

Mach-Zehnder Interferometry in a Strongly Driven Superconducting Qubit

William D. Oliver,^{1*} Yang Yu,² Janice C. Lee,² Karl K. Berggren,²
Leonid S. Levitov,³ Terry P. Orlando²

¹MIT Lincoln Laboratory, 244 Wood Street, Lexington, MA 02420, USA

²Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science,

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA 02139, USA

³Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA 02139, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: oliver@ll.mit.edu.

We demonstrate Mach-Zehnder-type interferometry in a superconducting flux qubit. The qubit is a tunable artificial atom, whose ground and excited states exhibit an avoided crossing. Strongly driving the qubit with harmonic excitation sweeps it through the avoided crossing two times per period. As the induced Landau-Zener transitions act as coherent beamsplitters, the accumulated phase between transitions, which varies with microwave amplitude, results in quantum interference fringes for $n = 1 \dots 20$ photon transitions. The generalization of optical Mach-Zehnder interferometry, performed in qubit phase space, provides an alternative means to manipulate and characterize the qubit in the strongly-driven regime.

The development of artificial atoms with lithographically defined superconducting circuits presents a new paradigm of quantum solid state physics [1], allowing the realization and exploration of new macroscopic quantum phenomena [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9], and also holding promise for applications in quantum computing [10]. Of the various effects demonstrated with qubits, of greatest importance are time-dependent coherent phenomena. Those include the observation of Rabi oscillations in charge, flux, and phase qubits [2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9], entanglement of two [11] and three [12] qubits, coherent oscillation [13] and bifurcation [14] in multilevel systems, and the demonstration of basic elements of coherent control [15, 16, 17]. Artificial atoms strongly coupled to photons have opened the arena of “circuit quantum electrodynamics” (c-QED) [18, 19].

Here we demonstrate an application of superconducting qubits to quantum physics, realized in a strongly driven flux qubit, and described in terms of a Mach-Zehnder (MZ) interferometer. The conventional MZ setup uses two beamsplitters: the first divides an optical signal into two coherent waves which travel along paths with different effective lengths, and the second recombines and superposes these waves, leading to quantum interference fringes in the measured output signal. In a driven qubit, following an idea discussed in Ref. [20], the beamsplitters can be realized by Landau-Zener (LZ) transitions at a level avoided crossing. Over one oscillation period of the driving field, the qubit is swept through the avoided crossing twice (Fig. 1A). Starting from the marker, at the first LZ transition (time t_1), the ground state $|0\rangle$ is split into a coherent superposition of the ground and excited states, $|1\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$, which, after evolving independently and accumulating a relative phase $\Delta\theta_{12}$, interfere at the second LZ transition (time t_2). The corresponding qubit-state energy evolution (first period, Fig. 1B) between the recurrent LZ transitions (shaded region) provides a phase-space analog to the two arms and the beamsplitters of an optical MZ interferometer (top left, Fig. 1B). The interference phase

$$\Delta\theta_{12} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varepsilon(t) dt, \quad \varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_{|0\rangle}(t) - \varepsilon_{|1\rangle}(t), \quad (1)$$

depends on the magnitude of the qubit energy detuning excursion for times $t_1 < t < t_2$. The interference fringes in the occupation probability correspond to integer and half-integer values of $\Delta\theta_{12}/2\pi$. Known as Stückelberg oscillations with Rydberg atoms [21, 22], this mechanism has application to quantum control [23].

The qubit MZ interferometer differs in a number of ways from an optical interferometer. Firstly, instead of a photon, the interferometry is performed using the quantum state of a qubit. Secondly, in the qubit, we have the interference of paths in phase space rather than in coordinate space; the phase $\Delta\theta_{12}$ (Eq.1) is determined by the qubit level splitting, which plays the role of the optical path length. Finally, being more fragile than photons and easier to decohere, qubit states can be manipulated in a coherent fashion only at relatively short time scales.

We use a periodic driving signal, a harmonic variation of the qubit detuning $\varepsilon(t)$:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2}(\Delta\sigma^x + \varepsilon(t)\sigma^z), \quad \varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_0 + A_{\text{rf}} \cos \omega t, \quad (2)$$

with Δ the tunnel splitting, ε_0 the detuning proportional to dc flux bias, and A_{rf} the rf field amplitude proportional to the rf flux bias (see supplementary material). In this case (Fig.1B) we have cascaded LZ transitions which occur when the driving amplitude exceeds detuning, giving rise to the interference fringes at $A_{\text{rf}} > |\varepsilon_0|$ (Fig.1C). While the phase $\Delta\theta_{12}$ equals the shaded area in Fig. 1B and is dependent on A_{rf} , the total phase gained over one period, $\theta = \frac{1}{\hbar} \oint \varepsilon(t) dt = 2\pi\varepsilon_0/\hbar\omega$, equals the difference of the shaded and unshaded areas and is independent of A_{rf} . As consecutive pairs of LZ transitions (consecutive MZ interferometers) interfere constructively when $\theta = 2\pi n$, the fringes will appear around the resonance detuning values

$$\varepsilon_{0,n} = n\hbar\nu, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \nu = \omega/2\pi. \quad (3)$$

Another interpretation of this condition is that the sequential LZ transitions excite multiphoton resonances.

While coherent multiphoton resonances between discrete states of an rf-driven charge qubit have been reported [24, 5], and multiphoton transitions used to drive Rabi oscillations in a flux qubit [25, 26], in these works, as well as in the earlier work on quantum dot systems [27, 28], only a few-photon transitions could be observed, with coherence quickly weakening at increasing rf amplitude [29]. In contrast, we were able to observe coherent resonances of very high order, up to $n = 20$, which requires driving the system at a high rf amplitude. The fringes for high n are as clear as those for $n \simeq 1$, indicating that the qubit preserves a substantial amount of coherence even in the strongly-driven regime.

We realize a tunable artificial atom with a niobium persistent-current qubit (Fig. 2A), a superconducting loop interrupted by three Josephson junctions [30]. When the qubit loop is threaded with a magnetic flux $f_q \approx \Phi_0/2$, the system exhibits a double-well potential-energy landscape (Fig. 6). The classical states of the wells are persistent currents I_q with opposing circulation, described by energy bands $\pm\varepsilon_0/2 = \pm I_q \Phi_0 \delta f_q$ linear in the flux detuning $\delta f_q \equiv f_q - \Phi_0/2$. The double-well barrier allows quantum tunneling of strength Δ , opening the avoided crossing near $\delta f_q = 0$ (Fig. 1A). Detuning the flux tilts the double well and, thereby, modifies its eigenenergies and eigenstates. The qubit states are read out using a DC-SQUID, a sensitive magnetometer that distinguishes the flux generated by the circulating currents. The device was fabricated at MIT Lincoln Laboratory (see supplementary material).

We drove transitions between the qubit states by applying a $1\ \mu\text{s}$ rf pulse (Fig. 2B) at frequency ν and rf-source voltage V_{rf} [31]. After a short ($\approx 10\ \text{ns}$) delay, we read out the qubit state by driving the DC SQUID with a $20\ \text{ns}$ “sample” current I_s followed by a $20\ \mu\text{s}$ “hold” current. The SQUID will switch to its normal state voltage V_s if $I_s > I_{\text{sw},0}$ ($I_s > I_{\text{sw},1}$), corresponding to qubit state $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. By sweeping the sample current and flux detuning while monitoring the presence of a SQUID voltage over many trials, a cumulative switching-distribution function is generated, revealing the “qubit step” (Fig. 2C). At specific values of flux detuning, the rf

field at $\nu = 1.2$ GHz becomes resonant with the energy level separation, allowing n -photon absorption, Eq.3; this results in a partial population transfer between the qubits states, manifest as regularly spaced “spikes” in Fig. 2C. We obtain 1D scans of the “switching probability” P_{sw} (the population of state $|0\rangle$) shown in Fig. 2D by following a flux-dependent sample current $I_{\text{sw},0} < I_s < I_{\text{sw},1}$ (dash-dotted line in Fig. 2C). Such 1D scans are then accumulated as a function of the rf source parameters V_{rf} (Fig. 3) and ν (Fig. 4).

The switching probability P_{sw} (color scale) vs. qubit flux detuning δf_Q and voltage V_{rf} at frequency $\nu = 1.2$ GHz is shown in Fig. 3 (see supplementary material). The n -photon resonances, labelled by $n = 1 \dots 20$, exhibit MZ interference fringes (I. . . VI) as a function of V_{rf} . As we show below, the fringes exhibit a Bessel-function dependence, $J_n(\lambda)$, so we call the step-like pattern in Fig. 3 a “Bessel Staircase”. For each of the n -photon resonances, we took a higher-resolution scan (e.g., Fig. 3 inset) and fitted the resonance areas and widths in Fig. 5 (see supplementary material).

Multi-photon transitions at the resonances (Eq. 3) in the strong driving regime, $|A_{\text{rf}}|, h\nu \gg \Delta$, occur via fast LZ transitions. The notion of quasistationary qubit levels $\pm \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_0^2 + \Delta^2)^{1/2}$ is inadequate in this regime and, instead, we use a different approach, transforming the Hamiltonian (Eq. 2) to a nonuniformly rotating frame, $\mathcal{H} = e^{-(i/2)\phi(t)\sigma_z} \mathcal{H}' e^{(i/2)\phi(t)\sigma_z}$, where $\phi(t) = \lambda \sin \omega t$ with dimensionless rf field amplitude

$$\lambda = A_{\text{rf}}/h\nu \quad (4)$$

The rf field disappears from the detuning term, reappearing as a phase factor of the off-diagonal term: $\mathcal{H}' = -\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_0 \sigma^z + \Delta e^{-i\phi(t)} \sigma^+ + \text{h.c.})$. Given that $\Delta \ll h\nu$, near the n -th resonance $nh\nu \simeq \varepsilon_0$ we can replace the phase factor $e^{-i\phi(t)}$ by its n -th Fourier harmonic, $J_n(\lambda)e^{-in\omega t}$, with J_n the Bessel function. The resulting effective Hamiltonian is of a “rotating field” form:

$$\mathcal{H}' \approx \mathcal{H}_n = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_0 & e^{-in\omega t} \Delta_n \\ e^{in\omega t} \Delta_n^* & -\varepsilon_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

with $\Delta_n = \Delta J_n(\lambda)$. The resonance approximation (Eq. 5) describes transitions at an arbitrary ratio $A_{\text{rf}}/h\nu$. Standard Rabi dynamics analysis of the Hamiltonian (5) with the initial state $|0\rangle$ gives the time-averaged occupation probability of the excited state $P_{\text{sw}}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2}|\Delta_n|^2/((\varepsilon_0 - n h\nu)^2 + |\Delta_n|^2)$. This expression predicts Lorentz-shaped resonances of width $\delta\varepsilon = |\Delta_n|$. The result, a sum of independent contributions with different n ,

$$P_{\text{sw}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \frac{|\Delta_n|^2}{(\varepsilon_0 - n h\nu)^2 + |\Delta_n|^2}, \quad (6)$$

is displayed in Fig. 1C. The agreement with the observed resonances is striking: the oscillations in rf power, described by $J_n(\lambda)$, accurately predict both the overall profile of the fringes (Fig. 3) and the fine details, such as positions of the nodes (Fig. 5).

In the frequency dependence of P_{sw} for voltages $V_{\text{rf}} = 71 \text{ mV}_{\text{rms}}$ and $V_{\text{rf}} = 7.1 \text{ mV}_{\text{rms}}$ (Fig. 4), the resonances approach the qubit step as frequency decreases, in accordance with the linear energy vs. flux-detuning dependence. MZ interference fringes are again visible. The number of resonances increases at low frequencies, due primarily to the frequency dependence of λ and, in lesser part, a frequency-dependent mutual coupling.

Our analysis of peak profile accounts for the relaxation and dephasing, as well as for the inhomogeneous broadening due to low-frequency noise. These effects can be separated from one another by considering the peak areas A_n which, in contrast with the widths of the resonances w_n , are not affected by inhomogeneous broadening. The standard Bloch approach yields [32]

$$A_n = \frac{T_1 \Delta_n^2}{4\sqrt{T_1 T_2 \Delta_n^2 + 1}}, \quad w_n = \frac{1}{\pi T_2^*} + \frac{\sqrt{T_1 T_2 \Delta_n^2 + 1}}{\pi T_2} \quad (7)$$

with $T_{1,2}$ the longitudinal and transverse relaxation times, and T_2^* describing the inhomogeneous broadening. These are aggregate relaxation times averaged over the periodic qubit detuning, which, in the operating limit $\varepsilon_0 \gg \Delta$, tends to overestimate T_1 and underestimate T_2 compared with their values at the avoided crossing.

Fig. 5A shows the Bessel dependence of the $n = 1$ and $n = 5$ resonance areas fit by Eq. 7 including (blue) and omitting (red) times $T_{1,2}$. The corresponding resonance widths and their fittings are shown in Fig. 5B. Fig. 5C and Fig. 5D show the resonance area and width respectively for 10 resonances, including $n = 19$. Fitting the areas and widths yields self-consistent estimates: $T_1 \approx 20 \mu\text{s}$, $T_2 \approx 15\text{--}25 \text{ ns}$, $T_2^* \approx 5\text{--}10 \text{ ns}$, and $\Delta/\hbar \approx (2\pi)4 \text{ MHz}$. The T_1 , T_2 , and Δ estimates are similar to those reported in Ref. [26]. The nearly linear behavior at the nodes of J_n [see Fig. 5A] indicates that the decoherence is small compared with the splitting: $T_1 T_2 (\Delta/\hbar)^2 \approx 250$ for $n = 1$, and decreases slightly for $n = 5$. The fit/data discrepancy for the first fringe for $n = 1$, which disappears as n increases, is traced to $\sim 20\%$ thermal population of the excited state due to its proximity to the qubit step (see supplementary material).

This MZ interferometry technique finds application to qubit characterization and model validation, two increasingly important research areas in quantum information science. In addition to coherence times, which can be obtained by multiple means, MZ interferometry allows the direct calibration of the microwave amplitude driving the qubit through the Bessel argument λ ; we found the rf mutual coupling to be $M_q = 100 \pm 2 \text{ fH}$ over all 20 resonances. The agreement between the two-level Hamiltonian in Eq. 2 and the observed resonances $n = 1 \dots 10$ in Fig. 3 is remarkable. The MZ technique also reveals shortcomings of the two-level model at strong driving. For example, the influence of a second MZ interferometer at the avoided crossing between the first and second excited states results in the moiré-like pattern observed for resonances $n > 12$. We also note here an observed ($\sim 0.1 \text{ GHz}$) shift in the resonance positions at strong driving [Fig. 3 inset]. Both effects require the presence of higher-excited states modelled by the full qubit Hamiltonian [30, 26]. The high stability and coherence of the strongly driven qubit, even at $n = 20$ photon transitions, illustrates not only the potential for nonadiabatic control methods [23], but also indicates the high potential of niobium devices fabricated in a fully-planarized, scalable process for superconductive quantum computation.

Supplementary Material

Device fabrication and parameters The device was fabricated at MIT Lincoln Laboratory on 150 mm wafers in a fully-planarized niobium trilayer process with critical current density $J_C \approx 160 \text{ A/cm}^2$. The qubit's characteristic Josephson and charging energies are $E_J \approx (2\pi\hbar)300 \text{ GHz}$ and $E_C \approx (2\pi\hbar)0.65 \text{ GHz}$ respectively, the ratio of the qubit JJ areas is $\alpha \approx 0.84$, and the tunnel coupling $\Delta \approx (2\pi\hbar)0.005 \text{ GHz}$. The qubit loop area is $16 \times 16 \mu\text{m}^2$, and its self inductance is $L_q \approx 30 \text{ pH}$. The SQUID JJs each have critical current $I_{C0} \approx 2 \mu\text{A}$. The SQUID loop area is $20 \times 20 \mu\text{m}^2$, and its self inductance is $L_{SQ} \approx 30 \text{ pH}$. The mutual coupling between the qubit and the SQUID is $M \approx 25 \text{ pH}$. An experimental spectroscopic analysis of the first five energy levels of this qubit can be found in Ref. [26].

Implementation The experiments were performed in a dilution refrigerator with a 12 mK base temperature. The device was magnetically shielded with 4 Cryoperm-10 cylinders and a superconducting enclosure. All electrical leads were attenuated and/or filtered to minimize noise.

The data were obtained at a repetition rate of 200 Hz, allowing approximately 5 ms for qubit equilibration (initialization) between trials. In Fig.3, 3000 steps were taken along the flux axis and 250 steps were taken along the amplitude axis. Each point comprised an average of 100 trials. The data set was accumulated continuously over approximately 4.5 days. The Fig. 5 data set was obtained from 2D scans acquired at the same step size in flux and amplitude, but over extended amplitude ranges for higher- n transitions and with each point averaging 2000 trials. Although the onset of the 20-photon resonance is difficult to observe in Fig. 3 due to the color shading, we clearly observed it when scanning to higher amplitudes. The plotting and fitting algorithms used to obtain Fig. 3 and Fig. 5 were fully scripted and identically applied to each resonance.

Temperature effects The thermal energy in frequency units is $k_{\text{B}}T/h \approx 1$ GHz as determined from the qubit step in Fig. 2D. The qubit is dc-biased, prepared, and measured far from the avoided crossing, $\varepsilon_{0,n} \sim \hbar\nu_n > k_{\text{B}}T \gg \Delta$. The qubit can be operated in this limit, because the equilibrium population is predominantly in the ground state (particularly for $n > 3$), and the coherence time scales are much longer than the rf drive oscillation period over which the Mach-Zehnder physics occurs. Where residual excited state population does exist, e.g., $n = 1$ due to its proximity to the qubit step, it acts to offset the Bessel function dependence of the spectroscopy peaks. Since population inversion should not occur for our qubit in the limit of steady-state spectroscopy, the first lobe height is clamped at $\sim 50\%$ (in our experiment, the other lobes do not exceed 50% even with the offset). When the offset is removed and the Bessel fit is made, the data/fit discrepancy is observable for the first lobe of $n = 1$. The effect is less pronounced for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ as they are further from the qubit step with a smaller and smaller equilibrium excited-state population.

Differentiation from previous work The multiphoton transitions observed here are distinct from Tien-Gordon photon-assisted transport [33] or above-threshold ionization of atoms [34], both of which utilize a continuum of final states. Furthermore, the Bessel dependence is a quantum-coherent interferometric effect and, thereby, differs from the classical, non-linear phase-locking mechanism that may also lead to a Bessel dependence, e.g., as with Shapiro steps [35]. The Landau-Zener and Mach-Zehnder physics observed here is a coherent interferometric effect and, thus, differs from previous Landau-Zener work in the incoherent limit [36].

In our previous work in Ref. [26], we observed single-photon, two-photon, and three-photon transitions by weakly driving the qubit at frequencies $\nu = 1 \dots 20$ GHz. In the present work, we are able to observe up to $n = 20$ photon transitions by strongly driving the qubit at relatively low frequencies $\nu = 0.2 \dots 1.4$ GHz, allowing sufficient “headroom” for multi-photon transitions

to high-order between the ground and first-excited states.

References and Notes

- [1] Y. Makhlin, G. Schön, A. Shnirman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 357 (2001).
- [2] Y. Nakamura, Y. A. Pashkin, J. S. Tsai, *Nature* **398**, 786 (1999).
- [3] J. R. Friedman, V. Patel, W. Chen, S. K. Tolpygo, J. E. Lukens, *Nature* **406**, 43 (2000).
- [4] C. H. van der Wal *et al.*, *Science* **290**, 773 (2000).
- [5] Y. Nakamura, Y. A. Pashkin, J. S. Tsai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 246601 (2001).
- [6] D. Vion *et al.*, *Science* **296**, 886 (2002).
- [7] Y. Yu, S. Han, X. Chu, S.-I. Chu, Z. Wang, *Science* **296**, 889 (2002).
- [8] J. M. Martinis, S. Nam, J. Aumentado, C. Urbina, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 117901 (2002).
- [9] I. Chiorescu, Y. Nakamura, C. J. P. M. Harmans, J. E. Mooij, *Science* **299**, 1869 (2003).
- [10] M. A. Nielsen, I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [11] A. J. Berkley *et al.*, *Science* **300**, 1548 (2003).
- [12] H. Xu *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 027003 (2005).
- [13] J. Claudon, F. Balestro, F. W. J. Hekking, O. Buisson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 187003 (2004).
- [14] I. Siddiqi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 207002 (2004)
- [15] Y. A. Pashkin *et al.*, *Nature* **421**, 823 (2003).

- [16] T. Yamamoto, Yu. A. Pashkin, O. Astafiev, Y. Nakamura, J. S. Tsai, *Nature* **425**, 941 (2003).
- [17] R. McDermott *et al.*, *Science* **307**, 1299 (2005).
- [18] I. Chiorescu *et al.*, *Nature* **431**, 159 (2004).
- [19] A. Wallraff *et al.*, *Nature* **431**, 162 (2004).
- [20] A. V. Shytov, D. A. Ivanov, M. V. Feigel'man, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **36**, 263 (2003); this article discusses the adiabatic Landau-Zener regime, corresponding to driving the system at low frequency $\hbar\omega \ll \Delta$. In contrast, here we operate at high frequencies, $\hbar\omega \gg \Delta$ which leads to a number of differences, notably the multiphoton resonances.
- [21] M. C. Baruch, T. F. Gallagher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 3515 (1992).
- [22] S. Yoakum, L. Sirko, P. M. Koch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1919 (1992).
- [23] H. Nakamura, *Nonadiabatic Transition* (London, England: World Scientific, 2001).
- [24] Y. Nakamura, J. S. Tsai, *J. Supercond.* **12**, 799 (1999)
- [25] S. Saito *et al.*, cond-mat/0508448
- [26] Y. Yu *et al.*, cond-mat/0508587.
- [27] L. P. Kouwenhoven *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 3443 (1994)
- [28] T. Fujisawa, S. Tarucha, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **36**, 4000 (1997).
- [29] S. Saito *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 037001 (2004).
- [30] T. P. Orlando *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 15398 (1999).

- [31] V_{rf} is the rf voltage at the source generator. The rf field amplitude A_{rf} which drives the qubit is parameterized in units of energy, and it is proportional to V_{rf} . The proportionality constant can be inferred from the interference fringe spacing and the Bessel argument λ .
- [32] A. Abragam, *The Principles of Nuclear Magnetism* (Oxford, England: Oxford University Press, 1961).
- [33] P. K. Tien, J. P. Gordon, *Phys. Rev.* **129**, 647 (1963).
- [34] G. G. Paulus, F. Grasbon, H. Walther, R. Kopold, W. Becker, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 021401(R) (2001).
- [35] C. C. Grimes, S. Shapiro, *Phys. Rev.* **169**, 397 (1968).
- [36] A. Izmailkov *et al.*, *Europhys. Lett.* **65**, 844 (2004).
37. We thank V. Bolkhovsky, G. Fitch, D. Landers, E. Macedo, R. Slattery, and T. Weir at MIT Lincoln Laboratory; and D. Berns, J Habif, and D. Nakada at the MIT campus for technical assistance. We thank D. Cory, S. E. Harris, A. J. Kerman, and S. Lloyd for helpful discussions. This work was supported by AFOSR (F49620-01-1-0457) under the DURINT program. The work at Lincoln Laboratory was sponsored by the US DoD under Air Force Contract No. FA8721-05-C-0002.

Figure 1: Mach-Zehnder interference in a strongly driven qubit. **(A)** Starting at the dot marker, the qubit state is swept by an rf field. After a LZ transition at the first avoided crossing (time t_1), the resulting superposition state of $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ (dashed lines) accumulates a phase $\Delta\theta_{12}$ (shaded region), and interferes at the return LZ transition (time t_2). The qubit state is subsequently driven away from the avoided crossing and then returns to the starting flux position. This single period of qubit evolution is a single MZ interferometer. Depending on the interference phase $\Delta\theta_{12}$, amplitude may build in the excited state. **(B)** The corresponding qubit energy variation induced by a periodic rf field, Eq.2, results in an equivalent optical cascade of MZ interferometers (MZ#1-#3, top) with resonance condition Eq. 3. **(C)** The population of the qubit excited state, Eq.6, as a function of rf amplitude A_{rf} and detuning ε_0 . Note the interference fringes (I, II, III, ...) at $A_{\text{rf}} > \varepsilon_0$ and the multiphoton resonances at $\varepsilon_0 = nh\nu$.

Figure 2: Multiple resonances in a strongly-driven flux qubit. **(A)** Circuit schematic of the three-junction flux qubit (inner loop) with circulating current I_Q and the DC SQUID readout (outer loop); Josephson junctions are indicated with an \times . A time-dependent flux $f(t) \propto \varepsilon(t)$ threading the qubit is a sum of the flux bias due to the dc current I_b and a pulsed ac current at frequency ν irradiating the qubit and driving transitions between its quantum states. The SQUID is shunted by two 1 pF capacitors to lower its resonance frequency. Resistors mark the environmental impedance isolating the SQUID. **(B)** The time sequence for the rf pulse (duration 1 μs and rf-source voltage V_{rf}) and SQUID sample current I_S . A repetition period of 5 ms allows for equilibration between trials. **(C)** A cumulative switching-probability distribution of the qubit as a function of I_S and the qubit flux detuning δf_Q under rf excitation at $V_{\text{rf}} \approx 0.12 \text{ V}_{\text{rms}}$ and $\nu = 1.2 \text{ GHz}$. Multi-photon transitions are observed between the qubit states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ and are symmetric about the qubit step ($\delta f_Q = 0 \text{ m}\Phi_0$). **(D)** The 1D switching probability P_{SW} extracted from Fig. 2C (dash-dotted line scan).

Figure 3: Multi-photon interference fringes: a “Bessel-staircase”. Switching probability P_{SW} is plotted as a function of qubit flux detuning δf_Q and voltage V_{rf} at frequency $\nu = 1.2 \text{ GHz}$. n -photon resonances are labelled 1...20. Each n -photon resonance exhibits oscillations in P_{SW} due to a MZ-type quantum interference that results in a Bessel dependence $J_n(\lambda)$ with λ the rf amplitude scaled by $h\nu$, Eq.(4). Roman numerals mark the interference fringes of $J_n(\lambda)$ (solid white lines). The n -photon resonances are symmetric about the qubit step ($0 \text{ m}\Phi_0$). Inset: close-up of the $n = 4$ photon resonance.

Figure 4: Frequency dependence of the multiphoton interference fringes. Qubit switching probability P_{SW} is plotted as a function of frequency ν and flux detuning δf_Q in the limit of **(A)** strong driving ($V_{\text{rf}} = 71 \text{ mV}_{\text{rms}}$) and **(B)** weak driving ($V_{\text{rf}} = 7.1 \text{ mV}_{\text{rms}}$). The n -photon resonances approach the qubit step as frequency decreases. Symmetric patterns in the $J_n(\lambda)$ peaks and valleys due to the MZ-type quantum interference are clearly observed.

Figure 5: Analysis of the resonance area and width. **(A)** Resonance area A_n versus voltage V_{rf} for the $n = 1$ and $n = 5$ photon transitions. The Bessel-dependence $J_n(\lambda)$ is observed over several lobes. The data are best fit by functions that include decoherence (blue line) rather than omit it (red line). As illustrated by the insets, decoherence becomes more pronounced as photon number increases. **(B)** The resonance width w_n versus voltage V_{rf} for the $n = 1$ and $n = 5$ also exhibits a Bessel dependence. The area **(C)** and the width **(D)** plotted for resonances $n = 1 \dots 9$ and $n = 19$.

Figure 6: Qubit double-well potential and the corresponding energy band diagram for the two-lowest qubit states. The circulating currents of the qubit correspond to the states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ of the uncoupled left and right wells. These single-well states are coupled with tunneling strength Δ by the potential barrier; the eigenstates of the coupled system are denoted “e” and “g”. Varying the flux detuning δf_q tilts the double-well potential and, thereby, modifies the energy band structure of the qubit. Far from $\delta f_q = 0$, the eigenstates and eigenenergies resemble the single-well states and energies. Near $\delta f_q = 0$, the system exhibits an avoided crossing.

This figure "Fig1_Oliver_MZ.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0512691v1>

This figure "Fig2_Oliver_MZ.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0512691v1>

This figure "Fig3_Oliver_MZ.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0512691v1>

This figure "Fig4_Oliver_MZ.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0512691v1>

This figure "Fig5_Oliver_MZ.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0512691v1>

This figure "Fig6_Oliver_MZ.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0512691v1>