# N -dim ensional electron in a spherical potential: the large-N 

## $\lim$ it

Am it K Chattopadhyay<br>D ipartim ento di Fisica \G alileo G alilei", U niversita' di P adova, via M arzolb 8, 35131 P adova, Italríi

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)


#### Abstract

We show that the energy levels predicted by a $1=\mathrm{N}$-expansion m ethod for an N -dim ensional Hydrogen atom in a spherical potential are always lower than the exact energy levels but m onotonically converge tow ards their exact eigenstates for higher ordered corrections. The technique allows a system atic approach for quantum $m$ any body problems in a con ned potential and explains the rem arkable agreem ent of such approxim ate theories when com pared w ith the exact num erical spectrum .
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A fundam ental theoretical problem in the realm of $m$ any body physics concems the technical di culty in $m$ aking precise theoretical evaluations of physical observations, even more so in problems involving quantum system $s$. In m ost cases, this is vious statem ent, it e ectively im plies that com pounded by the practicaldi culty in es- as we go on increasing the dim ensionality tablishing a suitable approxim ation schem e of this param eter, the perturbation analysis
 An interesting observation in connection ten it is found that a problem of inherently

[^0]suggests that an increase in the num ber of degrees of freedom often sim pli es the theoreticalanalysis $\underline{11}_{11}^{1}$. A perturbative approach requires at least one dim ensionless param eter and if we couple this fact w ith the prequantum $m$ echanical origin can be $m$ apped on to a classical phase space in the $N$ ! 1

Im it thereby reducing a quantum problem to a classical one [i]]. In other words, one then has a lim it where quantum interference e ects sim ply die out paving the way for a sim ple classical analysis. The excited states for such a system can be obtained as an expansion in $1=\mathrm{N}$ around the m ini$m u m$ of the e ective classical potential $V_{e}$. Such an approach is not at alluncom $m$ on in statistical physics $\left[\frac{15}{1}, 1\right.$, 1 - $]$ in problem $s$ which allow for at least a m inimum. In $m$ any of those cases, the large-N lim it hasbeen fruitfully utilized in dealing w ith equilibrium as well as non-equilibrium problems in classical critical phenom ena [ip]. In quantum $m e-$ chanics too, $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion $m$ ethod has a long precedence. D etailed accounts of related applications can be obtained from review articles like the one due to Chatterjee
 ratives like $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1, ~} \\ \hline\end{array}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. The versatility and exibility of this technique has allowed it to be used in a range of diverse topics, starting from eld theoretic studies in high energy physics $[1 \overline{1} 2 \overline{2}$, ' $1 \overline{1} \overline{-1}]$ to problem s on earthquake dynam ics [1]ī1] as w ell as on problem $s$ in colloidal physics tīin].

In this brief report, we shall use the $1=\mathrm{N}$-expansion m ethod to study the problem of an N -dim ensional Hydrogen atom con ned in a H arm onic oscillator potential.

A though the model is nothing new tio how ever our ob jective here is. W e intend to study the e cacy of this expansion $m$ ethod by calculating the energy eigenvalues and show ing that to each order of correction, the large-N expansion method alw ays predicts a slightly lower potential as com pared to the exact eigenvalue obtained num erically. This is rem arkable since this im plies a certain $m$ onotonicity in these perturbation corrections whidh tells us that the corrections are always positive, a fact that has often been tacitly assum ed in related calculations畒夏, ing reason which $m$ akes this $m$ ethod $m$ ore dynam ic com pared to standard perturbation technique which is lim ited strictly to a weak-coupling regim e. In a follow ing work, we build on this principle and analytically solve for the three-body problem of interacting electrons using an exact C oulom b potential

In the rst paper of the paper, we do a rehash of the N -dim ensional quantum me chanics for a single electron in a spherical con ning potential and then de ning the potential in the relative fram e of reference, we go on to solve the stationary state problem using the $1=\mathrm{N}$ - expansion $m$ ethod. A s already stated, we then proceed to calculate the energy corrections due to this $m$ ethod
forboth ground and excited states and show that all higher ordered corrections have a steady $m$ onotonicity that ensures a large- $N$ eigenstate below its exact (m eaning experim ental) counterpart.

Taking cues from standard literature $\bar{q}_{\bar{i}}$, $[1]$ center ofm ass of an N -dim ensional electron in a spherical potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m_{e}}+V_{N}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing standardized units $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}=1$ ( $h=P$ lank's constant and $m_{e}=m$ ass of the electron), the H am iltonian can be rew rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} r_{N}^{2}+V_{N}(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where term $s$ have their usual $m$ eaning. The potential being radial $V_{N}(x)=V_{N}(x)$ and this gives the eigenvalue equation
H

$$
(x)=\left[\frac{1}{2} r_{N}^{2}+V_{N}(x)\right] \quad(x)=E
$$

(ㄷ)

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{N}{r} \frac{1}{d r}\right)+\frac{1(1+N}{2 r^{2}}\right.}  \tag{5}\\
& +V_{N}(r) \mathbb{R}(r)=\operatorname{ER}(r) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

For a system w th spherical sym $m$ etry, the curvilinear coordinates can be wrilten as follows (generalisation of the treatm ent available in [1̄ $\overline{\underline{g}}]$ )

```
x
x}\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}=r\operatorname{sin}1\mp@subsup{\operatorname{sin}}{2}{}\operatorname{sin}3:::\operatorname{sin}N\quad
x
    :
        :
        :
        xk}=r\operatorname{cos}k\quad1\mp@subsup{\operatorname{sin}}{k}{}\mp@subsup{\operatorname{sin}}{k+1}{*}:::\operatorname{sin}\mp@subsup{N}{N}{}
        :
        •
\mp@subsup{x}{N}{}
    x
\(w\) here \(r\) is the \(r\) is the radialdistance and \(k(k<N \quad 1)\) are the angles de ning the hyper-spherical space, \(\mathrm{N} \quad 1\) being the azim uthal angle. (x) is the eigenfunction of this system. T he above de nition can now be used to obtain the radialequation ofm otion [1] \(]\)
where l's are the angular quantum num bers and \(R(r)\) is the radial wave function. Using the transform ation \(u(r)=\) \(r^{(N \quad 1)=2} R(r)\), we can now absorb the rst
derivative in eqn. ( \(\overline{-1})\). The reconstructed radialequation ofm otion is now given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} R}{d r^{2}}+k^{2}\left[\frac{\left(1 \quad \frac{1}{k}\right)\left(1 \quad \frac{3}{k}\right)}{8 r^{2}}+\frac{V_{N}(r)}{k^{2}}\right] u(r)= \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
\]

In the above, we have used \(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{N}+21\). At this point, the \(m\) eaning of the large N lim it tums out to be pretty obvious. It \(m\) eans that \(k\) ! 1 (since \(N\) is large) encom passes the idea of a stationarity lim it for a very heavy classical particle of e ective m ass \(\mathrm{k}^{2}\) where the particle is localized at the point \(r=r_{0}\), the point \(r_{0}\) in tum de ning the \(m\) inim um of the classical potential \(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{1}{8 \mathrm{r}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{r})}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}\). The ground state energy ofsuch a localized system is given by \(\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{0}\right)\).

W e now consider a speci c form for the potential function \(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{r})\) and proceed to calculate the higher order corrections in the large-N lim it. The model we choose for the purpose is an oscillatorw ith anharm onic uctuations. The reason for this choice has been accentuated by the observation that such a description, albeit sim ple, yet is able to reproduce a good estim ate for the energy eigenstates \([\underline{2} \overline{0} \overline{1}]\) when com pared w ith num erical [2] tal [2̄2̄1] result. For a sim ple harm onic oscillator \(V_{N}(r)=\frac{1}{2}!{ }^{2} r^{2}\) which gives \(r_{0}=\) \(\overline{\frac{k}{2!}}, V_{e}=\frac{j!}{j 2 k} j\) and eventually \(E_{1}=\frac{j 3!}{j} j\).

O ne can now add quantum uctuations and study the behavior of the system close to the classical minim um \(r_{0}\) [ī10]. We go beyond this description in the sense that we consider a nite sized electron instead of a xed \(m\) ass and consider uctuations around the classical stable m inim um. To do this we revoke the original radial equation eq. ( \(\overline{(\bar{\sigma})}\) prior to the large-N lim it being im posed on it. \(U\) sing the \(1=\mathrm{N}\) expansion technique, we now em bark on a stepw ise evaluation of the energy eigenvalues due to the quantum uctuations close to the classicalm inim um . W e de ne the eigenvalue problem as follow s
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{H}_{0}+\hat{V}(r)\right] \quad(x)=E \quad(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
\]

The ground state eigenvalue equation \(\mathrm{H}_{0}\) (x) \(=\mathrm{E}_{0}\left(x^{(x)}\right.\) has already been de-
 \(\hat{V}(r)\) is the part of the \(H\) am iltonian that contributes to the quantum uctuations. Taylor's expansion allow s this perturbation H am iltonian to be represented as
\(\hat{V}=\hat{V}\left(r_{0}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{rl}r & r_{0}\end{array}\right) \hat{V}^{0}\left(r_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(r \quad r_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} \hat{V}^{\infty}\left(r_{0}\right)+:::\)
where the prim es denote derivatives \(w\) ith respect to r. Before proceeding any urther, we \(m\) ake a variable transform ation from \(r\) ! \(x\) where \(x=\frac{{ }^{p} \bar{k}}{r_{0}}\left(r \quad r_{0}\right)\) and
transform eq. ( \(\bar{i}_{1}\) ) likew ise. In the translated coordinate system, the com plete eigenvalue equation is given by
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} u}{d x^{2}}+k\left[( 1 \quad \frac { 4 } { k } + \frac { 3 } { k ^ { 2 } } ) \left(1 \quad 2 p_{\bar{k}}^{x}+3 \frac{x^{2}}{k}\right.\right.
\]
\({ }^{(9)}\) where \(V(R)\) represents som e oscillator
In the analysis of the above equation we potential having a \(m\) inim um at \(R_{0}\), a point consider all term s up to \(O\left(r^{2}\right)\) and evalu- which can be obtained from the relation ate coe cients for increasing powers of \(x\) starting \(w\) th \(x^{0}\). A little rearranging now allow s us to rew rite the eigenvalue equation in term s of the variable \(x\) as follow s
where
\[
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}!^{2} x^{2}+0  \tag{15}\\
\hat{V}(x) & =P_{\bar{k}}^{1}\left({ }_{1} x+{ }_{3} x^{3}\right)+\frac{1}{k}\left({ }_{2} x^{2}+{ }_{4} x^{4}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{k^{3=2}}\left({ }_{1} x+{ }_{3} x^{3}+{ }_{5} x^{5}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
\]
where \(=\left(\frac{E}{k}\right) r_{0}{ }^{2}\) and for a harm onic oscillator potential the constants \(k\) and \(k\) are given by non-zero values for anharm onic oscillations.
\[
=\left(\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{r}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{H}_{0}+\hat{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{x})\right] \quad(\mathrm{x})= \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
\]

H igher-ordered param eters like 3 have The above description allow s us to re-fram e an e ective classical potential \(V_{e}\) in the large N lim it but now including higher-
\[
\left.4 \frac{x^{3}}{k^{3=2}}+:::\right)+r_{0}^{2} f \hat{V}\left(r_{0}\right)+r_{0} \hat{V}^{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\left(1+\frac{x^{2}}{\mathrm{X}^{2}}\right)
\] ordered uctuations. It has the form
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{2} \hat{\mathrm{~V}}^{\infty}\left(r_{0}\right)\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{x}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}}+2 \mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)::: \mathrm{g}\right] \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{R})=\frac{1}{2} \frac{!^{2}}{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{R}^{2}+\frac{0}{\mathrm{k}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{R}) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho}{@ R} V_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{R}){\dot{j}=R_{0}}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
\]

De ning the potential as in eq. (İ11) and then applying the optim ization criterion as in eq. (1̄̄̄), we arrive at the quadratic equation
\[
3_{3} \mathrm{R}_{0}{ }^{2} \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{k}}!^{2} \mathrm{R}_{0}+1=0
\]
\({ }_{p}\) which gives the solution \(\mathrm{R}_{0}{ }^{()}=\) \(\frac{p_{\bar{k}!^{2}} P_{k!41213}}{6_{3}}\). To check the stability at the point \(R=R_{0}\), we evaluate the second derivatives and nd that the tw o roots ofeq. (1-5디) satisfy the relation
\[
0=\frac{k}{8} \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{8 k}+\frac{k^{2}}{64}
\]

The above result im plies that them inim a are subject to the restriction \(\mathrm{k}!^{4} \quad 121_{13}\). A \(n\) idea of the exactitude ofthis analysis can behad from an evaluation of the param eters using a sim ple harm onic oscillator potential. This gives \(3=1=2\), thereby naturally validating the restriction. The conclusion re\(m\) ains unchanged even after adding higher ordered anharm onic term \(s\) to the potential. To leading order in expansions, we now have the large-N expanded energy eigenvalue for the ground state as follow s


FIG. 1: Variation of non-dim ensionalized en\(E=\frac{k}{2} \frac{!^{2}}{r_{0}^{2}} R_{0}{ }^{(+)^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}} R_{0}{ }^{(+)}\left(1_{1}+{ }_{3} R_{0}{ }^{\left.(+)^{2}\right)}+\frac{\left.\text { ergy } E \text { as in eq. } \underline{1}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right) \mathrm{w} \text { ith the oscillator strength }}{!^{k}}\right.\) for \(N=3\). The dotted line in the gure (17)
where \(r_{0}{ }^{2}=\frac{k}{2!}\). The above expression for energy conclusively proves that even in the presence of uctuations, large-N expansion gives positive corrections to energy, \(m\) onotonically approaching the exact value as one scales up the order. W e have checked for a range of such higher ordered uctuations and have found the previous conclusion sacrosanct. A point of some interest here would be the variation of such an approxim ated energy w ith respect to the strength ! of the anham onic oscillation for a xed dim ension, \(\mathrm{N}=3\) say. Fig . 1 show s this variation and evidently tells us that there is a m inimum in the curve much as we would expect it to be. The m inim um
show s the \(m\) inim um around which the large N approxim ation gives the best result.
also signi es the fact that the results of the large N approxim ation would be best valid close to the m inim um, that is between \(!=0: 4 \quad 0: 5\) as per \(F\) ig. 1 .

A s a suggestive exam ple, we m ight look at the next higherm odi cation in the potential which gives rise to the follow ing cubic equation
\(4{ }_{4} \mathrm{R}_{0}{ }^{3}+3^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{k}}_{3} \mathrm{R}_{0}{ }^{2}+\left(2_{2} \mathrm{k}!^{2}\right) \mathrm{R}_{0}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{k}}_{1}=0\)

O nœe again, the above equation can be solved analytically using Cardan's m ethod
and it is rather an easy algebraic exercise N ! 1 . The results o er favorable com to show that the energy corrections are still parisons with num erical and experim ental positive.

To conclude, we have shown using a per- quantum many body problem s [ī turbed anharm onic oscillator potential that ing exact interaction potentials.
a large N expansion \(m\) ethod provides an e ective approxim ation scheme in tackling quantum \(m\) echanical problem s . This is evident, since the order of corrections as suggested by this \(m\) ethod \(m\) onotonically converges tow ards the sem i-classical lim it as
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