M apping the energy landscape of biom olecules using single m olecule force correlation spectroscopy (FCS): Theory and applications

V.Barsegov¹, D.K.Klim ov³ and D.Thirum alai¹;²

¹Biophysics Program, Institute for Physical Science and Technology,

²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry

University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

³B ioinform atics and Computational Biology Program, School of Computational Sciences

George Mason University, Manassas, VA 20110

(Dated: March 23, 2024)

We present a new theory that takes internal dynamics of proteins into account to describe forced-unfolding and force-quench refolding in single molecule experiments. In the current experim ental setup (A tom ic Force M icroscopy or Laser O ptical T weezers) the distribution of unfolding times, P (t), is measured by applying a constant stretching force f_S from which the apparent f_S -dependent unfolding rate is obtained. To describe the complexity of the underlying energy landscape requires additional probes that can incorporate the dynamics of tension propagation and relaxation of the polypeptide chain upon force quench. We introduce a theory of force correlation spectroscopy (FCS) to map the parameters of the energy landscape of proteins. In the FCS the pint distribution P (T;t) of folding and unfolding times is constructed by repeated application of cycles of stretching at constant $f_{\mathbb{S}}$ separated by release periods T during which the force is quenched to $f_0 < f_S$. During the release period, the protein can collapse to a manifold of compact states or refold. We show that P (T;t) at various f_S and f_O values can be used to resolve the kinetics of unfolding as well as form ation of native contacts. We also present methods to extract the param eters of the energy landscape using chain extension as the reaction coordinate and P (T;t). The theory and a worm-like chain model for the unfolded states allows us to obtain the persistence length l_p and the f_Q -dependent relaxation time, that gives an estim ate of collapse tim escale at the single m olecular level, in the coil states of the polypeptide chain. Thus, a more complete description of landscape of protein native interactions can be maped out if unfolding time data are collected at several values of f_S and f_O . We illustrate the utility of the proposed form alism by analyzing simulations of unfolding-refolding trajectories of a coarse-grained protein (S1) with -sheet architecture for several values of f_S , T and $f_O = 0$. The simulations of stretch-relax trajectories are used to map many of the parameters that characterize the energy landscape of S1.

I. INTRODUCTION

Several biological functions are triggered by mechanical force. These include stretching and contraction of muscle proteins such as titin [1,2], rolling and tethering of cell adhesion molecules [3, 4, 5, 6, 7], translocation of proteins across m em branes [8, 9, 10, 11], and unfoldase activity of chaperonins and proteasomes. Understanding these diverse functions requires probing the response of biom olecules to applied external tension. D ynam ical responses to mechanical force can be used to characterize in detail the free energy landscape of biom olecules. Advances in manipulating micron-sized beads attached to single biomolecules have made it possible to stretch, twist, unfold and even unbind proteins using forces on the order of tens of piconew tons [12, 13, 14]. Single molecule force spectroscopy on a number of di erent systems has allowed us to obtain a glim pse of the unbinding energy landscape of biom olecules and protein-protein com plexes [15, 16, 17, 18]. In AFM experim ents, used to unfold proteins by force, one end of a protein is adsorbed on a template and a constant or a time-dependent pulling force is applied to the other term inus [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. By measuring the distribution of forces required to completely unfold proteins and the associated unfolding times, the global parameters of the protein energy landscape can be estimated [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. These insightful experiments when combined with theoretical studies [32, 33, 34] can give an unprecedented picture of forcedunfolding pathways.

Current experim ents have been designed prim arily to obtain inform ation on forced-unfolding of proteins and do not probe the reverse folding process. A lthough force-clamp AFM techniques have been used recently to probe (re)folding of single ubiquitin polyprotein [24], the lack of theoretical approaches has made it discult to interpret these pioneering experim ents [35, 36]. Secondly, the resolution of multiple timescales in protein folding and refolding requires not only novel experimental tools for single molecule experiments but also new theoretical analysis methods. Minimally, unfolding of proteins by a stretching force $f_{\rm S}$ is described by the global unfolding time $f_{\rm S}$ ($f_{\rm S}$), timescales for propagation of the applied tension, and the dynamics describing the intermediates or \protein coil" states. Finally, if the external conditions (loading rate or the magnitude of $f_{\rm S}$) are such that these processes can occur on similar timescales then the analysis of the data requires new theoretical ideas.

For forced unfolding the variable conjugate to $f_{\rm S}$, namely, the protein end-to-end distance X is a natural reaction coordinate. However, X is not appropriate for describing protein refolding which, due to substantial variations in the duration of folding barrier crossing, may range from milliseconds to few minutes. To obtain statistically meaningful distributions of unfolding times, a large number of complete unfolding trajectories must be recorded which requires repeated application of the pulling force. The inherent heterogeneity in the duration of folding and the lack of correlation between evolution of X and (re) folding progress creates \initial state ambiguity" when force is repeatedly applied to the same molecule. As a result, the interpretation of unfolding time data is complicated especially when the conditions are such that reverse folding process at the quenched force $f_{\rm O}$ can occur on a long time scale, $_{\rm F}$ ($f_{\rm O}$).

M otivated by the need to assess the e ect of the multiple time scales on the energy landscape of folding and unfolding, we develop a new theoretical formalism to describe correlations between the various dynamical processes. Our theory leads naturally to a new class of single molecule force experiments, namely, the force correlation spectroscopy (FCS) which can be used to study both forced unfolding as well as force-quenched (re) folding. Such studies can lead to a more detailed information on both kinetic and dynamic events underlying unfolding and refolding. In

the FCS, cycles of stretching (f_S) are separated by periods T of quenched force $f_Q < f_S$ during which the stretched protein can relax from its unfolded state X $_U$ to coil state X $_C$ or even (re) fold to the native basin of attraction (NBA) state. The two experimental observables are X and the unfolding time t. The central quantity in the FCS is the distribution of unfolding times P (T;t) separated by recoil or refolding events of duration T. The higher order statistical measure embedded in P (T;t) is readily accessible by constructing a histogram of unfolding times for varying T and does not require additional technical developments. The crucial element in the proposed analysis is that P (T;t) is computed by averaging over nal (unfolded) states, rather than initial (folded) states. This procedure removes the potential ambiguity of not precisely knowing the initial distribution of conformations in the NBA. Despite the uniqueness of the native state there are a number of conformations in the NBA that reject the uctuations of the folded state. The proposed formalism is a natural extension of unbinding time data analysis. Indeed, P (T;t) reduces to the standard distribution of unfolding times P (t) when T exceeds protein (re) folding timescale $_F$ (f_O).

The complexity of the energy ladscape of proteins demands FCS and the theoretical analysis. Current single molecule experiments on poly-Ub or poly-Ig27 (performed in the T! 1 regime) show that in these systems unfolding occurs abruptly in an apparent all-or-none manner or through a dominant intermediate [32]. On the other hand, refolding upon force-quench is complex and surely occurs though an ensemble of collapsed coiled states [24]. A number of timescales characterize the stretch-release experiments. These include besides $_{\rm F}$ ($_{\rm F}$), the $_{\rm F}$ -dependent unfolding time, and the relaxation dynamics in the coiled states fC g upon force-quench $_{\rm d}$ ($_{\rm F}$). In addition, if we assume that X is an appropriate reaction coordinate then the location of the NBA, fC g, the transition state ensembles and the associated widths are required for a complete characterization of the underlying energy landscape. Most of these parameters can be extracted using the proposed FCS experiments and the theoretical analysis presented here.

In a prelim inary study [37], we reported the basics of the theory which was used to propose a new class of single molecule force spectroscopy methods for deciphering protein-protein interactions. The current paper is devoted to further developments in the theory with appplication to forced-unfolding and force-quench refolding of proteins. In particular, we illustrate the e-cacy of the FCS by analyzing single unfolding-refolding trajectories generated for a coarse-grained model (CGM) protein S1 with—sheet architecture [38, 39]. We showed previously that forced-unraveling of S1, in the limit of T! 1, can be described by an apparent \two-state" kinetics [40, 41]. The thermodynamics and kinetics observed in S1 is a characteristic of a number of proteins where folding/unfolding—ts well two-state behavior [42]. Thus, S1 serves as a useful model to illustrate the e-cacy of the FCS. Here, we show that by varying T and the magnitude of the stretching (f_S or f_Q), the entire dynamical processes, starting from the NBA to the fully stretched state, can be resolved. In the process we establish that P (T;t) which can be measured using AFM or laser optical tweezer (LOT) experiments, provides a convenient way of characterizing the energy landscape of biom olecules in detail.

II. MODELS AND METHODS:

Theory of force correlation spectroscopy (FCS): In single molecule atom ic force microscopy (AFM) experiments used to unfold proteins by force, the N-term inus of a protein is anchored at

the surface and the C -term inus is attached to the cantilever tip through a polym er linker (Figure 1). Them olecule is stretched by displacing the cantilever tip and the resulting force is measured. From a theoretical perspective it is more convenient to envision applying a constant stretching force $f_S = f_S \, x$ in the x-direction (Figure 1). The free energy in the constant force formulation is related to the experimental setup by a Legendre transformation. More recently, it has become possible to apply a constant force in AFM or laser or optical tweezer (LOT) experiments to the ends of a protein. With this setup the unfolding time for the end-to-end distance X to reach the contour length L can be measured for each molecule. For a xed f_S , repeated application of the pulling force results in a single trajectory of unfolding times f_S dependent unfolding rate f_S is obtained by thing a Poissonian formula f_S expected f_S to the kinetics of population of folded states f_S which is related to f_S of f_S of f_S to the kinetics of population of folded states f_S which is related to f_S (t) as $f_$

Because K $_{\rm U}$ is a convolution of several m icroscopic processes, it does not describe unfolding in molecular detail. For instance, mechanical unfolding of bronectin domains FnIII involves the intermediate \aligned" state [27] with partially disrupted hydrophobic core which cannot be resolved by knowing only K $_{\rm U}$. Even when the transition from the folded state F to the globally extended state U [27] does not involve parallel routes as in Figure 2, or multistate kinetics, the force-induced unfolding pathway must involve formation of intermediate coiled states fC g. The subsequent transition from fC g results in the formation of the globally unfolded state U . The incomplete time resolution prevents current experiments from probing the signature of the collapsed states. To probe the contributions from the underlying fC g states to global unfolding requires sophisticated experiments that can resolve contributions from dynamic events underlying forced unfolding. We propose a novel experimental procedure which, when supplemented with unfolding time data analysis described below, allows us to separately probe the kinetics of native interactions and the dynamics of the \protein coil" (i.e. the dynamics of end-to-end distance X when the native contacts are disrupted).

Consider an experiment in which stretching cycles (triggered by applying $f_{\rm S}$) are interrupted by relaxation intervals T during which force is quenched to $f_{\rm Q} < f_{\rm S}$. In the time interval T, the polypeptide chain can relax into them anifold fC g or even refold to the native state F if T is long enough. If $f_{\rm S} > f_{\rm C}$ and $f_{\rm Q} < f_{\rm C}$ where $f_{\rm C}$ is the equilibrium critical unfolding force at the speci-c temperature (see phase diagram for S1 in Ref. [40]), these transform ations can be controlled by T. In the simplest implementation we set $f_{\rm Q} = 0$. The crucial element in the FCS experiment is that the same measurements are repeated for varying T. In the FCS the unfolding times are binned to obtain the joint histogram P (T;t) of unfolding events of duration t generated from the recoil manifold fC g or the native basin of attraction (NBA) or both, depending on the duration of the relaxation time T. In the current experiments T! 1. As a result, the dynamics of additional states in the energy landscape that are explored during folding or unfolding are not probed.

The advantages of P (T;t) over the standard distribution of unfolding times P (t) are two-fold. First, P (T;t) is computed by averaging over well-characterized fully stretched states. This eliminates the problem of not knowing the distribution of initial protein states encountered in current experiments. Indeed, due to intrinsic heterogeneity of the protein folding pathways, after the rst unfolding event the protein may or may not refold into the native conformation, which creates the initial state am biguity in the next (second, third, etc.) pulling cycle. Therefore, statistical analysis based on averaging over nal (stretched) states rather than initial (folded) states allow sto overcome this disculty. Secondly, statistical analysis of unfolding data performed

for di erent values of T allows us to separately probe the kinetics of native interactions and the dynam ics of X. In addition, the entire energy landscape of native interactions can be mapped out when stretch-quench cycles are repeated for several values of $f_{\mathbb{S}}$, $f_{\mathbb{O}}$, and T.

Regime I (T $_{\rm F}$): In the simplest unfolding scenario application of $f_{\rm S}$ results in the disruption of the native contacts (F! fCg) followed by stretching of the manifold fCg into U (Figure 2). When stretching cycles are separated by short T compared to the protein folding timescale $_{\rm F}$ at $f_{\rm Q}=0$, P (T;t) is determined by the evolution of the coil state. Then the unfolded state population $p_{\rm U}$ (T;t) is given by the convolution of protein relaxation (overtime T) from the fully stretched state $X_{\rm U}$ L to an intermediate coiled state $X_{\rm L}$ and stretching $X_{\rm L}$ into nal state $X_{\rm L}$ over time t. Thus, P (T;t) is obtained from $p_{\rm U}$ (T;t) by taking the derivative with respect to t,

where N (T) is T-dependent normalization constant obtained by taking the last integral in the right hand side (ths) of Eq. (1) from X $_{\rm f}$ = 0 to X $_{\rm f}$ = L, and P (X $_{\rm U}$) is the distribution of unfolded states. If X is well controlled, X $_{\rm U}$ is expected to be centered around a xed value X $_{\rm U}$ and P (X $_{\rm U}$) (X $_{\rm U}$ X $_{\rm U}$). In Eq. (1), G $_{\rm Q}$ (X $^{\rm O}$;t;X) and G $_{\rm S}$ (X $^{\rm O}$;t;X) are respectively, the quenched and the stretching force dependent conditional probabilities to be in the coiled state X $^{\rm O}$ at time t arriving from state X at time t = 0. The integral over X $_{\rm f}$ is performed in the range [L ;L] with X = L (Figure 2) representing unfolding distance at which the total number of native contacts Q is at the unfolding threshold, Q Q . It follows that P (T;t) (Eq. (1)) contains information on the dynamics of X . By assuming a model for X and thing P (T;t), obtained by dimensional probabilities are polypeptide than in the coil state which allows us to evaluate the f ordependent coil dynamics of the polypeptide chain in the coil state which allows us to evaluate the f ordependent coil dynamical timescale depending on the model of X .

Regim e II (T $_{\rm F}$): When stretching cycles are interrupted by long relaxation periods, T $_{\rm F}$, the coiled states refold to X $_{\rm F}$ (Figure 2). In this regime, the initial conformations in forced-unfolding always reside in the NBA. In this limit, P (T;t) reduces to the standard distribution of unfolding times P (T;t)! P (t). When T $_{\rm F}$, P (T;t) is given by the convolution of the kinetics of rupture of native contacts, resulting in protein extension $X_{\rm F}$, and dynamics of X from state $X_{\rm F}$ + $X_{\rm F}$ to nal state $X_{\rm f}$,

where N 0 (T) is normalization constant obtained as in Eq. (1) and P_F (t; X_F; f_S) is the probability of breaking the contacts over time t that stabilize the native state X_F. By assuming a model

for P_F (t; X_F ; f_S) and employing information on the dynamics of X, obtained from the short T-experiment (Eq. (1)), we can probe the disruption kinetics of native interactions. By repeating long T-m easurements at several values of f_S , we can map out the energy landscape of native interactions projected on the direction of the end-to-end distance vector.

Regime III (T $_{\rm F}$): In this lim it, some of the molecules reach the NBA, starting from extended states (X $_{\rm F}$), whereas others remain in the basin fC g. The fraction of folding events $_{\rm F}$ depends on T during which X approaches the average extension hX $_{\rm C}$ i facilitating the form ation of native contacts. Thus, P (T $_{\rm F}$) obtained in the intermediate T-experiment, involves contributions from both fC g and F initial conditions and is given by a superposition,

$$P(T _{F};t) = _{F}(T)P(T _{F};t) + _{C}(T)P(T _{F};t)$$
(3)

where the probability to arrive to F from fCg at time T is given by

and the probability to rem ain in fC g is $_{\text{C}}$ (T)=1 $_{\text{F}}$ (T). In Eq. (4), P_{C} (T;X; f_{Q}) is the refolding probability determ ined by kinetics of form ation of native contacts. Because the dynam ics of X is weakly correlated with form ation of native contacts, X in P_{C} is expected to be broadly distributed. Therefore, Eqs. (3) and (4) can be used to probe kinetics of form ation of native interactions.

For Eqs. (1) and (2) to be of use, one needs to know the (re)folding timescale $_F$. The simplest way to evaluate $_F$ is to construct a series of histogram s P (T_n ;t) (n=1, 2, :::, N) for a xed f and increasing relaxation time $T_1 < T_2 < ::: < T_N$, and compare P (T_n ;t)'s with the distribution P (T_n ;t) obtained for sulciently long T_n . If $T=T_n$ then all the molecules are guaranteed to reach the NBA. The dimension

$$D(T_n) = P(T_n;t) \quad P(T;t)j \tag{5}$$

is expected to be non-zero for T_n $_F$ and should vanish if T_n exceeds $_F$. Statistically, as T_n starts to exceed $_F$ increasingly more molecules will reach the NBA by forming native contacts. Then, more unfolding trajectories will start from folded states, and when T $_F$ all unfolding events will originate from the NBA. Therefore, D (T_n) is a sensitive measure for identifying the kinetic signatures for forming native contacts. The utility of D (T_n) is that it is a simple yet accurate estimator of $_F$, which can be utilized in practical applications. Indeed, one can estimate $_F$ by identifying it with the shortest T_n at which P $(T_n;t)$ P (T;t), i.e. T_n $_F$. We should emphasize that to obtain $_F$ from the criterion that D $(_F)$ 0 no assumption about the distribution of refolding times have been made. Having evaluated $_F$ one can then use Eqs. (1) and (2) for short and long T -measurements to resolve protein coil dynamics and rupture kinetics of native contacts.

Let us sum marize the major steps in the FCS. First, we estimate $_{\rm F}$ by using D (T) (Eq. 5)). We next probe protein coil dynamics by analyzing P (T $_{\rm F}$;t) obtained from short-T-measurements (Eq. (1)). In the third step, we use information on protein coil dynamics to resolve the kinetics of rupture of native interactions contained in P (T $_{\rm F}$;t) of long-T-measurements (Eq. (2)). Finally, by employing the information on protein coil dynamics and kinetics of rupture of native interactions, we resolve the kinetics of formation of native contacts by analyzing P (T $_{\rm F}$;t) from intermediate T-measurements (Eqs. (3) and (4)).

The beauty of the proposed fram ework is that these experiments can be readily performed using available technology. In the current AFM experiments, T can be made as short as few microseconds. Simple calculations show that the relaxation of a short 50 am ino acid protein from stretched state with L 19nm to the coiled states fC g with say, X 2nm, occurs on the timescale $_{\rm d}$ $_{\rm x}^2$ =D 10 s, where $_{\rm x}$ =L X 17nm and D $_{\rm 10}^{\circ}$ cm $_{\rm 2}$ =s is the direction from fC g to the NBA, prolongs $_{\rm F}$ by few microseconds to few miliseconds or larger, depending on folding conditions. In the experimental studies of forced unfolding and force-quenched refolding of ubiquitin, $_{\rm F}$ was found to be of the order of 10 100m s [24]. Computer simulation studies of unzipping-rezipping transitions in short 22-nt RNA hairpin P5GA have predicted that $_{\rm F}$ is of the order of few hundreds of microseconds [35].

M odel for the kinetics of native contacts: To interpret the data generated by FCS it is useful to have a model for the time evolution of the native contacts and X. We rst present a simple kinetic model for nupture and formation of native contacts represented by probabilities P_F and P_C in Eqs. (2) and (4), respectively, and a model for the dynamics of X given by the propagator $G_{S,Q}$ (X 0 ; t; X). To describe the force-dependent evolution of native interactions we adopt the continuous-time-random-walk (CTRW) formalism [43, 44, 45, 46, 47]. In the CTRW model, a random walker, representing nupture (formation) of native contacts, pauses in the native (coiled) state for a time to before making a transition to the coiled (native) state. The waiting time distribution is given by the function (t) (=r or f, where r and f refer to nupture and formation of native contacts, respectively). We assume that the probabilities P_F (t; X $_F$; f_S) and P_C (t; X $_C$; f_Q) are separable so that

$$P_F(t;X_F;f_S)$$
 $P_{eq}(X_F)P_r(t;f_S);$ and $P_C(t;X_C;f_Q)$ $P_C(X_C)P_f(t;f_Q)$ (6)

where $P_{eq}(X_F)$ is the equilibrium distribution of native states, $P_C(X_C)$ is the distribution of coiled states and $P_r(t;f_S)$ and $P_f(t;f_Q)$ are the force-dependent probabilities of nupture and form ation of native contacts, respectively. Factorization in Eq. (6) implies that application of force does not result in the redistribution of states X_F and X_C in the NBA and in them anifold of coiled states fC g, but only changes the time scales for NBA! fC g and fC g! NBA transitions, and thus, the propabilities P_r and P_f . We expect the approximation in Eq. (6) to be valid provided the nupture of native contacts and refolding events are cooperative.

During stretching cycles, for $f_{\rm S}$ well above $f_{\rm C}$, we may neglect the reverse folding process. Similarly, global unfolding is negligible during relaxation periods with $f_{\rm Q} < f_{\rm C}$. Then, the master equations for $P_{\rm r}$ (t) is

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_r(t) = \begin{cases} Z_t \\ d_r(t)P_r(t) \end{cases}$$
 (7)

where $_{\rm r}$ (t) is the generalized rate for the nupture and form ation of native interactions. In the Laplace domain, de ned by f (z)= $_{0}^{-}$ dtf (t) exp [tz], $_{\rm r}$ (t) is related to $_{\rm r}$ (t) as

$$_{r}(z) = z_{r}(z) 1_{r}(z)^{-1}$$
: (8)

The structure of the master equation for P_f (t) is identical to Eq. (7) with the relationship between $_f$ (t) and $_f$ (t) being similar to Eq. (8). The general solution to Eqs. (7) is

$$P_r(z) = [z r(z)]^1 P_r(0)$$
 (9)

where $P_r(0)=1$ is the initial condition and the solution in the time domain is given by the inverse Laplace transform $P_r(t)=L^{-1}fP_r(z)g$. The solution for $P_f(z)$ is obtained in a similar fashion (see Eq. (9)) with initial condition $P_f(0)=1$.

M odel for the polypeptide chain: In the extended state, when the majority of native interactions that stabilize the folded state are disrupted, the molecule can be treated roughly as a uctuating coil. Simulations and analysis of native structures [38] suggest that proteins behave as worm-like chains (W LC). For convenience we use a continuous W LC description for the coil state whose H am iltonian is

$$H = \frac{3k_{B}T}{2l_{p}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}=2}^{Z} ds \frac{\theta r(s;t)}{\theta s}^{2} + \frac{3l_{p}k_{B}T}{8} \sum_{L=2}^{Z} ds \frac{\theta^{2}r(s;t)}{\theta s^{2}}^{2} + \frac{3k_{b}T}{4} \frac{\theta r(L=2;t)}{\theta s}^{2} + \frac{\theta r(L=2;t)}{\theta s}^{2} + f_{s,Q} ds \frac{\theta r(s;t)}{\theta s}^{2}$$

$$(10)$$

where l_p is the protein coilpersistence length. A large number of force-extension curves obtained using mechanical unfolding experiments in proteins, DNA, and RNA have been analyzed using W LC model. In Eq. (10) the three-dimensional Cartesian vector $\mathbf{r}(s;t)$ represents the spatial location of the \mathbf{s}^{th} \protein monomer" at time t. The rst two terms describe chain connectivity and bending energy, respectively. The third term represents uctuations of the chain free ends and the fourth term corresponds to coupling of \mathbf{r} to $\mathbf{f}_{S,Q}$. The end-to-end vector is computed as \mathbf{X} (t)= $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{L}=2;t)$ $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{L}=2;t)$.

We need a dynam ical model in which X is represented by the propagator $G(X;t;X_0)$. Although bond vectors of a WLC chain are correlated, the statistics of X can be represented by a large number of independent modes. It is therefore reasonable, at least in the large L limit, to describe $G_{S,Q}(X;t;X_0)$ by a Gaussian,

$$G_{S,Q} (X;t;X_0) = \frac{3}{2 hX^2 i_{S,Q}} \frac{1}{(1 \frac{2}{S,Q}(t))^{3-2}} \exp \frac{3(X \frac{S,Q}{S,Q}(t)X_0)^2}{2hX^2 i_{S,Q}(1 \frac{2}{S,Q}(t))}$$
(11)

speci ed by the second moment $hX^2i_{S,Q}$ and the normalized correlation function $(t)_{S,Q} = hX$ (t)X $(0)i_{S,Q} = hX^2i_{S,Q}$. Calculations of $hX^2i_{S,Q}$ and $(t)_{S,Q}$ are given in the Appendix [48, 49]. In the absence of force, we obtain:

hX (t)X (0)
$$i_0 = 12k_B T \int_{n=1}^{X^L} \frac{1}{z_n} \left(L=2\right) e^{z_n t} ; n = 1;3;:::;2q+1$$
 (12)

where $_n$ (X) and z_n are the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the modes of the operator that describe the dynamics of r(s;t) (see Eq. (A1)). To construct the propagator $G_{S,Q}$ (X;t;X₀) for $f_{S,p}$, Eq. (A1) is integrated with $f_{S,Q}$ added to random force. We obtain: hX $^2i_{S,Q}=hX^2i_0+f_{S,Q}^2$ $^1_{n=1}$ 2_n (L=2)= z_n^2 , where $n=1;3;\ldots;2q+1$. We analyze the distributions of unfolding times P (T;t) for the model sequence S1 (Figure 3) obtained using simulations, CTRW model for evolution of native interactions (Eqs. (6)-(9)) and Gaussian statistics of the protein coil (Eq. (11)).

Simulations of model -sheet protein: The usefulness of FCS is illustrated by computing and analyzing the distribution function P (T;t) for a model polypeptide chain with -sheet architecture. Sequence S1, which is a variant of an o-lattice model introduced sometime ago

[39], is a coarse-grained model (CGM) of a polypeptide chain, in which each am ino acid is substituted with a united atom of appropriate mass and diameter at the position of the C-carbons [40, 41]. The S1 sequence is modeled as a chain of 46 connected beads of three types, hydrophobic B, hydrophilic L, and neutral N, with the contour length L = 46a, where a 3:A is the distance between two consequtive C-carbon atoms. The coordinate of j-th residue is given by the vector \mathbf{x}_j with \mathbf{j} =1,2,::; N.

The potential energy U of a chain conform ation is

$$U = U_{bond} + U_{bend} + U_{da} + U_{nb};$$
 (13)

where U_{bond} , U_{bend} , U_{da} are the energy terms, which determine local protein structure, and U_{nb} corresponds to non-local (non-bonded) interactions. The bond-length potential U_{bond} , that describes the chain connectivity, is given by a harm onic function

$$U_{bond} = \frac{k_b}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (N_j - N_{j+1}j - a)^2$$
 (14)

where $k_b = 100 _h = a^2$ and $_h$ (1.25 k cal = m ol) is the energy unit roughly equal to the free energy of a hydropobic contact. The bending potential U_{bend} is

$$U_{\text{bend}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{k}{2} (j_{j})^{2};$$
 (15)

where $k = 20 h = rad^2$ and $_0 = 105$. The dihedral angle potential $U_{\rm da}$, which is largely responsible for maintaining protein-like secondary structure, is taken to be

$$V_{da} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{N} {}^{3} \left[A_{i} (1 + \cos_{i}) + B_{i} (1 + \cos_{i}) \right];$$
 (16)

where the coe cients A_i and B_i are sequence dependent. Along the -strands trans-states are preferred and $A=B=12_h$. In the turn regions (i.e. in the vicinity of a cluster of N residues) A=0, $B=0.2_h$. The non-bonded 12-6 Lennard-Jones interaction U_{nb} between hydrophobic residues is the sum of pairwise energies

$$U_{nb} = X \qquad (17)$$

$$i < j+2$$

where U_{ij} depend on the nature of the residues. The double sum mation in Eq. (17) runs over all possible pairs excluding the nearest neighbor residues. The potential $U_{ij}^{\,B\,B}$ between a pair of

hydrophobic residues B is given by U $_{ij}^{BB}$ (r)= 4 $_{h}$ $\frac{a}{r}$ $\frac{a}{r}$, where is a random factor

unique for each pair of B residues [41] and $r = j x_i x_j j$. For all other pairs of residues U_{ij} is repulsive [41].

Although an o -lattice CGM drastically simpli es the polypeptide chain structure, it does retain important characteristics of proteins, such as chain connectivity and the heterogeneity of contact interactions. The local energy terms in S1 provide accurate representation of the

protein topology. The native structure of S1 is a —sheet protein that has a topology similar to the much studied immunoglobulin domains (Figure 3). When the model sequence is subject to f_S or f_Q , the total energy is written as $U_{tot} = U$ fX (= S or Q), where X is the protein end-to-end vector, and $f_{S,Q} = (f_{S,Q};0;0)$ is applied along the x-direction (Figure 1).

The dynam ics of the polypeptide chain is assumed to be given by the overdam ped Langevin equation, which in the absence of $f_{\!\scriptscriptstyle S}$ or $f_{\!\scriptscriptstyle Q}$, is

$$\frac{d}{dt}x_{j} = \frac{\theta U_{tot}}{\theta x_{j}} + g_{j}(t)$$
 (18)

where is the friction coe cient and g_i (t) is a Gaussian white noise, with the statistics

$$hg_{i}(t)i = 0; \quad hg_{i}(t)g_{i}(t^{0})i = 6k_{B}T \quad i (t \quad 0)$$

$$(19)$$

Eqs. (18) are integrated with a step size t=0.02 L, where $L=(m a^2 = h)^{1=2} = 3ps$ is the unit of time and m $= 10^{22}$ g is a residue mass. In Eq. (18) the value of = 50m = L corresponds roughly to water viscosity.

III. RESULTS

Simulations of unfolding and refolding of S1: For the model sequence S1 we have previously shown that the equilibrium critical unfolding force is $f_{\rm C}$ 22:6pN [40] at the tem perature $T_{\rm S}$ =0:692 $_{\rm h}$ = $k_{\rm B}$ below the folding transition tem perature $T_{\rm F}$ =0:7 $_{\rm h}$ = $k_{\rm B}$. At this tem perature 70% of native contacts are form ed (see the phase diagram in Ref. [40]). To simulate the stretch-relax trajectories, the initially folded structures in the NBA were equilibrated for 60ns at $T_{\rm S}$. To probe forced unfolding of S1 at T= $T_{\rm S}$, constant pulling force $f_{\rm S}$ =40pN and 80pN was applied to both term in als of S1. For these values of $f_{\rm S}$, S1 globally unfolds in t=90ps and 50ps, respectively. Cycles of stretching were interrupted by relaxation intervals during which the force is abruptly quenched to $f_{\rm Q}$ =0 for various duration T. Unfolding-refolding trajectories of S1 have been recorded as time series of X and the number of native contacts Q.

In Figure 4 we present a single unfolding-refolding trajectory of X and Q of S1, generated by stretch-relax cycles. Stretching cycles of constant force $f_S=80 \mathrm{pN}$ applied for 30ns are interputed by periods of quenched force relaxed over 90ns. A folding event is registered if it results in the form ation of 92% of the total number of native contacts $Q_F=106$, i.e. $Q=0.92Q_F$ for the rst time. An unfolding time is dened as the time of rupture of 92% of all possible contacts for the rst time. With this denition, the unfolded state end-to-end distance is X $X_F=36a$. In Figure 4, folded (unfolded) states correspond to minimal (maximal) X and maximal (minimal) Q. Inspection of Figure 4 shows that refolding events are essentially stochastic. Out of 36 relaxation periods only 9 attempts resulted in refolding of S1. Both X and Q show that refolding of S1 occurs though an initial collapse to a coiled state with the end-to-end distance $X_C=a=15$ (Q=20), followed by the establishment of additional native contacts (Q=90) stabilizing the folded state with $X_F=a=(1=2)$.

We generated about 1200 single unfolding-refolding trajectories and monitored the time-dependent behavior of X and Q. In the rst set of simulations we set $f_{\rm S}=40{\rm pN}$ and used several values of T=24, 54, 102, 150 and $240{\rm ns}$. In the second set, $f_{\rm S}=80{\rm pN}$, and T=15, 48, 86, 120 and $180{\rm ns}$. Each trajectory involves four stretching cycles separated by three relaxation

intervals in which $f_0 = 0$. Typical unfolding-refolding trajectories of X and Q for $f_S = 40 \text{pN}$, $f_0 = 0$, and T = 102, 150 and 240ns are displayed in Figures 5, 6 and 7, respectively. Due to nite duration of stretching cycles (90ns), unfolding of S1 failed in few cases which were not included in the subsequent analysis of unfolding times. Only statetching cycles in each trajectory are quaranteed to start from the NBA and for T = 102ns (Figure 5) relatively few relaxation intervals result in refolding (with large Q). This implies that the distribution of unfolding times P (T;t) obtained from these trajectories are dominated by contributions from the coiled states with the kinetics of form ation of the native contacts playing only a minor role. Not unexpectedly, refolding events are more frequent when T is increased to 150ns and 240ns. At T = 150ns, Q reaches higher values (65 75) and the failure to refold is rare (Figure 6). This implies that as T starts to exceed the (re) folding time F, the distribution of unfolding events, param etrized by P (T;t), is characterized by dim inishing contribution from the coiled states fC g and is increasingly dom inated by the folded conform ations in the NBA. Note that failed refolding events are observed even at T = 240ns (Figure 7), which implies large heterogeneity in the duration of folding barrier crossing events. Figures 5-7 suggest that the folding $time_{\rm F}$ at the tem perature T_U is in the range 100 240ns. Direct computations of the folding time F from hundreds of folding trajectories starting with the fully stretched states gives F 176ns. The agreem ent between $_{\rm F}$ and $_{\rm F}$ validates our stretch-release simulations.

Analysis of the distribution of unfolding times of S1: The theoretical considerations in our formalism suggest that the T-dependent heterogeneous unfolding processes occur not only from the NBA but also from the intermediate coil fCg states. The T-dependent protein dynamics can be utilized to separately probe the coil dynamics of the polypeptide chain and the kinetics of formation/rupture of native contacts (Q). We now utilize unfolding-refolding trajectories of S1, simulated for short, intermediate and long T, to build the histograms of unfolding times P (T;t). Using P (T;t) we provide quantitative description of the polypeptide chain dynamics in the coil state and the kinetics of rupture and formation of native interactions by employing CTRW model for Q and Gaussian statistics for X.

We computed P (T;t) using the distribution of unfolding times obtained for $f_s = 80$ pN, T = 15, 48 and 86ns (Figure 8), and $f_S = 40 pN$, T = 24, 54 and 102ns (Figure 9). In both cases $f_O = 0$. We excluded unfolding times corresponding to the rst stretch-quench cycle of each trajectory which were used to construct P (t) for the purposes of comparing P (t) with P (T;t) for long T. Single peaked P (T;t) obtained for T=15ns (Figure 8) and T=24ns (Figure 9), represent contributions to S1 unfolding from coilm anifold fC g alone. When T is increased to 48ns (Figure 8) and 54ns (Figure 9), position of the peak shifts to longer times, i.e. from t 2:5ns to t 5ns (Figure 8) and from t 6ns to t 10ns (Figure 9). Furtherm ore, P (T;t) develops a shoulder at t 10ns and t 25ns, observed for T = 86ns (Figure 8) and T = 102ns (Figure 9), which indicates a growing (with T) contribution to unfolding from relaxation trajectories that reach the NBA. At longer T = 150ns, when most relaxation periods result in refolding of S1, contribution from coiled states dim in ishes and at T = 240ns P (T;t) is identical to the standard distribution P (t) constructed from unfolding times of the rst stretch-quench cycle of each trajectory. This implies that for $f_0 = 0$, F 240ns and that P (T;t)! P (t) for T > 240ns. The distribution P (T;t)= P (t) constructed from unfolding times separated by T = 300ns is presented in Figures 8 and 9 (top left panel).

We use the CTRW form alism to analyze the histogram sofunfolding times P (T;t) from which the parameters that characterize the energy landscape of S1 can be mapped. We describe the

kinetics of rupture and form ation of native contacts by the waiting time distributions $_{\rm r}$, $_{\rm f}$,

$$_{r}$$
 (t) = $N_{r}t^{v_{r}} + e^{k_{r}t}$; $_{f}$ (t) = $N_{f}t^{v_{f}} + e^{k_{f}t}$ (20)

where k_r (dependent on f_S) and k_f (dependent on f_Q) are the rates of rupture and form ation of native interactions, respectively, N $_{r;f} = k_{r;f} = (v_{r;f})$ are normalization constants ((x) is G amm a function) and $v_{r;f}$ 1 are phenomenological parameters quantifying the deviations of the kinetics from a Poissonian process. For instance, $v_{r;f} = 1$ implies Poissonian process and corresponds to standard them ical kinetics with constant rate $k_{r;f}$. We assume that both the folded and the unfolded states are sharply distributed around them can native and unfolded end-to-end distance hX $_F$ i and hX $_U$ i, respectively (Figure 2),

$$P_{eq}(X_F) = (X \quad hX_Fi); \quad and \quad P(X_U) = (X \quad hX_Ui)$$
 (21)

where hX $_{\rm U}$ i=a=36 residues corresponds to the denition of unfolded state. For S1 the contour length L=a=46. Thus, S1 is unfolded if X =a exceeds hX $_{\rm U}$ i which implies =a=10 residues (see Figure 2 and the lower limit of integration in Eq. (1)). We describe the distribution of states fC g before the transition to the NBA by a Gaussian,

$$P_{c}(X) = e^{(X hX ci)^{2}=2 X_{c}^{2}}$$
 (22)

with the width $X_{\rm C}$, centered around the average distance hX $_{\rm C}$ i.

We perform ed numerical to of the histogram's presented in Figures 8 and 9 using Eqs. (1), (2), (3) and (4). By thing the theoretical curves to P (T;t) constructed from short T=15ns and T=48ns simulations (Figure 8) and T=24ns and T=54ns (Figure 9), we is studied the dynamics of X to estimate the dynamical timescale $_d$, i.e. the longest relaxation time corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue z_n (Eq. (12)), and persistence length l_p of S1 in the coil states fC g. By using the values of $_d$ and l_p , we used our theory to describe P (T;t) constructed from long T=300ns simulations. This analysis allows us to estimate the parameters characterizing the rupture of native contacts k_r , v_r , k_r , k_r i and k_r i and k_r characterizing formation of native contacts were estimated using k_r in k_r , k_r , k_r i and k_r and thing Eqs. (3) and (4) to P (T;t) for intermediate k_r is given 8) and k_r in the parameter k_r is given 9).

Extracting the energy landscape parameters of S1: There are a number of parameters that characterize the energy landscape and the dynam ics of the major components in the NBA! U transition. The numerical values of the model parameters are summarized in the Table. The values of $v_r = 6.9$ for $f_s = 40 \text{pN}$ and $v_r = 5.1$ for $f_s = 80 \text{pN}$ indicate that rupture of native contacts is highly cooperative especially at the lower $f_s = 40 \text{pN}$. This agrees with the previous indings on kinetics of forced unfolding of S1 [40] which were based solely on unfolding S1 by applying a constant force. In contrast, the formation of native contacts is characterized by $v_f = 1$ in plying almost Poissonian distribution for the kinetics of formation of native contacts. The structural characteristics of the coil states are obtained using the relaxation of the polypeptide chain upon force-quench from stretched states. The value of the persistence length l_p , which should be independent of l_p provided $l_p = l_c = 1$, is found to be about 4.8A (Table). This value is in accord with the results of the recent experimental measurements based on kinetics of loop formation in denatured states of proteins [50].

Upon rupture of native contacts, the chain extends by $X_F = a = 6.4$ (for $f_S = 40 pN$) and $X_F = a = 6.7$ (for $f_S = 80 pN$). This distance separates the basins of folded states with hX F i = a = 4.5

at $f_S=40pN$ and hX $_F$ i=a=4:6 at $f_S=80pN$ from high free energy states when the polypeptide chain is stretched in the direction of f_S (Figure 2(a)). Because these high free energy states are never populated we expect that forced-unfolding of S1 m ust occur in an apparent two-step m anner when T! 1 . Explicit simulations of S1 unfolding at constant f_S (69pN) shows that mechanical unfolding occurs in a single step (see Figure 2 in Ref. [40]).

From the refolding free energy pro le upon force-quench (see Figure 2(b)) we infer that the initial stretched conformation must collapse to an ensemble of compact structures fC g. From the analysis of P (T;t) using the CTRW formalism we not that the average end-to-end distance hX c i for the manifold fC g is close to hX i (see the Table) which suggests that the ensemble of the fC g! NBA transition states is close to the native state. There is a broad distribution of coiled states fC g which is manifested in the large width $X_C = a = 2.2$. Due to the broad conformational distribution, there is substantial heterogeneity in the refolding pathways. This feature is rejected in the long tails in P (T;t) (see Figures 8 and 9). As a result, we expect the kinetic transition to be sharp. The estimated timescale ($1 = k_F$) for forming native contacts for S1 is shorter than the coil dynamical timescale of (for the values of f_S used in the simulations). This indicates that the dynamical collapse of S1 from the stretched state X U and equilibration in the coiled manifold fC g constitutes a signicant fraction of the total folding time ($d_F + k_F^{-1}$). From the analysis of folding of S1 (P (T;t) at intermediate T) we also infer that the transition state ensemble for fC g! N must be narrow.

From the rates of rupture of native contacts k_r at the two $f_{\rm S}$ values and assum ing Bellm odel for the dependence of k_r on $f_{\rm S}$,

$$k_r (f_S) = k_r^0 e^{f_S = k_B T}$$
 (23)

we estimated the force-free rupture rate k_r^0 and the critical extension—at which folded states of S1 become unstable. We found that $k_r^0=8$ 10 4 ns 1 is negligible compared to the rate of formation of native contacts, $k_f=0.25 \, \mathrm{ns}^{-1}$. The location of the transition state of unfolding X = hX $_F$ i+—is characterized by = 1.5a 0.03L. The value of—is short compared to—X $_C$ which is a measure of the width of the fC g manifold. Small—implies that the major barrier to unfolding is close to the native conformation. A similar values of—was obtained in the previous study of S1 by using an entirely dierent approach [40]. These—ndings are consistent with AFM experiments [51] and computer simulations [52] which show that native structures of proteins appear to be \brittle" upon application of mechanical force.

The parameter $_{\rm d}$ is an approximate estimate of the collapse time, $_{\rm c}$, from the stretched to the coiled state. Using direct simulations of the decay of the radius of gyration, R $_{\rm g}$, starting from a rod-like conformation, we obtained $_{\rm c}$ 80ns (see Supplementary Information in [53]). The value of $_{\rm d}$ (20ns) is in reasonable agreement with the estimate of $_{\rm c}$. This exercise shows that reliable estimates of timescales of conformational dynamics, which are discult to obtain, can be made using FCS. To ascertain the extent to which the estimate of K $_{\rm U}$ agrees with independent calculations, we obtained the K $_{\rm U}$ by applying a constant force to unfold S1. The value of K $_{\rm U}$, obtained by averaging over 200 trajectories, is about 90ns at $f_{\rm S}=40{\rm pN}$ which is in rough accord with K $_{\rm U}$ $_{\rm d}+k_{\rm r}^{-1}$ 70ns. This further validates the energy landscape of proteins. We also estimated K $_{\rm U}^0$ from the value of K $_{\rm U}$ obtained by direct simulation and the Bellmodel. The $f_{\rm S}$ -dependent unfolding rate K $_{\rm U}$ $_{\rm d}+k_{\rm r}^{-1}$ increases with $f_{\rm S}$ in accord with Eq. (23). The prefactor (K $_{\rm U}^0$) is about ten fold smaller than $k_{\rm r}^0$. The difference may be either due to the failure of the assumption that $k_{\rm r}^0={\rm K}_{\rm U}^0$ or the breakdown of the Bellmodel [54].

IV. DISCUSSION

In this Section we sum marize the main steps for practical implementation of the proposed Force Correlation Spectroscopy (FCS) to probe the energy landscape of proteins using forced unfolding of proteins.

Step 1. Evaluating the (re) folding timescale $_{\rm F}$: In the rst phase of the FCS experiments, one needs to collect a series of histogram s P $(T_n;t)$, n=1;2;:::,N of unfolding times for increasing relaxation time $T_1 < T_2 < ... < T_N$ by repeated stretch-release experiments. This can be done by discarding the rst unfolding time t in the sequence of recorded unfolding times ft; t;;;;; ty g for each T_n to guarantee that all the unfolding events are generated from the stretched states with the distribution P (X_U) (see Eq. (1)). This is a crucial element of the FCS methodology since it enables us to perform the averaging over the nal (stretched) states. It is easier to resolve experim entally the end-to-end distance X L, rather than the initial (folded) states in which a number of conformations belong to the NBA. The histograms are compared with P (T;t) obtained for su ciently long T $_{\text{F}}$. To ensure that T $\,$ exceeds $_{\text{F}}$, T $\,$ can be as long as few tens of m inutes. The time at which D (T_n) , given by Eq. (5), is equal to zero can then be used to estim at $_{\rm F}$. Notice that our estim at $_{\rm F}$ does not hinge on whether P (T ! 1;t) P (t) is Poissonian or not! Clearly, the choice of T depends on the protein under the study, and prior know ledge or bulk m easurem ents of unfolding times observed under the in uence of temperature jum p or denaturing agents can serve as a guide to estim ate the order of m agnitude of T .

Step 2. Resolving the dynam ics of the polypeptide chain: To this end we have determ ined the ensemble average (re) folding time, F. In the second phase of the FCS, we perform statistical analysis of the distribution of unfolding times collected at T F, i.e. P (T F;t) (regime I in Section II). This allows us to probe the dynamic properties of the polypeptide chain, such as the protein persistence length lo and the protein dynam ical time scale d (see the Table). Indeed, by assum ing a reasonable model for the conditional probability, G (X 0;t;X), of the protein end-toend distance and the distribution of the stretched states, P (X_U), l_p and l_d can be determined from the t (either analytically or num erically) of the unfolding time distribution, P (T given by Eq. (1), to the histogram of unfolding times collected for T_F . To illustrate the utility of the FCS, in the present work we assumed a Gaussian prole for $G_{S,0}$ (X^0 ; t; X) (see Eq. (11)) and the worm-like-chain model for the polypeptide chain. The general formulae (1) allows for the use of more sophisticated models of X, should it become necessary. Recent single molecule FRET experiments on proteins [55, 56], dsDNA, ssDNA, and RNA [57] show, surprisingly, that the characteristics of unfolded states obey worm-like chain models. Moreover, all the data in forced unfolding of proteins have been analyzed using W LC models. Thus, the analysis of FCS data using W LC dynamics for unfolded polypeptide chains to a large extent is justi ed. $G_S(X^0;t;X)$ and $G_O(X^0;t;X)$ can be \measured" in the current AFM and LOT experiments by computing the frequency of occurrence of the event X after the forced stretch (f= $f_{\rm S}$) or force quench ($f=f_0$) from the well-controlled partially stretched state X or the fully stretched state X L of the chain, respectively, over time t (F).

Step 3. Probing the kinetics of rupture of the protein native contacts: Having resolved the dynam ics of the protein in extension-time regime, where the number of native interactions that stabilize the native state is small, we can resolve the kinetics of forced rupture of native interactions stabilizing the NBA (regime II). In the third part of the FCS we analyze the distribution of unfolding times for T_F , given by Eq. (2). We use the know ledge about the propagator $G_S(X^0;t^0;X;t)$, appearing in the rhs of Eq. (2), obtained in Step 2 to perform analytical or

num erical tofthe distribution P (T F;t) to the histogram of unfolding times collected for $_{ extsf{F}}$. The new inform ation, gathered in Step 3, sheds the light on the kinetics of native interactions stabilizing the NBA, which is contained in the probability P_F (t; f_S ; X_F) (see Eq. (2)). For convenience, we used the continuous time random walk (CTRW) model for P_F (t; f_S ; X_F), which is sum marized in Eqs. (7)-(9), and the assumption of separability, given by Eqs. (6). CTRW reduces to the Poissonian kinetics with the rate constants when the waiting time distribution function for the rupture of native contacts, r(t), is an exponential function of t. The CTRW probes the possible deviations of the kinetics of P_F (t; f_S ; X_F) from the Poisson process and allows to test dierent functional forms for $_{\rm r}$ (t). In the simplest implementation of CTRW utilized in the present work, r (t) is assumed to be an algebraic function of t, given by Eqs. (20), which allows us to estimate the rate of rupture of native interactions, k_r , and parameter v_r quantifying the deviations of the rupture kinetics from a Poissonian process. Furtherm ore, by repeating Step 3 for dierent values of the stretching force, fs, and assuming the Bellmodel for $k_r(f_S)$, given by Eq. (23), we can also estimate the force-free nupture rate, k_r^0 , and the critical extension , which quanti es the distance from the NBA to the transition state along the direction of f_S . We also obtain the average end-to-end distance in the folded state, hX $_{\rm F}$ i from the distribution of the native states P_{eq} (X $_{F}$).

Step 4. Resolving the kinetics of formation of native interactions: In the nal step the distributions P (T $_{\rm F}$;t) and P (T F;t), analyzed in Steps 2 and 3 respectively, are used to form a linear superposition (Eq. (3), regime III). The T-dependent weights are given by the probabilities $_{\mathbb{C}}$ (T) and $_{\mathbb{F}}$ (T)=1 $_{\mathbb{C}}$ (T), respectively. This superposition is used to the histogram of unfolding times, P (T $_{F}$;t), collected for T $_{F}$. The estim ated probability $_{F}$ (T) should then be matched with the prophability obtained by performing double integration in Eq. (4). This allows us to probe the kinetics of form ation of native contacts, P_C (T; X; f_0), for the known propagator $G_Q(X^0;T;X)$ analyzed in Step 2. As in the case of $P_F(t;f_S;X_F)$, we assumed separability condition for P_C (t; f_0 ; X_C) (Eqs. (6)) and CTRW for the kinetics of form ation of native contacts contained in P_f (t; f_0) (see Eqs. (7)-(9)). A simple algebraic form for the waiting tim e distribution function, f(t), given by Eq. (20), allows us to estimate the force-free rateof form ation of native interactions, k_f ($f_Q = 0$)= k_f^0 . M oreover, the heterogeneity of the protein folding pathyways can be assesses by analyzing the width, Xc, of the distribution of coiled protein states, P_c (X), centered around the average end-to-end distance, hX c i (see Eq. (22)). Sim ilar to the analysis of rupture kinetics, Step 4 could be repeated for the two values of the quenched force, f_0 , to yield the force-free rate of form ation of native contacts, stabilizing the native fold, and the distance between hX $_{\rm C}$ i and the transition state for the form ation of native contacts. For the purposes of illustration, in the present work we used $f_0 = 0$.

At the m in im um FCS can be used to obtain model-independent estimate of $_{\rm F}$. By assuming a W LC description for coiled states, which is justified in light of a number of FRET and forced unfolding experiments, estimates of collapse times and their distribution as well as persistence length can be obtained. If CTRW model is assumed then estimates of timescale for rupture and formation of native contacts can be made. The utility of FCS for S1 illustrates the exact of the theory. The potential of obtaining hitherto unavailable information makes FCS extremely useful.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have developed a theory to describe the role of internal relaxation of polypeptide chains in the dynam ics of single molecule force-induced unfolding and force-quench refolding. To probe the elect of dynamics of the chain in the compact manifold of states, that are populated in the pathways to the NBA starting from the stretched conformations, we propose using a series of stretch-release cycles. In this new class of single molecule experiments, referred to as force correlation spectroscopy (FCS), the duration of release times (T) is varied. FCS is equivalent to conventional mechanical unfolding experiments in the limit T! 1. By applying our theory to a model—sheet protein we have shown that the parameters that characterize the energy landscape of proteins can be obtained using the joint distribution function of unfolding times P (T;t).

The experimentally controllable parameters are f_{S} , f_{Q} , and T. In our illustrative example, we used values of f_{S} that are approximately (2 4) times greater than the equilibrium unfolding force. We set $f_{\text{Q}}=0$ which is discult to realize in experiments. From the schematic energy landscape in Figure 1 it is clear that the proless corresponding to the positions of the manifold fC g, the dynamics of fC g, and the transition state location and barrier hight depend on f_{Q} . The simple application, used here for proof of principle purposes only, already illustrates the power of FC S. To obtain the energy landscape of S1 by using FC S that covers a broader range of f_{S} and f_{Q} , a complete characterization of the landscape can be made. The experiments that we propose based on the new theoretical development can be readily performed using presently available technology. Indeed, the pioneering experimental setup used by Fernandez and Li [24] that have utilized force to initiate refolding can be readily adopted to perform single molecule FC S.

It is known that even for proteins that fold in an apparent two-state manner the energy landscape is rough [22]. The scale of roughness E can be measured in conventional AFM experiments by varying temperature. The extent to which the internal dynamics of proteins is a ected by E, whose value is between (2 5)kg T [58, 59], on the force-quenched refolding is hard to predict. These subtle e ects of the energy landscape can be resolved (in principle) using FCS in which temperature is also varied.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation through grant number NSF CHE-05-01456.

APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF hX (t)X (0)i

In this Appendix we outline the calculation of hX (t)X (0)i and hX 2 i for the force-free propagator G $_0$ (X ;t;X $_0$). By using Eq. (10) (without the last term) and applying the least action principle to W LC Lagrangian L=m=2 $_{\rm L=2}^{\rm L=2}$ ds (@r=@t) 2 H , we obtain: $m\frac{\rm e^2}{\rm et^2} r$ (s;t)+ $\frac{\rm e^4}{\rm es^4} r$ (s;t) $2\frac{\rm e^2}{\rm es^2} r$ (s;t)=0, where m is the protein segment mass and = 3½kB T=4, = 3kB T=2½. D ynam ics of the media is taken into account by including a stochastic force f (s;t) with the

white noise statistics, hf (s;t)i=0, hf (s;t)f $(s^0;t^0)i=2$ k_BT (s-8) (t-9), where =x, y, z, and is the friction coe cient per unit coil length. In the overdam ped lim it, the equation of motion for r(s;t) is [48,49]

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} r(s;t) + \frac{\theta^4}{\theta s^4} r(s;t) \qquad 2 \frac{\theta^2}{\theta s^2} r(s;t) = f(s;t) \tag{A1}$$

with the boundary conditions,

$$2 \frac{\theta}{\theta s} r(s;t) \frac{\theta^{3}}{\theta s^{3}} r(s;t) = 0; \qquad 2 \frac{\theta}{\theta s} r(s;t) + \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta s^{2}} r(s;t) = 0$$
 (A 2)

where $_0$ = $3k_B$ T=4. We solve Eq. (A1) by expanding r(s;t) and f(s;t) in a complete set of orthonormal eigenfunctions f $_n$ (s)g, i.e.

$$r(s;t) = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} f_{n}(t) = \int_{n=0}^{X^{1}} f_{n}(t) f_{n}(s)$$
 (A3)

Substituting Eqs. (A3) into Eq. (A1) and separating variables we obtain:

$$\frac{d^4}{ds^4}$$
 n (s) $2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2}$ n (s) = z_n n (s) and $\frac{d}{dt}$ n (t) + z_n n (t) = f_n (t) (A 4)

where z_n is the n-th eigenvalue. The second Eq. (A 4) for (t) is solved by

$$_{n}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{z_{t}} dt^{0} f_{n}(t^{0}) \exp \left(\frac{(t + t^{0})z_{n}}{2}\right)$$
 (A 5)

and the eigenfunctions $_n$ (s) are

where c_n 's are the normalization constants; n and n are determined from Eqs. (A2),

The parameters $_n$ and $_n$ are related as $_n^2$ $_n^2$ = $\frac{1}{l_p^2}$. The eigenvalues z_n are given by $z_n = _n^4 + 2$ $_n^2$. Using Eqs. (A3) and (A5), we obtain: $hr(s;t)r(s^0;t)i = _n^2$

 $3k_B T \int_{n=0}^{P} \frac{1}{z_n} \frac{1}{n} (s) \int_{n}^{\infty} (s^0) e^{z_n t} \int_{n}^{\infty} T hen, hX(t)X(0) = hr(\frac{L}{2};t)r(\frac{L}{2};0) + hr(\frac{L}{2};t)r(\frac{L}{2};t$

- [1] Labeit, S., and B. Kolmerer. 1995. Titins: Giant proteins in charge of muscle ultrastructure and elasticity. Science 270: 293-296.
- [2] M inaeva, A., M. Kulke, J. M. Fernandez and W. A. Linke. 2001. Unfolding of titin domains explains the viscoelastic behavior od skeletal myo brils. Biophys. J. 80: 1442-1451.
- [3] O hashi, T., D.P.K iehart and H.P.Erickson. 1999. Dynam ics and elasticity of the bronectin matrix in living cell culture visualized by bronectin-green uorescent protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 2153-2158.
- [4] Marshall, B.T., M. Long, J.W. Piper, T. Yago, R.P.M & ver and C. Zhu. 2003. Direct observation of catch bonds involving cell-adhesion molecules. Nature 423: 190-193.
- [5] Evans, E., A. Leung, D. Hammer and S. Simon. 2001. Chemically distinct transition states govern rapid dissociation of single L-selectin bonds under force. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 3784-3789.
- [6] Barsegov, V., and D. Thirum alai. 2005. Dynam ics of unbinding of cell adhesion molecules: Transition form catch to slip bonds. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102: 1835-1839.
- [7] Barsegov, V., D. K lim ov and D. Thirum alai. Langevin simulation studies of the transition from catch to slip bonds using coarse-grained protein models (manuscript in preparation).
- [8] Chicurel, M.E., C.S.Chen and D.E.Ingber. 1998. Cellular control lies in the balance of forces. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 10: 232-239.
- [9] Henrickson, S.E., M. M. isakian, B. Robertson and J.J. Kasianow icz. 2000. Driven DNA transport into an asymmetric nanometer-scale pore. Phys. Rev. Lett. 85: 3057-3060.
- [10] Sung, W., and P.J. Park. 1996. Polymer translocation through a pore in a membrane. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77: 783-786.
- [11] Muthukum ar, M. 2003. Polym er escape through a nonapore. J. Chem. Phys. 118: 5174-5184.
- [12] Liphardt, G., D. Smith and C. Bustamante. 2000. Single-molecule studies of DNA mechanics. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 10: 279–285.
- [13] A llem and, J.F., D. Bensim on and V. Croquette. 2003. Stretching DNA and RNA to probe their interactions with proteins. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 13: 266-274.
- [14] Zhuang, X., L.E.Bartley, H.P.Babcock, R.Russel, T.Ha, D.Herschlag and S.Chu. 2000. A single molecule study of RNA catalysis and folding. Science 288: 2048–2051.
- [15] Rief, M., H. Clausen-Shaunm an and H. E. Gaub. 1999. Sequence-dependent mechanics of single DNA molecules. Nat. Struct. Biol. 6: 346-349.
- [16] Chang, K.C., D.F. Tees and D.A. Hammer. 2000. The state diagram for cell adhesion under ow: Leukocyte rolling and madhesion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 11262-11267.
- [17] Weisel, J.W., H. Shum an and R. I. Litvinov. 2003. Protein-protein unbinding induced by force: single molecule studies. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 13: 227-235.
- [18] Liphardt, J., S.Dumont, S.B.Smith, I.Jr Tinoko and C.Bustamante. 2002. Equilibrium information from nonequilibrium measurements in an experimental test of Jarzynski's equality. Science 296: 1832–1835.
- [19] Bartolo, D., I. Derenyi and A. A jdari. 2002. Dynam ic response of adhesion complexes: Beyond the single path picture. Phys. Rev. E 65: 051910-051913.
- [20] Hummer, G., and A. Szabo. 2001. Free energy reconstruction from nonequilibrium single-molecule pulling experiments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 3658-3661.

- [21] Lapidus, L.J., W.A. Eaton and J.Hofrichter. 2000. Measuring the rate of intram olecular contact formation in polypeptides. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 7220-7225.
- [22] Hyeon, C., and D. Thirum alai. 2003. Can energy landscape roughness of proteins and RNA be measured by using mechanical unfolding experiments? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100: 10249-10253.
- [23] Oberhauser, A.F., H.P. Erickson and J.M. Fernandez. 1998. The molecular elasticity of the extracellular matrix protein tenascin. Nature 393: 181–185.
- [24] Fernandez, J.M., and H.Li. 2004. Force-clamp spectroscopy monitors the folding trajectory of a single protein. Science 303: 1674-1678.
- [25] Rief, M., J. Pascual, M. Saraste and H. E. Gaub. 1999. Single molecule force spectroscopy of spectrin repeats: low unfolding forces in helix bundles. J. Mol. Biol. 286: 553-561.
- [26] Yang, G., C. Cecconi, W. A. Baase, I.R. Vetter, W. A. Breyer, J. A. Haack, B. W. Matthews, F. W. Dahlquist and C. Bustamante. 2000. Solid-state synthesis and mechanical unfolding of polymers of T4 lysozyme. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 139-144.
- [27] Craig, D., A. Krammer, K. Schulten and V. Vogel. 2001. Comparison of the early stages of forced unfolding for bronectin type III modules. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 5590-5595.
- [28] Carrion-Vazquez, M., A.F.Oberhauser, S.B.Fowler, P.E.Marszalek, S.E.Broedel, J.Clarke and J.M. Fernandez. 1999. Mechanical and chemical unfolding of a single protein: A comparison. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 3694-3600.
- [29] Scott, K.A., A. Steward, S.B. Fow ler and J. Clarke. 2002. Titin: a multidom ain protein that behaves as the sum of its parts. J. Mol. Biol. 315: 819-829.
- [30] Litvinovich, S.V., and K.C. Ingham. 1995. Interactions between type III domains in the 110 kDA cell-binding fragment of bronectin. J. Mol. Biol. 248: 611-626.
- [31] K ram m er, A., H. Lu, B. Isralew itz, K. Schulten and V. Vogel. 1999. Forced unfolding of the bronectin type III module reveals a tensile molecular recognition switch. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 1351-1356.
- [32] Isralew itz, B., M. Gao and K. Schulten. 2001. Steered molecular dynamics and mechanical functions of proteins. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 11: 224-230.
- [33] Cieplak, M., T.X. Hoang, M.O. Robbins. 2004. Thermale ects in stretching of Go-like models of titin and secondary structures. Proteins 56: 285-297.
- [34] Makarov, D.E., Z.W ang, J.B. Thompson and H.G. Hansma. 2002. On the interpretation of force extension curves of single protein molecules. J. Chem. Phys. 116: 7760-7765.
- [35] Hyeon, C., and D. Thirum alai. 2005. Chem ical theory and computation special feature: Mechanical unfolding of RNA hairpins. Proc. Natl. Acad, Sci. USA 102: 6789-6794.
- [36] Best, R.B., and G.Hummer. 2005. Comment on \Force-clamp spectroscopy monitors the folding trajectory of a single protein. Science 308: 498.
- [37] Barsegov, V., and D. Thirum alai. 2005. Probing protein-protein interactions by dynamic force correlation spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95: 168301-168305.
- [38] Hyeon, C., R.I.D im a and D.Thirum alai. Size, shape, and exibility of RNA structures. J.Mol. Biol. (submitted).
- [39] Honeycutt, J.D., and D. Thirum alai. 1990. Metastability of the folded states of globular proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad, Sci. USA 87: 3526-3529.
- [40] K lim ov, D. K., and D. Thirum alai. 2000. Native topology determ ines force—induced unfolding pathways in globular proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 7254-7259.
- [41] Veitshans, T., D.K.K lim ov and D. Thirum alai. 1997. Protein folding kinetics: timescales, pathways and energy landscapes in terms of sequence-dependent properties. Folding Des. 2: 1-22.

- [42] Jackson, S.E. 1998. How do small single-domain proteins fold. Folding and Design 3: R81-R91.
- [43] Montroll, E.W., and H. Sher. 1975. A nom alous transit-time dispersion in amorphous solids. Phys. Rev. B 12: 2455-2477.
- [44] Sher, H., and M. Lax. 1973. Stochastic transport in a disordered solid. I. Theory. Phys. Rev. B 7: 4491-4502.
- [45] Barsegov, V., Y. Shapir and S.M. ukam el. 2003. O ne-dim ensional transport with dynamic disorder. Phys. Rev. E 68: 011101-011114.
- [46] Barsegov, V., and S.M. ukam el. 2002. Probing single molecule kinetics by photon arrival trajectories. J. Chem. Phys. 116: 9802-9810.
- [47] Barsegov, V., and S.M. ukam el. 2004. Multipoint uorescence quenching-time statistics for single molecules with anomalous diusion. J. Phys. Chem. A 108: 15-24.
- [48] Hamau, L., R.G.W inkler and P.Reineker. 1995. Dynam ic properties of molecular chains with variable stiness. J. Chem. Phys. 102: 7750-7757.
- [49] Dua, A., and B.J. Cherayil. 2002. The dynamics of chain closure in semi exible polymers. J. Chem. Phys. 116: 399-409.
- [50] Lapidus, L.J., P.S. Steinbach, W.A. Eaton, A. Szabo and J. Hofrichter. 2002. Eects of chain stiness on the dynamics of loop formation in polypeptides. Appendix: Testing a 1-dimensional diusion model for peptide dynamics. J. Phys. Chem. B 106: 11628-11640.
- [51] Rief, M., M. Gautel, F. Oesterhelt, J. M. Fernandez and H. E. Gaub. 1997. Reversible unfolding of individual titin immunoglobulin domains by AFM. Science 276: 1109-1112.
- [52] K lim ov, D. K., and D. Thirum alai. 1999. Stretching single-dom ain proteins: Phase diagram and kinetics of force-induced unfolding. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 6166-6170.
- [53] Li, M.S., C.K. Hu, D.K lim ov, D. Thirum alai. Multiple stepwise refolding of immunoglobulin domain 127 upon force quench depends on initial conditions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA (in press).
- [54] Hummer, G., and A. Szabo. 2003. Kinetics from nonequilibrium single-molecule pulling experiments. Biophys. J. 85: 5-15.
- [55] Schuler, B., E.A. Lipman, P.J. Steinbach, M. Kumke and W. A. Eaton. 2005. Polyproline and the \spectroscopic ruler" revisited with single-molecule uorescence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102: 2754-2759.
- [56] Laurence, T.A., X.Kong, M. Jaeger and S.Weiss. 2005. Probing structural heterogeneities and uctuations of nucleic acids and denatured proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102: 17248–17353.
- [57] Caliskan, G., C. Hyeon, U. Perez-Salas, R. M. Briber, S. A. Woodson and D. Thirum alai. Persistence length changes dram atically as RNA folds. Phys. Rev. Lett. (in press).
- [58] Thirum alai, D., and S.A.W oodson. 1996. Kinetics of folding of proteins and RNA. Acc. Chem. Res. 29: 433-439.
- [59] Nevo, R., V. Brum feld, R. Kapon, P. Hinterdorfer and Z. Reich. 2005. Direct measurement of protein energy landscape roughness. EMBO Reports 6: 482-486.

TABLE I: Energy landscape param eters for S1 extracted from FCS:

f _S , pN ^a	l _p =a ^b	d, ns ^c	k _r , 1=ns ^d	e	hX _F i=a ^f	X _F =a ^g	k_f , 1= ns^h	f	hX _C i=a ^j	$X_{C} = a^{k}$
40	1.2	19.6	0.02	6.9	4.5	6.4	0.26	1.1	4.8	2.2
80	1.1	15.2	0.11	5.1	4.6	6.7	0.25	1.1	4.7	2.2

 $^{^{}a}\,f_{S}\,$ is the magnitude of the stretching force

 $^{^{\}rm b}$ l, is the persistence length of S1 in the coiled state (Eq. (10)) m easured in units of a (A)

 $^{^{\}rm c}$ d is the f₀-dependent longest relaxation time in the coil state (Eq. (12))

 $^{^{\}rm d}k_{\rm r}$ ($k_{\rm f}$) is the rate of rupture (form ation) of native interactions (Eq. (20)) and is a function of $f_{\rm S}$ ($f_{\rm Q}$)

e r (f) quanti es deviations of the native contacts rupture (form ation) kinetics from the Poisson process

 $^{^{}f}hX_{F}i$ ($hX_{C}i$) is the average end-to-end distance of S1 in the NBA (m anifold fCg) (Figure 2 (b), Eqs. (21)-(22))

 $^{^{\}rm g}$ X $_{\rm F}$ is the extension of the chain prior to rupture of all native contacts (Figure 2 (a) and Eq. (2))

 $^{^{\}rm h}k_{\rm r}$ ($k_{\rm f}$) is the rate of rupture (form ation) of native interactions (Eq. (20)) and is a function of $f_{\rm S}$ ($f_{\rm S}$)

 $^{^{}i}$ $_{r}$ ($_{f}$) quanti es deviations of the native contacts rupture (form ation) kinetics from the Poisson process

 $^{^{}j}hX_{F}i$ ($hX_{C}i$) is the average end-to-end distance of S1 in the NBA (m an ifold fCg) (Figure 2 (b), Eqs. (21)-(22))

k X_C is the width of the distribution of coiled states of S1 (Eq. (23)), a m easure of the refolding heterogeneity

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. a: A typical AFM setup: constant force $f=f_S=f_S\,x$ is applied through the cantilever tip linker in the direction x parallel to the protein end-to-end vector X. Stretching cycles are interrupted by relaxation intervals T during which the force is quenched, $f=f_Q=f_Q\,x$ $(f_S>f_Q)$. b: A single trajectory of forced unfolding times t_1 , t_2 , t_3 , :::, separated by xed relaxation time T, during which the unfolded protein can either collapse into the manifold of colled states fC g if T is short or reach the native basin of attraction (NBA) if T is long.

Figure 2. Schematic of the free energy prole of a protein (red) upon stretching at constant force f_0 and force-quench f_0 . (a): The projections of energy landscape (blue) is in the direction of X which is a suitable reaction coordinate for unfolding induced by force fs. The average end-to-end distance in the native basin of attraction is hX $_{\rm F}$ i. Upon application of $f_{\rm S}$, rupture of contacts that stabilize the folded state F results in the form ation of an ensemble of high energy extended (by X_F) conform ations fig. Subsequently, transitions to globally unfolded state U (with L Χ L) occurs. (b): Free energy pro le for force-quench refolding which occurs in the order U! fC g! F. Refolding is initiated by quenching the force f_s ! $f_c < f_c$, where f_c is the equilibrium critical force needed to unfold the native protein. The initial event in the process is the form ation of an ensemble of compact structures. The mean end-to-end distance of fC q is hX $_{\rm C}$ i and the width is X $_{\rm C}$ which is a measure of heterogeneity of the refolding pathways. These states may or may not end up in the native basin of attraction (NBA) depending on the duration of T. We have used X as a reaction coordinate during force-quench for purposes of illustration only.

Figure 3. Native structure of the model protein S1. The model polypeptide chain has a -sheet architecture of the native state. The -strands of the model chain are formed by native contacts between hydrophobic residues (given by blue balls). The hydrophilic residues are shown by red balls and the residues forming the turn regions are given in grey.

Figure 4. A single unfolding-refolding trajectory of the end-to-end distance X = a (black) and the total number of native contacts Q (red) as a function of time t for S1. The trajectory is obtained by repeated application of stretch-quench cycles with stretching force $f_S = 80 pN$ and quenched force $f_Q = 0$. The duration of stretching cycle and relaxation period is 30ns and 90ns, respectively. The rst ve unfolding events corresponding to large X = a and small Q are marked explicitly by numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. Force stretch and force quench for the stretch-quench cycles 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 (middle panel) are denoted by solid green and dash dotted blue arrow s.

Figure 5. Typicalunfolding-refolding trajectories of X =a (black) and Q (red) for S1 as functions of time t, simulated by applying four stretch-quench cycles at the pulling force $f_S = 40 pN$ and quenched force $f_O = 0$. The duration of relaxation time T = 102 ns.

Figure 6. Examples of unfolding-refolding trajectories of X =a (black) and Q (red) for S1 as a function of time t. The pulling force is $f_S = 40 pN$ and the quenched force is $f_Q = 0$. The duration of relaxation time T = 150 ns.

Figure 7. Sam e as Figure 6 except T = 240ns.

Figure 8. Histogram s of forced unfolding times P (t) and the joint distributions of unfolding times separated by relaxation periods of the quenched force P (T;t). The distribution functions are constructed from single unfolding-refolding trajectories of S1 simulated in stretch-quench cycles of $f_S = 80 \mathrm{pN}$ and $f_Q = 0$ for $T = 15 \mathrm{ns}$, $48 \mathrm{ns}$ and $86 \mathrm{ns}$. Simulated distributions are shown by red bars with the contribution to global unfolding events from coiled conformations fC g indicated by an arrow for $T = 86 \mathrm{ns}$. The results of the numerical ts obtained by using Eqs. (1)-(4) are represented by solid lines. The energy landscape parameters of S1 are sum marized in the Table.

Figure 9. Histograms of forced unfolding times P (t) and P (T;t) constructed from single unfolding-refolding trajectories for S1. The stretch-quench cycles were simulated with $f_S = 40 pN$ and $f_Q = 0$ for T = 24 ns, 54 ns and 102 ns. Simulated distributions are shown by red bars with the contribution to global unfolding events from coiled conformations fC g indicated by an arrow for T = 102 ns. The results of numerical to obtained by using Eqs. (1)-(4) are represented by solid lines. The values of the parameters are given in the Table.