the low er index $n$ the state of the system. In principle, both labels nun over in nite values, but for bookkeeping purposes we let
take $A$ values and $n$ take $N$ values. (A lso, in the exam ples worked out in this paper we have taken for $A$ and $N$ nite and $s m$ all integers.) Thus, the prim ary quantity in the form alism is a rectangular $N \mathrm{x} A \mathrm{~m}$ atrix. A $s$ derived in [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ and in other texts follow ing von $N$ eum ann's m ethod [ the density $m$ atrix is obtained as an ensem ble average over all system s. A
general nm com ponent is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n m=\frac{1}{A}_{=1}^{X^{A}} n^{m} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation ( $(\overline{3})$ is quoted by num erous quantum $m$ echanics and statistical physics textbooks. The relationship of the 's to the wave-fiunctions in an ensemble and other properties of the density $m$ atrix are given in the appendix. In equation (11) the dot-sym bol is a shorthand for the averaging (a norm alized inner product) over .]

A form ally identical form is obtained for from an altemative de nition of the density $m$ atrix of an open system, nam ely by starting $w$ ith the total density $m$ atrix of the system (s) + its interactive environm ent (e) and then taking the trace over the degrees of freedom of the environm ent [G]]. T hus the "factorization" of the density $m$ atrix involves no approxim ation.

To show this, we w rite a state vector of the com bined system as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j>{ }_{s+e}={ }_{n}^{x} g_{n} j n>s j>e \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term s of com plete sets of the system and of the environm ent. From this we form the density $m$ atrix operator

$$
\hat{s}+e=j>{ }_{s+e}<\quad j_{s+e}={ }_{n m}^{X} \quad g_{n} g_{m} j>e \dot{\eta}>{ }_{s}<m \dot{j}_{b}<\quad \dot{\text { iे }}
$$

Tracing over the environm ent states gives the reduced density $m$ atrix for the system alone

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{s}_{s}=T r_{e} \wedge_{s+e}=x \quad<\hat{j} \hat{s}^{\prime}+e j>={ }_{n m}^{X} g_{n} g_{m} \dot{n}>{ }_{s}<m \dot{j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nm $m$ atrix elem ent of the operator ${ }^{\wedge}$ is:
which is of the square root form in equation ( (3-1) $w$ ith $n={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{A}} g_{\mathrm{n}}$.
1.1 The non uniqueness of square-root factors

The square-root factors are not unique. This can easily be seen from equation (ī). Suppose I know a m atrix (t) and use it to calculate (t):

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=(t) \quad{ }^{+}(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

O bviously I can take any unitary $m$ atrix $U$ in the ensemble space and use it to calculate another $m$ atrix ${ }^{0}(\mathrm{t})$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}(t)=\quad(t) U \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or in index notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n}^{0}(t)={ }_{n}(t) U \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ow if ${ }^{0}(t)$ is used to calculate another density $m$ atrix ${ }^{0}(t)$ using equation (11) we obtain:

$$
\left.{ }^{0}(t)={ }^{0}(t) \quad{ }^{0}(t)=\quad \text { ( } t\right) U \quad U^{+}+(t)=\quad \text { (t) } U \quad U^{+}+(t)=\quad \text { (t) } \quad \text { (11) }
$$

Hence ${ }^{0}(t)=\quad(t) U$ is just another representation of the density $m$ atrix ( $t$ ), the use of either ${ }^{0}$ ( $(\mathrm{t}$ ) or $(\mathrm{t})$ can not be distinguished experim entally and has no physical signi cance. Furtherm ore we can choose a U m atrix evolving in tim e such that $U=U(t)$. The considerations that dictate which is the best representation to use are discussed in the follow ing sections.

## 2 Evolution in a dissipative environm ent

### 2.1 A density m atrix form ulation

At the present the $L$ iouville-von $N$ eum ann-Lindblad ( $L v N L$ ) equations, that are linear in the density $m$ atrix and ensure its com plete positivity, are frequently em ployed for the evolution of the density $m$ atrix in the presence of dissipative processes. T hese are w rilten (w ith the over dot representing tim e derivation and the tim e dependence tem porarily suppressed) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=i \mathbb{H} ; \quad]+\left(2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~L}^{+} \quad \mathrm{L}^{+} \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{~L}^{+} \mathrm{L}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

show ing the H am iltonian ( H ) and dissipative ( L ) processes $\left[\bar{r}_{1}\right]$. (T here $m$ ay be several of the latter, in which case each process is labelled by an index and the rate equation contains a sum over the processes. For notational sim plicity we consider a single process and do w ithout an indexed L. A theoretical
 of the Lindblad equation to stochastic (Ito or Stratonovich) form ulation of dissipative processes in quantum $m$ echanics was developed in [ig], furtherelucidated in $\left[\underline{1} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ and com parisons betw een various rate form alism were $m$ ade in [1] 1 ism were $m$ ade to non $M$ arkovian and other processes (e.g., $\left.[\underline{1} \overline{2}]-\left[\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4}^{1}\right]\right)$. For opposing view points we refer to [15

### 2.2 Tim e developm ent of the 's

We now postulate tim eevolution equations in the "square root" m ethod. $T$ he form of the equations is rationalized by the facts that they will correctly give the Liouville-von Neum ann equations for Ham iltonian processes and that they have the form of the $\mathrm{LvN} L$ equations for the diagonal elem ents of the density $m$ atrix $n n$, when there also dissipative term $s$. Theo -diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents $n m(n \in m)$ will be discussed after introduction of the initial conditions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}=i H_{n r r}+L_{\underline{n} s s_{r} r} L_{\underline{n} r}(\underline{n})^{1} \quad L_{r n} L_{r s s} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The star denotes the com plex con jugate. The sum $m$ ation convention for doubly appearing rom an indices is used, but $\underline{n}$ in a subscript $m$ eans no sum $m$ ation over $n$ (and no sum $m$ ation is im plied form ultiple $G$ reek indices). The inverse ( $(\underline{n})^{1}$ is not an elem ent of the inverse $m$ atrix of ; rather, it is the inverse of an elem ent of . For the conjugate variable one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{-n}=i_{r} H_{r n}+\left(\underline{n}_{\underline{n}}\right)^{1} L_{\underline{n} \underline{r}} r_{s} L_{\underline{n} s} \quad s L_{r s} L_{r n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s discussed in previous texts using the square-root $m$ ethod $\overline{\underline{Z}}$, , equations for nn follow from combination of equation (13) and equation (1]i'). In fact, using the left hand side of these expressions and carrying out the ensemble averaging, one obtains the tim e-rate of a diagonal elem ent of the density $m$ atrix, as follow s

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{\underline{n n}}=\frac{h}{A}^{X}[\underline{n-n}+\ldots \underline{n}]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =f i[; H]+2 L \quad L^{Y} \quad L^{Y} L \quad L^{Y} L g_{n n} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

On the right hand side all products of the 's an be written in term sofdensity $m$ atrix elem ents and the expression yields precisely the $n n$-com ponent of right hand side of equation (1, $\left.\overline{1} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$. Sim ilarly to solutions of the LVN L equations, the trace of is preserved ( $\operatorname{rr}$ _( t ) $=0$ at all tim es) and the positivity of any diagonalm atrix elem ents $n n$ is ensured, $\operatorname{since} n n=n \quad n \quad 0$.

On the other hand, the dissipative part of the rate expressions for the non diagonal com ponents of the density $m$ atrix has the form
$\left.h_{n m}=\frac{h}{A}^{X}{ }_{[n \rightarrow n}+{ }_{n m}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =f i[; H] L^{y} L \quad L^{y} L g_{n m}+\left(L B^{\underline{m} \underline{n}} L^{Y}\right)_{\underline{\underline{m}} \underline{m}}+\left(L B{ }^{n m} L^{y}\right)_{\underline{n n}} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the $B$ tensor is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{r s}^{m n}=\frac{1}{A}^{X} \quad r \quad s \quad(m)^{1} n \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contains term $s$ that include the inverse quantities $\left({ }_{n}\right)^{1}$ and cannot be expressed in term sof the density $m$ atrix. This was already notioed in [G]. In the case that $m=n$ we obtain $B \frac{m}{r s}=r$. T hus, while the square root $m$ ethod is self consistent, it is not fiully equivalent $w$ ith Lindblad equations. (A detailed com parison $w$ ith di erent $m$ ethods is given in section 5.) The di erence can be clearly form ulated by inserting the follow ing expression in the curly brackets in equation ( two term s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r n} \frac{2}{A}^{X} \quad r s_{s m}=f 2 L^{y} L_{g_{h m}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the curly brackets becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { fi[ ; } \mathrm{H}]+2 \mathrm{~L}^{y} \quad L \quad L^{y} L \quad L^{Y} L g_{n m} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the Lindblad expression, while the di erence can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{A}^{X} \quad{ }_{s}\left(D_{r s}^{m n}+D_{s r}^{n m}\right)_{s} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the de nition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{r s}^{m n}=(n)^{1} L_{n s m} L_{n r} \quad L_{s n} L_{r m} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The square-root $m$ ethod leads therefore (in general) to di erent solutions than the Lindblad equation. W e repeat that equation (1- 1 (1) is not used to obtain the $\circ$-diagonal density $m$ atrix term $s$; rather, these are calculated directly from the square-root factors.

### 2.2.1 Two com ponents

To com plete this subsection we w rite dow $n$ explicitly the equations as they should appear for a tw o com ponent system to be used in the follow ing sections (but suppressing the system index ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1}=i\left(H_{11} 1+H_{12} \quad\right. \text { ) } \\
& \mathrm{L}_{21} \mathrm{~J}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{21} \mathrm{~L}_{22} \quad 2+\mathrm{L}_{12} \frac{2}{1}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{11} 1+\mathrm{L}_{12} \quad 2\right)  \tag{22}\\
& h_{2}=i\left(\begin{array}{lll}
21 & 1
\end{array}+\mathrm{H}_{22} 2\right) \\
& L_{12} L_{11} 1 \quad \mathrm{~L}_{12} \mathrm{~J}_{2}+\mathrm{L}_{21} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
L_{21} & 1
\end{array}+\mathrm{L}_{22} \quad 2\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case that the Lindblad operator $L$ does not have diagonal elem ents those equations can be further sim pli ed:

### 2.3 The uniqueness of the evolution equation

A coording to section 1 is not unique. can be replaced by an equally plausible representation ${ }^{0}$ such that a unitary $m$ atrix $U$ connects the tw O :

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(t)={ }_{n}^{0}(t) U \quad(t) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the derivative of equation ([2]) we obtain:

$$
\ldots(t)=\underset{-n}{0}(t) U \quad(t)+\begin{align*}
& 0  \tag{27}\\
& n
\end{align*}(t) U-\quad(t)
$$

This can also be w ritten as:

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{U} \quad(\mathrm{t})\left[\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{28}\\
\mathrm{n}
\end{array} \text { (t)+}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{0} \text { (t)U-} \text { (t) }\left(\mathrm{U}^{1} \text { (t)) }\right]\right.
$$

Introducing the expression from equation (2, $\bar{\sigma}_{\text {G }}$ ) and equation ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{8}\right)$ into equation (1] $\overline{1}$ (1) we obtain the result:
$M$ ultiplying by the inverse $m$ atrix of $U$ and rearranging term $s$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h{ }_{n}^{0}(t) U(t)\left(U^{1}(t)\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

W e see that the third term in the right hand side of equation $\left[\overline{3} \overline{q_{1}}\right)$ (corresponding to the second term in the right hand side of equation (1) (1) is to som e degree arbitrary. Furtherm ore, if the $m$ atrix $U(t)$ is chosen to be tim e dependent a fourth term can be added w thout e ecting the results. H ow should one choose a m atrix $U(t)$ ? Som e recom $m$ endations can be given:

1. C hoose a m atrix $U(t)$ to sim plify equation ( $3 \overline{\mathrm{G}})$.
2. Choose a m atrix $U(t)$ to avoid singularity condition that $m$ ay occur in the third term in the right hand side of equation ( 3 Z ). M ore about the problem of singular initial condition in the next subsection.
3. Choose a matrix $U(t)$ in order to reduce the di erence betw een the Lindblad form alism and the factorization $m$ atrix form alism. This can be done in term $s$ of the di erence tensor $D{ }_{r s}^{m} n$ de ned in equation
 we obtain the result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{r s}^{m n}[\mathbb{U}]=\left(\underline{n}^{-}\right)^{1} L_{\underline{n} s} m-L_{\underline{n} r} \quad L_{s n} L_{r m} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\underline{n}
\end{array} U^{-(t)}\right)^{1} L_{\underline{n} s}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
m
\end{array} \quad-(t)\right) L_{\underline{n} r} \quad L_{s n} L_{r m} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

H ence the $U(t)$ can be chosen in order to obtain $s m$ aller $D{ }_{r s}^{m}$ n tensors.
For the exam ples which were worked out in this paper it was found that choosing the $m$ atrix $U(t)$ as the identity $m$ atrix produced both sim ple equations and also good agreem ent w th the Lindblad theory. H ow ever, for $m$ ore involved cases a di erent choige of the $m$ atrix $U(t) m$ ay be needed.

### 2.4 In itial conditions

T he presence of the inverse in the dissipative part of the evolution equations causes the in itial conditions (IC ) to be of great im portance. This is evident, because the rate expressions are singular whenever a com ponent probability is zero. W e can sim plify the treatm ent by expressing the density $m$ atrix at
$t=0$ in a diagonal form . This can alw ays be done by a suitable transfor$m$ ation of the basic states. The cases of pure and $m$ ixed system $s$ are then easily distinguished. T he physical IC are, quite generally, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{n}(0) j=\frac{q}{p_{n}^{0}} \text { for } n=1 ;::: ; M \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and zero for the rest of the states. H ere the in itial probabilities $p_{n}^{0}$

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}=1
$$

by nom alization of the density $m$ atrix. In a pure state

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=1 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in a m ixed state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}>1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have already noted that a zero value of a -variable at $t=0$ (or at any later tim e), causes a singularity on the right hand side of equation (1] and equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{4})$. This is overoom e by starting the integration at a tim e anbitrarily close to and slightly above $t=0$, sub ject to the IC 's given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t!0_{0+}}(t)=e^{i} ; \underline{q} \overline{p_{n}^{0}}+\frac{r}{\left.\left.2 L_{\underline{n} s} \overline{p_{s}^{0} p_{r}^{0}} e^{i( } ; s \quad ; r\right) L_{\underline{n} r} t\right]} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the phases in taken to be real, but w ith no other restriction on them. $W$ hen $p_{n}^{0} \in 0$, the second term in the above equation can be ignored. B ut if the rst term is zero, the follow ing term is essential. T he correction to this term can be shown to be, for $s m a l l t$, of the order $\ddagger f t$. It can be checked that the above choice of IC ensures that the singularity on the right hand side of the evolution equation for any com ponent is exactly cancelled by the singular tim e derivative on the left hand side. (For a historical rem ark, singularities of the solutions in the density $m$ atrix at $t=0$ were noted early on, in section 4 of [ $[24]$. $T$ he fast "slippage" or initially irregular behavior of solutions w as recognized in [2]

W e now assume that all solutions of the rate equations for the 's correspond to a physical system in the ensem ble. T he ensem ble averaging has therefore to be carried out for all possible choices of the in itial phases.

### 2.4.1 Short tim e behavior

Let us now calculate the tim e developm ent of the density $m$ atrix at short tim es, for an initially pure state, in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
11(t=0)=1 ; \quad 1 \mathrm{n}(0)=0 ; \mathrm{nm}(0)=0 ; \text { for } \mathrm{n} \in 1 \not \mathrm{~m} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{0}}=1 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}}=0 \quad \mathrm{n} \neq 1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case $n \in 1$ equation $[\overline{3} \overline{6}$ ) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t!0_{0+}}(t)=e^{i} ; n \frac{q}{2 L_{\underline{n} 1} L_{\underline{n} 1} t}=e^{i} ; n L_{n} 1 \frac{p}{j} \overline{2 t} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density $m$ atrix has the follow ing short tim e behavior:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{t!} 0 n_{n m}=\lim _{t!} \frac{1}{A}^{X} \quad n(t) m \text { (t) } \quad g_{n m}(t) \frac{1}{A}{ }^{X} e^{i( } ; n \quad ; m\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{n m}(t)=J_{m 1} \stackrel{p}{j} \overline{2 t} \text { for } n=1 ; m>1 \\
& =2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \text { 执 } \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { J for } \mathrm{n} \in 1 \in \mathrm{~m} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

The last case includes diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents for the initially absent states, $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}>1$, but here the phase factor averaging over (the system labels) in equation (4) 411 ) gives unity. These $m$ atrix elem ents are thus seen to give rise to a non-zero value $w$ thin a tim e of the order of $t \quad L^{2}$. D ue to the dissipative $m$ echanism (represented by L), the system will therefore becom em ixed beyond this tim e, so that $\operatorname{Tr}{ }^{2}<1$. This pure-to-m ixed transition can also be obtained from calculation of the tim e derivatives of the square root factors. (W ith a purely H am ittonian interaction (H), an initially pure state for this H am iltonian w ill persist to be a pure state at later tim es.)

3 D ecoherence in M olecular System s

### 3.1 D riven Level C rossing

The changes in the density $m$ atrix involving two states during fast level crossing by a m olecular system s were considered in ["̄ including also dissipative forces. It w as later rem anked in $\overline{\underline{L}}_{2} \bar{Z}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ that the "square root operator m ethod of $\overline{\text { End }}]$ ", represents an altemative way of show ing how a dissipative term in the Ham iltonian can cause decoherence. We now form ulate the evolution equations in this square-root schem e and solve the resulting equations. The solutions for the diagonal term in the density $m$ atrix, show $n$ graphically below in Figure 1 and sim ilar to those shown in [ill the features appearing in the earlier treatm ents $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[ } & \underline{G}, \\ , 1 & \overline{2} \bar{T},]\end{array}\right]$ based on entirely di erent schem es of solution.

The level crossing ( $L$ C ) system is characterized by a H am iltonian ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{LC}}$ ) and a non -Herm itian dissipative ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{LC}}$ ) part written for the two states in the $m$ atrix form $s$, as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{L C}=\underset{J}{\frac{1}{2} G \cos (!t)} \quad \underset{\frac{1}{2} G \cos (!t)}{ }  \tag{43}\\
& L_{L C}=\begin{array}{lll}
p-\quad 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

$G$ denotes the strength of the periodic driving eld; the coe cients $J$ and of the tunnelling and of the dissipative $m$ echanism $s$ are denoted by sam e sym bols as in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[-\bar{G}]}\end{array}\right]$ and $\left[\overline{2}_{-1}^{2}\right]$.

For a M arkovian process the rate equations are now wrilten for the two elem ents $(1 ; 2)$ in the density $m$ atrix as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ih }_{-1}=\frac{1}{2} G \cos (!t)_{1}+J_{2} \quad i\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & j_{2} f=_{1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \text { ih }_{-2}=\frac{1}{2} G \cos (!t)_{2}+J_{1} \quad i\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & j_{1} f=_{2}
\end{array}\right] \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have already called attention to the divisors on the extrem e right, characteristic of the factorization form alism for dissipative processes, equa-
 $m$ otion, by construction.

W e next solve two equations for the ${ }^{0}$ s, sub ject to the pure state initial conditions $j_{1} f=1,20$ at $t=0$. Then from the solutions we form the diagonalm atrix elem ents of the density $m$ atrix and nally show the results in gure 1. For a beginning, the three lower draw ings in the gure arose
from calculations that were carried out for a density $m$ atrix referring to an "ensem ble" consisting of one system . Thism eans that one has $A=1$ and the sum $m$ ation over in equation ( $\overline{3}$, $)$ is trivial. T he quantity changed betw een the upper three draw ings is the strength of the dissipative term. As this increases, a transition takes place from the slow to the fast decoherence regim e. W e have already noted the rem arkable sim ilarity of the results obtained here by the factorization $m$ ethod to those in $F$ igure 1 in [2] $\overline{\text { G }}]$ and in $\left[\overline{2}_{-1} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$, except that for strong dissipation their draw ings show only tiny oscillations, unlike our third draw ing from below. In this, draw $n$ for $T=20$, after a very steep initial dow nw ard slope (not visible in the gure), both diagonal density $m$ atrix elem ents oscillate about the asym ptotic value of $\frac{1}{2}$.

In this trivial "ensemble" of $A=1$ the $O_{p}$-diagonal matrix elem ent is simply given by $j_{12} \dot{j}=j_{1} j^{j}=j_{1} \dot{j}_{2} j=1$ from the sam e quantity calculated and shown in $F$ ig. 2 of $\underline{\left.\underline{2} \bar{Z}_{1}\right] . ~ T ~ h e i r ~ c u r v e ~}$ initially oscillates around 0 , and at longer tim es settles down to this value, whereas our result tends asym ptotically to $\frac{1}{2}$. W e do not regard this as a serious discrepancy, since in a realistic $m$ odel, in which $A$ is large, the phase decoherence willm ake 12 vanish, as argued in section 2.3.

W e have also calculated the density $m$ atrices $w$ hen there is a non-trivial sum $m$ ation, nam ely when in itial conditions are ${ }_{1}(0)=e^{\frac{i}{2}} ; e^{\frac{2 i}{2}} ; e^{\frac{3 i}{2}} ; e^{\frac{4 i}{2}}$ for $=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4$ respectively and $2(0) \quad 0$, (so that $A=4$ ), instead of having only ${ }_{1}(0)=1$ (and $A=1$ ), as before. Physically, this represents a certain type of averaging over the environm ent. (In m ore com plex system s , one would require an averaging in the density $m$ atrix over a much larger number of states, such that A ! 1 .) The resultant density tends now to an alm ost perfectly straight line. T his is sim ilar to the graphs show n in both $\left[\overline{2}_{2} \bar{W}_{1}\right]$ and in $\left[\underline{L}_{1} \overline{T_{1}}\right]$ for strong dissipation and elucidates the practical consequence ofsystem -averaging in the density m atrix. W e have also w orked out the $A=4$ case for the low er tw o graphs in Figure 1. For these graphs, there w as hardly any peraeptible change from those shown.

For the physicalm eaning of these results in the context ofm olecular level crossing, we point to $[\underline{2} \overline{6} \overline{6}]$ and references therein, while for a m ore general application to decoherence we refer to $\left[\overline{2}_{1}^{2} \overline{1}\right]$.
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Figure 1: Evolution of a diagonal elem ent of the density matrix 11. T he strength of dissipation increases upw ards in the draw ings. For all draw ings the param eters in equation ( $\overline{4} \bar{T}_{1}$ ) $)$ are chosen as $G=25, \mathrm{~J}=3,!=1 . \mathrm{T}$ hen, in the bottom draw ing (the dissipative param eter) $=0, A$ (the ensemble size) $=1$; in the second draw ing (from below ): $=0: 05, \mathrm{~A}=1$; in the third draw ing: $=20, A=1$; in top draw ing $=20$ (as in previous, but) $A=4$. $T$ he initial dow nw ard slope in the top tw o draw ings is too steep to be visible and so are tiny uctuations in the horizontal part of the top draw ing. N ote the sm oothing e ect of the ensem ble averaging, evident from a com parison betw een the top two draw ings.

### 3.2 D escent A cross a C onical Intersection

W hereas in the previous case the decoherence m echanism was activated by a non $H$ erm itean dissipative force, whose strength w as designated by , there are situations where dissipation is intrinsic in the dynam ics. A situation of this type takes place in the excited state dynam ics of a m olecular system m oving on a potentialenergy surface as it approaches a conical intersection (ci). ( $T$ his is a frequently encountered natural process, and it has been claim ed that it is basic to $m$ any naturally occurring life processes in which an electronic state is changed, e.g. photosynthesis [2"]. ci have been previously studied by num erous researchers, [2] ${ }^{2}$ ] and other papers in that volum e [ $\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{1} 1]$.$) W e now brie y give the underlying form al background, with a view$ of applying to it our form alism. A schem atic illustration of a ci is show $n$ in $F$ igure 2.

W e rst recall the basic result of von $N$ eum ann and $W$ igner $[\underline{1} \overline{1} 1$,$] that the$ crossings (points of degeneracy) of potential energy surfaces (= electronic energies as functions of the nuclear coordinates) for a polyatom ic molecule can be generally described in term $s$ of just two nuclear displacem ent param eters. (Follow ing the notation of $[\overline{3} \overline{2}]$, we shall here denote these by $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{c}$, the form er being a "tuning" and the latter the "coupling" m ode coordinate. They are actually two linearly independent combinations of the nuclear coordinates.) The two surfaces (belonging to the two locally adjacent "adiabatic" electronic states) separate from each other near the intersection point in a $m$ anner that is linear in both coordinates: hence the nam e "conical intersection" (ci). They di er from energy-surface intersections that happen during $m$ olecular or atom ic collisions, in that these $m$ ay (approxim ately) be treated in a single-dim ensional coordinate space, usually the separation betw een the colliding-reacting species. T he probability of change of the electronic state in such collisions is given by the LandauZener form ula $[3]=[3]$ section. A $n$ analogous sem i-classical form ula for the passage across a ciw as
 a simpli ed form the expression of [ $\left.\overline{3} \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ for the asym ptotic probability of transfer betw een the tw o diabatic states in a 2 -dim ensionalspace ( $x ; y$ ) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{2!1}^{\text {diab }}=\exp \left[\frac{K\left(v_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+v_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2 \mathrm{~h}}\right] \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form ula $v_{x}$ and $v_{y}$ are com ponents of the starting velocities on the upper ("2") adiabatic surface, 1 is the closest distance in the passage to the
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F igure 2: Schem atic draw ings of adiabatic potential surfaces exhibiting conical intersection (ci) $T$ he upper and $m$ iddle draw ings show the upper and low er sheets as function of the tw o displacem ent coordinates $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{c}$ introduced in the text. T he low er draw ing superim poses these tw o and show $s$ the locally conical nature of the intersection at $Q_{1}=4$ (expressed in arbitrary units, typically about -0.1 nm ) and $Q_{c}=0$. The system starts its tra jectory on the upper surface as a wave packet centered at (say) ( $Q_{1}=10 ; Q_{c}=2$ ) (show $n$ by a blob, where it belongs alm ost entirely to the upper electronic state) and descends tow ards and beyond the ci, oscillating to and forth and (partly) losing its upper electronic state character.
intersection point and $K$ is the strength of the electron-nucleus dynam ic coupling.

The tim e dependent Schrodinger equation for the dynam ics of a ci was
 which contain references to earlier works. T hese show that the initialwave packet (which is excited in an upper electronic state) has a de nite, non-zero asym ptotic probability to end up in the other electronic state as the wave packet traverses the ci. T he dynam ics bears therefore the irreversib ility halm ark of a dissipative $m$ echanism, though such $m$ echanism $w$ as now here introduced in this $m$ odel (unlike that in the previous section). The irreversibility w as explained in [了"Z dynam ics in the $Q_{1} ; Q_{c}$-coordinates. T he anharm onicity shows up in the cusps on the energy surfaces at the degeneracy point.

### 3.2.1 A sim pli ed form alism for ci

It is custom ary to represent the two bare or "diabatic" electronic states (those that are independent of the nuclear coordinates) by the colum n vectors

$$
(" 1 " ; " 2 ")=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0  \tag{47}\\
0 & ;
\end{array}\right)
$$

 there is only one tuning $m$ ode $Q_{1}$, as well as the coupling $m$ ode $Q_{c}$, we have for the two coordinates the follow ing harm onic oscillator H am iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{nuc}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}!_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{@^{2}}{\varrho_{1}^{2}}+\mathrm{Q}_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{h}!_{\mathrm{c}}}{2}\left(\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}}+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $h!_{1}$ and $h!_{c}$ are the quanta of vibrational energies. In the doublet representation the nuclear H am iltonian is w rilten as a scalar or, equivalently, as $H_{n u c}$ tim es the $2 x 2$ unit $m$ atrix $I$.
$T$ he nuclear-electronic interaction part can then be w ritten w ithout loss of generality as

$$
H_{\text {el nuc }}=\begin{array}{cc}
E+K_{1} Q_{1} & K_{c} Q_{c}  \tag{49}\\
K_{c} Q_{c} & E \quad K_{1} Q_{1}
\end{array}
$$

where 2 E is the verticalenergy o set betw een the tw o electronic states and $\mathrm{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ are the coupling strengths for the two coordinates.
$T$ he Schrodinger equation to be solved for the tim e dependent vector (Q $1 ; Q_{c} ; t$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i h \frac{\left(Q_{1} ; Q_{c} ; t\right)}{@ t}=\left(H_{n u c l}+H_{e l n u c}\right)\left(Q_{1} ; Q_{c} ; t\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

sub ject to the initial condition at $t=0$, that $\left(Q_{1 ;} Q_{c} ; 0\right)$ is a wave packet (say, of gaussian form $s$ in the two coordinates $Q_{1} ; Q_{c}$ ) on the upper potential surface, centered at som e position well above the intersection point $\left(Q_{1}^{c i}=\frac{E}{K_{1}} ; Q_{C}=0\right) . T$ his type of $w$ ave packet can be conveniently form ed by short duration (com pared to the inverse frequencies $!_{1}{ }^{1}$ and $!{ }_{c}{ }^{1}$ ) optical excitation from som e low er lying electronic state. T he wave packet will then descend tow ards the cipoint and beyond. Throughout its passage the initially excited (diabatic) electronic state (say, "1") w ill lose am plitude in favor of the other state "2". T his loss w illbe especially intense near the degeneracy point. U ltim ately, for "long" tim es of the order ofpicoseconds, the probability w ill tend to som e asym ptotic lim it (say, $\mathrm{P}_{1 ; 1}$ ) betw een 0 and 1. ( $F$ igures $1-4$, in $[\overline{3} \bar{Z} \overline{1}]$. A ctually, in any individual run it w ill slightly oscillate about the asym ptotic probability.) This value depends on the param eters of the system and also, presum ably to a lesser extent, on the initial conditions.

### 3.2.2 A Lindblad-type form alism for ci

In this section we present a reform ulation of the previous tw o state-tw om ode system, such that the irreversible nature of the dynam ics is built into the $m$ odel (rather than em erges from the solution). W e do this by constructing a phenom enological dissipative term in the square-root form alism, based on the $H$ erm tean form alism of the previous subsection. This procedure $w$ ill then lead to $L$ indblad-type term $s$ in the $m$ aster equations. (Let it be em phasized that we are not deriving the $L$ indblad term from a m icroscopic process, but are form ulating the $m$ icroscopic equations in an irreversible setting for the partial, electronic degrees of freedom, having elim inated the nuclear coordinates.)

In the $m$ otion of the $w$ ave packet on an adiabatic surface the electronic state am plitudes $w$ ill depend on the position of the nuclear coordinates. In the square root form alism, and relying on A ppendix A :1, we w rite this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=i\left(Q_{1} ; Q_{c}\right) \quad(i=1 ; 2) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e invert these relations to $m$ ake the coordinates som efunctions of the
's and then linearize the functional relation to be com posed of a classical
(non-dissipative, real) part and a "dissipative" part which willgive rise to a Lindblad type term . Speci cally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{r}(t)=Q_{r}^{\text {class }}(t)+Q_{r}^{L}(t) \quad(r=1 ; c) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for either coordinate and then

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}^{\text {class }}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{Q}_{1}^{0} \cos \left(!_{1} \mathrm{t}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}}{!_{1}} \sin \left(!_{1} \mathrm{t}\right)  \tag{53}\\
& \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {class }}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}}{!_{\mathrm{c}}} \sin \left(!_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{t}\right) \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hese relations are appropriate for a classicalm otion (for which the in itial values of the coordinates are ( $Q_{1}^{0} ; 0$ ) and of the velocities are ( $\mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) ); they should provide a fair enough description of the center of a gaussian wave packet. O ther choices for the in itial values lead to sim ilar results for the asym ptotic behavior. (But take note that the level crossing probability is zero when the in itial velocities are zero or when the classical pathw ay goes across the ci. This is evident from $N$ ikitin's expression for a ci level crossing

$T$ he expressions for the $L$ indblad com ponent of the coordinates are $m$ ore com plicated and their rationale will be apparent only later. T hey are

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}^{L}(t)=\frac{i}{K_{1}} \frac{\left[\left(1 \quad P_{1 ; 1}\right) j_{1} \jmath^{?} P_{1 ; 1} j_{2} \jmath^{?}\right]\left(21+l_{2}\right)}{j_{1} \jmath_{1}^{2}+j_{2} \jmath_{21}^{2}} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere $P_{1 ; 1}$ and $P_{2 ; 1}$ are asym ptotic weights or probabilities for the two (adiabatic) com ponents. The tim e dependence of the -factors is suppressed in these formula, for brevity. Because of the irreversibility of the process, one can assum e the functions $Q_{1} ; Q_{c}$ to be com plex.

Substitution of the nuclear coordinates, as given in equation (5̄21) equation $\left(\overline{5} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$, into equation $(\overline{4} \overline{9})$ and the use of this -dependent H am ir-
 yield after som e sim pli cation the following Lindblad form of the rate of equations for the 's

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { in }_{-1}= & {\left[E+K_{1}\left(Q_{1}^{0} \cos \left(!_{1} t\right)+\frac{\mathrm{v}_{1}}{!_{1}} \sin \left(!{ }_{1} t\right)\right)\right]_{1}+K_{c} \frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}}{!_{\mathrm{c}}} \sin \left(!_{\mathrm{C}} t\right)_{2} } \\
& i \frac{\left(\left(1 P_{1 ; 1}\right) j_{1} \stackrel{\jmath}{\mathrm{~J}} \mathrm{P}_{1 ; 1} \dot{j}_{2} \stackrel{\jmath}{\mathrm{~J}}\right)}{1} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { in }_{-2}=\left[\quad E \quad K_{1}\left(Q_{1}^{0} \cos \left(!{ }_{1} t\right)+\frac{V_{1}}{!_{1}} \sin \left(!{ }_{1} t\right)\right)\right]_{2}+K_{c} \frac{V_{c}}{!_{c}} \sin \left(!{ }_{c} t\right)_{1} \\
& +i \frac{\left(\left(1 \quad P_{1 ; 1}\right) j_{1} \jmath^{2} \quad P_{1 ; 1} j_{2} \tilde{J}^{2}\right)}{2} \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

The Lindblad operator for this set of equations is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{C I}=p-\quad p \frac{0}{1 P_{1 ; 1}} \quad{ }^{p} \overline{P_{1 ; 1}}! \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen at $t=0$ the "1"-state is excited optically, the initial conditions are $1_{1}(0)=1 ; 2(0)=0$ for all , one obtains asym ptotically, after som e shorttim e oscillations and rise of the occupation probability of the non-excited "2"-state,

$$
\begin{equation*}
11(1)=j_{1}(1) \jmath=P_{1 ; 1} ; \quad 22(1)=j_{2}(1) \jmath=P_{2 ; 1}=1 \quad P_{1 ; 1} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thebehavior of 11 as function oftim e is show $n$ in gure $\underset{1}{1}$. . T he param eters $\mathrm{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}} ; ;!_{1} ;!_{\mathrm{c}}$ are all functions of the param eters in the m olecular H am iltonian (equation (4) of excitation, $\mathrm{P}_{1 ; 1}$ depends on the $m$ olecular H am iltonian and, as show n in section V I of $[\underline{Z} \bar{Z} \bar{q}]$, approxim ately by the potential surfaces.

It is proper to characterize the present com puted case as belonging to the $m$ oderately strong dissipative case, since the oscillations about the asym $p$ totic value begin after a tim e of the order of a vibrational period. A closer look at the curves show s that the com puted $m$ ean asym ptotic line lies above the "input" asym ptotic weight $P_{1 ; 1}=25$ by about 0.03 . T his is an interesting e ect, likely to be due to oscillations in the asym ptotic probabillty which arise from the H am iltonian part of the rate equation $\left(5 \overline{7}_{1}\right)$. (W e call attention to the discussion in section V I of $[\underline{3} \overline{2}]$, conceming the discrepancy of about the sam e m agnitude and sense betw een their classical and com puted asym ptotic probabilities.) Trivially, the other diagonalm atrix ( 22 ) tends to a value that is lower than its asym ptotic value, since the two diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents add up to unity. The uctuations have a roughly uniform period of $2=!_{1}$.

The low er curve is for a single run ( $A=1$ ), the upper curve (vertically displaced for clarity by unity) is after "ensemble averaging" over four runs $(A=4)$, which di er from each other by having di erent phases ( $1_{1}=0$, $=2, \quad 3=2$, for $=1 ;:: 4$ ) in the initial ${ }_{1}(0)$. As seen in Figure 3, no qualitative di erence is observed betw een the curves for a single system


Figure 3: Tim e developm ent of the weight of the diabatic-state, $j_{1}(t) f$, follow ing excitation into state "1" and during a passage across a conical intersection w ith a lower lying state ("2"). Lower curve: a single run. Upper curve (displaced upward by 1): ensemble averaging over four runs ( $\mathrm{A}=4$, explained in text). The param eters in equation ( $\overline{5} \overline{7} \overline{7}_{1}$ ) are ( w th frequencies and energies $=\mathrm{h}$ in inverse fem tosecond units, lengths in units of zero point $m$ otion am plitudes, typically .05 nanom eters ): Energy 0 set, $E=0: 2$, C oupling constants: $K_{1}=0: 06 ; K_{c}=0: 05$. M ode frequencies: $!_{1}=!_{c}=0: 4$. In itial velocities: $v_{1}=0: 24 ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}=0: 32$. D issipative strength, $=0: 1 ;$ A sym ptotic $w$ eight: $P_{1 ; 1}=0: 25$


Figure 4: C om putations in a m odel for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}^{+}$(for a single run, the low er curve, and with an " $A=4$ ensemble averaging" as in the previous gure, the upper curve displaced by unity for clarity). The param eters of Table I (A) and Figure 1 (a) of [ $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2}]$ are used w ith added values for in itial velocities and asym ptotic probabilities (chosen som ew hat arbitrarily), as follows: $\mathrm{E}=0: 95$, C oupling constants: $\mathrm{K}_{1}=0: 19 ; \mathrm{K}_{2}=0: 27 ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 5$, m ode frequencies: $!_{1}=0: 36 ;!_{2}=0: 21 ;!_{c}=0: 11$, velocities: $v_{1}=: 6 ; \mathrm{v}_{2}=$ $0 ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}=: 8, \quad=: 1$ (in units as in the previous gure); asym ptotic weight: $P_{1 ; 1}=0: 25$
and those for the ensem ble averaged density $m$ atrix, show ing that the single system uctuations due to the periodic H am iltonian term are not averaged out by the dissipative term .

This last nding changes radically when we apply our form alism to a $m$ ore com plex case, that w as proposed in $[\underline{3} 2 \overline{2}]$ as representative of a $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}^{+}$ m olecular system. There are now two tuning modes (designated by the subscripts 1 and 2), as well as di erent frequencies for the three m odes. T he results for the diagonal density $m$ atrix are show $n$ in $F$ igure 4.

The uctuations are here considerably m ore congested than in the preceding case, where there was only a single mode-frequency. O ne sees in $F$ igure 3 that the dissipative $m$ echanism does not com pletely elim inate the uctuations. H ow ever, additional com putations (not shown here) indicate that increasing the dissipation strength does further slightly sm oothen the intrinsic uctuations. O $n$ the other hand, in the ensem ble averaged probabilities (the upper curve in $F$ igure 4) the uctuations due to the quasi-periodic H am iltonian are alm ost com pletely washed out. W e nally note that the com puted $m$ ean asym ptotic value still lies about 0.03 above the nom inal
asym ptotic w eight.

## 4 Som e M odels of D issipative $P$ rocesses

## 4.1 $N$ on -M arkovian processes w ith $m$ em ory

Follow ing a work by D iosi et al. $[4 \overline{4} \bar{q}]$, we consider the situation in which the Lindblad operator $L$ is dependent on tim e and hence has the faculty of $m$ em ory. $W$ e consider the sim ple case of a tw o-level system, in which:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w} z \\
\mathrm{~L} & =\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})} \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Themem ory function is given by:
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{G}_{1}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}} 2{\mathrm{GG} 1 \mathrm{I}^{2}}^{2}}{2} \tanh \left[\left[_{2}^{1} \mathrm{t} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{G}} \quad 2 \mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{I}^{2}+\operatorname{arctanh}\left[\mathrm{q} \frac{\mathrm{G}_{1}}{\mathrm{G}_{1}^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{G}_{1} 1^{2}}\right]\right]\right.$
The above $H$ am iltonian and Lindblad operator lead to the equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{iw}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})_{1} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{iv}_{2}+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})_{1} \frac{\mathrm{j}_{1} \mathrm{~J}}{2} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

The tim e evolution of the expectation value of the $P$ aulim atrices is plotted in $F$ igure 's in the case that the above di erential equations are solved for the pure state intial conditions ${ }_{1}(0)=p \frac{3}{10} ; 2(0)=p \frac{1}{10}$ independent of
. The obtained results are very sim ilar to those of [ "in $^{-1}$ ].

### 4.2 Probabilities for a tw o-state system coupled to a boson ic reservoir

This problem was recently studied, alongside w ith other instances also involving $m$ em ory, in $\left[4 \overline{4} \overline{\overline{1}},{ }^{\prime}, \overline{4} \overline{2}\right]$. The case that we num erically solve using the square-root form alism possesses an exact solution [shown in Eq. 57 of $\left.[4] i_{1}^{\prime 1}\right]$, w ith which our com puted values agree perfectly (Figure 7).


Figure 5: Expectation values of Pauli matrioes for a two - level system undergoing non $-M$ arkovian quantum di usion ( $N M Q D$ ) for param eters as in $F$ ig. $2(\mathrm{~b})$ of $[\underline{4} \bar{\square}] \quad(\mathrm{w}=1 ; L=1 ; G=1 ; \mathrm{W} 1=1 ; G 1=G ; a=0)$. Full line $:=<\quad$ z $>$; short, broken lines $:=<\quad \mathrm{x}>$; long-broken lines $:=<\quad \mathrm{y}>$

In them odela degenerate tw o-state system, $11>$ and $\mathfrak{2}>$ (w hose H am itonian is taken as zero), is coupled to a reservoir ofbosons through a tim edependent H am iltonian interaction term. T his is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {int }}=B \text { (t) } 2><1 j+B \quad \text { (t) } j><2 j \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where B (t) is a reservoir excitation operator $\mathbb{E q}$.(52) in [ $[411]]$. The essential quantity in the dynam ics is the spectral density given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(!)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 G_{1}^{2}}{\left.(!\quad!0)^{2}+G_{1}^{2}\right)} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is the interaction strength, $G_{1}$ is the spectral width and ! 0 the characteristic frequency in the reservoir. T he analytical solution for the probability of the state occupation $\overrightarrow{2}>$ that is excited at $t=0$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
22(t)=e^{G_{1} t}\left[\cosh \frac{t}{2}+\frac{G_{1}}{\sinh } \frac{t}{2}\right] \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{G}_{1}^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{l}^{2} \mathrm{G}_{1}}$.
In our form alism, we solve num erically the $m$ aster equations for ${ }_{1}(\mathrm{t})$, 2 ( $t$ ) and their com plex conjugates, em ploying a Lindblad operator $L=$ $f(t) \vec{k}><1 j$ w th the $m$ em ory function $f(t)$ given in equation ( $\overline{6} \bar{Z})$. In itial


Figure 6: P robability of excited state occupancy $22(t)=j_{2}(t) f$ against norm alized time (! t). The param eters are $l=1, G_{1}=0: 2$. Results are com puted by the square root $m$ ethod. T hey overlap com pletely the analytic expression.
conditions are the sam e for alfi. T he result is shown in Figure 6, which com pletely overlaps the exact result from equation ( ${ }^{(6)}$
 It is not clear to us what is the $m$ inim um runs that is required to obtain agreem ent, but the expediency of the square root $m$ ethod is evident.

In a further gure we also show the expectation value of the three angular $m$ om entum $m$ atrioes taken $w$ th respect to the state am plitudes ( $1_{1}(t)$; 2 ( $t$ )). (F igure 7). They are sim ilar to the draw ings in Figure 6, but w th m ore persistent oscillations.

## 5 C om parison w ith O ther M ethods.

In this section we brie y consider sim ilarities and di erenœes betw een the "square-root $m$ ethod" and som $e$ other $m$ ethods, already $m$ entioned in the Introductory section.
(I) $N$ um ber of independent rate equations.

At any instance of tim e the density $m$ atrix, whether by its de nition in equation ( $\overline{-1}$ ) or by environm ent tracing, is com posed of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{A}$ independent (com plex) num bers ( $N$ being the nom inalnum ber of states and A either the num ber of system $s$ in the ensemble or the total num ber of states in the

[^1]

Figure 7: A verages of $P$ auli, angular $m$ om entum $m$ atrices for the solutions against reduced tim e. < $\quad$ z : full lines; $<~ x>: l o n g$ broken lines; $<~ x>:$ dashed lines. P aram eters are as in Fig. 6
environm ent). Thus, in principle, one needs just $N \times A$ rate equations to obtain the density. The square root $m$ ethod postulates $N \times A$ equations. H ow ever, the roles of $N$ and $A$ are di erent and we nd that frequently one can get good approxim ations (to the im portant diagonal term s) by keeping $A=1$ or another $s m$ all num ber. (e.g., $A=4$ ). (N on-diagonal term $\mathrm{s} m$ ay need larger A -values)
$R$ ate equation $m$ ethods based on the density $m$ atrix directly work in principle w ith $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N}+1)$ equations (cf. $\left.\overline{[ }_{\underline{2}} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$ and references), which num ber is for high values of N m uch larger than that needed in the square root $m$ ethod. T he frequently used $M$ onte $C$ arlo or "unravelling" $m$ ethod solves $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{M}$ equations, where M brings in the stochasticity of the environm ent and can be very large , e.g. 100 in [ $\overline{9}, \overline{1}], 4096$ in [
(II) A N ew Form of the R ate Equations.
$T$ he square-root $m$ ethod is form ally unlike previously em ployed $m$ ethods, such as those surveyed in [1] $\left.1_{1}^{1}\right]$, and it can be reasonably expected not to be reducible to them. To support this claim, we call attention to the inverse "square-root factors" 1 or $\left(^{+}\right)^{1}$ appearing in equation $\left(\overline{1} \overline{Z_{1}}\right)$ and other equations that follow on. (T hese factors possess an apparent sim ilarity w ith the "continued fraction $m$ ethod" described in [1] $\left.{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$ and recently applied in [4iAll, but are essentially di erent.) The presence of these invense factors nds expression also in a novel form of the initial conditions, introduced in section 2.1.
(III) In itial tim e random ization

A s has been show $n$ in section 2.1, the environm ent induced random ization a ects the -variables only at the starting tim $e$, not during the tim $e$ evolution. This approach was stressed in two early papers by van H ove
 our form ulation the initially random ized $-s$ lead, in tum, to the ensem ble averaging over various phases in a natural way. Thus the square-root procedure appears to be self consistent.

In the unravelling $m$ ethod, random ization takes place throughout the tim e developm ent (nam ely, by the action of random forces on the system). It seem sto us that the ensem ble averaging as done in the square root $m$ ethod (nam ely, by introducing random ness only at the beginning) is closer to the $m$ eaning originally ascribed to the density $m$ atrix. A s asserted at various places in [2] is strictly speaking incom patible w ith the Schrodinger equation". (We understand that van $H$ ove refers here to the form of the Schrodinger equation as a rst order tim e-dependent di erential equation, so that the system 's
evolution is fully determ ined by the in itial conditions.)
(IV) Form of the Lindblad operator

A s em phasized at severalpoints in this paper, the choice of the $L$ indblad operator $L$ in the square root $m$ ethod is determ ined by the requirem ent that the evolution equation for the 's should lead to rate equations for the diagonal term $s$ in the density $m$ atrix, that are form ally identical to those in Lindblad's method [T̄T]. (W e assum e that this choice is unique.) $T$ hus, we do not derive the $L$-operator from an atom ic $m$ odel for the system and its environm ent. In this sense, the square root $m$ ethod is "phenom enological".

H ow ever, $m$ ost density $m$ atrix $m$ ethods are such, too. A s an exam ple, we call attention to the cases studied in the classic paper of $[\underline{\underline{9}}]$. In som e w orks, such as $[\overline{4} \overline{9}]$ on quantum di usion, a Lindblad operator $w$ as derived from a kinem atic $m$ odel, but only through $m$ aking som e approxim ations. W e have already noted som e dissension, $[\overline{1} \overline{5}]-[\overline{2} \overline{2}]$, regarding the em ploym ent of the Lindblad form alism, especially for in itial conditions that are non-separable betw een the system and its environm ent $[\underline{1} \overline{9}, \overline{1}, \overline{2} 2 \overline{2}]$ ].
(V) P ure-to-m ixed state transition

It has been show $n$ in section 2.1 that in the presence of dissipative processes, a pure state becom es a m ixed state in a tim e of order $L^{2}$. This is also the standard result in other form alism $s$, obtained theoretically, e.g., in

(VI) O -diagonal term $s$ in the density $m$ atrix.

For dissipative system $s$ here lies probably the $m$ ost signi cant discrepancy betw een the square-root and density $m$ atrix $m$ ethods. (In a H am iltonian system, the two form alism s are equivalent, as already noted.) Our de ning equations foro -diagonalelem ents di er from $L$ indblad's equations, and therefore so do (in general) the calculated values of the diagonal term s . In a particular case, "level crossing" treated in section 3.1, we have pointed out the discrepancy involved in the square-root procedure. Even in this case, the calculated behavior of the diagonal elem ent was very sim ilar to that obtained by another $m$ ethod in $\left[\bar{p}_{\underline{1}} \bar{T}_{1}\right.$.

It seem $s$ that the source of the discrepancy is in the di erent approxim ations $m$ ade to arrive at a dynam icalsem i-group from a H am iltonian system, either by truncation of the tim e dom ain orby the handling ofphase decoherences $\left[\underline{Z}_{1}\right]$. So farwe have not found any result that would tend to invalidate the present approach, or even to lay bare any shortcom ings. In view of the questions surrounding the positivity of linear maps with initially entangled states $\left[\underline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$, the circum stance that our rate equations for non-diagonal term $s$ di er from Lindblad's should not invalidate the square root $m$ ethod.

## 6 C onclusion

The "square-root" $m$ ethod (previously used to $m$ inim ize the action in a general tim e dependent process [i] [1] ) has now been applied to several situations w here decoherence is expressible by a Lindblad form alism.

T w o irreversible m olecular processes (a driven Landau-Zenerprocess and the descent to equilibrium across a conical intersection) were form ulated by the square root form alism. Further ilhustrations of the $m$ ethod were quantum di usion and $m$ em ory processes. W hen com pared w th results given in the literature and obtained using di erent procedures, the present $m$ ethod has led in all cases to good agreem ent and at the cost of very m uch less e ort. The success of the $m$ ethod would seem to justify future uses of the form alism, as for $Q$ uantum B row nian $M$ otion, already w idely studied in the literature $w$ th density $m$ atrix $m$ ethods, [4] question of therm alization $[\overline{5} \overline{4}, \bar{\prime}, \overline{5} \bar{s} 1]$.

At the sam etim e, since the basic equations in the square root $m$ ethod and other approaches appear to be di erent in som e respects, there rem ains a theoretical challenge to explain the agreem ent betw een the results. A nother task is the justi cation of the basic rate-equation expressions in the form alism by starting from m icroscopic m odels.

## A ppendix

## A The square-root factored density $m$ atrix.

## A . $1 \quad M$ atrix form ulation of square root factors

$T$ hough the density $m$ atrix in the square root factor form has already appeared before in $\left[\overline{2}_{[ }\right]$and $\left[\overline{3}_{1}^{-1}\right]$, we introduce it here follow ing textbook descriptions of von $N$ eum ann's m atrix m ethod ([ wave function describing the quantum state of the 'th system in the ensemble ( $=1 ; 2:: \mathrm{A})$. It can be expanded in term s of an orthonom al set of eigenstates $u_{n}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=X_{n=1}^{X^{N}} n_{n} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere the size of the orthonorm alset (in principle, in nite) is taken as having a nite size N. From the norm alization of all the wave functions we
obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=h \quad j \quad i=h_{n=1}^{\mathrm{XN}^{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{XN}^{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}} i \\
& =X_{n=1 m=1}^{X^{N} \quad X^{N}} \quad n \quad h u_{n} j u_{m} i=X_{n=1 m=1}^{X^{N}} \quad n \quad m \quad n m=X_{n=1}^{X_{n}^{N}} j_{n} \jmath^{\rho} \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

A s derived in [i] ] and other texts, the density $m$ atrix arises from the ensem ble average over all system $s$ in the sense that its nm com ponent is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n m=\frac{1}{A}^{X^{A}}=1 \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 's are rectangular matrioes of size $N \times A$, distinct for each (or system in the ensem ble) and the 's are con jugate $m$ atrices of size A x N . $C$ alculating the trace of the $m$ atrix we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}=x_{n=1}^{X^{N}} n n=x_{n=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{1}{A} X^{X^{A}} \quad n \quad n=\frac{1}{A}_{=1}^{X^{A}} 1=1 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which we have used equation ( 6 Tq $)$.

A . 2 Properties of the density $m$ atrix
A .2.1 $T$ he density $m$ atrix eigenvalues
Let us derive som e of density m atrix well known properties. First is herm itian, since $y=$, as can be clearly seen from the de nition of given in equation (3ָ) . Thus, all eigenvalues i of are realwhich is a property of all herm itian $m$ atrioes.

Second, the sum of all the eigenvalues is unity. This can easily be shown as follow s. There exists a basis of eigenvectors in which is diagonal: in this basis $w i l l$ be denoted by $D$. The diagonal elem ents of $D$ are just the eigenvalues $n$. Furtherm ore, given in an arbitrary basis there exists a unitary $m$ atrix $U$ which transform $s$ to $D$ according to the follow ing equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D=U U^{Y}\right) \quad=U^{Y}{ }_{D} U \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of equation (

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\operatorname{Tr}=\operatorname{Tr}^{Y}{ }_{D} U=\operatorname{Tr} D_{D=1}^{X^{N}}{ }_{n} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Third, we will show that all $n$ are positive (or zero). To prove this take an arbitrary vector $X$ one can see that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{Y} X=X_{n=1 m=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{1}{A}_{=1}^{X^{A}} X_{n n m} X_{m} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

In which the de nition of given by equation ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) was utilized. N ext de ne $R={ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{N}=1} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}$, and obtain the result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{y} X=\frac{1}{A} X_{=1}^{X^{A}} \mathcal{R}^{2} \quad 0 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we w rite $X$ in the eigenvector basis $V_{i}$ as $X={ }^{P}{ }_{i} C_{i} V_{i}$ which yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{y} X={ }_{i}^{X} C_{i} V_{i}^{y}{ }_{j}^{X} C_{j} V_{j}={ }_{i}^{X} C_{i} V_{i}^{y}{ }_{j}^{X}{ }_{j} C_{j} V_{j} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

but since $V_{i}^{Y} \quad Y=\quad$ ij we have:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{X} & \mathbb{C}_{i} \xrightarrow{2}=X^{Y} \mathrm{X}
\end{array} 0
$$

and, since the $X_{i}$ Js are arbitrary, we obtain i 0 for every i. From the positivity of $i$ and equation ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$, we reach the $m$ ain conclusion of this section, that is:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
0 & i & 1 & 8 i \tag{77}
\end{array}
$$

A .2.2 Pure and mixed states
From equation (픈) and equation (프그) one can reach the follow ing classi cation of density $m$ atrices: either there exists a special index $s$ such as $s=1$ while for all $i \leqslant s{ }_{i}=0$ or that $i<1$ for all indiges $i$. The rst case is denoted as a "pure" state while the second is denoted as a "m ixed" state.

For the pure state we have in the diagonal basis $\underset{D}{2}=D \quad D=D$ and also in an arbitrary basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}=\quad=U^{Y}{ }_{D} U^{Y} U_{D} U=U^{Y} D \quad D U=U^{Y}{ }_{D} U= \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a necessary and su cient condition for a density $m$ atrix to describe a pure state. O ne obviously obtains also the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}^{2}=\operatorname{Tr}=1 \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $m$ ixed state we have

In sum $m$ ary, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}^{2} 1 \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the equality sign is appropriate only in the pure case.
As an example for a pure state take an ensemble for which $A=1$ and $\mathrm{nm}=\mathrm{n} \mathrm{m}$ in this case:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =X_{n}^{n} j_{n} \jmath^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{J}}=1 \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

A nother obvious case of a pure state is an ensem blew ith an arbitrary num ber A of wave functions, but in whidh all the wave functions are equal. Since $n \mathrm{~nm}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}}^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{A}}^{=1} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{m}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}$ the sam e argum ent as above can be applied.

It rem ains to show that in case that not all the $w$ ave function are equal (in a non trivial sense) we obtain $\operatorname{Tr}{ }^{2}<1$.

A .2.3 $M$ ixed states
Let us calculate the trace of the square density $m$ atrix:

By de ning the "state averaged density function" by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=X_{n} n n^{x} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}^{2}={\frac{1}{A^{2}}}_{=1=1}^{X^{A}} X^{A} \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{A}} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we need to show that for all and , M 1 we shallbe particular interested in the case that the inequality is de nite that is M $<1$.

Let us look at tw o arbitrary com plex vectors: F;B and a com plex scalar $=j \dot{j}^{i}$. Obviously

$$
{ }_{i}^{x} F_{i}+B_{i} \jmath^{2} \quad 0
$$

H ow ever


N ow denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
a={ }_{i}^{X} B_{i} \mathcal{J}^{2} ; c={ }_{i}^{X} F_{i} \jmath^{2} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are both real and positive quantities, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 b=e^{i}{ }_{i}^{X} B_{i} F_{i}+e^{i}{ }_{i}^{X} B_{i} F_{i} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a real quantity. A nd we arrive at the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a j \jmath+2 b j j+c \quad 0 \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, as function of $j \dot{j}$ this is an equation of a parabola which has all its values above the $j$ jaxis (except when the equally holds in this case the parabola touches the axis in a single point), it follows that the discrim inant of this equation is either negative or zero, the latter in the case that the parabola touches the axis in a single point. T hus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2} \quad a c \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow assum e that the length of the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$ and the B vectors is unity. T hat is $a=c=1$. Further $m$ ore denote $X={ }_{i} B_{i} F_{i}=j \dot{j}^{i^{\prime}} . T$ hus $b$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{X} \operatorname{jcos}\left(+{ }^{\prime}\right) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

The follow ing inequallity is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { स } j \frac{1}{\cos ^{2}(+')} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

By choosing the arbitrary phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
=, \mathrm{n} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j}^{X} \quad B_{i} F_{i} \mathcal{j}=\dot{X} \mathcal{j} \quad 1 \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

this being a special case of the C auchy-Schwarz inequality. Next let us discuss the case in which the equality sign holds in the above equation, that is the case in which $\mathrm{b}^{2}=\mathrm{ac}$ and the equation $\mathrm{aj} \mathrm{f}^{2}+2 \mathrm{bj} j+\mathrm{c}=0$ is satis ed for a single value of $j$ j. This can be traced to equation ( $\overline{8} \overline{6} \overline{6})$ for which we have

$$
{ }_{i}^{x} F_{i}+B_{i} \mathcal{J}=0
$$

but this is only possible for $F_{i}=B_{i}$. H ow ever, since the length of both vectors is 1 we arrive at the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=e^{i} B_{i}=e^{i(+)} B_{i} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus in order for the equality to hold the vectors $F$ and $B$ must be the sam e up to a "global" phase. We can now show that M 1 and in particular M < 1, if and are di erent in a "non-trivial" way (a global phase change does not count as a di erence). This is done by identifying $\mathrm{F}=; \mathrm{B}=$. Thus, according to equation ( $\left.\overline{9} \overline{\mathrm{~S}})^{-}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M} \quad \mathcal{j}=\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n} \quad 1 \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m} \quad j={\underset{\mathrm{j}}{\mathrm{n}}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{j}<1 \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

unless ${ }_{n}$ and ${ }_{n}$ are the sam e up to a global phase. This yields, barring a unique case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}^{2}={\frac{1}{A^{2}}}_{=1=1}^{X^{A}} X^{A} \quad \mathrm{M} \quad \jmath<1 \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus a m ixed state is really $m$ ixed in the sense, that is should contain at least two w ave functions which are di erent in a non trivial way.
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[^0]:    $\left.{ }^{1}{ }_{11}+{ }_{22}=1\right) \quad j_{2} j=P \overline{{ }_{22}}=p \overline{1}$

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}$ his m eans that the ensem ble contains only a single system

