T in e-symmetric uctuations in nonequilibrium systems

Christian Maes¹ and Maarten H. van Wieren² ¹Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, K.U. Leuven ²EURANDOM, Eindhoven (Dated: February 8, 2022)

For nonequilibrium steady states, we identify observables whose uctuations satisfy a general symmetry and for which a new reciprocity relation can be shown. Unlike the situation in recently discussed uctuation theorems, these observables are time-reversal symmetric. That is essential for exploiting the uctuation symmetry beyond linear response theory. Besides time-reversal, a crucial role is played by the reversal of the driving elds, that further resolves the space-time action. In particular, the time-symmetric part in the space-time action determ ines second order elds of the nonequilibrium driving.

PACS num bers: 05.70 Ln,05.20.-y,05.70.-a

Nonequilibrium statisticalm echanics is still very much under construction. Its very rich phenom enology reaching from some of the great unsolved problem s of classical physics such as turbulence, to the interdisciplinary elds of biophysics and complex systems, has not yet found a su ciently general and powerful theoretical foundation. C om pared with equilibrium statisticalm echanics, we lack a global uctuation theory of far from equilibrium systems, we do not have a controlled perturbation theory and even m any conceptual debates have not found their generally accepted and logical conclusions. In contrast, the G ibbs form alism of equilibrium statistical mechanics yields general identities and inequalities connecting therm odynam ic quantities and correlation functions. They relate the response of a system to an external action with the uctuations in the system of the corresponding variable. That is partially inherited by irreversible therm odynamics, as in the uctuation-dissipation theorem, [], that works close to equilibrium but is mostly limited to the so called linear response regime; away from equilibrium no such general relations exist.

In recent years however [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] a broader range of therm odynam ic and uctuation relations have become available also further away from equilibrium and there has been a general sentiment that these are important steps in the construction of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. In what follows we limit ourselves to the steady state. A uctuation symmetry for a dissipation function S has been proposed and studied in various contexts. Schematically, that uctuation relation goes som ewhat like

$$P \operatorname{rob}[S =] = P \operatorname{rob}[S =] \exp (1)$$

where the probability distribution is in the steady state and S denotes in general a path-dependent variable whose average can often be interpreted as the total change (in dimensionless units) of entropy over a long time interval [0;]. Depending on the particular realization, the function S is better called entropy current, dissipated work or entropy production. Subject to the scale of description $S = S_r$ (!) is a function of the path or history ! and of the driving elds '. We will call S the dissipation function.

Symmetries such as (1) rst appeared in 2, 4 in the context of therm ostated and sm ooth dynamical systems where the phase space contraction is identified with entropy production. A slightly stronger statement is that the steady state averages satisfy

$$hf i = hf e^{S} i$$
 (2)

where f = f(!) is a function of the history $! = (!_t)_0$ of the system over the time interval [0;] and is the time-reversal, $! = (!_t)_0$, so that f(!) = f(!).

W hile that symmetry (2) is very generally valid and while the symmetry-identity (1) is non-perturbative (i.e., not restricted to close to equilibrium situations), so far its further theoretical consequences have only been made visible in the derivation of linear response relations such as those of G reen and K ubo, see e.g. [9, 10]. The bad news is that while relations such as (1) or (2) are very general, their consequences for expansions around equilibrium are necessarily limited to rst order, the linear regime, as we show next.

Expansion of the uctuation sym m etry for the dissipation function. As a central assumption, here as in irreversible therm odynam ics [1] we take the dissipation function S as given by

$$S = S' (!) = X J (!) = J J$$
 (3)

where the J 's are the currents describing the displacement of a quantity of type (matter, charge, energy,...); the ' 's indicate the various accompanying (driving)

elds or a nities (' = 0 is set to correspond to equilibrium). This assumption implies that we ignore tem – poralboundary term softhe form U (!) U (!₀), as they usually play no further role in the uctuation theory for

" + 1 , see how ever [11]. We write h i for the steady state expectation and h $d = h \frac{d}{dq}$ stands for the equilibrium expectation. No system atic expansion around

equilibrium is at all possible from the relations (1) or (2). That can be seen as follows.

If f^+ is time-symmetric, $f^+ = f^+$, then (2) gives to linear order in \prime ,

$$hf^+i' = hf^+ e^{-S}i'$$
) $hf^+i' = hf^+i'$ hf^+' Joi (4)

and indeed, the product Jf^+ is antisymmetric under time-reversal, hence vanishes under equilibrium expectation: (4) results in the identity 0 = 0 to rst order in ' and (2) gives no rst order term around equilibrium for time-symmetric observables. If f is antisymmetric, f = f, then the second order term of hf i requires information about the rst order term s hf J i but that information is lacking because of the arguments above applied to the time-symmetric observable $f^+ = f J$.

Lagrangian set-up. From the above remarks, it is clear what is missing. We need information about the generation of time-symmetric observables. That is made most visible in a Lagrangian set-up. It amounts to the realization of the steady state space-time distribution as a G ibbs distribution. That can be done under a wide variety of contexts, see [2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13], but most easily and explicitly for stochastic dynamics.

Q uite generally we can construct the probability distribution P, on space-time con gurations! for a nonequilibrium steady state parameterized by some vector $\prime = (\prime)$, in terms of the corresponding equilibrium distribution P₀:

$$P_{\prime}(!) = \exp[L_{\prime}(!)]P_{0}(!)$$
(5)

denoting the Lagrangian action by L, to avoid confusion with the dissipation function S. One cannot go too lightly over the choice of the equilibrium distribution P_0 but we momentarily choose to ignore these issues.

Relation (2) teaches that the time-antisymm etric part is given by the dissipation function:

$$S_{\prime}(!) = L_{\prime}(!) L_{\prime}(!)$$
 (6)

Indeed, with (6), (2) is equivalent with

$$P_{\prime}(!) = e^{S_{\prime}(!)}P_{\prime}(!)$$
(7)

where we used that in equilibrium, $P_0 = P_0$; that relation implies (1). In other words, the source of timereversalbreaking is (essentially) given by the dissipation function. That has been con mmed by m any physically m otivated examples and m odels and was also derived in m uch greater generality [8, 14]. It was also argued there how (7) can be interpreted as a uni cation of existing

uctuation theorems, ranging from the Jarzynski equality β to the Gallavotti-Cohen theorem for chaotic dynamical systems [2].

To go beyond linear order in the nonequilibrium response-functions, it appears that we must obtain extra information about the time-symmetric part in L. It is important to understand here that there is no reason to think that the nonequilibrium driving would not generate an extra term in L, which is symmetric under time-reversal. Adding a nonequilibrium driving changes the time-symmetric part in the space-time action, which is the reason why the response functions cannot be generated by the expression for the dissipation function (or entropy production) alone.

T im e-sym m etric part. For characterizing nonequilibrium one has to realize that tim e-symmetry breaking is not spontaneous and is itselfvery much linked with, if not caused by, breaking of spatial or even internal symmetries. A simple case is steady heat conduction m ade possible by the spatial arrangement of dierent heat baths in contact with the system . Therefore, to resolve further the nonequilibrium state, one can exploit also directly the (anti-)symmetries associated to the driving elds. It is straightforw and to introduce the notion of eld-reversal ', which could e.g. mean to exchange the as′! two heat reservoirs in a one-dimensional heat conduction problem or to reverse the direction of an externally given driving eld. We utilize eld reversal as our basic transform at ion on the driving elds and with β)

$$S_{,} = S_{,}$$
 and hence $S_{,} (!) = S_{,} (!)$ (8)

This is di event for the time-symmetric part in the Lagrangian A, L, + L, , for which in general A, ϵ A, . For Y, L, L, we have the identity A, A, = Y, + Y, and we may derive that A, = A, i Y = S, i L, = L, .

The reason why the tim e-sym m etric term A_{\prime} is unseen in the linear response to currents is because, to linear order, eld-reversal can be replaced with tim e-reversal: for sm all',

$$hJi$$
, ' hJi = hJi

This is not generally true beyond order', which is for example all-important for the construction of so called recti ers, see for instance [5]. Hence, while for small driving (small', close to equilibrium), eld-reversal can be implemented by time-reversal, the time-symmetric A, is expected to be important further away from equilibrium. Consider for example the ow of a viscous uid through a tube under in uence of a pressure di erence' = p. For small' one observes a laminar ow and hence, according to Poiseuille's equation the ow rate is proportional to the pressure drop and one cannot distinguish between reversal of time and reversal of the eld or pressure difference. At higher pressure di erences how ever, or above a critical velocity, the laminar pattern breaks up and the ow becomes turbulent. Then time-reversal of the

ow pattern de nitely di ers from the typical pattern obtained via eld-reversal, and hence L must have a timesymmetric part. Ultimately, $A \cdot \in A \cdot (, L \cdot \in L,)$ is intimately related to nonlinear response. The eldantisymmetric part $A \cdot A = Y + Y$, rather than the tim e-antisym metric part (the dissipation function S_r) is also the pivotal quantity to observe, when one attempts higher order expansions.

N ew uctuation sym m etry. R em em ber that Y, = L, L, Applying eld reversalwe have, by construction,

$$hfi , = hfe^{Y} i$$
 (9)

Combining it with tim e-reversal,

hf i , = hfe
$$\frac{1}{2}(Y, +Y,)$$
 i, (10)

where we have used that $S_r = (Y, Y_r) = 2$. As a consequence, for R_r $(Y_r + Y_r) = 2$ we have Prob, $[R_r = r] = P$ rob, $[R_r = r]e^r$. It often happens that the eld reversal can be implemented as a map on the histories. In that case, there is an involution on paths ! so that $L_r = L_r$. The transformation could for example simply spatially m irror all the internal degrees of freedom, cf. the examples below. Then, from (10) and sim ilar to (2),

hf
$$i = hfe^{\frac{1}{2}(Y, +Y,)}i$$
 (11)

As R = R, satis as R = R, we then have the uctuation symmetry 1) not only for the dissipation function S = (Y, Y,)=2 but we now also get it for R (Y, + Y,)=2 = (A, A,)=2:

$$\operatorname{Prob}[R = r] = \operatorname{Prob}[R = r]e^{r}$$
(12)

where the probability distribution is in the steady state and the uctuation observable R denotes the variable time-symmetric and eld-reversal-antisymmetric part in the Lagrangian action over a long time-interval [0;]. This uctuation symmetry is again generally valid, non perturbatively and away from equilibrium. This time however, it has implications for the response of timesymmetric observables. Indeed, from (9) or (10), when $f^+ = f^+$,

$$\frac{1}{2'}$$
 hf⁺ i h f⁺ i $r = \frac{1}{2'}$ hf⁺ (1 e ^R) i r

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta'} h f^+ \dot{i}_{\prime=0} = \frac{1}{2} h f^+ \frac{\theta}{\theta'} R \cdot \dot{i}_{\prime=0}$$
(13)

As a result, we have an Onsager reciprocity for the observables

$$V \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial r} R \cdot \dot{J} = 0 \qquad (14)$$

in the sense that to rst order $hV i_{e} = hV i_{0} + M$ M ' with symmetric linear response coe cients M = M; this can be seen by taking $f^{+} = V$ in (13). The application of (13) obviously leads to a higher order expansion of the currents J around equilibrium when taking $f^+ = J J$ in (13).

Examples. We consider here a classical model of heat conduction, [16, 17]. At each site i = 1; :::;N there is an oscillator characterized by a scalar position q_i and momentum p_i . The dynamics is H am iltonian except at the boundary f1;N g where the interaction with the reservoirs has the form of Langevin forces as expressed by the Itô stochastic di erential equations

$$dq_{i} = p_{i}dt; \quad i = 1; \dots; N$$

$$dp_{i} = \frac{\varrho_{U}}{\varrho_{q_{i}}}(q)dt; \quad i = 2; \dots; N \quad 1$$

$$dp_{i} = \frac{\varrho_{U}}{\varrho_{q_{i}}}(q)dt \quad p_{i} + \frac{r}{\frac{2}{i}}dW_{i}(t); \quad i = 1; N$$

The $_{1}$; N are the inverse tem peratures of the heat baths coupled to the boundary sites i = 1; N; dW₁(t); dW_N(t) are mutually independent standard white noise. Appropriate grow th and locality conditions on the potential U (which need not be hom ogeneous) allow the existence of a corresponding sm ooth M arkov di usion process with a unique stationary distribution. When $_{rl} = _{N} = ,$ exp[H];H = the Gibbs measure $p_i^2 = 2 + U$ is time-reversible for the process with kinematical timereversal given by the involution f(q;p) = f(q; p). Let ! = ((q(t); p(t)); t2 [0;]) denote the evolution of the system in the period [0;]. The natural de nition of timereversal is thus $(!)_{t} = (!_{t}) = (q(t); p(t)).$ For determ ining the action in (5) we take for P_0 the equilibrium process where the inverse tem peratures of both heat reservoirs are equal to $= (_1 + _N)=2$. There is one driving eld ' = $_1$ N. To compute the antisym m etric part under tim e-reversal, see (6), in [16] standard stochastic calculus yields $S_{r} = (1)$ N)Jwhere J = J(!) is the (path-dependent) heat dissipated in the reservoir at site i = 1 in time . The steady state average of S, is the heat dissipation and is easily seen to be nonnegative by integrating (7) over all ! and by using the Jensen inequality, see further in [16, 17, 18]. But we can also com pute the sym m etric part under tim e-reversal; it equals

A, (!) =
$$\frac{2}{1} \frac{2}{0} p_1^2$$
 (t) dt + $\frac{2}{N} \frac{2}{0} p_N^2$ (t) dt

which involves the time-integral of the (path-dependent) kinetic tem peratures at the ends of the chain. Field reversal corresponds to exchanging $_1$ with $_N$ and hence

$$R = (N_{1}) p_{1}^{2} (t) dt p_{N}^{2} (t) dt$$

satis es the uctuation symmetry 12). Furthermore, for (14), we nd

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} Z & Z \\ p_N^2 & (t)dt \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} p_1^2 (t)dt$$

from which we get that the left/right di erence of timeintegrated kinetic temperatures satis es a G reen-K ubo type relation $R^{(13)}$, but now for the time-symmetric observable V = $(p_N^2 p_1^2)$ dt,

$$\frac{0}{0}$$
 hV $\dot{i}_{r=0} = \frac{1}{2}$ hV $^{2}\dot{i}_{r=0}$

A second class of examples is given by the more general Langevin-type equation

$$dx(t) = F(x(t))dt + \frac{p}{2}dW(t)$$

The drift is given by the force F, that, in nonequilibrium situations, cannot be derived from a potential. To parameterize the driving, we write F = r U 'G where U is a potential function and G is an external force that possibly still depends on the position x (t) of the particle. For driving eld ' = 0 the process is reversible in the stationary distribution exp[U]. The path-space m easure (5) is form ally given, [19], by

$$P_{\prime}(!) = \exp \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \quad \underline{x}(t) + F(x(t))^{2} rF(x(t))$$

The antisym m etric part under tim e-reversal in the action $\log P$, indeed gives the dissipated power in terms of a stochastic Stratonovich integral of the \force" G (x (t)) times the \velocity" x(t): S = ' G (x (t)) x(t) dt. On the other hand, the part in L, that is symmetric under tim e-reversal and is antisymmetric under eld-reversal is given by

$$R = ' \prod_{0}^{Z} r U (x (t)) G (x (t)) dt + \frac{'}{2} \prod_{0}^{Z} r G (x (t)) dt (15)$$

It satis es the symmetry 12). When there is a constant external eld G, the second term vanishes and the rst term picks up the total force exerted on the particle on its trajectory x (t); t 2 [0;]. The same can be repeated when x(t) = (r;t) is a eld on some bounded dom ain, r 2 V, that is subject to dissipation and forcing at its 'left' and 'right' boundary. The expression of R is likewise of $_{\mathbb{Q}_{r}\mathbb{V}}$ drdtU 0 ((r;t)) where the form $_{Q,V}$ drdtU⁰((r;t)) the spatial integration is over the respective boundaries $(0,V; (0,V) of V; the integrand U^{0}((r;t)) could for exam$ ple indicate the local chem ical potential as de ned in the system. In that sense the external force couples with the local density of the particles. In the case of the previous example (heat conduction) one has the external force coupling with the local kinetic energy.

C on clusions. For an irreversible therm odynam ics as a linear response theory for tim e-antisym m etric observables like uxes or currents, mostly only the entropy production and its uctuations are needed. Beyond linear order, nonequilibrium physics must come to term s also with the uctuations of tim e-sym m etric observables. The sym m etry that we know is present in the uctuations of the dissipation function is also found to be valid for a time-symmetric observable (R). That enables to continue the perturbation expansion around equilibrium beyond linear order in the driving elds. As a consequence a G reen-K ubo type relation and corresponding O nsager reciprocity are obtained for time-symmetric functions (V). W hile some examples were shown to give speci c physicalmeanings to our R and V, still, nonequilibrium e ects beyond linear order reside, at least for theory, in a vast terra incognita and it will be mainly through experimental work that the usefulness of our new and general relations will be checked.

It is a pleasure to thank Marco Baiesi and Karel. Netocny for valuable discussions.

- S.R. de G root and P.M azur, Non-equilibrium Thermodynam ics, North Holland Publishing Company (1962).
- [2] G.Gallavotti and E.G.D.Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2694 (1995). J.Stat. Phys. 80, 931 (1995).
- [3] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2690 (1997); J. Stat. Phys. 96, 415 (1999).
- [4] D. J. Evans, E.G. D. Cohen and G. P. Morriss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2401 (1993).
- [5] T.Bodineau and B.Derrida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 180601 (2004).
- [6] L.Bertini and A.De Sole and D.G abrielli and G.Jona-Lasinio and C.Landim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 030601 (2005).
- [7] C. Bustam ante, J. Liphardt and F. Ritort, Phys. Today, July 2005, 43
- [8] C. Maes, Poincare Seminar 2003, Eds. J. Dalibard, B. Duplantier and V. Rivasseau, Birkhauser (Basel), 145 (2004).
- [9] C.Maes, J. Stat. Phys. 95, 367 (1999).
- [10] G.Gallavotti, J.Stat. Phys. 84, 899 (1996).
- [11] The various steady state uctuation symmetries should be understood in a logarithm ic and asymptotic ("+1) sense. We therefore ignore here temporal boundary effects. That is not always allowed for the uctuations of unbounded variables, see e.g.R.van Zon and E.G.D.Cohen, Phys. Review E 69, 056121 (2004).
- [12] R.Kubo, K.M atsuo and K.K itahara, J. Stat. Phys. 9, 51 (1973).
- [13] L.Onsager and S.M achlup, Phys. Rev. 91, 1505 (1953).
- [14] C.M aes and K.Netocny, J.Stat. Phys. 110, 269 (2003).
- [15] M. Terraneo, M. Peyrard and G. Casati, Phys. Rev Lett. 88, 094302 (2002)
- [16] C. M aes, K. Netocny and M. Verschuere, J. Stat. Phys. 111, 1219 (2003).
- [17] J.P. Eckmann, C.A. Pillet, L. Rey-Bellet Commun. M ath. Phys. 201, 657 (1999).
- [18] L.Rey-Bellet and LE.Thom as, Ann.HenriPoincare 3, 483 (2002).
- [19] W ritten with Stratonovich convention. That explains the unusual r F term in the action. The Stratonovich calculus is here more convenient when considering timereversal as the Itô{integral is itself not time-symmetric. See e.g. R E. M ortensen, J. Stat. Phys. 1, 271 (1969).