## Observation of Strong Quantum Depletion in a Gaseous Bose-Einstein Condensate

K.Xu,Y.Liu, D.E.Miller, J.K.Chin, W. Setiawan and W. Ketterle<sup>y</sup>

Department of Physics, MIT-Harvard Center for Ultracold Atoms,

and Research Laboratory of Electronics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139

(D ated: January 31, 2022)

W e studied quantum depletion in a gaseous B ose-E instein condensate. An optical lattice enhanced the atom ic interactions and m odi ed the dispersion relation resulting in strong quantum depletion. The depleted fraction was directly observed as a di use background in the tim e-of- ight in ages. B ogoliubov theory provides a sem i-quantitative description for our observations of depleted fractions in excess of 50%.

PACS numbers: PACS 03.75 Hh, 03.75 Lm, 73.43 Nq

The advent of Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) in 1995 extended the study of quantum uids from liquid helium to super uid gases with a 100 million times lower density. These gaseous condensates featured relatively weak interactions and a condensate fraction close to 100%, in contrast to liquid helium where the condensate fraction is only 10% [1]. As a result, the gaseous condensates could be quantitatively described by a single m acroscopic wave function shared by all atom swhich is the solution of a non-linear Schrödinger equation. This equation provided a m ean- eld description of collective excitations, hydrodynam ic expansion, vortices and sound propagation [2].

The fraction of the many-body wave function which cannot be represented by the macroscopic wave function is called the quantum depletion. In a hom ogenous BEC, it consists of adm ixtures of higherm on entum states into the ground state of the system. The fraction of the quantum depletion  $_0$  can be calculated through Bogoliubov theory:  $_0$  = 1:505  $\overline{a_{\rm s}^3}$  where ~ is the atom ic density and  $a_{\rm s}$  is the swave scattering length [3]. For  $^{23}{\rm N}\,a$  at a typical density of  $10^{14}$  cm  $^3$ , the quantum depletion is 0.2% .

For the last decade, it has been a major goal of the eld to map out the transition from gaseous condensates to liquid helium. Beyond-mean-eld e ects of a few percent were identified in the temperature dependence of collective excitations in a condensate [4, 5]. The quantum depletion increases for higher densities { how ever, at densities approaching  $10^{15}$  cm<sup>3</sup> the lifetime of the gas is dram atically shortened by three-body collisions. Attempts to increase the scattering length near a Feshbach resonance were also limited by losses [6, 7]. Recently, several studies of strongly interacting molecular condensates were performed [8, 9, 10]. In low er dimension systems, the e ect of interactions is enhanced. Strongly correlated system s, which are no longer super uid, were observed in

1D system s [11, 12], and in optical lattices [13, 14]. Quantum depletion in 1D was studied in [15, 16, 17], where condensation and quantum depletion are nite-size effects and disappear in the therm odynamic limit. The transition between a three-dimensional quantum gas and a quantum liquid has been largely unexplored.

In this Letter, we report the st quantitative study of strong quantum depletion in a super uid gas. Exposing atom s to an optical lattice enhances quantum depletion in two ways. First, the lattice increases the local atom ic density, which enhances the interactions (by up to an order of magnitude in our experiment), ultimately limited by inelastic collisional losses. The second e ect of the lattice is to modify the dispersion relation T (k), which is simply T (k) =  $-^{2}k^{2}=2m$  for free atoms. The e ect of a weak lattice can be accounted for by an increased e ective m ass. For a deep lattice, when the width of the st band becomes comparable to or smaller than the interaction energy, the full dispersion relation is required for a quantitative description.

In addition to enhancing the quantum depletion, an optical lattice also enables its direct observation in time of ight. For a harm onic trap, the quantum depletion cannot be observed during ballistic expansion in the typical Thom as-Ferm i regime. Because the mean-eld energy (divided by ~) is much greater than the trap frequency, the cloud remains locally adiabatic during the expansion. The condensate at high density transforms adiabatically into a condensate at low density with diminishing quantum depletion. Therefore, the true momentum distribution of the trapped condensate including quantum depletion and, for the same reason, phonon excitations can only be observed by in situ techniques such as B ragg spectroscopy [18, 19]. In an optical lattice, the con nem ent frequency at each lattice site far exceeds the interaction energy, and the tim e-of- ight im ages are essentially a snapshot of the frozen-in m om entum distribution at the time of the lattice switch-o , thus allowing for a direct observation of the quantum depletion.

The experiment setup is similar to that of our previous work [20]: A <sup>23</sup>Na BEC containing up to 5  $10^5$  atoms in the F = 1;  $m_F = 1$  is state was loaded into a

Contact Info: kw xu@m it.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>W ebsite: cuamit.edu/ketterle\_group

crossed optical dipole trap. The number of condensed atom swascontrolled through three-body decay in a com pressed trap, after which the trap was relaxed to allow further evaporation and re-therm alization. A vertical magnetic eld gradient was applied to compensate for gravity and avoid sagging in the weak trap. The nal trap frequencies were  $!_{x;y;z} = 2$ 60;60;85 Hz. The mean Thom as Ferm i radius was 12 m for 1:7 10<sup>5</sup> atom s.

The lattice beam swere derived from the same singlem ode infra-red laser at 1064 nm used for the crossed opticaldipole trap. All ve beam swere frequency-shifted by at least 20 M H z with respect to each other via acoustoopticalm odulator to elim inate cross interference between di erent beam s. The three lattice beam s had a  $1=e^{2}$ waist of 90 m at the condensate, and were retrore ected to form standing waves. The two horizontal beams were orthogonal to each other, while the third beam was tilted 20 with respect to the vertical axis due to limited optical access. One and two dimensional lattices were form ed using either one or both of the horizontal beams. The trap parameters were chosen such that during the ram ping of the optical lattice potential, the overall Thom as Ferm i radii rem ained approxim ately constant in order to m in im ize intra-band excitations. All the measurements were performed at a peak lattice site occupancy number 7, as determined by a tradeo between small three-body losses and good signal-to-noise ratio.

The optical lattice was linearly ram ped up to a peak potential of 22  $2 E_R$  in time ramp, and then linearly ram ped back down at the sam e speed. This ram p sequence was interrupted at various times by a sudden switch-o of all lattice and trapping potentials (< 1 s). Absorption im ages were taken after 10 m s time of ight, re ecting the momentum distribution of the system at the instant of release (Fig. 1). Based on the number of atom s remaining in the condensate after the full ram ping sequence (& 80%), we concluded that  $_{\rm ram\,p}$  & 1 m s satis es the intra-band adiabaticity condition. In the following discussion, all measurements were performed for  $_{ram p} = 50 \text{ m s.}$ 

The loss and revival of the interference contrast, as illustrated in Fig. 1, has been associated with the quantum phase transition from a super uid to a Mott-insulator [13, 14]. The presence of sharp interference peaks indicates coherence and super uid behavior, whereas the presence of a single broad peak indicates the insulating phase. However, as we show in this Letter, even before the lattice depth exceeds the critical value for the phase transition, a di use background gradually em erges as a result of quantum depletion. The interference peaks represent the population in the zero quasi-m om entum state, and the di use background represents the population in the rest of the Brillouin zone. We only account for the lowest energy band as the population in higher bands

2



FIG.1: Interference patterns in time of ight: The ram ping sequence was interrupted as the lattice is ram ped up (11;18;22  $E_R$ ) and back down (11;0  $E_R$ ). The time of ight was 10 ms; the eld of view is 861 m 1075 m.

rem ains negligible for our param eters.

In the time-of-ight images, we masked o the sharp interference peaks and performed a two-dimensional gaussian t on the di use background peak. A fler the lattice was fully ram ped down, most of the atoms remained in the condensed fraction while a small fraction (up to 20%) were heated and distributed across the rst Brillouin zone likely due to the technical noise and im perfect adiabaticity of the ram p. A linear interpolation was used to subtract this small heating contribution (up to 10% at the maximum lattice depth) and obtain the quantum depletion N ad = N, where N ad is the num ber of atoms in the di use background peak (quantum depletion) and N the total number.

W e perform ed this measurem ent for one, two and three dimensional optical lattices, and the main results are shown in Fig. 2. The quantum depletion became signi cant for lattice depths of  $\& 10 E_{\rm R}$  for a three dimensional lattice (E<sub>R</sub> =  $\sim^2 k_{latt}^2 = 2m$ , where  $k_{latt} = 2 = k_{latt}$  is the lattice wave number). Note that the Mott-insulator transition starts to occur only at lattice depths &  $16 E_R$  (see below). Ngd=N remained small for one and two dimensional lattices.

A theoretical description of quantum depletion can be derived from the Bogoliubov theory which is the standard theory to describe the ground state properties of a weakly interacting system . The population in the (quasi-) momentum state k is given by [3, 21, 22]:

$$v_{k}^{2} = \frac{T(k) + n_{0}U}{2^{P}} \frac{P(k)n_{0}U + T^{2}(k)}{2T(k)n_{0}U + T^{2}(k)}$$
(1)

where T (k) is the kinetic energy,  $n_0$  is the occupancy num ber [per cubic lattice cell of  $(_{latt}=2)^3$ ] in the zero m om entum state, and U is the on-site interaction energy [23, 24, 25, 26]. Incidentally,  $v_k$  is one of the coe cients in the Bogoliubov transform ation. The total occupancy number n is given by the sum of  $n_0$  and the quantum depletion:  $n = n_0 +$  $k \in 0$   $v_k^2$ . For a given density n, the quantum depletion can be obtained by using Eq. (1) and the appropriate dispersion relation T (k).



FIG.2: Quantum depletion of a <sup>23</sup>N a BEC con ned in a one, two and three dimensional optical lattice: the data points with statistical error bars are measured N<sub>qd</sub>=N; the three thick curves are the theoretical calculation of N<sub>qd</sub>=N using Bogoliubov theory and local density approximation. For com – parison, also shown are (thin curves): (i) the (sm oothed out) M ott-insulator fraction N<sub>M I</sub>=N based on a mean-eld theory; (ii) the calculated quantum depletion for a hom ogeneous system of per-site occupancy number n = 1 and (iii) n = 7.

A band structure calculation was performed to obtain the on-site interaction U (and also the tunnelling rate J) as functions of the lattice depths, shown in Fig.3. In calculating U, we use a W annier density function along the dimensions with a lattice, and a uniform density in the ones without. J is independent of the lattice wavelength or atom icm ass for a given lattice depth (allenergiesm easured in  $E_R$ ).

The quantum depletion for a lattice of uniform occupation is obtained by integrating over the rst Brillouin zone:  $n = n_0 + v_k^2 dk$ . For a su ciently deep lattice (& 5 E<sub>R</sub>), the dispersion relation is given by:

$$T(q) = 4J \int_{i=1}^{X^{d}} \sin^{2}(q_{i}) + 4E_{R} \int_{i=d}^{X^{3}} q_{i}^{2}:$$
(2)

where dimensions 1 through d are assumed to have a lattice present and  $q = k_{latt}=4$  .

For an inhom ogeneous system such as a harm onically con ned condensate, we apply the result from the hom ogeneous system to shells of di erent occupancy numbers using the local density approximation (as the dependence of the quantum depletion on the occupancy number is slow ly-varying). The calculated quantum depleted fractions are plotted in Fig. 2. The sem i-quantitative agreement between the observed quantum depletion and the simple B ogoliubov theory, even for values around 50 %, is the main result of this Letter. The remaining discrepancies may be due to unaccounted heating, a system atic



FIG. 3: On-site interaction U and tunnelling rate J for a <sup>23</sup>N a BEC in an optical lattice at 1064 nm :  $U_{dD}$  is for a d-dimensional lattice. In a three dimensional lattice, the super uid to M ott-insulator transition for occupancy number n occurs when  $J_n = 6(2n + 1 + 2^{5} n(n + 1))J$  equals  $U_{3D}$  [see Eq. (3)]. The horizontal locations of the crossing points where  $J_n = U_{3D}$  are the critical lattice depths.

overestim ate of the background, and the inadequacies of Bogoliubov theory for large quantum depletion.

In free space, the dispersion curve is a continuous parabola. Both the number of populated states and the population in each state increases with the atom ic density , and the quantum depletion  $_0$  is proportional to  $^{1=2}$ . This still holds for shallow lattices (. 5 E<sub>R</sub>) when the quantum depletion () does not saturate the lowest band:  $= _0 \frac{MF^{m}}{MF^{m}}$  (MF and m are the free space m ean-eld energy and atom ic m ass; MF and m are the lattice-enhanced m ean-eld energy and e ective m ass) [27].

The situation changes for deeper lattices as the interaction energy U becomes comparable to the width of the

rst energy band (approxim ately 4J). In this regime, the quantum depletion starts to saturate the lowest band, but the higher bands remain virtually empty due to the large band gap. W hile the population N<sub>qd</sub> in the low-est band continues to increase with the atom ic density =  $n=(_{latt}=2)^3$ , the quantum depleted fraction N<sub>qd</sub>=N actually decreases with [see Fig. 2 where the calculated quantum depletion is bigger for n = 1 than for n = 7 at large lattice depths (& 9 E<sub>R</sub>)].

The fact that the observed quantum depletion for one or two dimensional lattices remained small provides further evidence for our interpretation of the di use background as quantum depletion. In the dimension with a lattice present, the band width is proportional to the tunneling rate J which decreases exponentially with the lattice depth. The interaction energy U increases much slower with the lattice depth. Therefore the attening of the dispersion relation contributes more signi cantly to the increased quantum depletion. Since this attening does not occur in the dimension without a lattice, the quantum depletion for one or two dimensional lattices is expected to increase much slower compared to three dimensional lattice, consistent with our observation.

For a three dim ensional lattice, quantum depletion and the super uid to M ott-insulator transitions are two consequences of adm ixing higher m om enta into the m anybody wave function. For increasing interactions, the ground state wave function is rst a depleted super uid, then developes strong correlations and suppressed density uctuations and nally turns into the M ott insulator. In our measurem ents, the insulating regions appear fully in the di use background together with the quantum depletion of the super uid regions. A lower bound for our m easured N<sub>ad</sub>=N is thereofie provided by calculating the fraction of atom s in the M ott-insulator phase. A coording to the mean-eld theory in a hom ogenous bosonic lattice system [23, 24, 25, 26], the critical value  $(U=J)_c$  at which the phase transition occurs for occupancy number n is given by:

$$(U=J)_{c} = z[2n + 1 + 2^{b} n(n + 1))]$$
 (3)

where z is the number of nearest neighbors (z = 2d for a d-dimensional cubic lattice). For an inhom ogeneous system such as a trapped condensate, shells of di erent occupancy numbers enter the M ott-insulator phase from outside as the lattice potential is increased and U=J exceeds the critical values.

In our experim ent, the peak occupancy number is 7. From Fig.3, the critical lattice depths in a three dim ensional cubic lattice for n = 1;2;:::;7 are 16.3, 18.5, 20.0, 21.2, 22.1, 22.9, 23.6 E<sub>R</sub> respectively. The integrated M ott-insulator fraction N<sub>M I</sub>=N as a function of lattice depths is plotted in Fig.2. Instead of a step function with jumps at each critical lattice depth, we use a sm ooth spline curve for N<sub>M I</sub>=N, which is more realistic given the uctuations associated with the atom numbers and lattice depths. The measured N<sub>qd</sub>=N was signi cantly greater than N<sub>M I</sub>=N.

In the case of one and two dimensional lattices, a M ottinsulator transition would only occur for lattice depths much larger than those in our experiment. Note that Eq. (3) is not directly applicable as the dimensions without lattice beams in our system are only loosely conned and cannot be considered frozen [25]. In addition, n in Eq. (3) is the number of atom sper lattice site and far exceeds 7 for the low er-dimensional lattices.

In conclusion, we conducted a quantitative study of quantum depletion in a gaseous BEC through the application of an optical lattice, and found reasonable agreement with a model based on Bogoliubov theory in the predominantly super uid regime. A complementary study was recently reported by Gerbier et al. [28, 29] of the non-vanishing visibility of the interference peaks in a M ott insulator as a result of the admixture of particlehole states. The two works together give a complete description of the ground state in both the super uid and insulating phases. M ore elaborate theoretical treatments for the interm ediate case have been presented in Refs [30, 31, 32].

The authors would like to thank Jam ilA bo-Shaeer and Takashi M ukaiyam a for experimental assistances. This research is supported by NSF, ONR, ARO, and NASA.

- [1] A.Grin, D.W. Snoke, and S.Stringari, Bose Einstein Condensation (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [2] F.Dalfovo, S.G iorgini, L.P.P itaevskii, and S.Stringari, Rev. M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [3] N.N.Bogoliubov, J.Phys. (Moscow) 11, 23 (1947).
- [4] D.M. Stam per-Kum, A.P. Chikkatur, A.Gorlitz, S.Inouye, S.Gupta, D.E. Pritchard, and W.Ketterle, Phys. Rev.Lett. 83, 2876 (1999).
- [5] S.Giorgini, Phys. Rev. A 61, 063615 (2000).
- [6] J. Stenger, S. Inouye, M. R. Andrews, H.-J. Miesner, D.M. Stamper-Kum, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2422 (1999).
- [7] S. L. Comish, N. R. Claussen, J. L. Roberts, E. A. Comell, and C. E. W iem an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1795 (2000).
- [8] M. Bartenstein, A. Altmeyer, S. Riedl, S. Jochim, C. Chin, J. H. Denschlag, and R. Grimm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 203201 (2004).
- [9] J.K inast, A.Turlapov, and J.E.Thom as, Phys. Rev. A 70, 051401 (2004).
- [10] T. Bourdel, L. Khaykovich, J. Cubizolles, J. Zhang, F. Chevy, M. Teichmann, L. Tarruell, S. Kokkelmans, and C. Salom on, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 050401 (2004).
- [11] B. Paredes, A. W idera, V. Murg, O. Mandel, S. Flling, I. Cirac, G. V. Shlyapnikov, T. W. Hansch, and I. Bloch, Nature 429, 277 (2004).
- [12] T. Kinoshita, T. R. W enger, and D. S. W eiss, Science 305, 1125 (2004).
- [13] C. Orzel, A. K. Tuchman, M. L. Fenselau, M. Yasuda, and M. A. Kasevich, Science 291, 2386 (2001).
- [14] M.Greiner, I.Bloch, and T.W. Hansch, Phys. Rev. A 63, 031401 (2001).
- [15] T. Stoferle, H. Moritz, C. Schori, M. Kohl, and T.Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 130403 (2004).
- [16] M. Kohl, T. Stoferle, H. Moritz, C. Schori, and T.Esslinger, Appl. Phys. B 79, 1009 (2004).
- [17] M. Kramer, C. Menotti, L. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Eur. Phys. J. D. 27, 247 (2003).
- [18] F. Zam belli, L. Pitaevskii, D. M. Stam per-Kum, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 61, 063608 (2000).
- [19] J.M.Vogels, K.Xu, C.Raman, J.R.Abo-Shaeer, and W.Ketterle, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 060402 (2002).
- [20] K.Xu, Y.Liu, J.R.Abo-Shaeer, T.Mukaiyama, J.K. Chin, D.E.Miller, and W.Ketterle, Phys. Rev. A 72, 043604 (2005).
- [21] D. van Oosten, P. van der Straten, and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys.Rev.A 63, 053601 (2001).
- $\ensuremath{\left[\!22\right]}\xspace{-1.5ex}$  A . M . Rey, K . Burnett, R . Roth, M . Edwards, C . J.

W illiam s, and C.W.Clark, J.Phys.B:At.Mol.Opt. Phys. 36, 825 (2003).

- [23] M.P.A.Fisher, P.B.W eichman, G.Grinstein, and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 40, 546 (1989).
- [24] D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P.Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108 (1998).
- [25] W .Krauth, M.Ca arel, and J.P.Bouchard, Phys.Rev. B 45, 3137 (1992).
- [26] J.K. Freericks and H.Monien, Phys. Rev. B 53, 2691 (1996).
- [27] For very shallow lattices, one can obtain from perturbation theory: m =m =  $1 + E_{latt}^2=32$ ,  $_{M\,F}=_{M\,F}=1 + 3E_{latt}^2=32$ , where  $E_{latt}$  is the lattice depth divided by  $E_R$ .

- [28] F.Gerbier, A.W idera, S.Folling, O.M andel, T.Gericke, and I.Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 050404 (2005).
- [29] F.Gerbier, A.W idera, S.Folling, O.M andel, T.Gericke, and I.Bloch, Phys. Rev. A 72, 053606 (2005).
- [30] K. Sengupta and N. Dupuis, Phys. Rev. A 71, 033629 (2005).
- [31] J. J. G arc a-R ipoll, J. I. C irac, P. Zoller, C. Kollath, U. Schollwock, and J. von Delft, Opt. Express 12, 42 (2004).
- [32] C. Schroll, F.M arquardt, and C.Bruder, Phys. Rev. A 70, 053609 (2004).