The role of strong electronic correlations

in the m etal-to-insulator transition in disordered LiA l_y T i_2 $_y$ O $_4$

F. Fazileh and R. J. Gooding

Department of Physics, Queen's University, Kingston ON K7L 3N6 Canada

W . A . Atkinson Department of Physics, Trent University, Peterborough ON K9J 7B8 Canada

D.C.Johnston

Am es Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Am es IA 50011 (Dated: April 14, 2024)

Abstract

The compound LiA $\frac{1}{y}$ T $\frac{1}{2}$ $_{y}$ O₄ undergoes a metal-to-insulator transition for y_c 0.33. It is known that disorder alone is insu cient to explain this transition; e.g., a quantum site percolation model predicts y_c 0.8. We have included (Hubbard) electronic interactions into a model of this compound, using a real-space Hartree-Fock approach that acheives self consistency at every site, and have found that for a Hubbard energy equal to 1.5 times the non-interacting bandwidth one obtains y_c 0.3. Further, with increasing Hubbard energy we nd an Altshuler-Aronov suppression of the density of states, N() p_{j} is that reduces the density of states at the Ferm interaction to zero at the critical Hubbard interaction. U sing this ratio of correlation to hopping energy one is led to a prediction of the near-neighbour superexchange (J=t 1=3) which is sin ilar to that for the cuprate superconductors. The range of interesting phenom ena of transition m etal system s includes the anom alous norm all state and high-tem perature superconductivity of the quasi-2d cuprates [1], as well as the colossalm agnetoresistance of the m anganites and related system s [2]. The ubiquitous physics believed to be responsible for these novel behaviours is strong electron-electron interactions, a consequence of which is the inapplicability of Landau's theory of ferm i liquids. O ne interesting class of related m aterials are the spinels. There are over 300 transition m etal spinels, out of which only four are superconducting. Further, of these four only one is an oxide, and that oxide, LiT i_2O_4 , has the highest superconducting transition tem perature, T_c [3]: CuRh₂Se₄ ($T_c = 3:49$ K), CuV₂S₄ ($T_c = 4:45$ K), CuRh₂S₄ ($T_c = 4:8$ K), and LiT i_2O_4 ($T_c = 11:3$ K).

Liff $\frac{1}{2}O_4$ is a 1/4- lied d^{0.5} system in which the electronic conduction occurs via direct d dhopping on the T isites, owing to the orientation of the low-lying $\frac{1}{2}$ g orbitals[4, 5]. The T i sublattice corresponds to a corner-sharing tetrahedral lattice (C ST L), which is a fully frustrated three dimensional (3d) structure. It has been suggested by Bednorz and M uller [6] that this system is moderately correlated electronic system, and that the superconductivity m ay be driven by the electronic interactions amongst the delectrons. However, other reasons behind our interest in this system include: (i) A metal-to-insulator transition generated by both disorder and a reduced electronic density caused by dhem ically substituting Li, A l or C r for T i [7, 8] { this transition is the focus of this paper. (ii) If strong electronic correlations are present then the magnetic properties of this material could be interesting, corresponding to a 1/4- lied fully frustrated lattice. (iii) T he isostructural d^{1.5} LiV₂O₄ is believed to be a d-electron heavy ferm ion compound [9], and understanding the sim pler (1/2 an itinerant electron per site in LiT $\frac{1}{2}O_4$ would be highly bene cial.

In the tight-binding approximation, a reasonable Hamiltonian from which to begin a study of disordered LiA $l_yT i_2 \ _yO_4$ is given by

$$H = \begin{matrix} X & X & X \\ & "_{i}n_{i;} & t & (c_{i}^{y}c_{j} + hc;) + U & n_{i"}n_{i\#} \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & i \end{matrix}$$
(1)

where idenotes a Tisite on a CSTL, and c_i the annihilation operator for an electron at site i with spin , and $n_{i;} = c_i^y c_i$. (For reference below, note that the noninteracting bandwidth is 8t.)

As mentioned above, there are a variety of di erent chem ical dopings that lead to a

m etal-to-insulator transition (M II), but here we focus on LiA l_y T i_2 $_y$ O $_4$, which undergoes a M II for y 0.33 [8]. In this system the A \hat{l}^+ ions substitute onto the T i sublattice, and thus in a rst approximation the on-site energies are chosen at random according to the distribution function

$$P(\mathbf{u}_{i}) = (1 \quad \frac{Y}{2}) \quad (\mathbf{u}_{i} \quad \mathbf{u}_{Ti}) + \frac{Y}{2} \quad (\mathbf{u}_{i} \quad \mathbf{u}_{A1}) \quad :$$
(2)

Then, in the expected lim it of " $_{A1}$ " $_{Ti}$ 8t, if one ignores the presence of electron-electron interactions one sees that this system is an excellent representation of quantum site percolation (Q SP). Previously, three of us [10] have analyzed such a Q SP m odel for noninteracting electrons (U = 0) on a C ST L, and (num erically) exactly solved the disordered electron problem (energies and eigenfunctions) for various large lattice sizes, thus determ ining the Ferm i energy, $E_f(y)$, and m obility edge, $E_c(y)$, as a function of A l doping concentrations. W e found that at $y = y_c$ 0.8 the Ferm i energy and m obility edges crosses, and in such a disordered, noninteracting m odel this would correspond to the predicted M IT. The large disagreem ent between the experimental value of y_c 0.33 and our prediction (y 0.8) highlights that in portant physics has been om itted in such an analysis [11].

Indirectly, the above result supports the conjecture that strong electronic correlations are present and play an important role in the physics of LiT i_2O_4 . O fcourse, to provide substance to this idea we need to exam ine the full disordered and interacting electron problem, and to this end we have analyzed the behaviour of such a disordered-H ubbard H am iltonian, viz. we have studied Eq. (1) with the T i conduction path limited by the full Q SP m odel m entioned above, now including the H ubbard interaction term. This is a relatively complicated m odel which contains the interplay between electronic correlations and disorder produced by quantum -site-percolation, and in the following we describe our results of a comprehensive examination of this problem in a real-space self-consistent H artree Fock approximation. Recent results[12] for a disordered H ubbard m odel on a two-dimensional square lattice have lead to the interesting prediction of a novelm etallic phase; here we use the same form alism, but now for a 3d C ST L.

In such a real-space self-consistent Hartree-Fock formulation one replaces the Hubbard interaction term as follows:

where the primed summation indicates that only Tisites (from both the maximally connected, and possibly isolated clusters) are included. Then the interacting Hamiltonian is simplied to

$$H_{eff} = t \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & X & 0 \\ c_{i}^{Y} c_{j} & U & hn_{i''} ihn_{i\#} i \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & + U & [hn_{i''} ic_{i\#}^{Y} c_{i\#} + hn_{i\#} ic_{i''}^{Y} c_{i''}] \\ & & & i \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

where

$$hn_{i} i = \begin{cases} X \\ hij & ij^{2}; \end{cases}$$
(5)

and

$$H_{eff}j \quad i = "j \quad i \tag{6}$$

Here, jii is a single orbital W annier function at site i from the Ti sublattice, j i are the energy eigenstates of the electrons H am iltonian, hn_i i is the average density of electrons with spin at site i, and "_F is the Fermi energy [13].

In order to nd self-consistent solutions for the above H am iltonian, we start with the noninteracting but disordered tight-binding m odel on a CSTL, and obtain the expectation values of the density of electrons on each site using Eq. (5) { this is the distribution of the density of electrons for a non-interacting QSP disordered system for a speci c lling factor of the LiA l_y T i_2 $_y$ O $_4$ system. We then iterate to convergence at every site as U is increased from zero. Note that in the iteration sequence we have added a sm all random uctuation in the on-site densities for each site and each spin, to allow for the system to proceed to a non-param agnetic state if it so chooses.

This procedure has been applied to C ST L, with random Q SP determ ined by y, for system sizes of 5488, 8192, 11664 and 16000 lattice sites with periodic boundary conditions. For each system size the spectrum of eigenvalues and the distribution of the electron density throughout the lattice were calculated for each realization of disorder, for doping concentrations of y = 0.2;0:3;0:4;0:6;0:7; and 0:75, and for Hubbard interaction strengths of U=t= 2;4;6;8;10; and 12. Then, these calculations were repeated for di erent com plexions of disorder for each set of values of y and U=t.



FIG.1: Density of states for a single realization of disorder in the Hubbard+QSP model for the LiA l_y T i_2 $_yO_4$ system with y = 0.3 and U=t = 4;8;12 for a system with 16000 lattice sites. The suppression that is associated with the Altshuler-A ronov square-root singularity is evident, as is the complete suppression (zero density of states at the Ferm i level) at the critical (U_c=t;y_c).

Density of states results are shown in Fig.1 for a doping concentration of y = 0.3 and for three di erent Hubbard interactions of U = t = 4;8;12, for system s with 16000 lattice sites. The interesting feature in these plots is the suppression of the density of states at the Ferm i level with increasing U. That is, as a function of increasing Hubbard energy, we nd that a progressively larger change of the density of states consistent with the predictions of the theory of A ltshuler and A ronov [14], namely a square-root suppression near the Ferm i level of the form N () p_{j} is for a grave node of the density of states at the rest at the critical Hubbard interaction (see below) associated with the metal-to-insulator transition. These and our previous density of states data [10] are consistent with the hypothesis that only in an interacting system should one nd such e ects. Lastly, we note that recent experimental investigations [15] of other transition metal oxides have seen precisely this form of the density of states, on the metallic side, as the metal-to-insulator transition is approached.

In order to characterize the m etallic or insulating behaviour of such system s, we examined the eigenstates of a small energy bin (E = t = 0:1) located symmetrically about the



FIG. 2: Plots of the IPRs vs: the inverse of the system size for the Hubbard+QSP model for the LiA $\frac{1}{y}$ T $\frac{1}{2}$ $_{y}$ O $_{4}$ system with y = 0.2;0:3 and 0.4 for di erent strengths of the Hubbard on-site interaction. Note that the vertical scale in all of these plots is identical.

Fem i energy, and calculated the average inverse participation ratio ($\mathbb{P}R$), averaged over a su cient number of realizations to obtain converged data. A collection of our results for the $\mathbb{P}R$ s vs: the inverse of the system size is shown in Fig. 2. From the linear ts of the $\mathbb{P}R$ s vs: the inverse of the system size, the values of the $\mathbb{P}R$ s in the therm odynamic limit can be extracted. We also determined the 98% condence level (2 the standard deviation) error bars of the intercepts. As is well known, if the extrapolated value of the $\mathbb{P}R$ has a nite intercept then the eigenstates corresponding to that specific system, in that energy range, are localized, and in the subsequent discussion we use the criterion that the intercept m ust be at least twice the standard deviation above zero before we classify (with a 98% condence level) that those energy eigenstates are of a localized nature. For example, for y = 0.3 for U = t = 2 to 10 the states near the Ferm i level are extended, whereas for U = t = 12 these same states are localized. Consequently, as a function of increasing Hubbard interaction we

identify the M IT as occurring at $U_c=t$ 11 12 for y = 03.

Repeating this sequence of calculations for the above-m entioned y and U=t we identi ed a phase diagram of the (T = 0) m etal-to-insulator transition of this system with respect to disorder and interaction, and our results are depicted in Fig. 3. We immediately see that if strong electronic correlations are indeed an important aspect of the physics associated with this transition, that is for a y_c^{expt} 0:33, we require a U=t 11 12. Noting that for the noninteracting electrons on this lattice one has a bandwidth of 8t, this implies that LiT i_2O_4 is a moderately correlated three-dimensional electronic system. This is consistent with conclusions drawn, albeit indirectly, from recent low-temperature speci c heat m easurem ents[16] in this material.

In fact, this value is not far from experimental estimates of U=t for the t_{2g} d orbitals of related T i-based transition m etal oxides. That is, the estimate for the Hubbard on-site repulsion for the t_{2g} orbitals of T i atom s in the perovskite LaT iO₃ is $U_{t_{2g}}$ 3:1eV [17]. Resonant soft-x-ray emission spectroscopy on perovskite-type T i compound $La_x Sr_1 \times T$ iO₃ provides an estimate of $U_{d d}$ 4:0 4:4eV [18, 19], with the most recent estimate being U=t 4:0eV (2003) [19]. Now compare these estimates to our predicted value of U for the LiA $l_yT l_2 \ _yO_4$ system : taking into account the estimate for the transfer integral of t 0:33eV for the t_{2g} band in this system based on the LDA calculations[4, 5], using $U_c=t = 11$ 12 (for y = 0:3) our estimate of U corresponds to 3.7-4.0 eV. C learly, our estimate is not dissimilar to the experimentally observed values for these related T i-based oxides.

We note that this energy leads to a provocative comparison between the near-neighbour superexchange (J) between moments in this system vs. those in the high-temperature superconducting cuprates. That is, using $J = 4t^2=U$ for this one-band system we nd that in LiT $\frac{1}{2}O_4$ J=t 1=3, which is similar to the estimates for the cuprates. So, this similarity also lends support to the hypothesis of Bednorz and M uller [6] of the potential relation of the pairing in this system to the cuprates.

A s further corroboration of our phase diagram results, and to gain a better understanding of how the transition is connected to the electronic and m agnetic properties of this system, we have exam ined the (spin-resolved) charge and m agnetic densities as a function of disorder and H ubbard energy. Our results for the variation of the number of electrons per site are electricly independent of spin, and are shown in Fig. 4. We see that for y = 0.3, for U = t = 0



FIG. 3: Phase diagram for the metal-insulator transition in the Hubbard+QSP model representative of the LiA l_y T i_2 $_y$ O $_4$ system. Filled circles are estimates from the thermodynamic limit extrapolation of the IPRs, discussed in the text.

to 8, essentially no change takes place. However, as U=t is increased from 8 to 10 to 12 this quantity undergoes substantial change. That is, when the Hubbard energy approaches the critical value (for this y) due to the proliferation of localized states arising from the suppression of the density of states at the Ferm i level, one nds a farm ore inhom ogeneous system. A lso, our num erical results for the local magnetizations correspond to the appearance of (short-ranged) antiferrom agnetic correlations at the m etal-to-insulator transition. That is, as U=t is increased towards $U_c=t(y)$ this distribution gradually changes from a rather narrow peak at zero (param agnetism), to a distribution dom inated by two broad peaks at m_0 , with m_0 0:4, corresponding to a locally antiferrom agnetic arrangement but with m any sites having largely param agnetic character.

Sum m arizing, we have used a real-space self-consistent H artree-Fock formulation to exam ine the m etal-to-insulator transition in disordered LiA l_y T $i_{2-y}O_4$; this work (i) treats the disorder exactly (that is, diagonalizing the full H am iltonian m atrix for any particular com – plexion of disorder), and (ii) involves solving for the self-consistent solutions at every site. W e have found that to obtain agreem ent with the experim entally observed concentration of A lim purities at which the m etal-to-insulator transition occurs, a H ubbard energy som ew hat larger than the noninteracting bandwidth is required, consistent with recent experim ents on this system [16], and that the resulting density of states as the transition is approached is



FIG. 4: The distribution of single-site charge densities for y = 0.3 as a function of increasing H ubbard energy U=t. One sees a profound change in the hom ogeneity of the charge density as U=t approaches the critical value at which the m etal-to-insulator transition occurs.

sim ilar to that found in experim ents on related m aterials [15].

W e thank N and iniTrivediand B arry W ells for helpful comments. This work was partially supported by NSERC of C anada and OGSST. The work at Ames was supported by the USDOE under Contract No.W -7405-Eng-82.

- [1] J.G.Bednorz and K.A.Muller, Cond.Mat.: Z.Phys.B 64, 189 (1986).
- [2] E.Dagotto, Science 309, 257 (2005).
- [3] E.G.Moshopoulou.J.Am.Ceram.Soc.82, 3317 (1999).
- [4] S.Satpathy and R.M.Martin, Phys.Rev.B 36, R7269 (1987).
- [5] S.Massidda, J.Yu, and A.J.Freem an, Phys. Rev. B 38, 11352 (1988).
- [6] K.A.Muller. In B.Batlogg et al., editors, Proceedings of the 10th Anniversary HTSW orkshop on Physics, Materials, and Applications, page 1.W orld Scientic, 1996.
- [7] D.C.Johnston, J.Low Temp. Phys. 25, 145 (1976).
- [8] P.M. Lambert, P.P.Edwards, and M.R.Harrison.J.Sol.St.Chem. 89, 345 (1990).
- [9] S.Kondo, D.C. Johnston, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3729 (1997).
- [10] F.Fazileh, R.J.Gooding, and D.C.Johnston, Phys. Rev. B, 69, 104503 (2004).

- [11] The e ects of the impurity-generated distribution of on-site energies are known to be very sm all { F.Fazileh, X.Chen, R.J.Gooding and K.Tabunshchyk, Phys.Rev.B 73, 035124 (2006).
- [12] D.Heidarian and N.Trivedi, Phys.Rev.Lett. 93, 126401 (2004).
- [13] W e note that this form ulation is not invariant in spin space, an approximation that is acceptable for the low density systems (less than 1/4 lling) that we exam ine in this letter. W e will present a detailed study of the role of such terms in physical systems that are close to half lling in a future publication { X.Chen and R.J.Gooding (unpublished).
- [14] B.L.Altshuler and A.G.Aronov, Solid State Com. 30, 115 (1979).
- [15] D.D.Sama, et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 4004 (1998).
- [16] C.P.Sun, et al, Phys. Rev. B 70, 054519 (2004).
- [17] I. Solovyev, N. Ham ada, and K. Terakura, Phys. Rev. B 53, 7158 (1996).
- [18] T.Higuchi, et al., Phys. Rev. B 60, 7711 (1999).
- [19] T.Higuchi, et al, Phys.Rev.B 68, 104420 (2003).