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#### Abstract

A reduced Ham ittonian form ulation to reproduce the saturated regin e of a Single Pass Free E lectron Laser, around perfect tuning, is here discussed. A sym ptotically, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$ particles are found to organize in a dense cluster, that evolves as an individualm assive unit. The rem aining particles Il the surrounding un ifom sea, spanning a nite portion of phase space, approxin ately delin ited by the average $m$ om enta !+ and!. These quantities enter the $m$ odel as extemal param eters, which can be self-consistently determ ined w ithin the proposed theoretical fram ew ork. To this aim, we $m$ ake use of a statistical $m$ echanics treatm ent of the $V$ lasov equation, that govems the in itial ampli cation process. Sin ulations of the reduced dynam ics are show n to successfully capture the oscillating regin e observed within the originaln body picture.


## I. GENERALBACKGROUND

Free E lectron Lasers (FELs) are coherent and tunable radiation sources, which di er from conventional lasers in using a relativistic electron beam as their lasing $m$ edium, hence the term free-electron.
$T$ he physicalm echanism responsible for the lightem ission and am pli cation is the interaction betw een the relativistic electron beam, a m agnetostatic periodic eld generated in the undulator and an opticalwave copropagating with the electrons. Due to the e ect of the $m$ agnetic eld, the electrons are foroed to follow sinusoidal trajectories, thus em itting synchrotron radiation. T his spontaneous em ission is then am pli ed along the undulator until the lasere ect is reached. A m ong di erent schem es, single-pass high-gain FE Ls are currently attracting grow ing interest, as they are prom ising sources of pow fil and coherent light in the UV and X ranges. B esides the Self Ampli ed Spontaneous Em ission (SA SE) setting seeding schem es $m$ ay be adopted whea sm all laser signal is in jected at the entrance of the undulator and gulaes the subsequent am pli cation process. In the follow ing we shall refer to the latter case. $\quad$ features of the system dynam ics are succnfully captured by a simple one-dim ensional H am iltonian $m$ ode: introduced by B onifacio and collaborators in . Rem arkably, this simpli ed form ulation applies to other physical system s, provided a form al translation of the variables involved is perform ed. As an exam ple, focus on kinetic plasm a turbulence, e.g. the electron beam -plasm a instability. W hen a weak electron beam is in jected into a them al plasm a, electrostatic $m$ odes at the plasm a frequency (Langm uir modes) are destabilized. T he interaction of the Langm uir wam and the electrons constituting the man be studied hhe fram ew ork of a self-consistent H am iltonian picture form ally equivalent to the one in . In a recent paper we established a bridge betw een these two areas of investigation (FEL and plasm a), and exploited the connection to derive a reduced H am iltonian m odel to characterize the saturated dynam ics of the laser. A ccording to this scenario, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$ particles are trapped in the resonance, i.e. experience a bouncing $m$ otion in one of the (periodically repeated) potential wells, and form a clum $p$ that evolves as a single $m$ acro-particle localized in space. The rem aining particles populate the surrounding halo, being alm ost uniform ly distributed in phase space betw een tw o shapp boundaries, whose average $m$ om entum is labeled ! + and! . The issue of providing a self-consistent estim ate for the extemal param eters $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}},!_{+}$and ! is addressed and solved in this paper.
$\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{m}}$ is long-standing problem was rst pointed an'ly Tennyson et al. in the pioneering work and recently revisited in A rst attem pt to calculate $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is m ade in where a sem i-analyticalargum ent is proposed. In this respect, the strategy h posed applies to a large class or pnenom ena wh dynam ics can be modeled within a H am iltonian fram ew ork displaying the em ergence of colnotive behaviour

The paper is organized as follows. In Section and review the derivation of the reduced $H$ am . Sectiol ecalls the statisticalm echanics approach to estim ate the saturated laser regim e. In Section
details and the results are then tested num erically in section Finally, in Sectio re sum up and draw our conclusions.

## II. FROM THESELF-CONSISTENTNBODY HAMITONIANTOTHEREDUCEDFORMULATION

U nder the hypothesis of one-dim ensional motion and monochrom atic radiation, the steady state dynam ics of a Single P ass Free E lectron Laser is described by the follow ing set of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d{ }_{j}}{d z}=p_{j} ;  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{d p_{j}}{d z}=A e^{i j_{j}} A e^{i_{j}} ;  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{d A}{d z}=i A+\frac{1}{N}^{X} e^{i_{j}} ; \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z=2 k_{w} z{ }_{r}^{2}=h i_{0}^{2}$ is the rescaled longitudinal coordinate, which plays the role of time. Here, = $\left[a_{w}!_{p}=\left(4 \mathrm{ck}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)\right]^{2=3}={ }_{r}$ is the so-called P ierce param eter, $h i_{0}$ the $m$ ean energy of the electrons at the undulator's entrance, $k_{w}=2={ }_{w}$ the wave num ber of the undulator, $!_{p}=\left(4 e^{2} n=m\right)^{1=2}$ the plasm a frequency, $c$ the speed of light, $n$ the total electron num ber density, $e$ and $m$ respectively the charge and $m$ ass of one electron. Further, $a_{w}=e B_{w}=\left(k_{w} m c^{2}\right)$, where $B_{w}$ is the ms peak undulator eld. Here $r_{r}={ }_{w}\left(1+a_{w}^{2}\right)=2 \quad 1=2$ is the resonant energy, w and being respectively the period of the undulator and the wavelength of the radiation eld. Introducing the wavenum ber $k$ of the FEL radiation, the two canonically conjugated variables are ( $p$ ), de ned as
$=\left(k+k_{w}\right) z \quad 2 \quad k_{w} z{ }_{r}^{2}=h i_{0}^{2}$ and $p=\binom{h}{i_{0}}=\binom{h}{i_{0}}$. corresponds to the phase of the electronsw ith respect to the ponderom otive wave. The com plex am plitude $A=A_{x}+i A_{y}$ represents the scaled eld, transversal to $z$. Finally, the detuning param eter is given by $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}h & { }_{b} \\ r\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 2 \\ r\end{array}\right)$, and $m$ easures the average relative deviation from the resonance condition.

The above system of equations ( $N$ being the num ber of electrons) can be derived from the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X_{j=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{p_{j}^{2}}{2} \quad I+2 \frac{I}{N}_{j=1}^{X^{N}} \sin (j \quad,) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the intensity I and the phase' of the wave are given by $A={ }^{P} \overline{I=N} \exp \left(i^{\prime}\right)$. H ere the canonjcally con jugated variables are $\left(p_{j} ; j\right.$ ) for $1 \quad j \quad N$ and ( $\left.I ;^{\prime}\right)$. Besides the \energy" $H$, the totalmomentum $P={ }_{j} p_{j}+I$ is also conserved. By exploiting these conserved quantin one can recast the FEL equations of $m$ otion in the follow ing form for the set of 2 N conjugate variables $\left(q_{j} ; p_{j}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{j}= & p_{j} \underbrace{r \frac{p}{N I}_{l=1}^{X^{N}}} \sin q_{i}+\quad ;  \tag{5}\\
p_{j}= & 2 \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{~N}} \cos q_{j} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the dot denotes derivation $w$ ith respect to $2 \sim n n_{j}=j, \bmod (2)$ is the phase of the $j^{\text {th }}$ electron in a proper reference fram e. The xed points of system are determ ined by im posing $q_{j}=p_{j}=0$ and solving:

An elliptical xed point is found for $q_{i}=q=3=2$. The conjugate $m$ om entum solves $(p+)^{p} \overline{P=N} \quad p+1=0$ and therefore depends on $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{W}$ e shall retum on this issue in the follow ing Sections.

Foram onokinetic in itialbeam w ith velocity resonantw ith the wave, equations and instability and a late oscillating cataration for the am plitude of the radiation ela. Num ericalsm ulations fully con m this scenario as displayed in $q$ In the single particle ( $q ; p$ ) space, a dense core of particles is trapped by the wave and behaves like a large $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ acro-particle", that evolves coherently in the resonance. T he distances betw een these particles do not grow exponentially fast (as is the case for chaotic motion) but grow at most linearly with tim e (for particles trapped in the resonance w ith di erent adiabatic invariants, i.e.essentially di erent action in the single particla pendulum-like description). This linear-in-tim e departure of the particles appears in the di erential rotation in 9 while the rem aining particles are alm ost uniform ly distributed betw een two oscillating boundaries. H aving observed the form ation of such structures in the space allowed to derive a sim pli ed $H$ am iltonian $m$ odel to characterize the asym ptotic evolution of the laser .This reduced form ulation consists in only four degrees of freedom, nam ely the wave, the $m$ acro-particle and the tw o boundaries delim iting the portion of space occupied by the so-called chaotic sea, i.e. the uniform halo surrounding the inner core.


FIG.1: Evolution of the radiation intensity as follows from equations and $N=10^{4}$ electrons are sim ulated, for an initialm ono-energetic pro le. Here $=0$ and $I(0)^{\prime} 0$. Particles are H1maly unnorm ly distributed in space.
we hypothesized the $m$ acro-particle to be form ed by $N_{m}$ individualm assive units, and introduced the variables ( ; ) to label its position in the phase space.

The $N_{c}=N \quad N_{m}$ particles of the surrounding halo are treated as a continuum $w$ th constant phase space distribution, $f_{\text {sea }}(; p ; z)=f_{c}$, betw een two boundaries, nam ely $p_{+}(; z)$ and $p(; z)$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=p^{0}+p \exp (i)+p \exp (i) ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p^{0}$ represenneir $m$ ean velocity. These assum ptions allow to $m$ ap the original system, after linearizing $w$ ith respect to $p$, intc

$$
\begin{align*}
&=i e^{i} \quad i e^{i}  \tag{10}\\
& \frac{1}{2} V=\frac{i}{2}!V+i  \tag{11}\\
&-=\frac{i}{2} \frac{N_{c}}{N} \frac{V_{+}}{!}+\quad V  \tag{12}\\
&+i \frac{N_{m}}{N} e^{i}+i
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A} & =\mathrm{i}  \tag{13}\\
\mathrm{P} & =\mathrm{V}=2  \tag{14}\\
\mathrm{P}^{0} & =! \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

N orm alizing the density in the chaotic sea to unity yields $f_{c}=1=(2 \quad!)$, where $!:!+\quad!$ represents the (average) width of the chaotic sea. The above system can be cast in a H am iltonian form by introducing new actions I and their con jugate angles ' :

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{+}=r \frac{r}{4 \frac{I_{+}!}{N_{c}}} e^{i^{\prime}+}  \tag{16}\\
& V=\frac{I}{N_{c}} e^{i^{\prime}}:
\end{align*}
$$

A pictorial representation of the $m$ ain quantities involved in the analysis is displayed in

$$
\begin{equation*}
=i A=\frac{r}{\frac{I}{N}} e^{i\left(\prime^{\prime}+\overline{2}\right)}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reduced 4-degrees-of-freedom H am iltonian reads, up to a constant irrelevant to the evolution equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}=\frac{2}{2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}} \quad \mathrm{I}+!_{+} \mathrm{I}_{+} \quad!\quad \mathrm{I} \quad 2^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}} \overline{\mathrm{II}} \sin \left(r^{\prime} \quad r_{+}\right) \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{II}} \sin \left(r_{+}, \quad\right)^{\mathrm{i}} \quad 2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{I} \sin \left(r^{\prime}\right.} \quad\right) ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG .2: P hase space portraits for di erent position along the undulator $\left.[\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{a}) 80, \mathrm{~b}) 81, \mathrm{c}) 83, \mathrm{a}^{1} \mathrm{8} 4\right]$. The di erential rotation of the $m$ acro-particle is clearly displayed. For the param eters choice refer to the caption of F ig


FIG. 3: ( $q, p$ ) phase space portrait in the deep saturated regim e for a monokinetic in itial beam $\left(\mathrm{I}(0), 0, p_{j}(0)=0\right.$ and $q$ uniform ly distributed in $[0 ; 2]$ ). The two solid lines result from a num erical $t$ perform ed according to the follow ing strategy. F irst, the particles located close to the outer boundaries are selected and then the expression $p(q)=!\quad j V j \sin (q+B \quad$ ) is num erically adjusted to interpolate their distribution. H ere, $\mathrm{JV} ; \mathrm{B}$ and! are free param eters. T he num erics are com patible w ith the sim plifying assum ption $\mathrm{B}_{+}=\mathrm{B} \quad \boldsymbol{r}$.
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& r  \tag{20}\\
&= \frac{N_{c}}{\mathrm{~N}!}  \tag{21}\\
&= \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}} \\
& \mathrm{~N}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he rst four term $s$ represent the kinetic energy of the $m$ acro-particle, the oscillation of the wave and the harm onic contributions associated to the oscillation of the chaotic sea boundarioc. T he rem aining term s refer to the interaction energy. Totalmom entum is $\mathrm{P}=+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ !. The Ham iltonian allows for a simpli ed description of the late nonlinear regim e of the instability, provided the three param eters! ${ }_{+}$! and $N_{m}$ are given.

To achieve a com plete and satisfying theoretical description we need to provide an argum ent to self-consistently estim ate these coe cients. To this end, we shall use the analytical characterization of the asym laser intensity and beam bunching (a m easure of the electrons spatialm odulation) obtained in w ith a statistical $m$ echanics approach. In the next section these results are shortly review ed.

## III. STATISTICALTHEORYOFSINGLEPASSEELSATURATEDREGIME

A s observed in the previous Section, the process of wave am pli cation occurs in two steps: an in itial exponential grow th followed by a relaxation tow ards a quasi-stationary state characterized by large oscillations. This regin e is govemed by the $V$ lasov rigorously obtained by norform ing the continuum lim it $\mathbb{N}$ ! 1 at xed volume and energy per particle) on the discrete system Form ally, the follow ing $V$ lasov-w ave system is found:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@ f}{@ z} & =p \frac{@ f}{@}+2\left(A_{x} \cos \quad A_{y} \sin \right) \frac{@ f}{@ p} ;  \tag{22}\\
\frac{d A_{x}}{d z} & =A_{y}+f \cos d d p ;  \tag{23}\\
\frac{d A_{y}}{d z} & =A_{x} \quad f \sin d d p: \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

T he latter conserves the pseudo-energy per particle

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{p^{2}}{2} f(; p) d d p \quad\left(A_{x}^{2}+A_{y}^{2}\right)+2^{Z}\left(A_{x} \sin +A_{y} \cos \right) f(; p) d d p \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $m$ om entum per particle

$$
={ }^{Z} p f(; p) d d p+\left(A_{x}^{2}+A_{y}^{2}\right):
$$

A subsequent slow relaxation tow ards the Boltzm ann equilibrium is obse wis is a typical nite-N e ect and occurs on tim e-scales much longer than the transit trough the undulator For our calculations we are interested in the rst saturated state. To estim ate analytically the average inter and bunching param eter in th is regim e we exploit the statistical treatm ent of the $V$ lasov equation, presented in . In the follow ing, we provide a short outline of the strategy. Since the $G$ ibbs are equivalent for this $m$ oael, note that the sam e expressions are recovered through a canonical calculation
$T$ he basic idea is to coarse-grain the $m$ icroscoplc one-particle distribution function $f(; p ; z)$. An entropy is then associated to the coarse-grained distribution $f$, which essentially counts a number of $m$ icroscopic con gurations. $N$ eglecting the contribution of the eld, since it represents only one degree of freedom $w$ ithin the $(\mathbb{N}+1)$ of the H am iltonian one assum es

$$
S(f)=\quad \frac{f}{f_{0}} \ln \frac{f}{f_{0}}+1 \frac{f}{f_{0}} \ln 1 \frac{f}{f_{0}} \quad f_{0} d d p \prime \quad \frac{f}{f_{0}} \ln \frac{f}{f_{0}} f_{0} d d p ;
$$

where the constant $f_{0}$ is related to the initial distribution
The equilibrium is com puted by $m$ axim izing this entropy, while im posing the dynam ical constraints. This corresponds to solving the constrained variationalproblem

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(;)=\max _{f ; A_{x} ; A_{y}} S(f) H\left(f ; A_{x} ; A_{y}\right)=N \quad ; P\left(\mathbb{E} ; A_{x} ; A_{y}\right)=N \quad ; \quad f(; p) d \quad d p=1 ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the equilibrium values

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\frac{q}{A_{x}^{2}+A_{y}^{2}}=\square \sin () f(; p) d d p ; \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where, and are the Lagrange $m$ ultipliers for the energy, $m$ om and norm alization constraints and, in addition, we have assum ed the non-restrictive condition $\cos (i)=0 \quad U \operatorname{sing}$ then the three equations for the constraints, the statistical equilibrium calculation is reduced to nding the values of the $m$ ultipliers as functions of energy and $m$ om entum . Thepse equations lead directly to the estim ates of the equilibrium values for the intensity $I$ and bunching param eter $b=j$ exp (ii) $\ddagger \mathrm{N}$.

In the follow ing, we focus on the case of an initially m onokinetic beam in jected at the wave velocity, while the in trial wave intensity is negligible, sa that $=0$ and $=0$. M oreoverwe let $f_{0}!1$, which am ounts to ! 1 in eq. R esults are displayed in 9 how ing rem arkably good agreem ent betw een theory and sim ulations, below the crucal threshold $c^{\prime}$ 1:9 that manks the transition betw een high and low gain regim es. This transition is purely dynam ical and cannot be reproduced by the statistical calculation.

A nalytically, it tums out that



FIG. 4: C om parison between theory (solid and long-dashed lines) and sim ulations (sym bols) for a m onoenergetic beam with
$=0$, $=0$, whe rying the detuning . The dotted vertical line, $=c^{\prime} 1: 9$, represents the transition from the high-gain to low gain regim $\in$

> IV. TOWARDSTHEANALYTICALCHARACTERIZATIONOF Nm

A s previously discussed, one can predict the value of the bunching param eterb, using the above statisticalm echan ics description. C learly, the bunching param eter b depends on the spatialdistribution of the particles. From its de nition it im $m$ ediately follow $s$ :

To proceed we can isolate the contribution relative to the $m$ acroparticle from that associated to the chaotic sea. W e thus obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=4 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \underset{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{~m} \text { acro }}{\mathrm{X}} \quad \operatorname{cosq_{i}}+\frac{1}{N}_{\mathrm{i} 2 \operatorname{sea}}^{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{cosq}_{i}^{!_{2}}+\frac{1}{N}_{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{~m} \text { acro }} \sin q_{i}+\frac{1}{N}_{i 2 \operatorname{sea}}^{X} \sin q_{i} 5_{2}^{3_{1=2}}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Focus on the rst two tormaf expression
i.e. set $q_{j}=q^{=}$
W e assum e the macroparticle to be ideally localized at the $3=2$, for each individual $m$ assive unit belonging to the inner agglom eration. Hence, i2 m acro cosqi $=N_{m}$ cosq $=0-A$ concems the second contribution, recalling the expressions for the boundaries p (q) and $p_{+}$(q) (see caption of $g$ one can form ally w rite:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{1}{2(!+\quad!)}{ }_{\mathrm{Z}_{2}}^{2}(\text { cosq) }[!+\quad!\quad] d q \\
& \left.\left.\left.\frac{N_{c}}{N} \frac{1}{2(!+!)} 0_{0}^{\left[\left(j V_{+} j+j v\right.\right.}\right\rangle\right) \sin q\right] \operatorname{cosqdq}=0: \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

The other contributions in eq. can be estim ated as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N}_{i 2 m \text { acro }}^{X} \sin q_{i}^{\prime} \quad \frac{N_{m}}{N} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.=\frac{N_{C}}{N} \frac{1}{2(!+\quad!}\right) Z_{2}^{Z_{2}} \sin q(!+\quad!\quad) d q \\
& \frac{N_{c}}{N} \frac{1}{2(!+!)}{ }_{0}^{Z}\left(J J_{+} j+j J \quad \sin ^{2} q d q=\frac{N_{c}}{N} \frac{V}{2!} ;\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V=j V+j+j V j$. Inserting into yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~N}}+\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{\mathrm{~V}}{2!} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and nally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~b}!\quad \frac{\mathrm{F}}{2!}=1 \quad \frac{2(1 \quad \mathrm{~b})!}{2!}}{2!} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the relation $N_{m}=N \quad N_{c}$. It is worth em phasizing that, neglecting as a rst approxim ation the am plitudes of the sinusoidalboundaries, i.e. setting $V=V_{+}=0$, the previous equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\mathrm{b} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

con m ing the relevance of the m acropartigh nicture to the bunching param eter, a physical quantity of param ount im portance for the FEL dynam ics. Form ula yields bunching param eter values larger than i.e. im plies that the sea also contributes to increasing the bunching param eter. T he di erence increases as the sea geus mompppulated and the width ! $+\quad$ ! is reduced (note that our approxim ations require $\mathrm{JV}_{+} j_{+} j v j<!+\quad!$, see

```
V. ESTIMATING THEAVERAGEM OMENTUM OFTHE BOUNDARIES!
```

In this section we estim ate the unknown quantities , by characterizing their functional dependence on $N_{m}$. For th is purpose we introduce (see schem atic layout of

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=!\quad \frac{!}{2}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 5: Solid line: ! vs z. D ashed line: ! vs z. P aram eters are set as discussed in the caption of $g$.

The problem of estim ating! is obviously equivalont to providing a self-consistent calculation for ! and !. The latter are both $m$ onitored as function of tim $e$ in 9 and show $n$ to be practically constant.

In the follow ing, we shall focus on the case of a system which evolved from an initially monokinetic beam and an initially in nitesim al wave. It is then convenient to choose the G alilean reference fram e m oving at the beam in itial velocity. This translates into the conditions $=0$ and $=0$. The detuning is anbitrary so far. It is ofprim e interest to consider the special case whem tho beam is in jected at the resonant velocity, so that $=0$. W e shall make this additional assum ption in section but the estim ates in this section and in the next one do not require it unless explicitly stated.

C onsider the conservation of $m$ om entum for the original $N$ boody system
and focus on the asym ptotic dynam ics, which allow s one to isolate the contributions respectively associated to the macroparticle and the chaotic sea. A veraging over the num ber of particles yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{p}_{\text {sea }}+\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { acro }}+\mathrm{J}=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\text {sea }}$ stands for the average $m$ om entum of the chaotic sea and the subscript ${ }^{0} 0^{0}$ labels the in itial tion. To sim plify the calculations, we introduced the rescaled intensity $J=I=N$. As already observed in the m acroparticle rotates in phase space. This rotation is directly coupled to the oscillations displayed by une laser intensity. A veraging over a bounce period $z_{\text {rot }}$, one form ally gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N_{c}}{N} h p_{s e a} i+\frac{N_{m}}{N} h p_{m} \operatorname{acro} i+h J i=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $h$ i stands for the tim e average. Focus can use the approxim ation outlined before eq.
on hpai. Since partiche-are uniform ly lling the chaotic sea, one (see also caption of

A s already outlined in the preceding discussion, we assum $e$ that the $m$ acroparticle oscillates around the xed point and therofore each individualelem ent constituting the $m$ acroparticle veri es the condition $h_{j} i=q$. In addition, from equation

To proceed in the analysis, we approxim ate the right hand side in equation

consistently w ith the argum ent after The above relation is derived by perform ing a linearization (see A ppendix), validated num erically and supported a posterioriby the correctness of the results. The contribution of the chaotic sea reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M \text { erging equations and and recalling and one obtains } \\
& h_{m} \text { acroi } i^{\prime} \quad \frac{N_{m}}{N} p \frac{1}{\overline{\mathrm{JJi}}} \frac{N_{c}}{N} \frac{V}{2!} p \frac{1}{\overline{\mathrm{JJi}}}=\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\overline{\mathrm{hJi}}}=h \mathrm{hi}: \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

$1{ }^{1}$ the
and zopartic
into $m$ oves on the average at the
and solving for!, we nd,

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}} \quad \mathrm{hJi}+\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{hJi}}+\quad{ }^{\text {! \# }}=h J i: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get an expression for ! , we consider the energy conservation for the originaln boody $m$ odel over one com plete rotation of the $m$ acroparticle, we w rite:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \frac{h^{P}{ }_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}^{2} i}{2}+2^{*} p \frac{1}{N}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \sin q_{i}=h J i: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e then bring into evidence the contributions associated to the massive agglom erate and to the particles of the surrounding halo, for both the kinetic and the interaction term s:

Hereafter we make use of $h p_{m}^{2} \quad{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} h p_{m}$ acro $i^{2}$, which in tum am ounts to assum e sm all oscillations around the $m$ ean h $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ acroi, consistently with which neglects such oscillations. The kinetic energy associated to the uniform sea can be estim ated as follow s:

In this estim ate we assum ed the particles to be distributed uniform ly in a rectangularbox, disregarding the sinusoidal shape of the boundaries. The m odulation of the outer frontiers results in higher order corrections which can be neglected.

The interaction term follow s directly from


Inserting
 one nds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}} 36 \mathrm{hJi}^{2} \quad 24 \mathrm{hJi}: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

VI. CLOSED EXPRESSIONS FOR THEAMPLITUDESV OF THEOUTER BOUNDARIES
$T$ he preceding calculations lead to three equations that allow to estim ate $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}},!$ and ! (and thus ! + and! ), provided an expression for $V$ is given.

N ote that V enter as variables in the H . Itonian and thus evolve self-consistently. H ow ever, one can assess their average value using an adiabatic argum ent The boundaries of the sea are rather shanply draw $n$ by the $m$ otion of particles, which $m$ ove follow ing the tim e-dependent $H$ am iltonian $H_{1: 5}=p^{2}=2+2^{\rho} \overline{\mathrm{J}} \sin (\quad$, ), with 1.5 degrees of freedom. In a rst approxim ation, the tim e dependence of $J$ and' results in a detuning of the wave, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\prime}-=@_{I} H=\quad+(\mathbb{N} I)^{1=2} \quad \sin (j \quad,)=\quad \mathrm{P}=\bar{J}=\mathrm{J} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

ere we used and the estim ates of sec The resulting velocity agrees w ith the canonicalestim ate of reference
in the low -tem perature regim e , as it m ust since ensem bles are equivalent for th is m odel.
Let us neglect the pulsations of $J$ and further variations of '. Then the $H$ am iltonian $H_{1: 5}$ is brought to the integrable form of the classical pendulum, by a G alileo transform ation to the framemoving at velocity $v=\mathrm{hJi}$. In this fram $e$, the $m$ otion of particles preserves their action, which is directly related to their e ective energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{\left(p^{0}\right)^{2}}{2}+2^{p} \overline{h J i} \sin q^{0} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{0}=p+h J i, q^{0}=+h J i t \quad{ }^{0}$; here ${ }^{\prime 0}={ }^{\prime}+h J$ it is the wave phase in the new fram e. Solving for $p$, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p+h J i=p \overline{2 E} 1 \frac{2^{p} \overline{h J i}}{E} \sin q^{0}, \quad p \overline{2 E} \quad 1 \frac{p \overline{h J i}}{E} \sin q^{0} \\
& \text {, (! +hJi) } j J j \sin q^{0}=\frac{!}{2} \quad j V j \sin q^{0} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the assum ption that $h \mathrm{Ji}^{1=2}=\mathrm{E} \quad$ is sm all, i.e. that the particle $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}$ otion near the sea boundary (which has period $2=\overline{2 \mathrm{E}}$ ) is fact with respect to the characteristic frequency $\overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{hJ} \mathrm{i}}{ }^{1=4}$ of particle oscillations inside the wave potential well. From we nally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
j v j=\frac{4^{p} \overline{h J i}}{!}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the boundaries found here are also approxim ately level lines of the distribution $f$ given by eq as they should be.

## VII. VALIDATINGTHETHEORYTHROUGHDIRECTSIMULATION

In conclusion, we obtained a system of equations that can be solved to compute the values of $V_{+}$and $V$ and provide self-consistent estim ates of the three quantities $N_{m},!+$ and ! , through a direct calculation of ! and !, for an initially $m$ onokinetic beam and in nitesim alwave ( $H=N=0, P=N=0$ ). The nal equations read in the case $=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~N}} & =\frac{\mathrm{b} P=(2!)}{1 \mathrm{~V}=(2!)} \\
! & =\frac{\mathrm{hJi}}{\mathrm{r} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{2}}  \tag{57}\\
! & =6 \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}} \mathrm{hJi} \\
\mathrm{JV} j & =\frac{4 \mathrm{hJi}}{!} \\
V & =j+j+j J j
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 6: Rescaled laser intensity I/N vs tim e. The solid line refers to the reduced dynam ics. The free param eters are xed acoordinsto the self-consistent derivation here discussed. T he dashed line refers to a direct integration of the original N -body system
where $\mathrm{hJ} i=\nrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and b are calculated from T he system is explicit after a few algebraic calculations, which show that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{9}{2} \mathrm{~b} \quad \quad \begin{array}{r}
\frac{117}{4} \mathrm{~b}^{2} \quad 9 \mathrm{~b} \tag{58}
\end{array}
$$

It is w orth recalling that the derivation of this system assum es that the dynam ical variables like $V$ and $J$ do not vary too much, so that these nalectim ates yield coe cients ! which do not depend on tim e.

In tabl he solutions of system are com pared $w$ ith the values $m$ easumed in direct num erical experim ents.
The theoretical values are then sed to sim ulate the reduced dynam ics. C omparisons w ith num erical results based on the original N boody m odel are reported in $g$ and display a rem arkably good agreem ent. $T$ he norm alized standard deriations or the intensity in the two cases di er by lesc than ve percent. As an additional check, we report in $g$ the Fourier transform of the signals displayed in $g$ A discrepancy sm aller than 1 \% is observed for the peak values. T hese results provide an a posteriori validation of the reduced m odel which can be e ectively em ployed to characterize the saturated evolution of the FEL eld.

|  | Theory | N um erics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | $0: 530$ | $0: 56$ |
| hJ i | $0: 655$ | $0: 62$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{N}$ | $0: 472$ | $0: 5$ |
| $!$ | $0: 655$ | $0: 50$ |
| $!$ | $5: 408$ | $5: 10$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}+\mathrm{j}$ | $0: 599$ | $0: 85$ |
| J j | $0: 599$ | $0: 8$ |

TABLE I: Theoretical estim ates from system estim ate $N_{m}$ we select the $i^{\text {th }}$ particle and calcunce the spatial distances from the adjacent neighbors. If such quantities rem ain below a cut-o threshold during the subsequent evolution, the particles are said to belong to the inner cluster.


FIG.7: Fourier transform of the signals reported in
The thick solid line refers to the reduced $m$ odel, while the dashed one refers to the originalN -body system .

V III. C O N CLUSIO N

In this paper we consider a reduced H am iltonian form ulation to reproduce the saturated regim e of a single-pass Free $E$ lectron Laser around perfect tuning. Them odel consists of only four degrees of freedom. The particles trapped in the resonance give birth to a coherent structure localized in space which is form ally treated as an individualm acroparticle. The rem aining particles constitute the so-called chaotic sea and are uniform ly distributed in phase space betw een two sharp boundaries that evolve according to the $V$ lasov equation.
$T$ hism in im alistic apmach was rst derived by Tennyson et al. working in the context ofplasm a physics and recently adapted to the FEL in The original form ulation assum es three extemalparam eters, nam ely the $m$ ass of the dense core, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$, and the average m om enta! associated to the outerboundaries, which have been so farphenom enologically adjusted to reproduce the results ofN Hoody sim ulations. The lack ofa self-consistent characterization has signi cantly weakened the potential im pac ereduced H am iltonian approach so far.
$T$ his long standing problem is here addressed and solved. To this end wem ake use of the analyticalestim ates of the average intensity and buncning $p \cdots$ at the saturation based on a statisticalm echanics treatm ent of the $V$ lasov equation and of adiabatic theory O ur theoreticalpredictions for the param eters are com pared to direct num ericalm easurem ents, show ing an excellent agreem ent. Sim ulations of the reduced dynam ics, com plem ented w ith the strategy here discussed, reproduce rem arkably well the oscillatory regim e displayed by the originaln -body $m$ odel.

In conclusion, we have provided a solid self-consistent form ulation for the reduced H am iltonian, which we hereby propose as an altemative theoretical tool to investigate the saturated regim e of a FEL .
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A ppendix

C onsider the follow ing expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W={\frac{1}{P^{P}}}_{\bar{J}}^{i 2 m \text { acro }} \operatorname{Xin} q_{i} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose we want to evaluate hw i The asym ptotic intensity oscillates around a given plateau hJi, hence we w rite the dom inant Fourier term (see

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=h J i(1+J \sin (!z)) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $J=J=h J i$, noting $J$ the amplitude of the oscillations. $M$ oreover the $m$ acroparticle displays regular oscillations, centered around $q=3=2$. This in tum allow s us to w rite:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}=q+{ }_{q} \sin (!z) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $i^{\text {th }}$ particle com posing the dencesore. H ere ${ }_{q} m$ easures the average distance from $q$. Assum ing both $J$ and q to be sm all, one can approxim ate as follow s:
$!$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{N_{m}}{N} \quad 1+\frac{{ }_{q}}{2} \sin ^{2}(!z)+::: \quad 1 \quad \frac{J}{2} \sin (!z)+::: \quad, \quad \frac{N_{m}}{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{hJi}}} \overline{\mathrm{hJi}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{N_{m}}{N h J i} i^{1=2} J \sin (!z) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where use has been $m$ ade of the fact the cosq $=0$. A veraging over one period $z_{\text {rot }}=2=!$ one gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hW} i=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{hJi}}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is identical to equation
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