

# Topologically induced condensation of Cooper pairs in Josephson networks

P.Silvestrini<sup>1</sup>, R. Russo<sup>1,2</sup>, V. Corato<sup>1</sup>, B. Ruggiero<sup>2,1</sup>, C. Granata<sup>2</sup>, S. Rombetto<sup>2,#</sup>, M. Russo<sup>2</sup>, M. Cirillo<sup>3</sup>, A. Trombettoni<sup>4</sup> and P. Sodano<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Dipartimento d'Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Seconda Università di Napoli, Aversa, Italy*

<sup>2</sup> *Istituto di Cibernetica "E. Caianiello" del CNR, Pozzuoli, Italy*

<sup>3</sup> *Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", 00173 Roma, Italy*

<sup>4</sup> *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy and Sezione I.N.F.N.-Perugia*

PACS: 74.50.+r, 03.67Lx, 85.25.Cp

Abstract:

We investigate the properties of Josephson junction networks with inhomogeneous architecture. The networks are shaped as "square comb" planar lattices on which Josephson junctions link superconducting islands arranged in the plane to generate the pertinent topology. Compared to the behaviour of reference linear arrays, the temperature dependencies of the Josephson currents of the branches of the network exhibit relevant differences. The experimental results are consistent with theories treating Cooper pairs of electrons like non-interacting hopping bosons and predicting, as a consequence of a topological Bose-Einstein condensation, variations of pair population along the branches.

---

<sup>#</sup> Also Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

Macroscopic Quantum Coherence occurs in a variety of physical systems, including Bose-Einstein condensation of atomic gases (1-3), super fluid Helium (4,5), superconductors (6,7), solid-state mesoscopic systems (8-12) as well as in systems where cold atoms are confined in optical lattices (13-15). Josephson Junction Networks are by now the prototype of solid-state systems, which may be used for the engineering of macroscopically quantum coherent states (16, 17). The recent proposal (18, 19) that new quantum macroscopic states may be induced by a pertinent choice of the network topology opens an avenue for the development of new class of quantum devices with non trivial topologies (20-22) of potential relevance for quantum computation (23-25).

In a Josephson network, topology affects the macroscopic quantum behaviour since it may induce new and relevant energy scales (19), which, in a Fermi system, lead to remarkable deviations (26) from the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory. Superconductivity in the BCS theory (6) relies on the attractive, phonon-mediated interaction, between two electrons. This mechanism allows for the stability of the ground state in which two electrons with opposite momentum are bound in pairs (Cooper pairs, CP) with total zero-spin. Although CPs differ from exact bosons, it is generally assumed that their integer spin value could generate statistical effects peculiar to Bose-Einstein particles; even if direct experimental evidence of such effects to our knowledge does not exist, the conjecture has been vaguely accepted for more than half a century. The main purpose of this paper is just to provide direct experimental evidence of a physically relevant situations where CPs exhibit the statistical effects predicted for bosons.

Our work has been motivated by the analysis presented in Refs. 18 and 19, where, using a phenomenological description of CPs like non-interacting bosons, one writes the tight binding Hamiltonian for bosons on a network as  $H = -d \sum_{ij} A_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j$ , with  $d$  a positive hopping parameter and  $a_i$  ( $a_i^\dagger$ ) the operator annihilating (creating) a boson at site  $i$ . The adjacency matrix elements  $A_{ij}$  ( $A_{ij}=1$  if the grains labelled by  $i$  and  $j$  are connected by a Josephson junction, and zero otherwise) describe the topology of the inhomogeneous network (18,19). Even when the network has the shape of a simple graph (18,19), hopping bosons cannot be regarded as free particles and may spatially condense in a ground state whose shape depends on the graph topology. For a square comb graph network (see Fig.1) the lowest energy eigenstate has been sketched in the left part of Fig.1a: it has a maximum (and constant with respect to  $i$ ) value along the backbone direction and decreases exponentially along the fingers (see Fig. 1). Thus, due to spatial boson condensation in this ground state (18), the number of CPs on the superconducting islands belonging to the fingers should decrease with the distance from the backbone (19); since the Josephson currents are directly proportional to the number of Cooper pairs on neighbour sites, one should be able to observe increased critical currents of the junctions situated along the backbone direction and reduced critical currents on finger sites far away from it. In the following we shall present experimental results that are in agreement with the findings of the phenomenological model developed in (19).

In order to prove the existence of a topologically induced CPs condensation, we have fabricated Josephson Junction Networks (JJN) with square comb topology on the basis of a reliable niobium trilayer technology (27).

The individual Josephson junctions of the arrays have a  $5 \times 5$  or  $4 \times 4 \mu\text{m}^2$  area and a critical current densities ranging from 30 to 50  $\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ , resulting in a relatively large capacitance ( $C \sim 2\text{pF}$ ) and Josephson critical current  $I_c \sim 10\mu\text{A}$  (see Fig.2a). In this limit one can safely neglect the charging energy  $E_c$  with respect to the Josephson energy  $E_j$ :  $E_c = e^2/2C$ ,

$E_j = \frac{\hbar}{2e} I_c$  and  $E_c/E_j < 0.001$  (being  $e$  the electron charge and  $\hbar$  the Plank constant). In Fig.

1b we show an optical image of one fabricated sample; in the photo we can see the square-comb network on which we have evidenced the localization of the Backbone Array (BBA) and the Central Finger Array (CFA). Beside the comb network, we have fabricated reference linear arrays called RBA (Reference Backbone Array) and RFA (Reference Finger Array) localized very close to the comb structure; the arrays are composed of superconducting islands connected to each other in series through Josephson junctions. The comparison between the critical currents in the reference arrays and the ones observed in the backbone and finger arrays of the square comb is a very relevant tool for our investigation.

The Current-Voltage (I-V) characteristics were recorded by supplying a bias current to all the junctions of the arrays by means of contact pads located at the ends of the individual arrays (BBA, RFA etc.). For the data acquisition we used an experimental set-up filtered from the external noise, as tested in similar measurements of Macroscopic Quantum Tunnelling in single junctions (28,29). The critical current of the reference arrays show a good uniformity as well as a modulation to zero in a magnetic field; these behaviours (7) represent an indication in favour of the good quality of our fabrication process.

In Fig. 2 we show the I-V characteristics of the BBA of the network compared with the reference array, RBA, at two different temperatures. Since the junctions are current-biased in series, the voltage readout across the whole array shall be the sum of the individual junction voltages. We find convenient normalizing the voltage readout of the arrays to the total number of junctions, evidencing the average value for a single junction. The switches of the first 10 junctions in series are enlarged in the inset of Fig.2a; the inset shows how the number of junctions in the array can be determined by counting the number of voltage jumps contributing to the gap voltage sum of the series connection. It is worth nothing that each voltage jump is roughly 2.7 mV, corresponding to the gap value of a single junction. Fig. 2a shows that the Josephson critical current of the BBA is systematically higher than the reference one. At T= 4.2 K, the critical current difference between BBA and RBA is of the order of few percents, and, thus, it is not a significant difference; it could be ascribed to fabrication technology margins and related uncertainties.

In Fig. 2b we show, that, at a temperature of 1.2K, the critical current of the RBB array increases as expected from the BCS-based Ambegaokar-Baratoff temperature dependence of the Josephson currents (7), whereas the BBA critical current raises significantly above this expected BCS behaviour . From the data shown in Fig. 2b we can quantitatively estimate the differences of CP population between BBA and RBA. Since the Josephson current is proportional, through the tunnelling probability (7), to the number of Cooper pairs on neighbour sites, one can easily evaluate the fraction F of extra Cooper pairs on

BBA as  $F = \frac{\langle I_c^{BBA} \rangle - \langle I_c^{RBA} \rangle}{\langle I_c^{RBA} \rangle}$ , resulting in about 15% at T=1.2 K. Here

$\langle I_c^{BBA} \rangle$  ( $\langle I_c^{RBA} \rangle$ ) is the average value of the critical current of BBA (RBA). This

estimate, repeated for all our samples, provides an average result for the condensation fraction  $F$  of 15% with a 5% scatter around the average. Although the scatter around the average can be ascribed to uncertainty of fabrication and measurements, a difference of average critical current between BBA and RBA of 15% can only be attributed to the network topology.

In Fig.3 we display the I-V curves for the CFA of the network and its linear reference array RFA. In Fig. 3a we observe (similarly to what we see in Fig. 2a) that the Josephson current of CFA exhibits no significant differences with the RFA at  $T=4.2$  K. In Fig. 3b, instead, we show that, at  $T=1.2$ K, the critical currents in the CFA branch undergo a non uniform variation. Moreover, we see that, at  $T=1.2$ K, most of the junctions in the CFA have critical current values lower than the RFA: thus, lowering the temperature, leads to opposite behaviours for the BBA and for the CFA. Most of the junctions in the CFA show an increase of the critical current smaller than the expected one from the Ambegaokar-Baratoff equation; in addition, a few junctions have a critical current at 1.2K lower than the lowest recorded at 4.2K. We note that, instead, the RFA follows the Josephson current increase predicted by the BCS theory. The comparison between Fig. 2b and Fig. 3b provides an additional proof of the consistency between our results and the predictions of the simple phenomenological model developed in (19): namely, *the population of Cooper pairs at low temperature increases along the backbone direction but it decreases along the fingers of the comb*. The data that we have presented and discussed are well representative of a behaviour that has been observed in all the ten samples that we measured and characterized.

From the reported evidences we have no doubt left that the observed effects are due to the peculiar topology of the network. However, as a further check of the reliability of our measurements and of the validity of the interpretation we performed an additional experimental test. The goal of this test is to provide a measurement of the BBA and CFA when these are isolated from the remainder of the network; for this purpose, using lithographic techniques, we removed in three samples all the fingers from the square comb network. Re-measuring the Josephson currents in these samples after the cutting process, we find again that the current of the RBA follows the BCS predictions at all temperatures (from 4.2K to 1.2K) but now the same does the isolated BBA whose characteristic remains always identical to the one of the RBA. Thus, the recorded differences of the Josephson critical current of the BBA and RBA disappear when the BBA is isolated from the surrounding network a result very well evidenced in Fig. 4. After the fingers removal, the critical current of both arrays (RBA and BBA) displays no significant differences both at 4.2K and 1.2 K: the different behaviours exhibited by the BBA and RBA shown in Fig. 2b can be solely attributed to the comb topology.

We also applied the same lithographic procedure to the CFA obtaining similar results: measuring the I-V curves of the finger isolated from its surrounding we find that the temperature behaviour is identical to that of the reference array. Our conclusion is then that, for the square comb-shaped JJN, the violation of the Ambegaokar Baratoff relation for the Josephson critical currents on the BBA and CFA of the network may be regarded as the remarkable effect of the low-temperature inhomogeneous distribution of CPs induced (19) in the network by the spatial CPs condensation as predicted in ref. (18). All the data we have now are in striking agreement with the theoretical expectation of a topologically

induced CP condensation producing an enhancement of Cooper pair population (and dc Josephson currents) along the backbone direction and a concomitant reduction along the fingers. The agreement between theory and experiment demonstrates the validity of the basic assumption of the theoretical model, namely that Cooper pairs can be treated statistically as bosons and therefore our experiment validates an important property of the excitations in superconductivity.

We conclude the paper with a remark about the condensation temperature of our system: from the results obtained for all the samples we infer that the condensation occurs for temperatures of the order of 4.2K or slightly below. This estimate comes from the observation that all the current-voltage characteristics of network branches and linear reference arrays are identical (within fabrication margins and measurement uncertainties) at this temperature and remain identical down to about 3.5K.

We thank F. P. Mancini, M. Rasetti, for stimulating and useful discussions, as well as E. Esposito for the help in setting the cryogenic facilities at the “Seconda Università di Napoli”. Work partially supported by M.I.U.R. National Project “JOSNET” (grant n.2004027555)

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P. Silvestrini (e-mail: paolo.silvestrini@unina2.it) and to R. Russo (e-mail: r.russo@cib.na.cnr.it)

## REFERENCES:

1. E. A. Donley, *et al.*, *Nature* **412**, 295 (2001).

2. J.R. Anglin, W. Ketterle, *Nature* **416**, 211 (2002).
3. F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 463 (1999).
4. A.J. Leggett, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, S318 (1999).
5. A.J. Leggett, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76**, 999 (2004).
6. J.R. Schrieffer, (Wesley, Menlo Park, U.S.A., 1988); P.G. De Gennes, (Advanced Books Classics, Westview Press, U.S.A., 1999).
7. A. Barone, G. Paternò, *Physics and Applications of the Josephson Effect* (Wiley, New York, 1982).
8. Chiorescu, Y. Nakamura, C.J.P.M. Harmans, J.E. Mooij, *Science* **299**, 1869 (2003).
9. D. Vion, *et al. Science* **296**, 886 (2002).
10. J. R. Friedman, V. Patel, W. Chen, S. K. Tolpygo, J. E. Lukens, *Nature* **406**, 43 (2000).
11. Y. Yu, S. Han, X. Chu, S.I. Chu, Z. Wang, *Science* **296**, 889 (2002).
12. J. M. Martinis, S. Nam, J. Aumentado, C. Urbina, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **89**, 117901 (2002).
13. C. Bruder, J. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, P. Zoller, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **81**, 3108 (1998).
14. F.S. Cataliotti, *et al. Science* **293**, 843 (2001).
15. M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hansch, I. Bloch, *Nature* **415**, 39 (2002).
16. R. Fazio, H. van der Zant, *Phys. Rep.* **355**, 235 (2001).
17. Y. Makhlin, G. Schoen, A. Shnirman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **73**, 357 (2001).
18. R. Burioni, *et al.*, *Europhys. Lett.* **52**, 251-256 (2000); R. Burioni, D. Cassi, M. Rasetti, P. Sodano, A. Vezzani, *J. Phys. B* , **34** , 4697 (2001).
19. G. Giusiano, F. P. Mancini, P. Sodano, A. Trombettoni, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. B* **18**, 691 (2004); I. Brunelli, G. Giusiano, F. P. Mancini, P. Sodano, A. Trombettoni, *J. Phys. B* **37**, S275 (2004).
20. S. P. Yukon in A. J. Leggett, B. Ruggiero, P. Silvestrini, Ed., *Quantum Computing and Quantum Bits in Mesoscopic Systems* (Kluwer, New York, 2004) pp.149-160.

21. L. B. Ioffe, M.V. Feigel'man, A. Ioselevich, D. Ivanov, M. Troyer and G. Blatter, *Nature* **415**, 503 (2002).
22. L.B. Ioffe, M. V. Feigel'man: *Phys. Rev.B* **66**, 224503, (2002); B. Doucot, M. V. Feigel'man, L.B. Ioffe: *Phys.Rev.Lett*, **90**, 107003, (2003).
23. E. Farhi, *et al. Science* **292**, 472-475 (2001).
24. A. J. Leggett, B. Ruggiero, P. Silvestrini, Ed., *Quantum Computing and Quantum Bits in Mesoscopic Systems* (Kluwer, New York, 2004).
25. M. A. Nielsen, I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K. 2000).
26. P. Sodano, A. Trombettoni, R.Russo, B.Ruggiero, P.Silvestrini, *Realization of Non-Conventional Superconductivity in Josephson Superconducting Networks*, internal report DFUPG 52/11-05, to be submitted to PRL.
27. C. Granata, *et al. Appl. Phys. Lett.* **80**, 2952 (2002). See also reference 9 cited therein.
28. B. Ruggiero, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 134 (1998).
29. V. Corato, *et al. Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 1725021 (2004).

Figure 1 (Color online) a) Schematic drawing of a square comb array. The superconducting islands (full rectangles in the figure) are connected to each other in series through Josephson junctions JJ (crosses in the drawing). A central array named backbone array (BBA) is connected to linear arrays (fingers) perpendicular to it; there are no connections between fingers, except through the BBA. The black curve sketched in the figure represents the qualitative behaviour of the ground eigenstate of the boson hopping Hamiltonian, having the maximum on the backbone. b) Optical microscope pictures of a fabricated samples. The central finger array is referred as CFA. Linear arrays used as references (RBA and RFA) located very close to the junction network are also shown. In the inset the detail of a BBA region is well visible.

Figure 2 (Color online) Current-voltage characteristics for the BBA (black curve) and RBA (red curve) including JJ with area  $A=5 \times 5 \mu\text{m}^2$  a) measured at  $T=4.2$  K and b) measured at  $T=1.2$  K. The x-axis represents the measured voltage divided by the number of junctions (72) in series on the array and therefore refers to the average value for a single junction. The inset of Fig.2a shows a magnification of the critical current switching of the first 10 junctions in the  $5 \times 5 \mu\text{m}^2$  array (in the inset, the voltage is not normalized to the number of junctions).

Figure 3 (Color online) Current-voltage characteristics for the Central Finger array, CFA (black curve), and the reference arrays, RFA (red curve), with junction area  $A= 5 \times 5 \mu\text{m}^2$  a) measured at  $T=4.2$  K and b) measured at  $T=1.2$  K. Voltage is divided by the number of junctions (80) in the array.

Figure 4 (Color online) Current-voltage characteristics of the same chip shown in Fig. 2 after removing the fingers from the comb network. The black curves represent the BBA after it has been isolated from the remainder of the network, and the red curve represents the RBA response during the same measurement run. a) I-V curves measured at  $T= 4.2\text{K}$  and b) at  $T=1.2\text{K}$ . The x-axis represents the measured voltage divided by the number of junctions (72) in series on the array and therefore refers to the average value for a single junction.





FIGURE 1





Figure 2





FIGURE 3





FIGURE 4