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A bstract. It was known that by a duality transform ation, interacting bosons at lling factor $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}$ hopping on a lattice can be m apped to interacting vortioes hopping on the dual lattice subject to a uctuating dual "magnetic eld"whose average strength through a dual plaquette is equal to the boson density $f=p=q$. So the kinetic term of the vortiges is the same as the H ofstadter problem of electrons $m$ oving in a lattice in the presence of $f=p=q$ ux per plaquette. M otivated by this $m$ apping, we study the $H$ ofstadterbands ofvortioeshopping in the presence ofm agnetic $u x f=p=q$ per plaquette on 5 m ost com m on bipartite and frustrated lattices nam ely square, honeycom b, triangular, dice and $K$ agom e lattices. W e count the total num ber of bands, determ ine the num ber of $m$ inim a and their locations in the lowest band. W e also num erically calculate the bandw idths of the low est H ofstadter bands in these lattioes that directly $m$ easure the $m$ obility of the dual vortices. $T$ he less $m$ obil the dual vortices are, them ore likely in a super uid state the bosonsare. W e nd that except the $K$ agom e lattice at odd $q$, they allsatisfy the exponentialdecay law $W=A e^{c q}$ even at the sm allest q. A t given $q$, the bandw idth $W$ decreases in the order of $T$ riangle, Square and $H$ oneycomb lattice. This indicates that the dom ain of the super uid state of the originalbosons increases in the order of the corresponding direct lattices: H oneycom e, Square and Triangular. $W$ hen $q=2$, we nd that the the low est $H$ ofstadter band is com pletely at for both K agom e and dice lattioes. There is a gap on K agom e lattice, but no gap on dice lattice. This indicates that the boson ground state at half lling w ith nearest neighbor hopping on K agom e lattice is alw ays a super uid state. The super uid state rem ains stable slightly aw ay from the half lling. O ur results show that the behaviours ofbosons at or near half lling on $K$ agom e lattioe are quite distinct from those in square, honeycomb and triangular lattioes studied previously.
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## 1. Introduction

The E xtended B oson $H$ ubbard $m$ odelw ith various kinds of interactions, at various kinds lattices (bipartite or frustrated) at various kinds of lling factors ( commensurate

$w$ here $n_{i}=b_{i}^{y} b_{i}$ is the boson density and $U ; V_{1} ; V_{2}$ are onsite, nearest neighbor ( $n n$ ) and next nearest neighbor ( $\mathrm{n} n \mathrm{n}$ ) interactions between the bosons. The m ay include further neighbor interactions and possible ring-exchange interactions. For a bipartite lattice, the sign of $t$ can be changed by changing the sign of $b_{i}$ in one of the two sublattices. But in a frustrated lattice, the sign of $t m$ akes a di erence.

It is very im portant to extend Boson Hubbard model in bipartite lattices to frustrated lattioes such as triangular, dice and $K$ agom e lattioes, because of the follow ing m otivations:
(1) For atatom s adsonptions on bare graphite, the preferred adsonption sites form a triangular lattioe. The phase diagram sof coverage (the lling factor) verse tem perature resulting from the com petitions of these energy scales are very diverse and rich [li1, 'İ1]. It was believed that Eqn
(2) A tom ic physicists are trying to construct an e ective two dim ensional frustrated optical lattices using laser beam s and then load either ultra-cold ferm ion orboson atom $s$ at di erent lling factors on the lattice. They may tune the param eters to realize

(3) In the hard-oore $\lim$ it U ! 1 , due to the exact $m$ apping between the boson operator and the spin $s=1=2$ operator: $b_{i}^{y}=S_{i}^{+} ; b_{i}=S_{i} ; n_{i}=S_{i}^{z}+1=2$, the boson m odel Eqn'극 can be mapped to an anisotropic $S=1=2$ quantum spin model in an

where $\mathrm{h}=2 \mathrm{~V}_{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ for a square lattioe. N ote that in this H am iltonian, there is a ferrom agnetic coupling in the X Y spin com ponents and anti-ferrom agnetic coupling in the $Z$ spin com ponent. A gain, in a bipartite lattice, the sign of $t$ can be changed by changing the sign of $S_{i}^{x}$; $S_{i}^{Y}$ in one of the two sublattioes, but keeping $S_{i}^{z}$ untouched, so Eqn. ${ }_{i} \overline{2}$ is the same as $Q$ uantum Heisenberg A ntiferrom agnet (QHA). H ow ever, in a frustrated lattioe, the sign of $t m$ akes a di erence, so Eqn. 'i is quite di erent from the QHA. The one to one correspondence between physical quantities in boson model and those in spin $m$ odel are the boson density corresponds to the $m$ agnetization $n \$ M$, the chem icalpotentialcorresponds to themagnetic eld $\$ \mathrm{~h}$,
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the com pressibility corresponds to the susceptibility $=\frac{\varrho n}{\varrho} \$=\frac{\varrho M}{\varrho h} \cdot T$ he boson number conservation corresponds to the $U(1)$ rotation around $\hat{z}$ axis, the super uid state $\left\langle\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\rangle \in 0$ corresponds to the X Y ordered state $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{+}>0\right.$, the charge ordered state corresponds to the $m$ odulation of $\left\langle S^{z}\right\rangle$. The supersolid corresponds to the
 lim it, the Eqn ${ }_{-1}^{1-1}$ at half lling ( $q=2$ ) has the Particle $H$ ole ( $P H$ ) symmetry
 the $T$ im ereversal sym $m$ etry $S_{i}^{+}$! $\quad S_{i} ; S_{i}^{z}!\quad S_{i}^{z}$. Eqn '运 on triangular lattioe at $\mathrm{q}=2$ is the prototype $m$ odel to study supersolid state w ith $\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{H}$ sym m etry [ī $\overline{\mathrm{T}}$ ].

Them odelE qn 'ي.1', w th only the onsite interaction on square lattioe was rst studied in Ref.[].]. Thee ects of long range C oulom b interactions on the transition was studied in [鲾. Very recently, the m ost general cases in square lattice at generic com m ensurate
lling factors $f=p=q$ ( $p$; $q$ are relative prim e num bers ) were system atically studied in
 obtained a dual theory of Eqn ${ }^{1}=1$ in term of the interacting vortioes a hopping on the dual lattice sub ject to a uctuating dual" $m$ agnetic eld". The average strength of the dual" $m$ agnetic eld "through a dualplaquette is equal to the boson density $f=p=q$. $T$ his is sim ilar to the H ofstadter problem of electrons $m$ oving in a crystal lattice in the presence of a magnetic eld of this dualm agnetic eld dictates that there are at least $q$-fold degenerate $m$ in $\dot{m}$ a in the $m$ ean eld energy spectrum. The $q m$ inim a can be labeled as $1 ; 1=0 ; 1$; ;q which form s a $q$ dim ensional representation of the M SG. In the continuum lim it, the nale ective theory describing the superconductor to the insulator transition in term $s$ of these q order param eters should be invariant under this M SG. If $<{ }_{1}>=0$ for every $l=\quad$, the system is in the super uid state. If $\left\langle{ }_{1}\right\rangle 0$ for at least one 1 , the system
 antivortex pair, but still keeps < ${ }_{1}>=0$ for every l. In the insulating or supersolid state, there m ust exist som e kinds ofcharge density wave (CDW) (we assum e that every boson carries one intemal charge ) or valence bond solid (VBS) states which $m$ ay be stabilized by longer range interactions or possible ring exchange interactions included in Eqn'1.1. Very recently, the dualm ethod was used to study the E xtended B oson H ubbard m odel on a triangular lattioe

In a recent paper [ $[1-2 \overline{2}]$, one of the authors applied the dual approach of the extended boson H ubbard $m$ odel Eqn 'in to study the reentrant "super uid" in a narrow region of coverages in the second layer of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{H}$ e adsorbed on graphite detected by C row ell and Reppy's torsional oscillator experim ent in 1993 [īTh, '1] 1 consecutive transitions at zero tem perature driven by the coverage : a Com m ensurateC harge D ensity $W$ ave ( $C D W$ ) at half lling to a narrow window ofsupersolid, then to an Incom $m$ ensurate-CDW. In the Ising lim it, the supersolid is a CDW supersolid; whereas in the easy-plane lim it, it is a valence bond supersolid. B oth transitions are second order transition w th exact critical exponents $z=2 ;=1=2 ;=0$. The results concluded that ${ }^{4} \mathrm{H}$ e lattioe supersolid was already observed in 1993. He also applied the same
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dual $m$ ethod to study $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{K} r /$ graph ite system investigated in the recent experim ent [1] $\overline{1}=1]$ and proposed that a judicious choice of substrate could also lead to an occurrence of hydrogen lattioe supersolid. Im plications to the realizations of a lattice supersolid of ultra-cold atom $s$ in optical lattioes were also given in Ref. $1 \bar{i} 2 \overline{1}]$.
$N$ ote that in the dual vortex picture, there are alw ays interactions betw een vortioes. Because the phase factors from the dualm agnetic eld only appear in the kinetic term, the interactions alw ays com mute with any generators in the M SG, so will not change the sym m etry of the M SG .

In this paper, we study the H ofstadter bands of vortioes hopping in the presence of dualm agnetic eld $f=p=q$ on the 5 m ost com m on bipartite and frustrated lattioes such as square, honeycom b, triangular, dice and $K$ agom e lattioes. W e especially study the bandw idth of the low est bands. There are at least two m otivations to study the bandw idth of the low est bands (1) A spointed out in $[\underline{9}]$, as q becom es too large, the dual vortex $m$ ethod su er the follow ing two draw backs (a) A s dictated by the M SG, there are $q m$ inim $a$ in the $B Z$, so the distance in $m$ om entum space betw een these $m$ inim a scales as $1=q$, the continuum theory only w orks at $k \quad 1=q$, therefore applies only at distance $q$. The validity regim ef the dual vortex theory shrinks. (b) W hen integrating out the vortex $m$ odes aw ay from them inim a, one encounters energy denom inators determ ined by this.bandw idth, so the dualvortex $m$ ethod $m$ ay com pletely break dow $n$ if the bandw idth becom es too sm all. By a sim ple argum ent, they estim ated that at large q, the bandw idth W of the low est $H$ ofstadter band scales as $W \quad e^{c q} w$ ith $c$ at the order of 1 [ $\overline{1}$ Q $]$. So the sm aller the bandw idth, the sm aller the valid regim e of the dual vortex approach. (2) In the dual vortex picture, there are both a kinetic energy term and interactions between vortices. The kinetic term favor the $m$ oving of the vortioes, while the interactions favor the localization of the vortices, the com petition of the two energy scales may result all kinds of phases such as super uid, CDW, VBS and even supersolid phase'[1 $1 \mathbf{1} 1]$. In this paper, we focus on the kinetic term only. C alculating the bandw idth of the kinetic term is very im portant, because the sm aller of the bandw idth, the $m$ ore inert the vortices ( the less $m$ obil the vortioes are ), therefore the boson super uid state is m ore likely to occur.

By choosing suitable gauges and solve corresponding H arper's equations in the 5 lattices. we count the num ber of bands, determ ine the num ber of $m$ inim a and their locations in the lowest H ofstadter bands. The results are listed in Table 1. W e also num erically calculate the bandw idths of the low est bands in these lattioes at any $q$ and test against the estim ate $W \quad A e^{c q} . W$ e believe that although the argum ent in $\left.{ }_{[10}^{[1]}\right]$ seem s reasonable, it is far from being convincing. So it is im portant to test this argum ent by quantitative num erical calculations. We nd that except the $K$ agom e lattice at odd $q$, the exponential law is indeed satis ed and determ ine ( $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{c}$ ) for the 5 di erent lattices. $T$ he results are listed in Table 2. $W$ e nd that at given $q$, the bandw idth $W$ decreases in the order of T riangle, Square and H oneycom b lattice. T he corresponding direct lattices are honeycomb, square and triangular lattices, so the tendency to form a super uid state increases. A s shown in the Table 2, when $q=2$, the low est bands in both $D$ iqe
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and $K$ agom e lattices are at. In dice lattice, the gap betw een the second at band and the lowest at band is $\overline{6}$. It indicates that for the original boson at half lling w ith nearest neighbor hopping on the $K$ agom e lattice, there could be only super uid state. H ow ever, in $K$ agom e lattioe, the gap betw een the second dispersive band and the low est at band vanishes at $\mathbb{K}=(0 ; 0)$, so the second dispersive band can not be ignored even in the low est energy lim it. D ue to the gap vanishing on the $K$ agom e lattice, we can not say de nite things about the ground state in the originalboson on a D ioe lattioe. There are som e previous results on the energy spectra on square, honeycom e and triangular
 lattioes, especially the discussions on the possible boson ground states on corresponding direct lattices are new and $m$ ost interesting.

There are two equivalent $m$ ethods to be used to study the $H$ ofstadter bands. O ne is the $M$ agnetic $B$ rillouin Zone ( $M B Z$ ) m ethod to be em ployed in the $m$ ain text. This $m$ ethod is physically $m$ ore transparent and intuitive. A nother is the sym $m$ etric $m$ ethod used in $[\underset{-1}{\bar{\beta}}]$ and to be used in the appendix. This $m$ ethod treat $x$ and $y$ coordinates on equal footing, so is $m$ ore sym $m$ etric than the rst one. In the $m$ ain text, we will use the rst $m$ ethod to derive $H$ anper's equations in the 5 lattioes and then solve the equations analytically at sm all $q$ and num erically at large q . In the appendix, we will use the second $m$ ethod to repeat the calculations. A though the coe cients of H anper's equations in the two schem es are di erent, as expected, we nd that they result in the sam e energy spectra.

In the following, we will rst study two bipartite lattioes, nam ely square and honeycomblattioes, then we will investigate 3 frustrated lattioes nam ely triangular, $D$ ioe and $K$ agom e lattices. In the nal section, we sum $m$ arize our results in Table 1 and Table 2, we also com ment on the results on CDW form ations in high tem perature
 cases, we focus on $\mathrm{p}=1$ case.

## 2. Square lattice

W e are looking at the H ofstadter band of vortioes hopping around square lattioe in the presence of magnetic ux $f=p=q$ per square '[1] ( $F$ ig.1). In the MBZ method, one


Fig 1: (a) a m agnetic unit cell of square lattice, (b) P hase factors on bonds, 0 phase factors are not shown.

Them obility ofdualvortices in honeycom b, square, triangular, $K$ agom e and dice lattices 6 $m$ agnetic unit cell is $q$ tim es larger than the conventional unit cell ( F ig.1a). For the sim plest gauge chosen in Fig. 1 (b), the hopping $H$ am iltonian is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=t^{x}\left[j x+a_{1}><x j+j x+a_{2}>e^{i 2 f a_{1}}<x j+h: c:\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing, for sim plicity, we set $t=1$. The eigenvalue equation $H \quad(\mathfrak{k})=$ E ( $\mathbb{K}$ ) (夭) leads to the $H$ arper's equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i k_{x}}{ }_{11}(\mathbb{K}) \quad 2 \cos \left(2 \mathrm{fl}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathcal{y}}\right)_{1}(\mathbb{K}) \quad e^{\mathrm{ik}_{x}} \quad{ }_{1+1}(\mathbb{K})=E(\mathbb{K})_{1}(\mathbb{K}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1=0 ; \quad ; q_{q} 1 ; k_{k} \quad \bar{q} ; \quad$ k.
For sm all values of q, Eqn: ${ }_{-1}$ solve it num erically. There are alw ays $q$ bands. We focus on the low est energy band

$T$ he spectnum for $q=4$ is shown in $F$ ig. 2. In order to see clearly all the 4 M SG related m inim a, only part of the energy band close to the 4 m inim a in the lowest band is included. W e also num erically calculated the bandw idth of the low est band


Fig 2: T he low est energy band of square lattice at $q=4$.
upto $\mathrm{q}=18$. W e found that it indeed satisfy the exponential law $\mathrm{W}=A \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{cq}} \mathrm{w}$ ith $A=26: 05 ; \mathrm{C}=1: 20$. In a sem i-log plot, it is a straight line which is shown in $F$ ig 3
$W$ hat is surprising is that even for the sm allest $\mathrm{q}=1 \mathrm{which}$ is the no m agnetic eld case, the exponential law is still satis ed.
3. H oneycom b lattice

H oneycomb lattice is not a Bravais lattice, it can be thought as a underlying parallelogram B ravais lattice w th two prim itive vectors $\hat{a}_{1}=\hat{x} ; a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \hat{x}+\frac{{ }^{p} \overline{3}}{2} \hat{y}$ plus a two point basis located at $x+^{\sim}$ and $x+2^{\sim}$ where $\sim=\frac{1}{3}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)(F i g 2)$. Its
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Fig 3: T he bandw idth of low est band in square lattice vs $q$
reciprocal lattioe is also a parallelogram $B$ ravais lattioe spanned by $\widetilde{K}=k_{1} \widetilde{5}_{1}+k_{2} \widetilde{5}_{2} w$ ith $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{ij}^{\mathrm{i}}$.

(a)

(b)

Fig 4: (a) A m agnetic unit cell of honeycom blattice (b) Phase factors on bonds, 0 phase factors are not shown

In the M B Z m ethod, onem agnetic unit cell is q tim es larger than the conventional unit cell (Fig.4a). In one conventional unit cell, there are also two atom s which are labeled by two color indices a and b (Fig.4). W e are looking at the Hofstadter band of vortioes hopping around a honeycom b lattice in the presence ofm agnetic ux $f=p=q$ per hexagon. For the sim plest gauge chosen in $F$ ig. 4 (b), the vortex hopping H am iltonian is:

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & t^{x}\left[j x+\sim><x j+j+\sim><x+a_{1} j\right. \\
& \left.+j{ }_{x}+\sim>e^{i 2 f a_{1}}<x+a_{2} j+h: c:\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The H anper's equation is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+\dot{e}^{j\left(k_{x}+2 f 1\right)}\right){ }_{1}^{a}(\widetilde{k}) \quad e^{j k_{y}}{ }_{1+1}^{a}(\mathbb{K})=E(\widetilde{k}) \quad{ }_{1}^{b}(\widetilde{k}) \\
& \left(1+e^{i\left(k_{x}+2 f 1\right)}\right){ }_{1}^{b}(\mathbb{K}) \quad e^{i k_{y}}{ }_{11}^{b}(\widetilde{k})=E(\widetilde{k}){ }_{1}^{a}(\widetilde{k}) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $l=0 ; \quad ; q \quad 1$ is the $\quad$ avor indices and $a ; b$ is the color indiees, $k_{k} \quad \bar{q}$.
For small values of $q$, Eqn ${ }_{6}^{-\bar{\sigma}}$ can be solved analytically. W hen $q=1$, there is actually no magnetic eld, it is just ordinary tight-binding $m$ odel. There are

Them obility ofdualvortices in honeycom b , square, triangular, K agom e and dice lattices 8 two bands: $\overline{\mathrm{q}} \overline{3+2\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\operatorname{cosk} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}+\cos \left(k_{\mathrm{x}}+k_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right.}$. The lowest energy band is $3+2\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{y}}+\cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right)$. There is only one minimum at $(0 ; 0)$. The bandw idth is 3 . The $q=2$ case is especially interesting, because the original boson
 at zero eld. For $q=2$, there are 4 bands $E \mathbb{K})=\begin{array}{ll}\text { t } 3 & 2 A(\mathbb{K}) \text { where } A(\mathbb{K})= \\ \text { ( }\end{array}$
 There are 4 m inim a at $(=6 ; \quad=6)$ and $\quad(=6 ; 5=6)$. The 4 m in m a transform s to each other under the M SG .

(1) $q$ is odd, there are $q m$ in $\dot{m}$ a at $(0 ; 2 \mathrm{fl}) ; 1=0 ; \quad$; $\mathrm{q} \quad 1$. (2) q is even, there are 2 q m inima at $\left(\frac{\overline{3 q}}{} ; \quad \overline{3 q}+2 \mathrm{fl}\right)$ where $=\quad ; 1=0 ; \quad$;q 1.

For large values of $q$, we solve Eqnie num erically. Just like in square lattioe, we focus on the energy band near the $m$ inim a in the lowest energy band. The $q=3$ and $q=4$ spectrum are shown in $F i g$. $5(\mathrm{a})$, $5(\mathrm{~b})$ respectively.


Fig 5: The low est energy bands of honeycomblattioe at (a) $q=3,(b) q=4$
W e also num erically calculated the bandw idths of the low est band upto $q=18 . \mathrm{We}$ found that they satisfy the exponential law $W=A e^{c q} w$ th $A=11: 82 ; \mathrm{c}=1: 66$ for both $q$ even and odd. In a sem i-log plot, it is a straight line which is show $n$ in $F$ ig.6.


Fig 6: The bandw idth of honeycom b lattice vs q
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W hat is surprising is that even for the $s m$ allest $q=1$ which is the no magnetic eld case, the exponential law is still satis ed.

## 4. Triangu lar lattice

In the previous tw o sections, we studied tw o bipartite lattioes. In this section, we study the sim plest frustrated lattice which is the triangular lattioe. A s said in the introduction, the physics in frustrated lattioes could be very di erent from that in bipartite lattioes.

(a)

(b)

F ig 7 :Triangular Lattice (a) m agnetic unit cellof $T$ riangular lattice, (b) $P$ hase factors on bonds, 0 phase factors are not show $n$.

W e are looking at the H ofstadter band of vortiges hopping around a triangular lattice in the presence of $m$ agnetic $u x f=p=q$ per triangle. For the sim plest gauge chosen in F ig. 7 b , the H am iltonian is:

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & t^{x}\left[\dot{j}+a_{1}><x j+\dot{j}+a_{2}>e^{i 22 f a_{1}}<x j\right. \\
& \left.+j x+a_{1}+a_{2}>e^{i 2} 2 f\left(a_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)<x j+h: c:\right] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding $H$ anper's equation is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \cos \left(k_{y}+2 \mathrm{fl}\right)_{l}(\widetilde{K}) \quad\left(e^{i k_{x}}+e^{i\left(k_{x}+k_{y}+2 f(2 l 1)\right)}\right) l_{11}(\widetilde{K}) \\
& \left(e^{i k_{x}}+e^{i\left(k_{x}+k_{y}+2 f(2 l+1)\right)}\right){ }_{l+1}(\widetilde{K})=E(\widetilde{K})_{1}(\widetilde{K}) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{l}=0$; ; $\quad 1$.
From the phase factors on the bond, it is easy to see that when $q$ is even, there are only $\frac{9}{2}$ unit cells in one $m$ agnetic unit cell. Since the $m$ agnetic unit cell shrink to $\frac{9}{2}$, the range of $k_{x}$ in the $m$ om entum space double its range accordingly. Therefore in Eqn'i. $\bar{i}$, for $q$ is odd, $\bar{q} \quad k_{k} \quad \bar{q}$, while forqeven, $\frac{2}{q} \quad k_{k} \quad \frac{2}{q}$.

In fact, as show in $[\underline{9}]$, there are three cases in a triangular lattioe: (1) W hen q is odd, there are $q$ bands. There are $q \mathrm{~m}$ in m a at $(0 ; 4 \mathrm{fl}) ; \mathrm{l}=0$; ; $\mathrm{q} \quad 1$ in the lowest band. (2) W hen q is even, there are $\mathrm{q}=2$ bands. There are still two subcases: (2a). $\mathrm{q}=2 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{w}$ th n odd, there are m m inim a at $\left(\frac{2}{3 q} ; \frac{2}{3 q}+4 \mathrm{fl}\right), \quad=\quad$ and $\mathrm{l}=0$; $\frac{\mathrm{q}}{2} 1$. (2b). $q=2 n \mathrm{w}$ ith n even, there are $\frac{\mathrm{q}}{2} \mathrm{~m}$ inima at $(0 ; 4 \mathrm{fl}) ; \mathrm{l}=0$; $\frac{\mathrm{q}}{2} \quad$;i.

For $q=1$ which is the no $m$ agnetic case, the energy spectrum is $E(\mathbb{K})=$ $2\left(\cos k_{\mathrm{x}}+\cos \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}+\cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right)$, there is only one minima at $(0 ; 0)$. For $q=2$, as
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 two $m$ in im a located at ( $\overline{3} ; \quad \overline{3}$ )
 ( 2 n w ith n odd case) and $\mathrm{q}=8$ ( 2 n w ith n even case ) are show n in Fig . 8a,b,c respectively.

(a)
$\mathbf{E}$

(b)

(c)

Fig 8: The low est energy bands of triangular lattioe at (a) $q=3,(b) q=6,(c) q=8$

W e also num erically calculated the bandw idth of the low est band upto $q=25$ for $q$ is odd and upto $q=30$ for $q$ is even. For $q$ odd, we nd $A=9: 21 ; c=0: 82$ ( $F$ ig. 9a). For $q=2 n$, both $n$ is odd and even, the bandw idth satisfy the sam e exponential law $w$ ith $A=55: 70 ; c=0: 83$ ( $F$ ig.9b ).


Fig 9: The bandw idth of triangular lattice vs q (a) $q$ is odd, (b) $q$ is even
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## 5. D ice lattice

T he dige lattige is the dual lattige of the K agom e lattige. It can be thought of consisting of two interpenetrating honeycom b lattige. Obviously, the dige lattige is not a B ravais lattice, it can be thought as a underlying parallelogram B ravais lattioe w ith two prim itive lattioe vectors $a_{1}=\hat{x} ; a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \hat{x}+\frac{p}{2} \hat{y}$ plus a three point basis labeled $a ; b ; c$ located at $x ; x+\sim ; x+2^{\sim}$ where $^{\sim}=\frac{1}{3}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)(F i g .10)$. In contrast to the honeyoom b lattige show $n$ in $F$ ig. 4 , the dige lattioe is not a bipartite lattige and has a 3-sublattige structure.

(a)

Fig $10: D$ ioe Lattice (a) m agnetic unit cell of $D$ ice lattice, (b) P hase factors on bonds, 0 phase factors are not show $n$.

W e are looking at the H ofstadter band of vortioes hopping around a dige lattige in the presence ofm agnetic ux $f=p=q$ per parallelogram. For the sim plest gauge chosen in F ig 10b, the H am iltonian is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { * } \\
& +\dot{j}+\tau>e^{i 23 f\left(a_{1}+\frac{1}{3}\right)}<x+a_{2} j+\dot{j}+\tau><x+a_{i} j \\
& \left.+\dot{j} x+\tau>e^{i 23 f\left(a_{1}+\frac{2}{3}\right)}<x+a_{1}+a_{2} j+h: C:\right] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding $H$ anper's equation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e^{i k_{x}}+e^{i\left(k k_{x}+k_{y}+23 f\left(l+\frac{2}{3}\right)\right)}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
c \\
l 1
\end{array}(\widetilde{K})=E(\widetilde{K}){ }_{l}^{a}(\widetilde{K}) ; \\
& \left(1+e^{i\left(k_{y}+23 f l\right)}\right) \quad{ }_{l}^{a}(\widetilde{K}) \quad e^{i k_{x}} \quad \underset{l+1}{a}(\widetilde{K})=E(\widetilde{K}){ }_{l}^{b}(\widetilde{K}) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =E(\widetilde{\kappa}){ }_{1}^{c}(\widetilde{\kappa}) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $l=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; q \quad 1$ is the avor indioes and $a ; b ; c$ are the 3 color indiges.
For the sim plest gauge show n in F ig.10b, we need to distinguish tw o general cases: $q=3 n$ and $q \in 3 n$. For $q \in 3 n$, we nd out there are still two subcases: $q$ is even and q is odd.
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W hen $q$ is sm all, we can solve the $H$ anper's equation analytically. For $q=1$ which is the no magnetic eld case, there are 3 bands: $3+2\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\cos \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}+\cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)\right)$ and 0. The lowest band is $E(\widetilde{K})=\quad q \overline{3+2\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\operatorname{cosk} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}+\cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} k_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right)}$. The $m$ in im um is at $(0 ; 0)$. For $q=2$, all the 6 bands are com pletely at. The energies $\operatorname{are} \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{P}_{\overline{6} ; 0 ;} \overline{\mathrm{C}}$, each w th degeneracy 2 . For $q=3$, there are also 3 bands: $\overline{6+2 A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)}$ and 0 . The lowest band is $E(\mathfrak{K})=\overline{6+2 A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)}$ where $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\cos \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}+\cos \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}+\cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)+\cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)+\cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}+\frac{2}{3}\right)+\cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}+\frac{2}{3}\right)$. $T$ he two $m$ inim a are at $(0 ; 0)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{3} ; \frac{2}{3}\right)$.


Fig 11: The low est energy bands of dice lattice at (a) $q=9,(b) q=6,(c) q=5,(d) q=4$


Fig 12: The bandw idths of dice lattice vs $q(a) q=3 n,(b) q \in 3 n$ and odd, (c) $q \in 3 n$ and even
In general, there are four cases in the dioe lattcie: (1) $q=3 n, \frac{3}{q} \quad k_{k} \quad \frac{3}{q}$. There are $q$ bands. $W$ e also need to distinguish two subcases: (1a). $n$ is odd, there are $2 n$ $m$ inina at $\left(0 ; \frac{2}{n} l\right)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{3 n} ; \frac{2}{3 n}+\frac{2}{n} l\right) ; l=0 ; \quad$; $\quad 1 . q=9$ case is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 11 (a).

Them obility ofdualvortices in honeycom b, square, triangular, K agom e and dice lattices 13 (1b). $n$ is even, there are m inim at $\left(\frac{2}{3 n} ; \frac{2}{3 n}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{l}\right) ; \mathrm{l}=0$; $\quad$; $\mathrm{n} \quad 1 . \mathrm{q}=6$ case is show n in $F$ ig. 11 (b). For both cases, the bandw idth falls as $14: 73 e^{0: 55 q}$ as shown in $F$ ig. 12 (a). (2) $q \in 3 n, \bar{q} \quad k_{x} \quad \bar{q}$. There 3q bands. W e also need to distinguish two subcases: (2a). $q$ is odd, there are $2 q m$ in m a at $\left(\frac{2}{3 q} ; \quad+\frac{1}{3 q}+\frac{2}{q} l\right)=\quad ; l=0 ; \quad$; $1 . q=5$ case is shown in Fig. 11 (c). The bandw idth falls as $0: 54 \mathrm{e}^{0: 54 \mathrm{q}}$ as shown in F ig. 12 (b). (2b). $q$ is even, there are $q m$ in $\frac{1}{m}$ a at $\left(-\underset{q}{-}-\frac{2}{q} l\right) ; l=0$; $\quad$ q $\quad 1 . q=4$ case is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 11 (d), the bandw idth falls as $1: 01 e^{0: 54 q}$ as show $n$ in $F$ ig.12c.

It seem $s$ to us that all the four cases have the sam e c w thin num erical errors, but with di erent magnitudes A.

## 6. K agom e lattice

K agom e lattice is not a Bravais lattice either, it can be thought as a underlying parallelogram $B$ ravais lattioe $w$ th two prim itive lattice vectors $a_{1}=\hat{x} ; a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \hat{x}+\frac{p-\overline{3}}{2} \hat{y}$ plus a three point basis labeled a;b; clocated at $x ; x+a_{1}=2 ; x+a_{2}=2$ as shown in $F i g .13$. N ote that the K agom e lattice contains both triangles and hexagons.

(a)

(b)

Fig 13: K agom e lattice (a) m agnetic unit cell of $K$ agom e lattice, (b) $P$ hase factors on bonds, 0 phase factors are not show $n$.

W e are looking at the H ofstadter band of vortioes hopping around a K agom e lattice in the presence ofm agnetic ux $f=p=q$ per triangle and $6 f$ ux quantum per hexagon. So overall, there are 8 f ux quanta per parallelogram. For the sim plest gauge chosen in $F$ ig 13 b , the H am iltonian is:

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & t_{x}^{x}\left[j x+\frac{a_{1}}{2}><x j+j x+\frac{a_{2}}{2}>e^{i 28 f a_{1}}<x j+j x+a_{1}><x+\frac{a_{1}}{2} j\right. \\
& +j x+a_{2}><x+\frac{a_{2}}{2} j+j x+\frac{a_{1}}{2}+a_{2}>e^{i 2}<x+a_{1}+\frac{a_{2}}{2} j \\
& \left.+j x+\frac{a_{2}}{2}>e^{i 2} 8 f\left(a_{1}+\frac{1}{8}\right)<x+\frac{a_{1}}{2} j+h: c:\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$
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The corresponding H arper's equation is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{1}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{K}) \quad e^{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}}{ }_{11}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{K}) \quad\left(e^{j k_{y}}+e^{\mathrm{i} 28 f 1}\right){ }_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{K})=\mathrm{E}(\mathbb{K}){ }_{1}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathbb{K}) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\mathrm{E}(\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}){ }_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}) \text {; } \\
& \left(e^{i k} y+e^{i 28 f 1}\right) \quad l_{1}^{a}(\widetilde{K}) \quad e^{i 28 f\left(1+\frac{1}{8}\right)} \quad_{1}^{b}(\widetilde{K}) \\
& \left.e^{i\left(2 f+k_{x}\right.} k_{y}\right) \quad \operatorname{b}_{l 1}(\widetilde{K})=E(\widetilde{K}) \quad{ }_{l}^{c}(\widetilde{K}) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$




Fig 14: The low est energy bands of $K$ agom e lattioe at (a) $q=3,(b) q=6,(c) q=12,(d) q=16,(e)$ $q=24$.

For the gauge chosen in $F$ ig.13a, we can solve the spectra at $q=1 ; 2 ; 4 ; 8$ exactly, because for all the four cases, we only need to solve a 3 by 3 matrix whose secular equation is a cubic equation ${ }^{3}\left(4+A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)\right)+2 \cos \left(\frac{2}{q}\right) A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)=0$ where $A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)=2+2\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{x}+\operatorname{cosk}_{y}+\cos \left(k_{x} \quad k_{q}\right)\right)$. There are 3 bands. For $q=1$ which is the non $-m$ agnetic case, the 3 bands are $11+A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right) ; 0$. The low est band is $E(\widetilde{K})=1_{q}^{q} \frac{q}{1+A\left(k_{x} ; k_{\mathrm{q}}\right)}$ whosem inm um is at $(0 ; 0)$. For $q=2$, the 3 bands are $2 ; 1 \bar{q} \overline{1+A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)} ; 1+{ }^{q} \overline{1+A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)}$. We can see the lowest band is com pletely at, the second band toyches the low est band at $\mathbb{K}=(0 ; 0)$ where the gap vanishes ! For $q=4$, the 3 bands are $4+A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right) ; 0$. The low est band is $E(\widetilde{K})=4+A\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)$. Them in $\dot{m} u m$ is at $(0 ; 0)$. For $q=8$, we need to solve the cubic equation num erically, the $m$ inim um of the lowest band is found to be at $(0 ; 0)$.

In general, there are 5 cases in K agom e lattioe: (1) $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}$ is odd, $\overline{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{q}}$, there are $q \mathrm{~m}$ inina in the spectrum at $\left(0 ; \frac{2}{q} 1\right) ; 1=0 ; 1$; $\quad$ q $\quad 1, q=3$ case is show $n$ in Fig. 14 (a). We nd that the bandwidth does not satisfy the exponential law as show $n$ in $F$ ig.15a. (2) $q=2 n$ with $n$ odd, $\frac{2}{q} \quad k_{x} \quad \frac{2}{q}$, there are $\frac{\mathrm{q}}{2} \mathrm{~m}$ inima at $\left(0 ; \frac{4}{q} 1\right) ; 1=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; q=2 \quad 1, q=6$ case is shown in $F$ ig. $14(b)$. But when $q=2$,
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as shown above, the lowest energy band is completely at. From Fig.15b, we can clearly see two separate straight lines. We divide the data into separate sets. For set 1 in $F$ ig.15b1, the bandw idth falls as $0: 33 e^{0: 20 q}$. For set 2 in $F$ ig. 15 b 2 , we have the bandw idth falls as 0:10e ${ }^{0: 20 \mathrm{q}}$. (3) $\mathrm{q}=4 \mathrm{n}$ w th n odd, $\frac{4}{q} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \frac{4}{\mathrm{q}}$, there are $\frac{9}{4} \mathrm{~m}$ in im a at $\left(0 ; \frac{8}{q} 1\right) ; l=0 ; \quad ; q=4 \quad 1, q=12$ is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 14 (c). The bandw idth falls as $2: 46 \mathrm{e}^{0: 21 \mathrm{q}}$ as shwon in Fig .15 (c). (4) $\mathrm{q}=8 \mathrm{n}, \frac{8}{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \frac{8}{q} ; 1=0 ; 1 ; \quad$; $=8 \quad 1$, there are also tw o subcases (4a) when $n$ iseven, there are $2 n \mathrm{~m}$ inim a at ( $\frac{\pi}{3 n}$; $\quad+\frac{1}{3 n}+\frac{2}{n} 1$ ) $=\quad . \mathrm{q}=16$ is show n in F ig. 14 (e). (4b) W hen n is odd, there are n m inim a at $\left(0 ; \frac{16}{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{l}\right)$, $q=24$ is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 14 (d). Forboth cases, the bandw idth falls as $13: 87 e^{0: 21 \mathrm{q}}$ as show $n$ in F ig. 15 (d). There are 3 n bands in all these cases.

It sem s to us that all the ve cases have the sam e c w ithin num erical errors, but w th di erent m agnitude A .


Fig 15: The bandw idth of the bands in $K$ agom e lattice at (a) $q$ is odd, (b) $q=2 n$ with $n$ odd, (b. 1 ) $q=2 n$ case $1,(b 2) q=2 n$ case 2 , (c) $q=4 n$ with $n$ odd, $(d) q=8 n$

## 7. Sum $m$ ary and conclusions

In this paper, we have studied the energy spectra of the $H$ ofstadter band of vortioes hopping on ve lattices in the presence ofm agnetic $u x f=p=q$ per sm allest plaquette. O ur results on dice and K agom e lattices are m ost new and interesting. The num ber of the energy bands and the num ber of $m$ inim a in the lowest band in the ve lattioe are listed in table 1.
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| bipartite | square | H oneycom b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{q}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}=0\end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | q bands | 2q bands |  |
| frustrated | triangular | dice | K agom e |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2 \mathrm{n}}=\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} ; \\ & \mathrm{n} \\ & 2 \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{o}^{\prime}\end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}^{3 \mathrm{n}}=\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{e} \\ & 2 \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}=0\end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}=0$ |
|  | $\frac{9}{2}$ bands | 3 n bands | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}=0$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\circ}=\mathrm{q}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q} 6}^{\mathrm{e}} 3 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{q}$, | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }_{\text {d }}=\mathrm{n}=0$ |
|  | $q$ bands | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{qf} \text { 3n }}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=2 \mathrm{q}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}^{8 \mathrm{n}}=\begin{aligned} & \text { 2n; } \\ & \mathrm{n}\end{aligned} \mathrm{n}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{e}$ |
|  |  | above two have 3q bands | all cases have 3n bands |

Table 1: The num ber of $m$ in im um of the lowest $H$ ofstadter bands in the 5 lattioes. $N_{q}^{n} m$ eans $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{Su} \mathrm{x}$ e and om ean even and odd. Wealso list the totalnum ber ofbands just below each cases. At $q=2$, the low est band in $K$ agom $e$ and dice lattices is com pletely at.

It w as argued in ${ }_{\underline{1} 9}^{9}$ ] $]$ that for large $q$, the bandw idth of the low est energy $H$ ofstadter band in square lattice scales as $W=A e^{c q}$ with $C \quad 1 . W$ e believe that although the argum ent seem s reasonable, 边 is far from being convincing. So it is im portant to test this argum ent by quantitative num erical calculations. W e tested the nule by num erically calculating the bandw idths of the low est H ofstadter bands in the 5 lattioes. W e found that this rule is indeed satis ed for all the lattioes even for sm allest values of $q=1$ except the K agom e lattice for $q$ is odd. For K ogom e and dice lattices, the low est band is com pletely at at $q=2$. The results of ( $A ; C$ ) for the ve lattiges are listed in the table 2:

| Square | H oneycom b | Triangle | D iae | K agom e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(26: 05 ; 1: 20)$ | $(11: 82 ; 1: 66)$ | $(55: 70 ; 0: 83)_{\mathrm{e}}$ | $(14: 73 ; 0: 55)_{3 \mathrm{n}}$ | odd, not apply |
|  |  | $(9: 21 ; 0: 82)_{\circ}$ | $(1: 01 ; 0: 54)_{e 6} 3 \mathrm{n}$ | $(0: 33 ; 0: 20)_{2 \mathrm{n} ; 1}$ <br> $(0: 10 ; 0: 20)_{2 \mathrm{n} ; 2}$ |
|  |  |  | $(0: 54 ; 0: 54)_{\mathrm{of} 3 \mathrm{n}}$ | $(2: 46 ; 0: 21)_{4 \mathrm{n}}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $(13: 87 ; 0: 21)_{8 \mathrm{n}}$ |

Table 2: The bandw idths param eters (A; c) of the low est H ofstadter bands in the 5 lattiges. Su x $e(0) \mathrm{m}$ eans q is even (odd). At $\mathrm{q}=2$, the low est band in K agom e and dice lattioes is com pletely at.

From table 2, it is easy to see that for a given lattioe, although the prefactor A could be di erent for di erent cases, the c rem ains the sam e w ithin the num ericalerrors for a given lattioe. For K agom e lattioe when $q$ is odd, the bandw idth does not satisfy any exponential decay law. H ow ever, in any other cases, they do satisfy the exponential law s. The peculiarity of $K$ agom e lattioe $m$ ay be related to the fact that, in contrast to all the other 4 lattices, $K$ agom e lattioe has both triangles which enclose $f$ ux quanta and hexagonswhich enclose 6 f ux quantum.

A s said in the introduction, the rst m otivation to study the bandw idth is to look at the valid regim e of dual vortex approach in the 5 lattioes. If $q$ is too large, the
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bandw idth becom es too $s m$ all, the dualvortex approach $m$ ay not be valid anym ore. For exam ple, on square lattioe, when $q=4, \mathrm{~W}=0.21$ is already very sm all. T his fact puts som e doubts on the results of CDW form ations in high tem perature superconductors in [9,-1] where $q$ as large as $8 ; 16 ; 32$ are used. In fact, large $q m$ eans very dilute boson density in the direct lattice. In this case, the super uid is probably the only ground state anyw ay except there are very very exotic long range interactions in Eqn ${ }_{-1}^{1 / 1}$ which $m$ ay stabilize CDW and VBS.Fortunately, the $q=2$ (which is the sm allest non-trivial case ) in honeycomb lattice was applied by one of the authors to study Helium and H ydrogen adsonption problem s on various substrates in tī2].
$T$ he second $m$ otivation is to study the tendency for interacting bosons to form a super uid in the 5 lattioes. Thebandw idth is proportional to the vortex hopping $m$ atrix elem ent, so the sm allness ofbandw idth favors the localization of the vortioes, therefore enhance the tendency to form a super uid. At given $q$, the bandw idth $W$ decreases in the order of $T$ riangle, Square and $H$ oneycom b lattice. The corresponding direct lattioes are honeycom b, square and triangular lattioes whose coordination num bers are 3, 4 and 6. It is known the the higher coordination, the easier for bosons to get the ordered super uid state. The conclusions achieved in dual lattice are indeed consistent with our intuition in the direct lattice.

A s shown in the table 1, when $q=2$, the low est bands in both $D$ ige and $K$ agom e lattices are pat. In dice lattice, the gap between the second at band and the lowest
at band is ${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{6}$. H ow ever, in K agom e lattice, the gap betw een the second dispersive band and the lowest at band vanishes at $\tilde{K}=(0 ; 0)$, so the second dispersive band can not be ignored even in the low est energy lim it. In dioe lattioe, all the three bands are at, the vortices are com pletely inert, the interactions certainly favor the localization of the vortices. It indicates that for the original boson at half lling ( $q=2$ ) with nearest neighbor hopping on the $K$ agom e lattice, there could only be a super uid state. Slightly aw ay from half lling, it was known that the super uid state is stable against sm all num ber of vacancies or interstitials, we expect the super uid state rem ains stable. This is in sharp contrast to bosons at half lling hopping on triangular lattice where there is a dispersion in the low est band as show $n$ in this paper. D ue to the com petition betw een the kinetic energy and the interactions betw een the vortioes, there is a transition from a super uid to a supersolid state as shown in '[iT]. The $q=2$ case at square ${ }^{[1]}[\mathrm{p}]$ and honeycom.b [ī2] lattioes were shown to have CDW or VBS to super uid transition in Ising or easy-plane lim it. Slightly aw ay from half lling, in the CDW or VBS side, it was shown in [ī̄] that there must be a CDW or VBS supersolid state intervening between commensurate CDW or VBS to In-commensurate CDW or VBS in Ising or easy-plane lim it. O bviously, the behaviors of bosons on $K$ agom e lattice at or near half lling ( $q=2$ ) are quite distinct from those in square, honey and triangular lattices.

It is im portant to stress that the exactly at bands at $q=2$ at $D$ ioe lattioe are com pletely due to the special lattice structure ofD ice lattice w hich localize the vortioes. $T$ he dual vortex theory im $m$ ediately leads to the boson super uid state in the $K$ agom $e$ lattice. O f course, the bandw idth goes to zero at large $q$ in any lattices. H ow ever, as
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The $m$ ain body of this paper only discusses the $p=1$ case. Taking complex conjugate on the H arper's equation $\mathrm{H} \quad(\mathbb{K})=\mathrm{E}(\mathbb{K})(\mathbb{K})$ leads to $H \quad(\widetilde{K})=\mathrm{E}(\mathbb{K}) \quad(\mathbb{K})$. O bviously, $H$ corresponds to $f$ which is equivalent to $1 \quad f=1 \quad p=q$, so $p=1$ has the sam e energy spectra as $p=q \quad 1$ for non-interacting vortices. Of course, vortex interactions will not have the periodicity $f!1+f$ anym ore, so $p=1$ and $p=q \quad 1$ in Eqn'걱 $m$ ay not be equivalent.

In a future publication, we are trying to understand by analyticalm ethods sim ilar to the ones used in $\underline{\underline{2}} \overline{\mathrm{Z}}]$ (1) why $\mathrm{W}=A e^{c q}$ is satis ed at even sm allest value of $q$ ? Is this a unique feature of any tight binding model ? (2) For di erent cases on triangular and dice lattioes and K agom e lattice for q is even listed in Table 2, why c is the sam e w ithin the num erical errors, while A di ers ? (3) W hat is the bandw idth rule in K agom e lattice for odd q ? W e will also construct M SG 's for dice and K agome lattioe to understand the energy spectra structure in Table 1.
J. Ye thanks E.Fradkin for helpfuldiscussions.

## APPENDIX

In this appendix, we sim ply list the H anper's equations in the sym $m$ etric $m$ ethod rst used in [9] ${ }_{-1}^{-}$. They $m$ ay look di erent from those corresponding equations got by M BZ $m$ ethod used in the $m$ ain text, but we show that both lead to the sam e H ofstadter bands in the ve lattioes. This check ensure the correctness of the results in the $m$ ain text.
(1) Square lattioe

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i k_{y}} \quad 11 \quad 2 \cos \left(k_{x}+2 f l\right) 1 \quad e^{j k_{y}} \quad 1+1=E \quad 1 \tag{A-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l=0 ; \quad ; q_{\bar{q}} 1 ; k \quad \bar{q}$
(2) H oneycom b lattice

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+\dot{e}^{j\left(k_{x}+2 f 1\right)}\right){ }_{1}^{a} \quad e^{k_{y}} \quad \underset{1+1}{a}=E \quad \begin{array}{l}
b \\
1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l=0 ; \quad ; q \quad \bar{q} 1 ; k_{k} \quad \bar{q}$.
(3) Triangular lattice

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e^{i k_{y}}+e^{i\left(k_{x}+k_{y}+2 f(211)\right)}\right) 11 \quad\left(e^{i k_{2}}+e^{i\left(k_{x}+k_{y}+2 f(2 l+1)\right)}\right) 1+1 \\
& 2 \cos \left(k_{x}+2 f l\right){ }_{1}=E 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1=0 ; \quad ; q \bar{q} 1 ; k_{k} \quad \bar{q}$ for $q$ odd, $l=0 ; \quad ; q=2 \frac{2}{q} 1 ; k_{k} \quad \frac{2}{q}$ for $q$ even.
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(4) D ice lattice

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+e^{\dot{j}\left(k_{x}+23 f 1\right)}\right){ }_{1}^{a} \quad e^{j k_{2}} \quad \underset{l+1}{a}=E \quad{ }_{1}^{b} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+e^{i\left(k_{x}+23 f 1\right)}\right) l_{1}^{b} \quad e^{i k_{y}}{\left.\underset{11}{b} \quad e^{i\left(k_{x}+2\right.} 3 f 1\right)}_{c}^{c} \\
& \left(e^{i\left(k_{y} 2 f\right)}+e^{i\left(k_{x}+k_{y}+23 f 14 f\right)}\right)_{11}^{c}=E{\underset{1}{a} \quad(A-4)}_{l}^{\text {a }}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $q \in 3 n ; l=0 ; \quad ; q \frac{1}{q} 1 ; k_{k} \quad \bar{q} \cdot$ For $q=3 n, l=0 ; \quad ; q=3$ $1 ; \frac{3}{q} \quad k_{k} \quad \frac{3}{q}$.
(5) K agom e lattioe

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+e^{i\left(k_{x}+28 f 1\right)}\right) \begin{array}{ll}
b \\
1
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
c \\
l+1
\end{array} \quad e^{i k_{y}} \begin{array}{l}
c \\
l
\end{array}=E \quad \begin{array}{l}
a \\
1
\end{array} \quad(A-5)
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $q$ is odd, $l=0 ; \quad ; q \bar{q} 1 ; k_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \bar{q}$, for $q=2 n$ with $n$ odd, $l=$ $0 ; \quad ; q=2 \frac{2}{q} 1 ; k_{x} \quad \frac{2}{q}$. For $4 n$ with $n$ odd, $l=0 ; \quad ; q=4 \frac{4}{q} 1 ; k_{k} \quad \frac{4}{q}$. For $q=8 n, l=0 ; \quad ; q=8 \frac{8}{q} 1 ; k_{k} \quad \frac{8}{q}$.
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