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#### Abstract

W e investigate a classical lattice system with N particles. The potential energy V of the scalar displacem ents is chosen as a ${ }^{4}$ on-site potential plus interactions. Its stationary points are solutions of a coupled set of nonlinear equations. Starting with A ubry's anti-continuum lim it it is easy to establish a one-to-one correspondence between the stationary points of $V$ and symbolic sequences $=\left(1 ;::: ;{ }_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{n}=+; 0$;. W e prove that this correspondence rem ains valid for interactions with a coupling constant below a critical value $c$ and that it allow $s$ the use of a "therm odynam ic" form alism to calculate statistical properties of the so-called \energy landscape" of $V$. This o ers an explanation why topological quantities of $V \mathrm{~m}$ ay become singular, like in phase transitions. P articularly, we nd the saddle index distribution is maxim um at a saddle index $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{max}}=1=3$ for all < c . Furthem ore there exists an interval ( $\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}$ ) in which the saddle index $n_{s}$ as function of average energy $v$ is analytical in $v$ and it vanishes at $v$, above the ground state energy $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gs}}$, whereas the average saddle index $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ as function of energy v is highly nontrivial. It can exhibit a singularity at a critical energy $v_{c}$ and it van ishes at $v_{g s}$, only. C lose to $v_{g s}$; $n_{s}(v)$ exh ibits power law behavior which even holds for noninteracting particles.


PACS num bers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

W e consider a classical N -particle system in three dim ensions w ith potential energy $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$. Both the N ew tonian dynam ics and the them odynam icalbehavior is obtained from the know ledge of the function V . Therefore onem ay ask: $W$ hat are the characteristic features of $V$ which are crucial for the dynam ics and them odynam ics of the N -particle system ? From a m athem atical point of view the stationary points, in case of non-degeneracy also called critical or $M$ orse points, $y$ ield im portant in form ation on $V$. These points are solutions of the set of coupled, nonlinear equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ \mathrm{~V}}{@ \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=0 \quad ; \mathrm{n}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These solutions are denoted by $\mathrm{x}^{()}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{()} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$; $\quad=1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}$, where $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is believed to be exponentially in $N$. H ere som e com $m$ ents are in order. $F$ irst, if the system is hom ogeneous and isotropic any translation, rotation and re ection of $f x_{n}^{(\prime)} g$ is a stationary point as $w e l l$, $w$ ith the sam e potentialenergy. A ccordingly there is a continuous degeneracy of $f x_{n}^{(')} g$. Choosing the variables $x_{n}$, e.g. $w$ ith respect to the center of $m$ ass and xing the orientation one gets rid of this degeneracy. $T$ his is assum ed in the follow ing. Second, if $V$ is harm onic, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{N}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\substack{i, j \\=1}}_{\substack{n, m \\=1}} X_{n m}^{i j} x_{n}^{i j} x_{m}^{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. $\left[_{11}^{1}\right)$ becom es a set of linear equations:

$$
X_{m=1}^{X^{N}} X^{3} M_{n m}^{i j} X_{m}^{j}=0 ; n=1 ;::: ; N ; i=1 ; 2 ; 3
$$

In the generic case where $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{n m}^{i j}\right) \in 0$, there is one solution, $x_{n} \quad 0$, only. C onsequently, a necessary condition for exponentially $m$ any solutions is the nonlinearity of Eq. (11). Having found all stationary points they can be characterized by their saddle index $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{()}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{()}\right)=(3 \mathrm{~N}): \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{()}\right)$is the num ber of unstable directions, ie. the num ber of negative eigenvalues of the $H$ essian $\left(\left(@^{2} V=@ x_{n}^{i} @ x_{m}^{j}\right)\left(x^{()}\right)\right.$. Therefore $n_{s}$ varies betw een zero and one.
$T$ he role of $n_{s}$ for the dynam ics is obvious. If the tra jectory $x(t)$ in con guration space is $m$ ostly close to stationary points $w$ ith $n_{s}$ very close to zero, i.e. $x(t)$ is close to localm inim a of $V\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{N}\right)$, then the $m$ otion $w$ illm ainly be
due to therm al activation, i.e. hopping-like. In contrast, if $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$ is m ostly close to stationary points w ith $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ alm ost equal to one, a di usive-like dynam ics $m$ ay accur. Recent M D-sim ulations for liquids have determ ined the average
 found that $n_{s}$ decreases $w$ th decreasing tem perature and energy and its extrapolation vanishes at a tem perature $T$ and an energy $v$, respectively. It is interesting that $T$ is very close to the $m$ ode coupling glass transition tem perature $T_{c}$, at which a transition from ergodic to nonergodic dynam ics takes place. This nding corresponds to the change from di usive-to hopping-like $m$ otion, when decreasing the saddle index from one to zero.
$T$ here is evidence that the saddle index also plays a role for equilibrium phase transitions. For sm ooth, nite range and con ning potentials $V$ it has been provent that a necessary condition for an equilibrium phase transition is a singular change of the topology of the $m$ anifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}(v)=f\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{N}\right) \not v\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{N}\right) \quad v g: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Particularly, if $M_{N}\left(v ; n_{s}\right)$ is the num ber of stationary points of $M_{N}(v) w$ ith saddle index $n_{s}$, the E uler characteristic

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v)=\sum_{N_{s}=0}^{X^{N}}(1)^{N_{s} M_{N}\left(v ; N_{s}=3 N\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be singular at a criticalenergy $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$. H ow ever, for noncon ning potentials the, unattainability of a purely topological criterion for the existence of equilibrium phase transition has been claim ed ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~F}$, , Besides these interesting results it has been faund for a two-dim ensional ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel from num erical com putations ${ }^{6 / 1}$, for the exactly solvable $m$ ean- eld $X Y$ m ode $l^{\prime}$ and $m$ ean- eld $k$-trigonom etric $m$ ode ${ }^{18}$ that $v_{c}$ coincides $w i t h ~ N{ }^{1} h V i\left(T_{c}\right)$, the canonical average of the potential energy per particle at the phase transition point $T_{C}$. H ow ever, for a m ean- eld ${ }^{4} m$ odel it has been show $n$


Finally we want to $m$ ention an interesting result for the saddle index distribution fiunction $P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right)$. For a binary Lennard-Jones system w ith up to $N=13$ particles there is strong evidence that $P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right)$ is $G$ aussian $w$ ith a maxim um at $n_{s}^{m}$ ax $\quad 1=\frac{3}{3}$. H ow ever, the relevance of this result for dynam ics and them odynam ics is not yet clear.
$T$ his exposition so far has dem onstrated that the potentialenergy surface (PES) characterized by stationary points and their saddle indices is of physical im portance. For further applications of the energy landscape description the reader $m$ ay consult the textbook by D. J. W alest 111.

It is the $m$ otivation of the present paper to derive a relationship betw een $\mathrm{x}^{(1)}$ and symbolic sequences = ( $1 ;::: ;_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) where n takes values from an \alphabet". This w ill be explained in the next section. Particularly the usefilness of this relationship for the calculation of statistical properties of the PES w ill be shown. To make this relation $m$ ore explicit we will investigate in the 3 . section noninteracting particles in an on-site potential. In the 4. section it willbe explored how the features of the PES change under sw itching on an interaction betw een the particles. The nal section contains a discussion of the results and som e conclusions.

## II. DESCRIPTION BY SYMBOLIC SEQUENCES

 dence betw een the orbits and sym bolic sequences. T herefore, the brilliant observation by A ubry ${ }^{1}$ one-dim ensionalF renkel $K$ ontorova $m$ odel is identical to the standard $m$ ap, already provides a link betw een stationary points and sym bolic sequences. This link exists for a certain class of one-dim ensionalm odeld ${ }^{1151}$. But we think that this relationship $m$ ay be $m$ ore general. Let us choose a sim ple potentialw ith tw o degrees of freedom :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)=\sin x_{1} \sin x_{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its stationary points form a square lattice with lattice constant_ $=2$. T he question arises how to labelthese stationary points. The rst guess is to count the points as shown in $F$ ig. I'a.

H ow ever, there is a draw back since tw o neighboring points do not possess \neighboring" labels (see e.g. the points w ith labels 28 and 53). In order that the labelling preserves the local arrangem ent of the points one has to choose an \alphabet", which are the integer num bers n 2 Z . Then the \sequences" ( 1 ; 2) provide a labelling for which the local properties are preserved (cf. F igure '11a+b). Sim ilarly, the counting of the stationary points of an arbitrary PES by $=1 ; 2 ;::: ; M_{N}$, as done in the 1 . section, is not com patible $w$ th the local properties of these points. A though the explicit determ ination of an \alphabet" A and of the one-to-one correspondence betw een the stationary points of an arbitrary PES and sym bolic sequences ( $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}$ ) w ith n 2 A in general is not possible we believe, how ever, that such a relationship $m$ ay exist for certain potential functions $V$. This $w$ ill be proven in the third and fourth section for a certain class of functions $V\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{N}\right)$. O fcourse, for a nite system there will be a nite number of

| (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 14 | 13 |  |  |
|  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 12 |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 28 | 53 |
|  |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



F IG . 1: Labelling of the square lattice by (a) natural num bers and (b) by \sequences" ( 1 ; 2 ) w ith integer values of $n$.
stationary points which can be labelled by $=1 ; 2 ; 3 ;:::$. B ut sim ilarly to the sim ple $m$ odel $(\overline{\bar{\sigma}} \overline{-1})$ this $w$ ill be not the appropriate labelling.
$T$ hus, let us assum $e$ that we have found $A$ and the one-to-one $m$ apping:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(1 ;::: ;_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}() ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}()\right)=\mathrm{x}() \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

betw een the symbolic sequences and the stationary points $\mathrm{x}^{(1)} \stackrel{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{)}$. Their potentialenergy is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E()=V(x()): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that depending on the speci c potential energy V the sequence m ay contain m ore than N symbols, e.g. = ( $1 ;::: ; 3_{3}$ ). The Hessian at these stationary points is a function of , too. Therefore its number of negative eigenvalues, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$, and accordingly the saddle index $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is a function of , only:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}()=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}()=(3 \mathrm{~N}): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rem ainder of this section we w ill dem onstrate the usefulness of the $m$ apping (T, $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ ) for the calculation of som e properties of the PES. O ne of the $m$ ost interesting quantities is the joint probability density $P_{N}\left(v ; n_{s}\right)$ of stationary points w th potential energy $v$ per particle and saddle index $n_{s}$. W thout $m$ aking use of relation ( $\underline{I}_{1}$ ) it can be represented as follow s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (v } \left.N{ }^{1} \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{x})\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{~N}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{x})\right) \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

O ne of the $m$ ain technicalproblem $s$ for the evaluation of $P_{N}\left(v ; n_{s}\right)$ from Eq. (1 $\left.1 \underline{d}\right)$ is the occurrence of the $m$ odulus of the determ inant of the $H$ essian. T his $m$ odulus prevents the use of an integral representation by $G$ rassm ann variables. For $m$ ean- eld spin glass $m$ odels it has been show $n$ that the $m$ odulus can be neglected, at least for low energies ${ }^{1 d}$. Now, m aking use of Eqs. $\left(\overline{( }_{1}\right)-\left(\underline{q_{1}}\right)$, this probability density can also be represented by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P_{N}\left(v ; n_{s}\right)=N^{2} M_{N}^{1} \quad \mathbb{X} \quad \mathbb{N} v^{1} \quad E()\right) \quad\left(\mathbb{N}_{3} \quad N_{S}()\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is rew ritten as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P_{N}\left(v ; n_{s}\right)=\frac{N^{2} M_{N}^{1}}{(2)^{2}}{ }_{1}^{Z} d e_{1}^{Z /} d v+n_{s} f(;)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the \free energy" per particle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(;)=\mathrm{iN}^{1} \ln \mathrm{Z}(; \quad ; \mathrm{N}) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the \canonicalpartition function"

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(; \quad ; N)=^{X} \exp \left[i\left(E()+N_{s}()\right)\right]: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This dem onstrates that, e.g. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ can be obtained from a canonicalensemble" with probability density:

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\frac{1}{Z} e^{i(E()+N s())}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be interpreted as an inverse \tem perature" and as a \eld" acting as a bias on the num ber of negative eigenvalues of the H essian.

In the them odynam ic $\lim$ it N ! 1 the saddle point solutions $\left(v ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$;
( $v ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\frac{\varrho f}{@}(;) ; \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{@ f}{@}(;) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

yield up to a norm alization constant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}\left(v ; n_{s}\right) \quad e^{+N s\left(v ; n_{s}\right)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the con gurationalentropy (per particle) of stationary points w th energy per particle v and saddle index $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=\text { if } \quad\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \mathrm{v}+\quad\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) ; \quad\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right) \mathrm{g}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ allow s the calculation of the saddle index as function of energy. H ow ever, there are tw o possibilities. First, we determ ine the $m$ axim um $v$ of $s\left(v ; n_{s}\right)$ for $n_{s}$ xed. This yields the saddle index $n_{s}(v)$ as function of the average energy. Second, keeping the energy $v$ xed the $m$ axim um ofs $\left(v ; n_{s}\right)$ yields the average saddle index $n_{s}(v)$ as function of energy. These two functions, $n_{s}$ and $n_{s}$ are not identical, in general. From (1]) we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v ; n_{s}(v)\right)=0 ; \quad\left(v ; n_{s}(v)\right)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where E q. (1-1̄) has been applied.
The saddle index distribution $P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right)={ }_{1}^{R} \operatorname{dvP}_{N}\left(v ; n_{s}\right)$ can be represented as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}\left(n_{S}\right)={\frac{N M_{N}^{1}}{2}}_{2}^{Z} d e^{i N\left[n_{s} f_{0}()\right]} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}()=f(0 ;): \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N$ ! 1 the saddle point solution $o\left(n_{s}\right)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathrm{df}}{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{~d}() \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right) \quad e^{N s_{0}\left(n_{s}\right)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}\left(n_{s}\right)=\text { if } 0\left(n_{s}\right) n_{s} \quad f_{0}\left(l_{0}\left(n_{s}\right)\right) g: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its $m$ axim um position $n_{s}^{m}$ ax is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{max}}\right)=0: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last paragraph has dem onstrated the usefulness of the \them odynam ic" form alism based on a canonical ensemble (1-5는) in the space of sym bolic sequences. This fact also allow s to understand why there can be a singular topological change, e.g. as a function of $v$. There is a lower critical dim ension $d_{l o w}$ such that there is a critical \tem perature" $c^{1}$ for $d>d_{l o w}$ at which $f(;)$ is singular. This singularity then also occurs in the \Legendre transform " $\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ of $\mathrm{f}(;)$.

In the third and fourth section we $w$ ill show that this \therm odynam ic" form alism applied to a certain class of lattice $m$ odels allow $s$ an analytical calculation of $P_{N}\left(v ; n_{s}\right)$ from which the saddle index distribution and the energy dependence of the saddle index can be derived.

## III. NONINTERACTING PARTICLES

$W$ e consider a crystal w ith $N$ lattice sites. Let $x_{n}$ be the scalar displacem ent of particle $n$ from its lattioe site $w$ ith lattice vector $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Then we describe the potential energy by a ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=X_{n=1}^{X^{N}} V_{0}\left(x_{n}\right)+V_{1}(x) ; \quad>0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the doublew ell-like on-site potential:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}(x)=h x \frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{4} x^{4} ; h>0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and interaction $V_{1}(x)$. C hoosing an appropriate energy and length scale any general quartic on-site potential can be put into the form of Eq. (27]) .
 neglecting the interaction. Then Eq. [1]) becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{h}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h<h_{c}=2=\left(3^{p} \overline{3}\right)$ there are three di erent real roots $x_{n}=x_{n}(h) ;{ }_{n}=+; 0$; . Therefore the stationary points are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{0}()=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \text {; } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. w e have found the one-to-one correspondence betw een stationary points and sym bolic sequencesw ith an \alphabet" $A=+; 0 ; \quad . U \operatorname{sing} E q s$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}()=X_{n=1}^{X^{N}}\left[e_{0}+e_{1} n+e_{2}^{2}\right] \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{0}=v_{0} ; e_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{+} \quad v\right) ; e_{2}=v_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{+}+v^{\prime}\right)  \tag{31}\\
v & =v_{0}(x):
\end{align*}
$$

These results are obvious, since the stationary points of $V$ for $=0$ are uniquely determ ined by the stationary points of the local potential $V_{0}(x)$. The num ber of extrem a of $V_{0}(x)$ determ ine the \alphabet" A and their energy $V_{0}(x)$ yields the coe cients e; $=0 ; 1 ; 2$ of $\mathrm{E}_{0}()$. Furthem ore the function $\mathrm{N}_{s}()$ is also easily determ ined. Since we identify the $m$ axim um of $V_{0}\left(x_{n}\right) w$ ith $n=0$, its absolute and localm inim um, respectively, w ith $\mathrm{n}=+$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=$, it is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{s}()=X_{n=1}^{X_{N}^{N}}\left(1 \quad{\underset{n}{2}}_{2}^{n}\right): \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

 in the previous section. The calculation of the \partition function" (14) is easy. O ne gets from Eq. (13) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f(;)=\left(\theta_{0}+\right)+i \ln \left[1+2 e^{i\left(e_{2}\right.}\right) \cos e_{1}\right]: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The saddle point solutions of Eq (1-1]) are easily determ ined leading to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ from Eq. (1]-1) w ith:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=\quad\left[\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \ln \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+\mathrm{n}_{+}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \ln \mathrm{n}_{+}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}} \ln \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=\quad\left(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{v}_{4}\right)^{1}\left[(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{v})+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{v} \tag{35}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]:
$$

for asym $m$ etric double well, i.e. $v_{+} \in V . Q$ uite analogously one nds the saddle point ${ }_{0}\left(n_{s}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0\left(n_{s}\right)=i \ln \frac{1 \quad n_{\mathrm{s}}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}\left(n_{s}\right)=\quad\left[n_{s} \ln n_{s}+\left(1 \quad n_{s}\right) \ln \left(1 \quad n_{s}\right)=2\right] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sym $m$ etric and asym $m$ etric double well potentials.
To determ ine the relation betw een the saddle index and energy for sym $m$ etric double well, i.e. for $h=0$, we have to realize that $n_{s}$ and $v$ are no longer independent variables. This can easily be seen from Eq. (3'd), taking $e_{1}=0$ into account, which follow s from Eq. (311). T hen we arrive at

$$
E()=N v_{+}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
v_{0} & v_{+} \tag{38}
\end{array}\right) N_{s}()
$$



$$
v\left(n_{s}\right)=v_{+}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
v_{0} & v_{4} \tag{39}
\end{array}\right) n_{s} ;
$$

and no distinction betw een $n_{s}(v)$ and $n_{s}(v)$ exists. Because of the dependence of both variables $v$ and $n_{s}$ on each other their joint probability density reduces to $P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right)$ which is proportional to the probability density of v. From Eqs. (25) and (3G) we nd for sym $m$ etric and asym $m$ etric double wells:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{max}}=1=3 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is them axim um ofs $\left(n_{s}\right)$ (Eq. $\left(\bar{R}^{-7}\right)$ ) and therefore them axim um of the saddle index distribution $P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right) \cdot P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right)$ is a $G$ aussian for $\eta_{s} \quad r_{s}^{m a x} j=O(1=\bar{N})$, in agreem ent $w$ ith the num erical result for Lennard-Jones chusters $3^{3}$.

Let us retum to the asym $m$ etric double well. The fiunctions $n_{s}(v)$ and $n_{s}(v)$ can be obtained from the $m$ axim um of $s\left(v ; n_{s}\right)$ for $x e d n_{s}$ and $x e d v$, respectively. A s a result we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{s}(v)=\frac{\left(v+v_{+}\right) 2 v}{2 \mathrm{v}+\left(v+v_{+}\right)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{n_{+}\left(v ; n_{s}\right)}}{n_{s}} \frac{i \frac{v_{0} v}{v i v}}{v}={\frac{h}{n}\left(v ; n_{s}\right)}_{n_{s}}^{i \frac{v_{0} v+}{v v_{+}}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

 at $\mathrm{v}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{v}_{+}\right)$which is above the ground state energy $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gs}}=\mathrm{v}_{+}$, since we assum ed asym m etric double wells. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$
 an implicit one for $n_{s}(v)$, which can not be solved analytically. The num erical result is show $n$ in $F$ igure are two features to be $m$ entioned. First, $n_{s}(v)$ vanishes at the ground state energy $v_{g s}=v_{+}$, on $l y$. Second, it can be proven analytically that it exhibits a pow er law behavior in $v$ close to $v_{g s}$ (cf. the inset of Fig.


FIG.2: (a) saddle index $n_{s}$ as fiunction of the average energy $v$, (b) average saddle index $n_{s}$ as function of the energy $v$. B oth gures are for non interacting particles $(\ldots=0) \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{v}_{0}=0, \mathrm{v}=1$ and $\mathrm{v}_{+}=1: 8$. The inset in F ig. $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{h}$, show s the com parison of the exact result for $n_{s}(v)$ from Eq. (42) (solid line) w th the leading pow er law from Eq. (43) (dashed line).
for $\frac{v}{v_{g s}} \quad 1 \quad 1 \mathrm{w}$ th an exponent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{0} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{4}}{\mathrm{~V}} \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{4}}{}>1: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting that we nd for $n_{s}(v)$ (in contrast to $n_{s}(v)$ ) a nonanalyticalv-dependence close to $v_{g s}$, despite the neglection of interactions. In the next section we will show that this pow er law also exists in case of interactions.

So far we have dem onstrated that the \them odynam ic" form alism can be applied to get the saddle index distri-



by $N$, which is the number of $n$ of equalto $2 \mathrm{f} ; 0 ;+\mathrm{g}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N!}{N_{+}+\mathbb{N} 0_{0} \mathbb{N}!} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for xed saddle index $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{N}_{0}=\mathrm{N}$ has its m axim um weight for $\mathrm{N}_{+}=\mathrm{N}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{N} \quad N_{s}\right)$. Substituting this into expression (45.1) we get by use of Stirling's form ula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right) \quad e^{N s_{0}\left(n_{s}\right)} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$





Substituting this result into Eq. (3-d) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(n_{s}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(v+v_{+}\right)+\left[v_{0} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(v+v_{+}\right)\right] n_{s} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which Eq. (44극) is reproduced. The question arises: W hich of these features rem ain valid in the presence of interactions? T his w ill be discussed in the next section.

## IV. INTERACTING PARTICLES

N ow we will study the in uence of interactions on the results derived in the previous section. V ( x ) is given by Eq. (2G) and (2-1 ${ }_{1}$ ) where we will assume that the interaction energy $V_{1}(x)$ is (i) at least tw ice di erentiable and (ii) does not grow faster than quartic. To investigate the labelling of all stationary points of $V(x)$ for $>0$ we adopt the $m$ ethod used by M acK ay and $A$ ubry and M acK ay and Sepuldhre to prove the existence of breathercs ${ }^{991}$ and to investigate $m$ ultistability in netw orkstan respectively, i.e. the im plicit function theorem. In the last section we have proven for $=0$ that all stationary points $x_{0}() \quad x(=0$; ) are uniquely labelled by sym bolic sequences ; $n=+; 0 ;$ provided $h<h_{z}$. The eigenvalues ( 0 ; ) of the Hessian ( $=0$ ) are nonzero for all and $h<h_{c}$ with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sign}=n(0 ;)=1+2_{n}^{2} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

This im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\varrho^{2} \mathrm{~V}}{\varrho \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} @ \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}()\right)\right) \not 0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all . Let us introduce the fiunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(; \mathrm{x})=\frac{@ \mathrm{~V}}{@ \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}}(; \mathrm{x}) ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are at least one tim es di erentiable. N ote that we have $m$ ade the -dependence of $V$ explicit. Then Eq. $\underset{(l \mid l}{(\underline{f})}$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(; \mathrm{x})=0 ; \mathrm{n}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e have found solutions $x_{0}()$ for $=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}\left(0 ; \mathrm{x}_{0}()\right)=0 ; \mathrm{n}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

B ecause the Jacobian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@\left({ }_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{N}^{2}\right)}{@\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}()\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{@^{2} \mathrm{~V}}{@ \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} @ \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}()\right)\right) \not 0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all and $0 \quad h<h_{c}$, we can apply the im plicit function theorem ${ }^{2} 1$ hood $U()$ of $\left(=0 ; x_{0}()\right) 2 R^{N+1}$, of an open set $W()=[0 ; c()] \quad R$ and offunctions $x_{n}(;) ; n=1 ;::: ; N$ (at least one tim es di erentiable) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(; \mathrm{x}(;))=0 \text {; } \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all 2 W ( ) and all . This leads to a nonvanishing critical value

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=m \text { in } c()>0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{i}\right.$ ) are stationary points of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ for $0<{ }_{c}$. Therefore the one-to-one correspondence betw een these points and sym bolic sequences with $2 \mathrm{f}+; 0 ; \mathrm{g}$ holds for $0 \quad<_{c}$ and $h<h_{c}$.

At c a bifurcation occurs at which stationary points disappear and new ones $m$ ay be created. A ccordingly the \alphabet" and/or the $m$ apping betw een stationary points and sym bolic sequences $w$ ill change at c. This bifurcation is signalled by the vanishing of at least one of the eigenvalues (c; ), for one or m ore sequences. C onsequently, it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sign} \quad(;)=\operatorname{sign} \quad(0 ;) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<c_{c}$, since a change of sign occurs at $c_{c}$, only. $T$ his has the strong im plication that the saddle index ofx ( ; ) is identical to that of $\mathrm{x}(0 ;)$, which is given by the num ber N 0 of $\mathrm{n}=0$. This result can also be put into other w ords: A though the relative heights of the stationary points $w$ ill change $w$ ith , the topology will rem ain unchanged. $B y$ this we $m$ ean that a stationary point $w$ th $N_{s}$ unstable directions rem ains a stationary point $w$ ith $N_{s}$ unstable directions for $0<c$. We note, that this result requires condition (ii) for the function $V_{1}$. In case that $V_{1}(x)$ grow sfaster than quartic, additional stationary points $m$ ay occur already for arbitrary sm all values of . Validity of (ii) guarantees that $\mathrm{x}($; ), which are continuously connected to $\mathrm{x}(0$; ) , are the only solutions of Eq. (in) .

Som e generalconclusions can be draw $n$ from this result. Since the one-to-one correspondence betw een the stationary points and is preserved for $0<c$, the saddle index distribution $P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right)$ and accordingly $n_{s}^{m}$ ax $=1=3$ rem ains the sam e. A though the calculation of $n_{s}(v)$ and $n_{s}(v)$ needs the know ledge of the energy $E$ ( ) of the stationary points, one can prove som e general properties ofboth functions w ithout using the explicit form of $E$ (). Let us begin $w$ ith $n_{s}(v)$ which is obtained from $v\left(n_{s}\right)$. A s already show $n$ in the last section $v\left(n_{s}\right)$ is directly obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(n_{s}\right)=\left(\mathbb{N M}_{N}\left(n_{s}\right)\right)^{1} X_{N_{s}()=n_{s} N} E() \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{N}\left(n_{s}\right)$ is the num ber of $w$ ith $N_{s}()=n_{s} N . n_{s}=0$ yields all localm inim a of $V(x)$, including the ground state. M ost of these m inim a have an energy above the ground state energy $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gs}}$, i.e. it is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}(0)>\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}\right){ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gs}}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gs}}: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n_{s}=1$, i.e. $N=N_{s}$, there is only one stationary point, the $m$ axim um of $V(x)$, w ith energy $E(0 ;::: ; 0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(1)=\mathrm{N} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{E}(0 ;::: ; 0) \quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we nd that $n_{s}(v)$ vanishes at $v>v_{g s}$ and becomes one at $v=v_{m a x} \cdot W$ hether or not $n_{s}(v)$ is always $m$ onotonically increasing $w$ th increasing $v$ is not clear. In contrast to $n_{s}(v)$, the average saddle index $n_{s}(v)$ is nonzero for all $v>v_{g s}$. $W$ ithout presenting a rigorous prove, let us explain why this should be true. The ground state belongs to $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=0$ and is characterized by w ith ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{gs}}=+$ or . This also holds, if it is degenerate. N ow, let us choose $K$ particles $j_{1} ;::: ; j_{k}$ for which we change ${\underset{j}{k}}_{\mathrm{gs}}^{\mathrm{j}} 2 \mathrm{f}+; \mathrm{g}$ into $j_{\mathrm{k}}=0$. The corresponding stationary point has $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{N}$. If $\mathrm{K}=1$, we generate a \defect" w ith excitation energy $\mathrm{j}_{1}$. T hen K \defects" have an energy $E_{j_{1}::: j_{k}}=N v_{g s}+{ }_{k=1}^{\mathbb{p}} j_{k}+(\ldots)$, where (...) is the interaction energy of the defects. It is obvious that $E_{j_{1}::: j_{k}}=N \quad$ ! $v_{g s}$ for K ! $1, \mathrm{~N}$ ! 1 with $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{N}$ ! 0 . $\backslash \mathrm{D}$ efect" con gurations w ith xed energy $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}_{1}::: \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{K}}}=\mathrm{N}$ will have uctuating $K$ with $0<K=N$. If we choose $v \quad V s$ arbitrary sm allbut nonzero the average saddle index $n_{s}=K=N$ willbe sm all, but nite, too. C onsequently $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ can only vanish at $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gs}}$. Since there is one stationary point ( $m$ axim um) w ith energy $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax , only, it m ust be $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}\right)=1$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}\right)=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{max}}\right)=1: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, it is not obvious whether $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{v})$ is m onotonously increasing w ith increasing v .

To get $m$ ore quantitative results for $n_{s}(v)$ and $n_{s}(v)$, we have to specify $E()$. Since the solutions $x($; ) are not known exactly this can only be done approxim ately. In the follow ing we will present the crucial steps leaving out technical details. O ur punpose is to derive the qualitative structure of E ( ) . To be explicit we choose harm on ic interactions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{1}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{nn}} \quad 0: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+x_{n}^{3} \quad h=X_{m} V_{n n} x_{m} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved by iterations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{h}}^{(1)}+\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}\right)^{3} \quad \mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(0)} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(0)}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}(=0 ; \mathrm{h})=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad(\mathrm{~h}) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

 has three real roots:
which willbe expanded with respect to :

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n}^{(1)}(;) & =x_{n}(h) \quad X_{n}^{0}(h)^{X} V_{n m} x_{m}(h)+  \tag{66}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} x_{n}^{\infty}(h)^{m} X_{m 0}^{0} V_{n m} V_{n m} 0 x_{m}(h) x_{m 0}(h):
\end{align*}
$$

$\frac{d^{\prime} x(h)}{d h}$ can be represented as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{`} x(h)}{d h^{`}}=X_{i=0}^{X^{2}} x_{i}^{(\prime)}(h)^{i} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$


w ith:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i_{n}}(h) \quad x_{i_{n}}^{(0)}(h) ; x_{n} ; i_{n} i_{m}(h)=\quad x_{i_{n}}^{0}(h)^{X} V_{n m} x_{i_{m}}(h) \text {;etc. : } \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& E^{(1)}()  \tag{70}\\
& V\left(x^{(1)}()\right)=X_{n}^{X}\left[e_{0}^{(1)}()+e_{1}^{(1)}()_{n}+e_{2}^{(1)}()_{n}^{2}\right]+
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{n_{1} \in n_{2}} X \\
& +{ }^{2} \underset{n_{1} \in n_{2} \in n_{3} \in n_{1}}{ } A_{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}^{(1)} n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}+B_{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}^{(1)}\left(\underset{n_{1}}{2} n_{2} n_{3}+\right. \\
& n_{1} \notin n_{2} \in n_{3} \notin n_{1} \\
& \left.+\quad \mathrm{n}_{1} \stackrel{2}{\mathrm{n}_{2}} \mathrm{n}_{3}+\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \stackrel{2}{\mathrm{n}_{3}}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3}}^{(1)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 2 \\
\mathrm{n}_{1} & \mathrm{n}_{2} \\
\mathrm{n}_{3}
\end{array}+\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where (. ) are four-, ve- etc. body interactions which are of order ${ }^{4}$ etc. The coe cients in capital letters
 Em ery-Gri ths model. U sing higher order iterates the corresponding energy $E$ () ( ) will be sim ilar to Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{J})$ w th \renorm alized" coe cients $e_{i}^{()} ; A_{n_{1} n_{2}}^{(\prime}$, etc. On the other hand it is obvious that any function $f()$ can be represented by a form as given on the rh s. of Eq. (7G). Therefore we choose for E ( ) the rh s. of Eq. (70 the superscripts.
$W$ e begin $w$ ith the calculation of $n_{s}(v)$. Taking $\left(v ; n_{s}(v)\right)=0$ (cf. Eq. (19-19)) into account the saddle point equations $(\overline{1} \overline{1})$ reduce to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{v}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{Z}(0 ; \mathrm{N})} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{E}() \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{s}}()} \text {; }  \tag{71}\\
& n_{s}=\frac{1}{N} \quad \mathrm{Z}(0 ; ~ ; N)^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{s}}() e^{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{s}}()}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(n_{s}\right)$ is easily obtained from the second equation of $\left(\overline{7} \overline{\bar{I}_{1}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{s}\right)=i \ln \frac{2 n_{s}}{1 \quad n_{s}} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the rst one can be w ritten as follow s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & =\frac{1}{N} f^{X}\left[e_{0}()+e() h_{n} i_{0}+e_{2}() h_{n}^{2} \dot{i}_{0}\right]+ \\
& +X^{n}\left[A_{n_{1} n_{2}} h_{n_{1}} i_{0} h n_{n_{2}} i_{0}+B_{n_{1} n_{2}}\left(h_{n_{1}}^{2} i_{0} h n_{n_{2}} i_{0}+h_{n_{1}} i_{0} h{\underset{n}{2}}_{2}^{2} i_{0}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+C_{n_{1} n_{2}} h n_{n_{1}}^{2} i_{0} h_{n_{2}}^{2} i_{0}\right]+\quad g
\end{aligned}
$$

w ith

$$
\operatorname{hf}() \dot{i}_{0}=\begin{gather*}
X  \tag{74}\\
=+; 0 ;
\end{gather*} \quad f() e^{i}\left(l^{2}\right)=\begin{aligned}
& X \\
& =+; 0 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking from Eq. (T2̄2) into account one easily nds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathrm{n}} \dot{i}_{0}=0 \quad ; \mathrm{h}{\underset{\mathrm{n}}{2}}_{2}^{\dot{x}_{0}}=1 \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}: \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

 N $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & n_{s}\end{array}\right)=2$ which im m ediately im plies Eq. (75)

$$
v\left(n_{s}\right)=e_{0}()+e_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{~g} \tag{76}
\end{array}\right)+C_{2}(0)\left(1 \quad n_{s}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2} C_{3}(0)\left(1 \quad n_{s}\right)^{3}+
$$

with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(0)={\underset{n}{n_{2} \in 0}}_{X}^{C_{0 n_{2}}} ; \quad C_{3}(0)=\underbrace{X}_{0 \in n_{2} \in n_{3} \notin 0} C_{0 n_{2} n_{3}} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the lattice translational invariance has been taken into account. For $s m$ all enough, $v\left(n_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ is $m$ onotonous in $n_{s}$ and we can solve Eq. (7̄G) for $n_{s}(v)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{v})=1+\frac{\mathrm{v}\left(\Theta()+e_{2}()\right)}{e_{2}()} \quad \frac{e_{2}(0)}{e_{2}()}\left(\frac{\mathrm{v}\left(\Theta()+e_{2}()\right)}{e_{2}()}\right)^{2}+0\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the bare one-particle quantities $e_{i_{1}}$ (cf. Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{3} \bar{O}_{1}\right)$, ( $\left.\overline{3} \bar{I}_{1}\right)$ ) are $\backslash$ renorm alized" to $e_{i}()$ which depend on the coupling constants $V_{n m}$. Putting in Eq. (7 7 interaction betw een the particles has two e ects. First, it \renorm alizes" the one-particle coe cients e $i ; i=0 ; 1 ; 2$ such that $e_{1}() \in 0$, in general. This corresponds to a non-symm etric, e ective on-site potential. Second, $n_{s}(v)$ becom es nonlinear. Its curvature depends on the sign of the e ective coupling constant $C_{2}(0)$.
$T$ he calculation of $n_{s}(v)$ is $m$ uch $m$ ore involved, because one has to perform averagesw ith respect to $\exp [i E()]$, which contains the interactions. T his is in contrast to the averaging $w$ ith $\exp \left[i N_{s}()\right]$ (cf. Eq. (Tijil) which factorizes. Therefore an analytically exact determ ination of $n_{s}(v)$ for all $v$ is not possible. But, in the follow ing we
$w$ ill dem onstrate that the \them odynam ic" form alism can be applied to get $n_{s}(v)$ for $v=v_{g s} 1$ 1. In that lim it we can perform a cum ulant expansion. The sim plest case is a \ferro-elastic" ground state, i.e. ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{gs}}+$, as for the non-interacting particles. For v close to $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gs}}=\mathrm{E}(+;::: ;+)=\mathrm{N}$ only such stationary points exist for which a low concentration of ${ }_{n}^{0}$ s deviate from + . Therefore we introduce \defect" variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=1 \quad \mathrm{n} \quad: \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ground state belongs to ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}=0$. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=1$ or 2 indicates a \defect" $\cdot$ Replacing in Eq. (7ă) ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ by n yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E()=E(+;::: ;+)+E_{0}()+E_{1}() \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.E_{0}()={ }^{X} \quad e_{1}()_{n}+e_{2}()_{n}^{2}\right]  \tag{81}\\
& \text { X }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +:::
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{A}_{n_{1} n_{2}} ; \widetilde{B}_{n_{1} n_{2}}$, etc. contain di erent orders in and vanish for $=0$. They can easily be expressed by $A_{n_{1} n_{2}} ; B_{n_{1} n_{2}}$, etc. For $e_{1}() ; e()$ one obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{1}()+\varepsilon()=\theta() \quad Y_{s}() \quad \forall() \quad y_{s}()  \tag{82}\\
& 2\left(e_{1}()+2 e_{2}()\right)=\Theta() \quad \varrho()+\Theta_{2}() \quad \oint_{s}()=v() \quad y_{s}()
\end{align*}
$$

 the \free energy":

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(;)=V_{g s}+f_{0}(;)+\frac{1}{N} h E_{1}() i_{0}+  \tag{83}\\
& \left.+i^{2} \frac{1}{2 N} h\left(E_{1}()\right)^{2} i_{0} \quad\left(\mathrm{HE}_{1}() i\right)^{2}\right]+
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities w ith subscript 0 are obtained w ith the unperturbed \canonicalensem ble":

$$
\begin{equation*}
0()=\frac{1}{Z_{0}} e^{i\left(E_{0}()+N_{s}()\right)} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s}} & =\frac{@ \mathrm{f}_{0}}{@}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}\left(h \mathrm{E}_{1}() i_{0}+\frac{\varrho}{@} h \mathrm{E}_{1}() i_{0}\right)+  \tag{85}\\
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}} & =\frac{@ f_{0}}{@}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{\varrho}{@} h \mathrm{E}_{1}() i_{0}+
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have only given term sup to the rst cum ulant. $f_{0}(;)$ can easily be calculated from Eq. (84) $\frac{\varrho f_{0}}{\varrho}$ and $\frac{@ f}{\varrho}$ are linear in $h{ }_{n} \dot{i}_{0}$ and $h{ }_{n}^{2} \dot{i}_{0}$ where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n} i_{0}=\frac{A+2 B}{1+A+B} ; h_{n}^{2} i_{0}=\frac{A+4 B}{1+A+B} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\exp \left[i\left(\left(Q+e_{2}\right)+\right) ;\right] ; B=\exp \left[\text { i2 }\left(Q+2 e_{2}\right)\right]: \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correction term $s$ in Eq. $\left.\overline{\operatorname{l}} \bar{S}_{1}^{1}\right)$, i.e. the rst, second, etc. cum ulants are quadratic, cubic, etc. in $h_{n} i_{0}$ and $h_{n}^{2}$ in $_{0}$.
 in leading order are linear in $v \quad V_{s}$ and $n_{s}$ and that the cum ulant term $s$ in Eq. ( $8=1$ perform ed in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{8} \overline{5} \bar{J}_{1}\right)$ the di erentiations, we are allow ed to set $\left(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=0$, since we are calculating $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ as function of $v$. W riting $A$ and $B$ as the result for $=0$ plus a correction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=n_{s}\left(1+{ }_{A}\right) ; B=\frac{\left(v V_{g s}\right)\left(e+e_{2}\right) n_{s}}{2\left(e_{1}+2 e_{2}\right)}\left(1+{ }_{B}\right) \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

one nds from Eq. ( $\overline{8} \overline{5} 5)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime} \quad\left(\mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\right) \ln n_{\mathrm{s}} ; \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \quad\left(\mathrm{cn}_{s}+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\right) \ln n_{\mathrm{S}} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$




$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{s}(v)^{\prime} x+O\left(x^{+1} \ln x\right)+O\left(x^{2} \quad{ }^{1} \ln x\right) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{v \quad \operatorname{lgs}_{s}()}{v() \quad ¥()} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\frac{v_{0}() \quad \oint_{s}()}{v() \quad \oint_{s}()} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used Eq. ( $8 \overline{2} \overline{2}$ ). C om parison of the result ( 9 ( $\bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) w ith the corresponding one for noninteracting particles (Eq. $\left.\left.44^{\prime}\right]_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ) show s that the interactions do not change the power law dependenc. But, they lead to m odi ed next-toleading order corrections, containing logarithm ic dependence on $v$ Vgs.
V. D ISCUSSIONSAND CONCLUSION S

The purpose of the present paper has been twofold. First of all, we wanted to establish a relationship betw een the stationary points which are solutions of a set of coupled, nonlinear equations, and sym bolic sequences, where $n$ takes values from an alphabet A. W e have proven for the class of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odels that such a unique relation exists, provided, that the coupling param eter is below a critical value ${ }_{c}$. This proof is based on Aubry's anti-continuum lim it ${ }^{11} 1^{18}$ and the application of the im plicit function theorem, sim ilar to the proofofexistence ofbreatherby $M$ ack ay and A ubry ${ }^{1}-19$. A ssum ing that such a one-to-one correspondence exists in generalwe have dem onstrated in the second section that one can use a therm odynam ic" form alism for the calculation of statistical properties of the stationary points. C onsequently, this description allow s to investigate topological quantities of the so-called \energy landscape" of a potential energy $V\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{n}\right)$. P articularly, it yields an explanation why a topological singularity, e.g. for the Euler characteristic of the $m$ anifold $M_{n}$ (v) (cf. Eq. (4) ) can occur. W e stress that ourf them odynam ic" form alism is not the sam e as that recently used to calculate the full canonical partition function ${ }^{221}$. T here the con guration space has been divided into basins of the stationary points. Taking also the vibrational degrees of freedom into account these authors were able to calculate the canonical partition function, under a couple of assum ptions.
$T$ he relationship betw een stationary points and sequences already proves that there are in totaln ${ }_{A}^{N}=\exp \left(\mathbb{N} \ln n_{A}\right)$ stationary points. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}$ - is the num ber of \letters" of the \alphabet" A. For rather special m odels this has already
 num ber of negative eigenvalues of the $H$ essian can be related to the num ber of $n$ 's taking certain values. For the
${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel this value is 0 . Sim ple com binatorics leads for $P_{N}\left(n_{s}\right)$ to a G aussian of $w$ idth proportionalto $N \quad 1=2$ and a $m$ axim um at $n_{s}^{m}$ ax $=1=3$. It is interesting that this value obtained for a ${ }^{4}$-lattice $m$ odel coincides $w$ th the result found for Lennard-Jones clusters for $4 \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathbf{9}$. Is this just an accident? The answer is not clear. It $m$ ay be true that the potential energy landscape of a liquid can be decom posed into basic \units" which are doublewell-like. Of course, the sm allest \unit" one can choose are two adjacent localm inim a. Because both must be connected by a barrier one arrives at a doublew ellpotentialw ith extrem a being labelled by $+; 0$;. Them ain open question is: C an one really construct the full energy landscape by connecting such double well potentials and accounting correctly for its connectivity?

For the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odelwe have found the energy dependence of the saddle index. It has becom e clear that the functions $n_{s}(v)$ and $n_{s}(v)$ are not identical. W hereas both functions are equal one at the largest possible value for $v$ and $v$ $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=\mathrm{N}{ }^{1} \mathrm{E}(0 ;::: ; 0)$, they have di erent behavior below $\mathrm{N} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{E}(0 ;::: ; 0) . \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{v})$ vanishes at v above the ground state energy $v_{g s}$ and $n_{s}(v)$ becom es zero at $v=v_{g s}$, only. $W$ e think that this behavior is true even for liquid system s . T he \them odynam ic" form alism hasm ade it possible to get quantitative results forboth functions, at least for $s m$ allenough coupling param eter.$n_{s}(v)$ is linear in $v$ for $=0$ and becom es nonlinear for $>0$. $\operatorname{ag}(\mathrm{v}$ ) is already nonlinear in $v$ in case of $=0$. Forv close to Vgs it exhibits a power law. $W$ e have show $n$ that th is pow er law behavior is not changed for $0 \ll c$, assum ing the ground state to be ferroelastic. For an antiferroelastic ground state one
arrives at the sam e conclusion. H ow ever, whether the pow er law exists for periodic ground states w ith period larger than two or even for quasiperiodic ones is not yet clear. In addition, its im portance for physically relevant quantities is not obvious.
$T$ he fact that functions $n_{s}$ and $n_{s}$ are di erent, seem $s$ to be in variance $w$ th the num erical results of $R$ ef $f^{m^{211}}$. These tw o functions were found to . pe the sam $e$, $w$ ith in statisticalerrors. $T$ his im plies that also $n_{s}(v)$ vanishes at an energy above $v_{g s}$. The liquid in Reft? was equilibrated at a tem perature, e.g. $T=2$ and 0.5 (in Lennard-Jones units). $\mathrm{T}=0: 5$ is already close to the m ode coupling tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{w}$ here the dynam ics is already rather slow. For $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=0$ there is a huge variety of stationary points $w$ ith energies $v_{g s} \quad v \quad N^{1} E() w$ ith $n=+$ or . Their maxim um weight occurs when $N_{+}=N=N=2\left(N=\right.$ number of $n ' s$ in which are equalto ). The stationary points $w$ ith $n_{s}=0$ which are close to $v_{g s}$ have an exponentially sm aller weight and can only be found at $T \mathrm{~m} u \mathrm{u}^{2}$ sm aller than $0: 5$ where the con gurations look like a crystalw ith a low concentration of defects. It seem $s$ to us unlikely that the sim ulation done in $\mathrm{Ref}^{2_{2}}$ which has reached $\mathrm{T}=0: 5$ from the liquid phase has really been in the range of such defected crystalline con gurations. O ur result that $n_{s}(v)$ vanishes $a t_{1}-v_{g s}$, only, which im plies that $n_{s}$ as function of tem perature vanishes at $T=0$, only, is consistent w ith recent results (21. and second paper $\mathrm{O}_{12}^{12}$ ).

Let us com e back to a liquid system. H ow could one apply a sim ilar strategy as used in the present paper? The answer is as follow s: D ivide the sam ple with $m \mathrm{~N}$ particles into m •ooxes $w$ ith N particles where $1 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~N}$. Let us sw itch o the inter-box interactions. Then the num ber of stationary points and the saddle index properties can be related to the corresponding quantities of a single box. This starting point corresponds to A ubry's anti-continuum lim it, although a single box represents already a nontrivial problem. Then one can use again the im plicit function theorem to prove that the topological features are unchanged under tuming on the inter-box interactions, provided their strength is below a critical value. H ow ever, increasing this strength such that inter-and intra-box-interactions are the sam e m, ay exceed the critical strength. As far as we know this type of reasoning has been used rst by Stillinger (see ${ }^{51}$ and references therein). Recently it has been used again ${ }^{2} 4^{20} 4$. Based on independent boxes the authors of $R \operatorname{eft}^{2}$. , have derived a relationship between , a and which yield the num ber of stationary points w ith saddle index $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{N}$ of a single box:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{n}\left(n_{s}=0\right)=\exp N  \tag{93}\\
M_{n}\left(n_{s}=1=N\right)=\operatorname{aN} \exp N
\end{array}
$$

and the total num ber of stationary points of a box:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\text {tot }}=\exp \mathrm{N}: \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad+a \ln 2: \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow let us apply this reasoning to our ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. There it is:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{N}\left(n_{S}=0\right)=2^{N}=\exp (\mathbb{N} \ln 2)  \tag{96}\\
M_{N}\left(n_{S}=1=N\right)=N \quad N_{2}^{1}=\frac{1}{2} N \exp (\mathbb{N} \ln 2) \\
M_{N}^{\text {tot }}=3^{N}=\exp (\mathbb{N} \ln 3) ;
\end{array}
$$

i.e. we nd: $=\ln 2, a=\frac{1}{2}$, and $=\ln 3$. Substituting and a into Eq. (9득) we get for its r.h.s.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}: \mathrm{h}: \mathrm{s}:=\frac{3}{2} \ln 2^{\prime} \quad 1: 039 \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is close but not identicalto $=\ln 3^{\prime} 1: 098$. W hether this sm alldiscrepancy is a hint that correlations.betw een boxes can be neglected or not, is not obvious.

To conclude, we have dem onstrated the usefilness of a one-to-one correspondence betw een stationary points and sym bolic sequences.
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