# Surface elastic waves in granular media under gravity

L. Bonneau, B. Andreotti, and E. Clement

Laboratoire de Physique et M ecanique des M ilieux H eterogenes (UM R CNRS 7636), 10 rue Vauquelin, 75005 Paris France.

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

D ue to the non-linearity of H ertzian contacts, the speed of sound c in granular m atter is expected to increase with pressure as P<sup>1=6</sup>. A static layer of grains under gravity is thus strati ed so that bulk waves are refracted toward the surface. We investigate wave propagation in the fram ework of an elastic description taking into account the main features of granular m atter: non-linearity between stress and strain and existence of a yielding transition. We show in this context the existence of waves localised at the free surface { like R ayleigh waves { but with a wave guide e ect related to the non-linear H ertz contact. The dispersion relationship shows a discrete num ber of m odes which correspond to m odes localized in the sagittal plane but also to transverse m odes. The propagation speed of these waves is nally com pared to recent m easurem ents perform ed in the eld, at the surface of a sand dune.

PACS num bers:

#### IN T R O D U C T IO N

Desert animals like scorpions, use sand-born surface sound waves up to a distance of half a meter in order to localize their prey [1]. On each of their legs they possess slit sensilla receptors and associated neural connections, such as to detect sm all phase lags and orient their killing jump very e ciently. A ctually to our know ledge, the rst experiments on wave propagations over sandy free surfaces were conducted in this context. Biologists like PhBrownell and his collaborators[1] have identied surface propagation of sound and measured a rather low speed (around 50m =s in the 100-500 Hz range) com patible with the anim al biological capacities for signal processing [2]. From the physics point of view, surface waves in an elastic material (called Raleigh waves) are traveling at speeds slightly sm aller than the bulk shear waves $\beta$ ]. Nevertheless, in the context of granular matter, the very existence of such waves and their ability to propagate over large distances is quite problem atic.

Granular matter, as a collection of stigrains under moderate con ning pressure, bears inter-granular contact surfaces of a scale much sm aller than a typical grain size. In fact, the contact surfaces and the contact orientations are likely to be modied either reversibly of irreversibly under the variation of inter-granular forces. This feature is at the origin of m any inherent di culties when one wishes to determ ine the macroscopic constitutive properties. Moreover, the packing topology which determ ines the contact geom etry is usually of a strongly disorderered nature [4] and average quantitities like deformation elds are quite subtle to de ne[5]. Thus, already at the most simple level of description, involving local non-linear elastic relations (the classical H ertz force problem [6])), a mean-eld approach which identi es the local granular displacem ents with m acroscopic deform ations, is failing quantitatively [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. In most cases, tests were made using sound wave bulk propagation [7, 11, 12, 14] under rather large con n-




FIG.1: Theoretical set-up. We consider elastic waves propagating along the x direction in a cell full-lled of granular m atter. G ravity is along the z axis. The y axis is the direction transverse to the propagation.

ing pressures P and results show a propagation velocity c / P<sup>1=4</sup> instead of the standard m ean-ekl prediction c / P<sup>1=6</sup>. Actually, M akse et al.[12] have shown clearly using num erical simulations that if one takes into account the e ective increase of the number of contacts with pressure, the agreement is bettered (especially for compression waves). Nevertheless, an essential discrepancy still lies in the assessment of the shearing stiness m erging from local tangential contact forces [12, 13]. Note also, that from an experimental point of view, the exact origin of the discrepancy is not totally clair ([15]). O ther features such as a angular shape contacts [16] or the existence of a soft layer surrounding the grains [17], can modify the propagation velocities in directions observed experimentally.

In the limit of vanishing con ning pressure very few results on sound wave propagation exist either experimentally [1, 18] or theoretically [20]. There are a priori several di culties due to the unilaterality of granular contacts which in plies that the elastic constants are bound to vanish at zero pressure. Therefore, the elastic problem will go to a singular limit. A second order-like phase transition was recently identieed in this limit, for friction less grains around the J-point of jamming [21] also displaying an anom alous density of low frequency vibrational modes [22]. The study was extended to packing with frictional contacts [23]. In practice, if one excludes m icrogravity experiments under controlled con nement (yet to be perform ed!), this peculiar situation would naturally exists close to a free surface. A simple (m ean-

eld) argum ents, show s that under these conditions, bulk waves cannot propagate parallel to the surface. This is due to the increase of material sti ness with depth which should redirect the wave front toward the free surface (the mirage e ect). Experiments conducted by Liu et al.[18] have shown an extrem e sensitivity of the acoustic signal to minute local reorganisations, possibly due the speck le e ect [14], a dominant feature for probe sizes on the order of one grain.

In this paper, we address the issue of surface wave propagation in a theoretical fram ework of continuous non-linear elasticity. The model we use was recently introduced by Jiang and Liu [24] to describe granular constitutive properties. In the rst part of this paper, we give a short state of the art concerning the elastic description of granular media in the static phase and present the phenom enology introduced by Jiang and Liu. In a second part, we derive the equations of propagation for an isotropic com pression and and uni-axial load. In the third part, we analyse the propagation of R ayleigh-like surface waves under gravity and com pare the results to experimental measurements made in the eld, on a dune slope.

#### THE JIANG-LIU MODEL

W e introduce the displacement eld U and the stress tensor ij. W ith our conventions, the dynamical equation reads:

$$U = r + g \tag{1}$$

The stress tensor  $_{ij}$  is related to the strain tensor  $u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{@U_i}{@x_i} + \frac{@U_j}{@x_i}$ .

R ecently, Jiang et Liu have proposed a phenom enological form ulation for the elasticity of granular states based on a simple energetic form ulation :

$$F_{el} = \frac{1}{2} E^{-1=2} (B u_{ll}^{2} + 2u_{s}^{2})$$
 (2)

where E is the material young's modulus, A and B are two dimensionless numbers. The volum ic compression is :

$$= Tr(u_{ij})$$
(3)

 $u_{ij}^0 = u_{ij} + \frac{1}{3}$  is the traceless strain tensor and

$$u_s^2 = u_{ij}^0 u_{ij}^0$$

its m odulus squared. Interestingly, this elegant and com - pact form ulation of elastic energy is able to reproduce

m any qualitative features observed experim entally, such as the existence of a Coulomb-like failure or stress induced an isotropy. E orts have been m ade by the authors to compare quantitatively their model to the output of several experim ental m easurem ents, such as system atic triaxial tests, the response to a local load and static equilibrium in a column. The agreement was noticeable, especially in view of the m in im a lam ount of free param eters in the model. The possibility to follow the elastic behavior up to the lim it of failure is also a prom ising feature of the model in the context of slope stability monitored by sound waves. Note that this feature is quite original if one com pares to the standard Boussinesq non-linear elasticity fram ework [25] (see ref. [20]). Of course, issues like irreversible deformation elds (plasticity) are still questionable in the fram ework of this model; neverthelesse it provides a well de ned starting point for a com plete analyses and modelling of elastic vibrations. Moreover, this approach can be generalized easily with a power law not representing necessarily the Hertzian interaction. Thus, one could in principle, take into account the existence of other types of non-linear contact force-laws [16, 17]. In the present paper, we will lim it ourselves to Hertzian interactions and we will derive sound propagation around the simplest possible reference states.

The derivation of the stress tensor yields :

$$_{ij} = E B_{ij} 2A u_{ij}^{0} + \frac{A u_{s ij}^{2}}{2}$$
 (4)

# M ean eld determ ination of the compression m odulus

Since M indlin in the 50's, several works, focusing on sound wave bulk propagation in granular m aterials, have derived from local Herzian interactions, the e ective elastic m oduli [7, 8, 9, 10]; for a recent and clear review, see M akse et al.[13] and references inside. W e just recall here the mean- eld results for a packing of spheres of compacity and an average coordination num ber Z. First let us write the interparticular forces between two spheres of radii R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> and half overlap = 1=2 (R<sub>1</sub> + R<sub>2</sub>  $j'_{x_1}$   $x_2$ ), R = 2R<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub>=(R<sub>1</sub> + R<sub>2</sub>) (if R<sub>1</sub> = R<sub>2</sub>, R is the sphere radius). The norm al force is :

$$F_n = \frac{8}{3} \frac{g}{1 g} R \frac{3}{R}$$

where  $_{g}$  is the shear modulus and  $_{g}$  the Poisson ratio. If half the tangential separation between the sphere center is s, the tangential force is then :

$$F_{t} = \frac{8_{g}}{2_{g}}R = \frac{1}{R}$$

Finally, one may obtain from mean-eld granular displacement, the elastic constant values, i.e. the bulk modulus:

$$K_{MF} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{g}{(1 g)} (z)^{2=3} \frac{3(1 g)}{2 g} P^{1=3}$$

and the shearm odulus:

$$_{M F} = \left(\frac{1}{1 g} + \frac{3}{2 g}\right) \frac{g}{5} (z)^{2=3} \frac{3 (1 g)}{2 g} P$$

with two limits (i) no sliding friction between the grains (take " = 0) or (ii) in nite friction between the grains (take " = 1). For the macroscopic Jiang-Liu model in the isotropic case, the strain and stress tensors read :

$$u_{ij} = \frac{0}{3} i_j$$
  $i_j = P i_j$   $P = E B _0^{3=2}$  (5)

For an isotropic compression, we have:  $P = E B \frac{3^{-2}}{0} = K_{M,F} 0$ , therefore:

K<sub>MF</sub> EB 
$$\frac{P}{EB}^{1=3}$$

Consequently :

$$B = \frac{z}{2^{3=2}3 (1 - \frac{2}{g})}$$
(6)

D eterm ination of the ratio B=A

Mean eld

Now we consider the Jiang-Liu model with x-z shear under isotropic compression P :

$$_{xz} = 2AE_{0}^{1=2} = 2_{MF}u_{xz}$$

and

$$P = E B _{0}^{3=2}$$

Thus,

$$M F E A \frac{P}{E B}^{1=3}$$

Therefore :

$$A = \frac{z}{2^{3-2}5 (1 + g)} \frac{1}{1 g} + \frac{3"}{2 g}$$
(7)

If one takes ' 0:6 and Z ' 6. The bulk shearm odulus for silica oxide is  $_{\rm g}$ ' 30G P a and the Poisson ratio is  $_{\rm g}$ ' 0.2. This gives : E B ' 10G P a and E A ' 6:5G P a

(for = 0 and E A ' 9G P a for = 1. These values will be of importance when the surface sound wave velocities, found experimentally for sand, will be discussed at the end of the paper.

From the expressions above, we get:

$$\frac{B}{A} = \frac{5}{3 \ 1 + \frac{3"(1 \ g)}{2}}$$
(8)

For = 0, we get B=A = 5=3. For = 1 and g = 0.2, we get B=A = 5=7.

## Energetic argum ent

A coording to Jiang and Liu, we have a material instability corresponding to a Coulomb yield, for a criterion based on the free energy landscape convexity (a therm odynam ic stability criterion) [24].

$$\tan = \frac{\frac{2A}{B}}{B}$$
 (9)

Thus  $B=A = 2=\tan^2_{c}' 6 W$  e then notice a large descrepancy for the B=A ratio between the mean-Field solution and the empirical result obtained from direct measurem ent of the sand-pile slope.

## SOUND PROPAGATION UNDER ISOTROPIC COMPRESSION

## Longitudinal waves

Now, let us consider the propagation of longitudinalwaves along the x axis. As the system is hom ogeneous, the modes are simply Fourier modes of the form :  $U e^{i(kx \ !t)}$ . We denote by  $u_{ij}$  the disturbance to the strain eld and  $u_{ij}^{0}$  its traceless counterpart:

$$\mathbf{f}_{ij} = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{U} \stackrel{0}{=} \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{$$

The disturbance of the volum ic compression is then:  $\sim$  = ikU and that of the modulus  $u_s^2$  is null. The stress associated to the sound wave is:

$$f_{ij} = E \begin{bmatrix} p & - & \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2}B^{2} & ij \end{bmatrix} 2A t_{ij}^{0}$$

so that the equation of motion nally reads:

$$!^{2}U = k^{2}E^{P} - \frac{3}{2}B + \frac{4}{3}A \quad U$$
 (10)

The speed of longitudinal acoustic waves is nally:

$$c = {}_{k} \frac{P}{E} \frac{{}^{1=6} \frac{S}{E}}{-}$$
 (11)

with  $_{k} = \frac{3}{2}B + \frac{4}{3}A^{1=2}B^{1=6}$ . We thus recover the scaling of the speed of sound c as P<sup>1=6</sup>, but with an extra dependence on the coe cients A and B which them selves depends on the mean number of contacts and thus on the pressure.

## Transverse waves

Sim ilarly, we consider the propagation of transverse waves along the x axis. Them odes are still Fourierm odes of the form :  $V e^{i(kx + t)}$ .

The disturbance of the volum ic compression  $\sim$  and of the modulus  $u_s^2$  are both null. The disturbance to the stress reduces to:

$$f_{ij} = 2E \int_{0}^{p} A u f_{ij}^{0}$$

and the equation of motion nally reads:

$$!^{2}V = k^{2}E^{p} - _{0}AV$$
 (12)

The speed of longitudinal acoustic waves is nally:

$$c = \frac{P}{E} = \frac{1=6}{E} = \frac{E}{E}$$
 (13)

with  $_{2} = A^{1=2}B^{1=6}$ .

W e thus get a prediction for the relation between the propagation speeds of longitudinal and transverse waves:

$$\frac{k}{2} = \frac{3B}{2A} + \frac{4}{3} = \frac{3}{2^2} - \frac{7}{6}$$

# TRANSVERSE SURFACE WAVES UNDER GRAVITY

# Equilibrium of the system

The question is now to exam ine the case of a large rectangular box lled with granular matter and submitted to a vertical gravity eld (g.1). As mentioned in the introduction, due to the inhom ogeneous pressure eld P / gz, the system presents a stratication in the propagation speed c /  $z^{1=6}$  which should also lead to a refraction toward the free surface. At rst, it is clear that this mirage e ect does not a ect the propagation along the z direction. Consequently, due to re ections also occurring at the free surface, we can expect that the box will present a series of vibration resonances.




FIG .2: Shape of the transverse m odes for the same frequency. The m odes n >~0 are cut

The rst step is to compute the elastic equilibrium state of the system under gravity. We rst solve the equilibrium problem, starting from a strain tensor of the form :

The modulus follows as  $u_s^2 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{0}$ . Using the constitutive relation, we obtain the stress tensor:

The pressure is de ned from the trace of this stress tensor: P = E  $_{0}^{3=2}$  B +  $\frac{A}{3}$  The expression for the vertical stress is replaced by:

$$_{z} = B + \frac{5A}{3} E_{0}^{3=2} = gz$$

so that the volum ic com pression reads:

z

$$_{0} = -\frac{dW_{0}}{dz} = -\frac{gz}{E - B + \frac{5A}{3}}$$
(14)

where  $W_0$  is the vertical displacement eld. At the lower edge of the box z = H (g.1), we impose that the grains remain xed: the boundary condition is  $W_0$  (H) = 0.

#### Linearized equations

Now, we investigate the existence of { propagative { transverse modes localized close the surface(see ref.[26]). We consider a transverse displacement (x;t) =  $_0e^{i(kx + t)}$  at the surface of the granular bed. We de ne




FIG.3: Shape of resonant { not propagative { m odes.

the dimensionless function V of the dimensionless variable = kz:

Again, we linearize the strain tensor:

$$\mathbf{f}_{ij} = \mathbf{k} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{iV}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{iV}{2} & 0 & \frac{V^{0}}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{V^{0}}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and nd no variation of the volum ic compression ~ = 0. The trace of  ${{t_{ij}}}^0$  =  ${t_{ij}}$ , and then  ${t_{e_s}}^2$  =  $2u_{ij}t_{ij}$  vanishes. Finally we obtain :

Again, from the equation of motion along y, we get a dispersion relation within a constant :

$$! = A^{1=2} (B + 5A = 3)^{1=6} - \frac{E}{2} g^{1=6} k^{5=6}$$
 (16)

The shape of the mode is given by the equation:

$${}^{2}V = {}^{1=3}V {}^{1=3}V {}^{0}$$
 (17)

# R esolution

W e decom pose the problem into two equations:

$$V^{0} = {}^{1=3}S$$
  
 $S^{0} = ({}^{1=3} {}^{2})V$ 




FIG.4: Rescaled pulsation as a function of kH for Rayleigh-Hertz waves (a) and transverse surface waves (b). c) Ratio as a function of, for transverse surface modes. The di erent branches collapse on a single curve.

with the boundary conditions coming from the zero stress condition at the free surface and the denition of :  $V(0) = 1, V^{0}(0) = 0, V$  (kH) = 0.

For in nite depth, the system presents a discrete number of modes which may be associated to the stratication. The gure 6 shows the variation of  $_1$  with n together with a tby  $(_0 + n)^{1=6}$ . We have computed system atically the ratio =  $_1$  as a function of kH. For sm allkH, we nd that decreases like (kH)  $^{5=6}$ . For kH much larger than 1, tends to  $_1$ . The curves for the di erent modes collapse when kH is properly rescaled.

#### W ave-guide cut-o : horizontal resonant m odes

The height of the box is the only relevant length scale of the problem . We introduce accordingly the coordinate z=H. We consider modes of vibration whose disturbed displacement eld is horizontal, of the form

U (z=H )e<sup>i!t</sup>. The disturbed strain eld reads:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{matrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & U^{0}( ) = 2H \\ \mathbf{t}_{ij} &= \begin{matrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & U^{0}( ) = 2H & 0 & 0 \end{matrix} \end{aligned}$$

and nd no variation of the volum ic compression  $\sim = 0$ . The trace of  $\mathbf{f}_{ij}$  is null so that  $\mathbf{f}_{ij}^{0} = \mathbf{f}_{ij} \underline{and} \mathbf{e_s}^2 = 2u_{ij}\mathbf{f}_{ij}$  vanishes. We end with  $e_{ij} = 2A E \frac{\mathbf{f}_{ij}}{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{f}_{ij}$ . The disturbed vertical stress deduces as:

$$g_{zx} = A E \begin{bmatrix} p \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = H$$

and leads to the equation of motion:

$$^{1=3}U^{0}()^{0} + ^{2}U() = 0$$
 (18)

where is the rescaled pulsation de ned by:

$$! = A^{1=2} B + \frac{5A}{3} \stackrel{1=6}{=} \frac{E}{g^{1=6}} g^{1=6} H ^{5=6}$$
 (19)

R em arkably, the resonant frequencies are found to scale as H  $\,^{5=6}$  and not H  $\,^{1}$  as for a non dispersive medium .

The solution of the ordinary equation (29) involves the Bessel function of rst kind  $J_{2=5}$  and is of the form :

U () = 
$${}^{2=3}J_{2=5} - \frac{6}{5} = 5$$
 (20)

The value of is selected by the zero displacement condition at the bottom edge of the box, U (1) = 0, which simpli es into  $J_{2=5}$  (6 =5) = 0. There is thus a discrete number of modes, labelled by n, whose rescaled frequency is:

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{5 n}{6}$$
(21)

RAYLEIGH HERTZ WAVES UNDER GRAVITY

#### Linearized equations

Now we investigate the existence of propagative modes analogous to the Rayleigh modes i.e. whose deform ations are in the sagital plane (x;z). We consider the deformation of the free surface to be of the form (x;t) = $_0e^{i(kx \ !t)}$ . The displacement eld is de ned trough two dimensionless functions U and W of the dimensionless variable = kz:

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ \text{iU } (kz) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \text{iU } (kz) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \text{W } (kz) \end{array} \end{array}$$

The disturbance to the strain tensor reads:

$$\mathbf{u}_{ij} = \mathbf{k} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \frac{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{0}^{0} + \mathbf{W})}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{0}^{0} + \mathbf{W})}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}$$

and is traceless counterpart is:

$$\mathbf{u}_{ij}^{0} = \mathbf{k} \stackrel{B}{\underline{e}} \begin{array}{c} \frac{2\underline{u}}{3} & \frac{\underline{w}}{3}^{0} & 0 & \frac{\underline{i}(\underline{u}^{0} + \underline{w})}{2} \\ \frac{\underline{i}(\underline{u}^{0} + \underline{w})}{2} & 0 & \frac{\underline{w}}{3}^{0} & 0 & A \\ \frac{\underline{i}(\underline{u}^{0} + \underline{w})}{2} & 0 & \frac{2\underline{w}}{3}^{0} + \frac{\underline{u}}{3} \end{array}$$

The disturbance to the volum ic compression is  $\sim = k (U = W^{0})$ . That of the modulus  $u_{s}^{2}$  reads:

$$ue_s^2 = 2u_{ij}^0 u_{ij}^0 = \frac{2}{3} (U + 2W^0) k$$

The disturbance to the stress tensor m ay be form ally expressed as:

$$e_{ij} = \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} \begin{array}{c} 2 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & A \\ 2B^{*}_{ij} & A^{*}_{ij} & A^{*}_{ij} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} \end{array}$$

$$2A \, u_{ij}^{0} & \frac{A}{6} \sim_{ij} + \frac{A}{2} \, u_{s}^{2} \, _{ij}$$

which gives, after simplication, the following expressions for its components:

$$g_{xx} = E \frac{p_{--}}{p_{-}^{0}} \frac{A}{2} + \frac{3B}{2} U + \frac{A}{2} \frac{3B}{2} W^{0} k$$
  

$$f_{zz} = E \frac{p_{-}^{0}}{p_{-}^{0}} \frac{A}{2} p \frac{3B}{2} U + \frac{5A}{2} + \frac{3B}{2} W^{0} k$$
  

$$g_{xz} = g_{zx} = iA E \frac{p_{-}^{0}}{p_{-}^{0}} (U^{0} + W) k$$

For the sake of sim plicity, we rescale the stress tensor, introducing the functions  $S_{xz}$  (kz),  $S_{zz}$  (kz),  $S_{xx}$  (kz):

$$g_{xz} = i(E k)^{2=3} (g)^{1=3} A (B + 5A = 3)^{1=3} S_{xz} (kz)$$
  

$$f_{zz} = (E k)^{2=3} (g)^{1=3} A (B + 5A = 3)^{1=3} S_{zz} (kz)$$
  

$$g_{xx} = (E k)^{2=3} (g)^{1=3} A (B + 5A = 3)^{1=3} S_{xx} (kz) (22)$$

The previous expressions then simplify into:

$$S_{xx}() = {}^{1=3} \qquad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3B}{2A} \quad U() + \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{3B}{2A} \quad W^{0}()$$

$$S_{zz}() = {}^{1=3} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{3B}{2A} \quad U() + \frac{5}{2} + \frac{3B}{2A} \quad W^{0}()$$

$$S_{xz}() = {}^{1=3} \quad [U^{0}() + W()] \qquad (23)$$

From the equations of motion, we get the dispersion relationship within a constant :

$$! = A^{1=2} (B + 5A = 3)^{1=6} - \frac{E}{2} g^{1=6} k^{5=6}$$
(24)

as well as a set of equations governing the shape of the modes:

$$^{2}U = S_{xx} + S_{xz}^{0}$$
 (25)

$${}^{2}W = S_{xz} + S_{zz}^{0}$$
 (26)




FIG. 5: Dispersion relation of longitudinal and transverse waves.

## R esolution

In order to solve the boundary condition problem, we rewrite the equations as a set of 4 { linear { ordinary di erential equations:

$$U^{0} = W \xrightarrow{1=3} S_{xz}$$

$$W^{0} = \frac{1}{5A + 3B} (A \quad 3B)U + 2A \xrightarrow{1=3} S_{zz}$$

$$S_{xz}^{0} = {}^{2}U \quad S_{xx}$$

$$S_{zz}^{0} = {}^{2}W + S_{xz}$$

$$S_{xx} = \frac{1}{5A + 3B} (3B \quad A)S_{zz} + 2(A + 6B)^{-1=3}U^{1}$$

with the boundary conditions: U (kH ) = 0, W (0) = 1, W (kH ) = 0,  $S_{xz}$  (0) = 0 and  $S_{zz}$  (0) = 0. The solution [U;W; $S_{xz}$ ; $S_{zz}$ ]() is obtained by superposition of the solutions obtained, starting from the initial conditions [0;1;0;0] and [1;0;0;0]. The value of is then tuned to get the cancellation of both U (kH ) and W (kH ) = 0.

The central result of this part is that contrarily to




FIG.6: a A sym ptotic value of the rescaled frequency in the lim it of large kH, as a function of the mode number n.b A sym ptotic value of the rescaled frequency in the lim it of sm all kH.

the classical Rayleigh waves, the propagation of these "Rayleigh Hertz" surface waves presents a discrete num – ber ofm odes that may be associated to the strati cation e ect. The shape of the modes excited at a given pulsation ! is displayed on g.7. For in nite depth i.e. in the limit of in nite kH, the rescaled pulsation tends toward a constant  $_1$  whose dependence on the mode num ber n is shown on g.6. There is a general trend of  $_1$  to increase as  $n^{1-6}$  but the values are not regularly spaced.

For smallkH, we nd the usual wave-guide cut-o as ! tends to a constant. In term s of , this corresponds to an asym ptotic behavior of the form :

$$=$$
 (kH)  $^{5=6}$  (27)

So, in the lim it of wavelength large com pared to the cell depth, the pulsation tends to the value

$$! = A^{1=2} (B + 5A = 3)^{1=6} \frac{E}{2} g^{1=6} H^{5=6}$$
(28)

The value of as a function of n is displayed on g.6. A lthough it is not a regularly increasing function of n, follows a general trend of being linearly dependent on n.

### W ave-guide cut-o : vertical resonant m odes

We now consider modes of vibration whose disturbed displacement eld is vertical and of the form W (z=H) $e^{i!t}$ . Then the disturbed strain eld reads:

$$\mathbf{u}_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 & & 0 & & & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A & & \\ & 0 & 0 & W^{0}() = H & & & 0 & 0 & \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W^{0} & & & & 0 & A \\ 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & & & & \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W^{0} & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W^{0} & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W^{0} & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W^{0} & & & & \\ 0 & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ \end{pmatrix}$$




FIG . 7: Shape of the Rayleigh-Hertz modes for a same frequency. The modes  $n \, > \, 0$  are cut

from which we deduce the disturbed volum ic compression  $\sim = W^{0}$ =H and the disturbed modulus

$$ue_{s}^{2} = 2u_{ij}^{0}u_{ij}^{f_{0}} = \frac{4_{0}W^{0}}{3H}$$

Then the disturbed vertical stress is deduced as:

$$f_{zz} = \frac{E^{\frac{D}{0}}}{2H} (5A + 3B) W^{0}$$

and leads to the sam e equation of m otion as previously

$$^{1=3}W^{0}()^{+}+^{2}W()=0$$
 (29)

but where is now de ned by:

$$! = \frac{r}{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{B + \frac{5A}{3}E}{2} g^{1=6}H^{5=6}$$
(30)

## EXPER IM EN TAL M EA SUREM EN TS AN D D ISCUSSION

In conclusion, we perform ed a derivation of sound-wave propagation modes in the context of the Jiang-Liu model of granular non-linear elasticity. First, the longitudinal and transverse sound velocities for and isotropic compression were calculated. Moreover, we derived the propagating and non propagating modes for a granular packing with a free surface under a vertical gravity eld. We show that the gravity eld producing a stratication of the material sti nes is responsible for a channeling e ect of the acoustic waves. Hence, we not two surface propagation modes in the transverse and saggital directions. The last one, we call Rayleigh-Hertz waves. Now we present the results of experimental measurem entsperform ed on a sand dune using localized surface excitation and already reported in reference [27]. Sinusoidal signals, recorded




FIG.8:D ispersion relation of surface elastic waves on a dune slip face. Transducers distant by 5 cm (down triangle), 15 cm (up triangle), 25 cm (square) and 42 cm (circle). The solid lines corresponds to the saggittal Rayleigh-Herz modes (see equ.) with a material sti ness adjusted to match the lowest n = 0 propagating mode.

on a tape, were played through an ampli ed loud-speaker that was kept at the surface of the avalanche slip face of a singing dune (top schematic of g.8).

As the mechanical transmission of the sound generator with the sand bed is non-linear, the signal is distorted and presents harm onics. This imperfection was used to derive several points of the dispersion relation from each recording. From the phase between the Fourier components of the signals of two accelerom eters aligned with the loud-speaker, the wavelengths associated to each frequency f were obtained. In order to unw rap the phase, the amplitude of the signals was slightly modulated at low frequency. On g.8, we present the collection of all measurements that establish the dispersion relation. Actually, when replaced in the context of Rayleigh-Herz surface waves, the present fram ework sheds a new light on the apparent large scatter of the data. Indeed, we can superpose on the experimental results, the dispersion relations found for the sagittal vibrations. On the gure, the solid lines correspond to the dispersion relations :  $f = c_n k^{5=6}$ , with  $c_n =$  $_{1}$  (n)A<sup>1=2</sup> (B + 5A=3) <sup>1=6</sup> (E = )<sup>1=3</sup>g<sup>1=6</sup> corresponding to the n<sup>th</sup> mode prefactor derived for an in nite depth (see g. 6a for  $_1$  (n) values). By best t, the  $c_0$  value was adjusted to match the graviest mode and we obtained  $c_0$  ' 50U SI. Note that the agreem ent for n = 0;1 and 2 is quite good. If we com pare this result to the values derived from the mean-eld estimation EB = 10GPaand the B = A = 6 derived from the sand-pile slope, we get  $c_0$  ' 105U SI. W hich means that the actual surface waves speeds m easured in these conditions are still slow by a factor 2 when evaluated from the simple arguments

presented above (or alternatively would correspond to an overestim ation of A by a factor 4). Thus, in spite of this sem i-quantitative agreem ent, there are still m any question concerning the direct evidence of the propagation m odes derived in this context. This is an ongoing work in our laboratory and we leave these questions for a future report.

- [1] P.H. Brownell, Science 197, 479-482 (1977); Sci. Am.
   251 (6) 94-105 (1984); P.H. Brownell and R.D. Farley, J.
   Comp.Physiol. 131, 23-30, 31-38 (1979).
- [2] W. Strzl, R. Kempter, and J.L. van Hemmen, PhysRevLett. 84, 5668 (2001).
- [3] L D Landau and E M Lifshitz, theory of elasticity New York Pergam on Press 3rd edition (1986).
- [4] C.Caroli, and B.Velicky, Phys. Rev. E 65,021307 (2002)
- [5] IGoldhirsch and CGoldenberg, EurPhys.J.E 9, 245 (2002).
- [6] H. Hertz J.Reine Angew M athem atik 92, 156 (1882); see in [3]
- [7] J. Du y and R. D. M indlin, J. Appl. Mech. 24, 585 (1957).
- [8] P.J.D igby, J.Appl.M ech. 48, 803 (1981).
- [9] K.Walton, J.Mech. Phys. Solids 35, 213 (1987).
- [10] A.N.Norris and D.L.Johnson, J.Appl. Mech. 64, 39 (1997).
- [11] S.N.Domenico, Geophysics 42, 1339 (1977).
- [L2] H M akse, N G land, D L. Johnson, and L. Schwartz, Phys. Rev.Lett. 83, 5070 (1999)

9

- [13] H M akæ, N G land, D L. Johnson, and L. Schwartz, PhysRevE 70,061302 (2005).
- [14] X. Jia, C. Caroli, and B. Velicky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1863 (1999).
- [15] Except m aybe in the model case of 2D ordered packing of steel beads with a controlled weak polydispersity; see B G illes and C C oste PhysRev Lett. 90, 174302 (2003).
- [16] D.G. oddard, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 430, 105 (1990).
- [17] P.-G. de Gennes, Europhys. Lett. 35, 145 (1996).
- [18] C H Liu and S R N agel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2301 (1992).
- [19] Y Jiang and M Liu, A uni ed theory of granular statics, preprint (2005)
- [20] In writing this article, we became aware of a similar problem treated by V italiG ussev in the context of B oussinesq non-linear elasticity; V G ussev, in preparation (2006).
- [21] C.S.OHem, S.A.Langer, A.J.Liu, and S.R.Nagel, Phys.Rev.Lett. 86, 111 (2001); C.S.OHem, L Silbert, A.J.Liu, and S.R.Nagel, Phys.Rev.E 68, 0111306 (2003).
- [22] M W yart, S R N agel and T A W itten, Europhysics lett. 72 486 (2005).
- [23] . H. Snoeijer, T. J. H. V lugt, W. G. Ellenbroek, M. van Hecke and J. M. J. van Leeuwen, Ensem ble Theory for Force Networks in Hyperstatic Granular Matter, condm at-0407027 C ond-m at
- [24] Y Jang and M. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett.91, 144301 (2003);93, 148001 (2004).
- [25] JBoussinesq, CR A cad Sci. Paris 77,1521 (1873).
- [26] W e thank V G usev for pointing the existence of these transverse m odes.
- [27] B Andreotti, Phys. Rev. Lett.93, 238001 (2004).