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#### Abstract

W e discuss the com bined e ect of $R$ ashba and $D$ resselhaus spin-orbit interactions in polygonal loops form ed by quantum wires, when the electron are in jected in a node and collected at the opposite one. T he conditions that allow perfect localization are found. Furthem ore, we investigate the suppression of the A l'tshuler\{A ronov \{Spivak oscillations that appear, in presence of a magnetic ux, when the electrons are injected and collected at the sam e node. Finally, we point out that a recent realization of a ballistic spin interferom eter can be used to obtain a reliable estim ate of the $m$ agnitude ratio of the two spin-orb it interactions.


}

PACS num bers: 71.70 E j7323Ad

## I. $\mathbb{I N} T R O D U C T I O N$

The $m$ ain goal of the spintronics is the $m$ anipulation of spins in sem ioonductor nanostructures. To this am a large num ber of devices exploiting spin-orbit (SO ) interactions 畒 1 [ has been proposed. O ne of these interactions, known as \R ashba E ect" interface of sem ioonductors lacking of structural inversion sym $m$ etry and its $m$ agnitude can be controlled by an applied gate voltage. The devioes based on this e ect use the quantum interference, due to the spin precession, bew een di erent paths. Am ong the others we
rem ind the ballistic spin interferom eter $\left[\frac{\bar{\theta}}{-1}\right]$, in which a square loop is followed along a selfintersecting trajectory in clockw ise and anticlockw ise way, that, recently, has been used to dem onstrate experim entally the occurence of the spin precession interference phenom enon [9̄1]. In particular, the suppression of the A l'tshuler\{A ronov \{Spivak (A A S) oscillations
 have obtained values in agree w ith theoreticalestim ates and w ith the $W$ eak A ntilocalization A nalysis. B esides it has been show $n$ that the $R$ ashba e ect is also able to induce localization e ects in quantum netw orks 1

The inversion asym $m$ etry in the bulk sem ioonductor gives rise to spin-dependent bulk band structure. At the surface this $S O$ interaction, known as $\backslash D$ resselhaus term " $[1]$ to the $R$ ashba term . Recent $m$ easurem ents based on the spin-galvanic e ect provided the ratio betw een $m$ agnitude of $R$ ashba and $D$ resselhaus term $s$. This ratio can reach values as
 the $D$ resselhaus interaction can have observable e ects.

In a quantum wire the two SO couplings yield together a spin precession depending
 circularly polarized light produces a spin galvanic current w hose intensity exhibits an angular dependence that allow s the $m$ easure of the ratio betw een the $S O$ couplings. Schliem ann et al. ${ }_{[1]}^{1} \bar{\eta}_{1}$ ] have proposed a spin-eld-e ect transistor in which the presence of the two so couplings w ith equalm agnitudes can give polarized currents whose spin does not depend on the $m$ om entum. In such a way the spin-independent scattering processes becom e ine ective in the particular direction in which the spin precession is suppressed.

In this paper we study the interference e ects in one\{dim ensional loops due to spin precession when both the two SO interactions are present. The paper is organized in the follow ing way. In order to be self-contained in the section II we recall a num ber of already
 under the two SO couplings $\left[\underline{2 n}_{2}^{2}\right]$. In the section III we show how the localization in a polygonal loop can be achieved. W e em phasize that for a diam ond square loop w th the
diagonal oriented in [010] crystallogra c direction there is a periodic set of values of the SO strengths that gives perfect localization, i.e. the transm ission coe cient vanishes. R otating the diam ond square loop the localization is lost. W e also show that for particular rhom bic and exagonal loops the transm ission vanishes only at speci c values of the SO strengths. In the section IV we consider what happens when a magnetic ux threads the loop, i.e. we analyse a ballistic spin interferom eter with both the $S O$ couplings. P articular attention w ill be paid to the suppression of the A A S oscillations that appear when the input and the ouput node coincide. $W$ ew ill see how the $S O$ m agnitudes ratio shifts the values of the $R$ ashba SO strength at which the transm ission becom es independent on the magnetic ux. Finally we prove that the $A$ haronov $B$ ohm (AB) oscillatons appearing when we in ject and collect the current in opposite nodes, can be also m odulated varying the two SO couplings. The section V is dedicated to som e concluding rem arks.
II. SPIN PRECESSION DUE TO RASHBAAND DRESSELHAUSCOUPLING
A. The spin-orb it couplings in a two dim ensional electron gas

In order to set the notation let us rem ind the eigenstates and the energy eigenvalues of an electron con ned in the $x \quad z$ plane and sub jected to both $R$ ashba and $D$ resselhaus spin-orbit interaction $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[\overline{1}} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. The ham iltonian takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} p_{x}^{2}+p_{z}^{2}+H_{R}+H_{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{R}=\bar{h}\left({ }_{z} P_{x} \quad x p_{z}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{D}=\frac{-}{h}\left({ }_{z} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{x} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the $R$ ashba and the $D$ resselhaus interactions, respectively. $W$ e have choosen the $x$ axis and $z$ axis in [010] and [100] crystallographic directions, respectively. It is easy to check that

$$
\text { k; } \quad(x ; z)=\exp \left[i\left(k_{x} x+k_{z} z\right)\right] \quad \begin{align*}
& \cos  \tag{4}\\
& \sin
\end{align*}
$$

are eigenfunctions of ( $\overline{1}$ ) i w ith eigenvalues given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} k^{2} \quad q \overline{\left({ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right) k^{2}+4 \quad k_{x} k_{z}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k={ }^{q} \overline{k_{x}^{2}+k_{z}^{2}}\left(k_{x}=k \cos ; k_{z}=k \sin \right)$ is the modulus of the $m$ om entum in $x \quad z$ plane. In eq.( $(\overline{4})$ ) we have de ned

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\arctan \frac{k_{0} \cos +k_{1} \sin \quad k_{0}()}{k_{0} \sin +k_{1} \cos } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
k_{\mathrm{so}}()={ }^{q} \overline{k_{0}^{2}+k_{1}^{2}+2 k_{0} k_{1} \sin 2} \text { with } k_{\theta}=\frac{m}{h^{2}} \text { and } k_{1}=\frac{m}{h^{2}}:
$$

$W$ e note that there are two values of $k$ corresponding to the sam e energy $E=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m}{ }^{2}$ and they are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=k=\frac{q}{2+k_{\mathrm{so}}^{2}} \quad k_{\mathrm{so}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the corresponding energy that can be rew ritten as

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{h}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{k}^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{kk}_{\mathrm{so}}(\mathrm{l}):
$$

The spinors of the two degenerate $m$ odes are orthogonaleach other, being

$$
=\frac{-}{2}+\quad+i
$$

therefore we have

$$
+=\begin{aligned}
& \cos + \\
& \sin +
\end{aligned} \quad \text { and } \quad \sin +\quad:
$$

It is worth to note that with the only R ashba interaction ( $k_{1}=0$ ) we have

$$
+=\frac{-}{2}:
$$

W e rem ind that the Rashba SO interaction can be viewed as a magnetic eld parallel to the plane and orthogonal to the wavevector $\tilde{K}$ that orientates the spin along the direction penpendicular to the wave vector $[1 \overline{1}]$. In particular when the mode ( ) propagates in x direction the spinor $=\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ is in the spin down state along $z$ direction. On the other hand w th only D resselhaus interaction ( $k_{0}=0$ ) we have

$$
+=\frac{-}{4}+\frac{}{2}
$$

and the SO magnetic eld is opposite to $\mathbb{K}$. Now, when the mode ( ) propagates in $x$ direction, $=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{1}$ and the spin is oriented along $x$ axis. $W$ hen both the so interactions are present the ective $S O \mathrm{~m}$ agnetic eld, parallel to the plane, xes the spin direction according to eq.( (बَ-1).
B. Sp in precession in a quantum w ire due to the sp in-orb it interactions

Let us assum e that an electron $m$ oves in a one-dim ensional (1D ) ballistic quantum wire along an arbitrary direction and sub jected to spin-orbit interactions. M oreover, we neglect the subband hybridization, induced by the spin-orbit coupling, assum ing that the quantum $w$ ire is a truly 1D system because the spin \{precession length $=k_{S O}$ is $m$ uch larger than the w ire w idth [1] $\overline{1}]$. W ithin our approxim ation the spin-spletted bands have the orbital parts given by $e^{i k} r$ ( $r$ is the coordinate along direction).

In order to calculate the spin-orbit precession along the w ire direction we proceed in the follow ing way (see also van Veehuizen et al [2̄2̄2]) . First of all we project an arbitrary input spin state in $r=0$

$$
j(0) i=\begin{aligned}
& a \\
& b
\end{aligned}
$$

on

$$
h+j(0) i=a c_{+}+b s_{+} ; h \quad j(0) i=a s+b c_{+} ;
$$

where

$$
c_{+}=\cos +\text { and } s_{+}=\sin +:
$$

Then, after a displacem ent L along direction, the electron will be in the state $j$ ( $L$ )i given by

$$
j(L) i=e^{i k+L}\left(a c_{+}+b s_{+}\right) j+i+e^{i k L}\left(a s+b c_{+}\right) j \quad i:
$$

It easy to show that $j$ (L)i can be written in term $s$ of the spin initial state $j$ (0)i :

$$
j(L) i=\begin{array}{cccc}
C_{+}^{2} e^{i k_{+} L}+s_{+}^{2} e^{i k L} & S_{+} C_{+} e^{i k_{+} L} & e^{j k L} & a  \tag{8}\\
S_{+} C_{+} e^{i k_{+} L} & e^{i k L} & s_{+}^{2} e^{i k+L}+C_{+}^{2} e^{i k L} & b
\end{array}
$$

Introducing the spin operator R so

$$
R_{\text {so }}=\begin{array}{cc}
\cos k_{s o} L \quad i \cos 2+\sin k_{s o} L & i \sin k_{\mathrm{so}} L \sin 2+  \tag{9}\\
i \sin k_{\mathrm{so}} \mathrm{~L} \sin 2+ & \cos k_{\mathrm{so}} \mathrm{~L}+i \cos 2+\sin k_{\mathrm{so}} \mathrm{~L}
\end{array}
$$

the eq. $\left(\frac{1}{-1}\right)$ can be also w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad(L) i=R_{s o} e^{i^{i}} \overline{2^{2}+k_{s o}^{2} L} j \quad \text { (0)i: } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing we assum e that ${ }^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{so}}^{2}()$ because, in the realistic system s , the strength of $\mathrm{SO}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{SO}} \mathrm{T}$, ranges from 0.01 to 0.05 , where is the Ferm iwavevectorit we take the onbital part with $k=\quad k_{o}$, neglecting term $s$ of the second order in $=k_{\text {so }}$ . Then, only the spin operator $\mathrm{R}_{\text {so }}$ depends on the angular position of the w ire while the dynam ical phase factor becom e equal to $\exp (i \operatorname{L}): T$ he $m$ atrix $R_{S O}$, actually, describes a geom etrical rotation in the $\frac{1}{2}$ spin space around the unitary vector

$$
u=(\sin 2+; 0 ; \cos 2+)
$$

of the angle $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{so}} \mathrm{L}:$ In fact $\mathrm{R}_{\text {So }}$ is the representation of the rotation operator $[\overline{\underline{[ } \overline{4} \overline{1}]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\text {so }}=\exp \left(i k_{s o} L \sim \quad u\right)=\cos s_{s} L \quad 1 \quad i \sin k_{0} L \quad \sim \quad u \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 1 is the unit $m$ atrix and $\sim$ is the vector of $P$ aulim atrioes.
III.PERFECT LOCALIZATION DUETO INTERFERENCEEFFECTS IN LOOPS

W e begin considering the square diam ond loop of g .1 b ). The dots $A$ and $B$ represent the input and the output leads, respectively. In the follow ing we neglect backscattering e ects at the contacts assum ing that the electrons enter A w ith probability $1=2$ in the clockw ise path AB and w ith probability $1=2$ in the counterclockw ise path. The transm ission am plitudes $m$ atrix in $B$ is

$$
=t e^{i 2 L}
$$

$w$ here $t$ is the spin transm ission $m$ atrix

$$
t=\begin{aligned}
& t_{1 "} t_{1 \#} \\
& t_{\# "} t_{\# \#}
\end{aligned}
$$

given by the interference betw een the di erent spin precessions along the two paths:

$$
t=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{R}_{\text {so }} \quad \overline{4} \mathrm{R}_{\text {so }} \quad \overline{4}+\mathrm{R}_{\text {so }} \quad \overline{4} \mathrm{R}_{\text {so }} \quad \overline{4} \quad:
$$

It is simple to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{\# \#}=t_{n " \prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\cos 2 k_{0} L+\cos 2 k_{1} L+i \frac{p}{2} \sin 2 k_{0} L\right) \\
& t_{\# \#}=t_{\# "}=\frac{p^{\prime}}{\frac{i}{2}} \sin 2 k_{1} L:
\end{aligned}
$$

$W$ thout the $D$ resselhaus term $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$ the o diagonalelem ents oft $m$ atrix vanish and the spin up and spin down states do not interfere. A ssum ing that the input is an unpolarized statisticalm ixture

$$
\text { in }=\frac{1}{2}\left(\jmath^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{in}^{\prime \prime} j+j \text { jh\# }\right)
$$

the output w ill be described by [-1]

$$
\text { out }=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{"} \text { 1lih1 } j+T_{\#} \text { 2ih2 }\right) \text {; }
$$

where $T_{"}=J_{\mu "} J^{2}+\hbar_{\# n}^{2}$ is the coe cient transm ission for an incom ing spin up state and $\mathrm{T}_{\#}=\mathrm{J}_{\# \#} \beth^{2}+{\mathrm{J}_{\# \#}} \beth^{2}$ is that for an incom ing spin down state. $T$ he spinors in out are
corresponding to input spin up and dow $n$, respectively. $F$ inally the transm ission coe cient of the unpolarized electrons is

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{n}+T_{\#}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~J}^{\prime \prime} J^{2}+\mathrm{J}_{\# \#} J^{2}+\mathrm{J}_{n} J^{2}+\#^{2}=  \tag{12}\\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\cos 2 \mathrm{k}_{0} L+\cos 2 \mathrm{k}_{1} L\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{k}_{0} L+\sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{k}_{1} L\right):
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\cos ^{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~L} \quad 1+\sin ^{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~L} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

that gives perfect localization ( $\mathrm{T}=0$ ) when $\mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{n}=2(\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2 ;::$ :) ! to add gradually the D resselhaus tem, the perfect localization is lost and the zeroes of T becom e transm ission $m$ inim a. Increasing $m$ ore and $m$ ore the $D$ resselhaus $S O$ strength the perfect localization is recovered when $\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~L}==2$ and a new set of $\mathrm{T}=0$ points is obtained corresponding to $\mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{n} \quad(\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::$ : : s show n in g .1 a$)$ a further increase of $\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~L}$ generates a regular lattice of $T$ zeroes in the $\left(k_{0} \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ plane given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{n}=2 \quad(\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2 ;::) ; \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~L}=(\mathrm{m} \quad 1) \quad(\mathrm{m}=1 ; 2 ;::) \\
& \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~L}=(\mathrm{m} \quad 1) \quad(\mathrm{m}=1 ; 2 ;::) ; \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{n}=2(\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2 ;::):
\end{aligned}
$$

This result shows that we can get perfect localization in the diam ond loop of g .1 b w ith both the spin-orbit couplings. On the other hand we stress that the foregoing result depends strictly on the angular position of the loop w ith respect to the crystallographic axes of the substrate. Indeed the geom etry studied is som ehow special. In order to consider a $m$ ore general case we analyse the sam e square loop rotated by an angle' $w$ ith respect to $x$ direction (se the inset of $g 2 a)$ ). The contour plots of $T$ as a function of ${ }^{\prime}$ and of $k_{\varnothing} L$ are given in g 2 for $\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~L}==4$ and $=2$ : For $\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~L}==4$ there is no evidence of $\mathrm{T}=0$
points at any ' : As the $g 2 a$ ) show $s$, only transm ission $m$ inim a are present in this case. W hen $\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~L}==2$ the zeroes of T appear at ${ }^{\prime}==4 ; 3=4$ which corresponds to align the diagonal of the square loop along the $x$ direction ( g .1 b$)$ ). This results con m that we get perfect localization only for the pair ( $k_{0} L, k_{1} L$ ) shown in $\left.g .1 a\right)$ : tilting the square the zeroes transform in m inim a .

In order to $m$ ake our analysis $m$ ore com plete, we considered also the polygonal loops show n in the insets of g.3: a rhom bus and a six sided cell. For the rhom bus

$$
t=\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{\text {so }}(0) R_{\text {so }}()+R_{\text {SO }}() R_{\text {SO }}(0)\right)
$$

while for the exagonal loop we get

$$
t=\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{\text {so }}() R_{\text {so }}(0) R_{\text {so }}(\quad)+R_{S o}(\quad) R_{S O}(0) R_{\text {so }}\right):
$$

From these transm ission $m$ atrioes the transm ission coe cient for unpolarized electrons can be obtained as we have shown in eq.(1̄2̄). A careful analysis shows that speci c values of exist such that, again, we get the perfect localization ( $T=0$ ). For such values the vanishing of the transm ission appears at som e particular pairs of values ( $k_{1} L ; k_{0} L$ ) that are not connected continously w the $k_{1}=0$ zeroes. In table 1 we report the values of $k_{1}=k_{0}$, and $k_{0} L$ corresponding to a perfect localization $T=0$ for unpolarized electrons. The g.3 reports contour plots of the transm ission as a function of and $k_{\theta} L$ at the indicated vaues of $k_{1} L$. The zeroes of $T$ appear as particular points at som e speci $c$ values of the angle and of the spin-orbit strengths. A regular pattem of zeroes is a special feature of the square loop con guration of g .1 a ) and it is lost for other polygonal loop's shapes.

| $\mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{k}_{0}$ |  | $k_{\theta} \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{k}_{0}$ |  | $k_{6} L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:3126 | 2:0885 | 10:4949 | 0.4996 | 0.7896 | 3.0048 |
| $0 \cdot 2655$ | 2:0313 | 13:6636 | 02500 | 0.7879 | 62055 |
| $0: 2126$ | 1:9572 | 19:9739 | 0.1667 | 0.7867 | 9.3715 |
| 0:5015 | $2 \cdot 2464$ | 21:0616 | 02968 | 1.0192 | 102090 |
| 0:3971 | 2:1721 | 21:8987 | 0.3749 | 0.8207 | 122376 |
| $0 \cdot 2986$ | 2:0723 | 22:5772 | 0.1250 | 0.7862 | 12.5262 |
| 0:3620 | 2:1402 | 25:1089 |  |  |  |
| $0: 2754$ | 2:0439 | 25:7455 |  |  |  |
| 0:4130 | 2:1853 | 27:5851 |  |  |  |
|  | a) R hom | bus |  | Exagon | nal loop |

Table 1
IV.REGULATING THEAL'TSCHULER\{ARONOV\{SPIVAK AND THE AHARONOV \{BOHM OSCILLATIONS BY MEANSOF DRESSELHAUS COUPLING

In this section we discuss the e ect of an extemalm agnetic eld B on the transm ission properties of a 1D loop under both R ashba and D resselhaus interactions. W e consider, rst, a rhombic loop where the in jection and the collection nodes coincide with the A node in the inset of g.3a (AA con guration). In other words we are supposing that there are two possible outputs at the collecting point, allow ing the oscillation of the signal 1 his geom etry has recently proposed by K oga et al. $[\bar{i} \bar{i}]$ to obtain a ballistic spin interferom eter where the collecting point is a splitter in both incom ing and outgoing directions. They use the cancelation of the A A S oscillations due to $R$ ashba $S O$, in the square loop show $n$ in the inset of $g .4 a$, to achieve an interferom etric $m$ easure of $S O$ strength $k_{0}$ :Since, as we will show in eq.(15), the transm ission coe cient in presence of a magnetic eld can be written in term s of that at zero $m$ agnetic eld, we start to discuss the latter case. In the AA con guration
the transm ission amplitude $m$ atrix at zero $m$ agnetic eld stem $s$ out from the interference between the clockw ise (CW ) and the counterclockw ise (CCW ) paths as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =t_{0}(x ; ~ ; r) e^{i}{ }^{4 L} \quad 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
R_{\text {so }}(x ; ; r)=\cos x y \quad 1 \quad i \sin x y(\sin 2+\quad x+\cos 2+\quad z)
$$

and

$$
x=k_{0} L ; y(; r)=p^{p} \overline{1+r^{2}+2 r \sin 2}=k_{\mathrm{so}}()=k_{0}
$$

w ith

$$
r=k_{1}=k_{0} \text { and } \quad+=\arctan \frac{\cos +r \sin }{\sin +r \cos s} \quad y
$$

It is w orth to note that the input spin state is conserved and the transm ission coe cient

$$
T_{0}(x ; r y)=\xi_{0}^{2}(x ; r)
$$

is plotted in g.4a for $==2$ and in $9.5 a$ for $==4$ :
In presence of a magnetic ux the $m$ atrix of the transm itted am plitudes is no longer diagonal and becom es:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1^{h}}{2} R_{\text {so }}\left(x ; \quad \text {;r) } \quad \&(x ; \quad+\quad ; r) \quad s(x ; 0 ; r) \quad R \circ(x ; r) e^{i=2}+\right. \\
& R_{\text {So }}(x ; \quad ; r) \quad s B(x ; \quad ; r) \quad \&(x ; r) \quad B(x ; 0 ; r) e^{i=2^{i}} e^{i 4} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1 "} \notin t_{\# \#} \\
& t_{\# n}=t_{\# \#} \notin 0:
\end{aligned}
$$

In eq. $(\overline{1} \overline{-1})$ the rhom bus (w ith area $S$ ) is threaded by a magnetic ux $=B S=0$ where $0=h=2 e$ is the $m$ agnetic ux half quanta. The input spin state is no $m$ ore conserved: the interference betw een CW and CCW paths is able to rotate the spin. The transm ission coe cient for unpolarized electrons can be, then, w ritten as

As already mentioned, the AAS oscillations are given by the term cos whose prefactor contains the zero eld transm ission $T_{0}$, that is all we need to perform the analysis of the $m$ agnetic eld e ects. For a square loop ( $==2$ ) and without the D resselhaus term $\left(k_{1} L=0\right)$ we recover the known result by $K$ oga et al

$$
\mathrm{T}_{0} \mathrm{x} ; \frac{-}{2} ; 0=\cos ^{2} \mathrm{x}+\cos 2 \mathrm{x} \sin ^{2} \mathrm{x}^{2}
$$

that is plotted in g.4a (dashed curve). The perfect localization ( $T=0$ ) is obtained when $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{=}$; at $=$ : Eq $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}(\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{~T}})$ shows that when $\mathrm{T}_{0}=1=2$ the AAS oscillations are suppressed. On the other hand the transm ission $T$ assum es the sam e costant value $1=2$ when $==2 ; 3=2$ and, at these $m$ agnetic uxes, the $m$ odulation of the transm ission due to SO couplings is cancelled. K oga, Sekine and Nitta $\left[\begin{array}{l}\underline{9} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ have realized experim entally a $R$ ashba ballistic spin interferom eter using a netw ork of square loops. They measured the conductivity $s$ varying the $m$ agnetic eld and controlling the strength of the $R$ ashba term by $m$ eans of a gate voltage. A ssum ing that the conductivity, in the ballistic regim $e$, is proportional to the transm ission coe cient $(175)$. They searched the values of x for which $s$ becom es independent on the $m$ agnetic eld $B$ in a range around $B=0$, and from these values they obtained a m easure of $R$ ashba $S O$ strength $k_{0}$.

The zero eld transm ission when also the $D$ resselhaus term is added (for the square loop) is shown in g.4. A lso in this case the AAS oscillation are suppressed at the x ( r ) values forwhich

$$
\mathrm{T}_{0}(\mathrm{x} ; \quad ; \mathrm{r})=\frac{1}{2}:
$$

The g.4b shows the values of $x=k_{0} L$ at which the suppression of AAS is obtained as a function of the ratio between the $D$ resselhaus and $R$ ashba strength, $r$. Increasing $r$ the period of $T_{0}$ decreases from the value at $r=0$ to lower values. The two zeroes of $T$ approach each other and disappear at $x=1: 451$ for $r=0: 414213$. For $k_{1}=k_{0}$; $\mathrm{T}_{0}(\mathrm{x} ;=2 ; 1)=1$ and the transm ission coe cient becom es independent of the spin-orbit coupling. The g.4b show s that for r $<0: 199$ we have four AAS suppression points that becom e two when 0:199 < $r<0: 668$ : The cancellation of AAS oscillations is not possible for greater values ofD resselhaus strength ( $r>0: 668$ ). This analysis show s how relevant the inclusion ofD resselhaus term is in order to describe in a proper way the A A S suppression. Furthem ore our study allows an extension of the ballistic spin interferom etric technique developed by K oga et al. [8]-1; that could be used also to m easure the ratio between the $R$ ashba and $D$ resselhaus term $s$.

To investigate if the AAS suppression depends on the shape of the interferom eter we have taken into account a di erent rhom bus geom etry with $==4$ :The $g .5 \mathrm{a}$ shows the transm ission at zero eld, and the $g .5 \mathrm{~b}$ show s how the suppression points change w ith r . The cancellation of A A S oscillation is still present though the pairs of values at which A AS suppression occurs ( $k_{0} ; k_{1}$ ) change modifying the shape. The supression rem ains also when the loop is rotated w ith respect to the substrate.

To conclude the analysis of the magnetic eld e ects let us consider what happens if the electrons are in jected in the node A and collected in the opposite node B, traversing the square loop (A B con guration). In this case the transm ission am plitudes $m$ atrix is given by

$$
=\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{\text {so }}(x ;=2 ; r) \quad R_{0}(x ; 0 ; r) e^{i=4}+R_{\text {so }}(x ; 0 ; r) \quad R \circ(x ;=2 ; r) e^{i=4}\right) e^{i 2 L}
$$

$$
=\begin{aligned}
& t_{B " "} t_{B " \#} e^{i 2 L}: \\
& t_{B \# "} t_{B \# \#}
\end{aligned}
$$

For unpolarized electrons the transm ission coe cient becom es

$$
T_{B}(x ; r ;)=\frac{1}{2} f_{B} n I^{2}+f_{B \# \#} J^{2}+f_{B n \#} J^{2}+t_{B \#}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}+T_{B}(x ; r ; 0) \quad \frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{1}{2}
$$

T he factor cos ( $=2$ ) describes the A haronov-B ohm oscillations [2" period w ith respect to the AAS oscillations, and, again, his prefactor is xed by the zero eld transm ission $T_{B}(x ; r ; 0)$ that regulates the am plitude of AB oscillation. This quantity is plotted in $9.6 a$. As for the foregoing $A A$ con guration $T_{B}(x ; r ; 0)=1=2$ implies that $T_{B}(x ; r ;)=1=2$ for any and the ratio $r=k_{1}=k_{0}$ can be $x e d$ in such a way that the $A B$ oscillations are cancelled. T herefore, the suppression takes place at $x$ values satisfying the equation

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{AB}}(\mathrm{r}) ; \mathrm{r} ; 0\right)=\frac{1}{2}:
$$

The behaviour of the AB square con guration is shown in g.6.

## V.CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion we have studied the interference e ects due to the R ashba and the D resselhaus $S O$ interactions in quantum w ires form ing polygonal loops. T he spin precession along the sides of the loop gives rise to perfect localization at particular values of the pair $\left(k_{1} L\right.$, $\left.k_{0} L\right)$. For the square diam ond loop we achieve the perfect localization for pairs $\left(k_{0} L ; k_{1} L\right)$ belonging to a square lattice that is sym $m$ etrical $w$ th respect to the two SO strengths $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{1}$. T he periodic pattem of the transm ission zeroes $[\underline{[ } \overline{\bar{\sigma}}]$ obtained w th only the R ashba SO interaction $\left[\overline{[2} \overline{\sigma_{1}^{1}}\right]$, is preserved adding D resselhaus $S O$ coupling. The con guration w ith the square diagonal parallel to $x$ axis (in [010] crystallographic direction) is a special case and when the square is rotated in $x \quad z$ plane the zeroes of $T$ transform in $m$ inim a and the perfect localization is lost. W e have studied other two geom etries: a rhom bus and an
exagonal cell. For both cases pairs ( $k_{0} L ; k_{1} L$ ) exist that give the perfect localization only for a speci c shape (we characterize the shape w th an angular opening ). We have found triplets ( $; k_{\theta} L ; k_{1} L$ ) that give transm ission zeroes. This behaviour suggests that the perfect localization in a circular loop is not easy to predict. In particular, the procedure discussed in ref. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[-1]} \\ \hline-1\end{array}\right]$ in the case ofR ashba coupling, where perfect localization in a circle is obtained as a lim it of a succession of regular polygons, cannot be applied in the sam e way. The perfect localization on a circle w ith both the SO couplings $w$ ill be $m$ atter of future research.

W hen the loop is plunged in an extemalm agnetic eld the transm ission coe cient oscillates $w$ th the $m$ agnetic ux passing through the loop. T he am plitude of this oscillation depends on the strengths of the two SO couplings. In jecting and collecting the electrons at the sam e loop node (the interfering paths are self-itersecting ones), the 1D loop behaves as a ballistic spin interferom eter. W ith this con guration the AA S oscillations appear and, in presence of $R$ ashba $S O$, they are suppressed for som e particular values of $k_{0} L$ [ considered an interferom eter w ith the shape of a rhom bus w ith both the SO interactions. $T$ he suppression appears at $k_{0} L$ values whid depend on the ratio $k_{1}=k_{0}$. So that the interferom etric experim ental technique of $K$ oga et al. $\left[\frac{9}{\overline{9}}\right]$ could be used to $m$ easure not only the $k_{0}$ value but also the ratio $k_{1}=k_{0}$. An other kind of $m$ agnetic $m$ odulation of the transm ission coe cient are the AB oscillations whose period is the double of the A AS oscillations. They appear when the electrons are in jected and collected at opposite nodes of the loop and the interfering paths of equal length surrond the loop area. A gain the presence of the D resselhaus coupling can regulate the am plitude of these oscillations.

O ur results concem a single loop. W hen the loops are arranged in a quantum netw ork the transport properties through the system $m$ ay change as discussed, for the $R$ ashba $S O$ case in Refs. ${ }^{[12}[1][1]$. W e also expect that the use ofm ore realistic boundary conditions could be im portant, for exam ple the nite coupling with leads can give resonances representing quasibound states within the loop.

To conclude we brie y discuss the consequences of higher order winding contributions and backscattering. T he sim plest way to dealw ith this question is to com bine the multiple
scattering against the in jection node and the collector node incoherently ${ }_{\underline{2}-\overline{1}-1 /] \text {. Then the }}$ single scattering event can be characterized with a classical probability. W e identify the probability that the electron leaves a node w ith the transm ission coe cients $T$ that we have calculated before, the classical re ection probability being $R=1 \quad T . T$ he round trips can be arranged into a geom etrical series [2] $[\underline{Z}]$ ] whose sum gives the com posite exit probability $T$

$$
\mathrm{T}=\frac{\mathrm{T}^{2}}{1 \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2 \mathrm{~T}}:
$$

$W$ e note that $T=0 ; 1 \mathrm{implies}$ that also $T=0 ; 1 . T$ he total transm ission $T$ keeps the periodicity in although the dependence on is no $m$ ore simply cos or cos2 as before. Therefore, this assum ption of incoherence predicts that the perfect localization and the suppression of AAS and AB oscillations are not spoiled by incoherent multiple scattering. $T$ he transm ission $T$ becom es independent on at som e particular values $k_{0} L$ in the sam $e$ way as $T$; w th the sam e dependence on the ratio $r=k_{1}=k_{0}$, but the value of $T$ at the suppression low ers from $1=2$ to $1=3$.
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## FIGURECAPTIONS

Fig. 1 Perfect localization in the diam ond square loop. In a) there is the countour plot of the transm ission as a function of $k_{0} L$ and of the ratio $k_{1}=k_{0}$. The part b) show s the zeroes of $T$ in $k_{0} L, k_{1} L$ plane. In $\left.C\right)$ is show $n$ the square $w$ ith the diagonal parallel to $x$ axis forwhich the perfect localization occurs.

Fig 2 C ontour plots of the transm ission coe cient of the rotated square diam ond loop as a function of $k_{0} L$ and of the rotation angle' at the tw o indicated values of $k_{1} L$. The zeroes of $T$ appear only for' $==4 ; 3=4$ for $k_{1} L==2$ :

Fig.3 C ontour plots of the transm ission coe cient of the rhom bus and of the exagonal cell as a function of $k_{0} L$ and of the angular opening , at the indicated values of $k_{1} L$ at which an isolated zero of $T$ appear.

Fig. 4 a) Transm ission coe cient of the square loop $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ at zero m agnetic eld for the square ( $==2$ ) interferom eter (electrons enter an exit in A) versus $k_{\theta} L$ at the indicated values of $r=k_{1}=k_{0}$.
b) P lot of the value $x$ of $k_{0} L$ as a function of $r$ for which $T_{0}=1=2$ an the the the A A S oscillations are suppressed.

Fig. 5 The sam eplots of $g .4$ for a rhom bus with angular opening of $=4$

Fig. 6 The suppression of the A haronov \{B ohm oscillations in the square loop (the electrons enter in A and are collected in B). The solutions $x(r)$ of the equation $T_{A B}(x(r) ; r ; 0)=$ $1=2$ are show $n$ in the part b).
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