

# Thermal fluctuations of vortex clusters in quasi two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensate

W. V. Pogosov and K. Machida

Department of Physics, Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

(Dated: 8th February 2022)

We study the thermal fluctuations of vortex positions in small vortex clusters in a harmonically trapped rotating Bose-Einstein condensate. It is shown that the order-disorder transition of two-shells clusters occurs via the decoupling of shells with respect to each other. The corresponding "melting" temperature depends strongly on the commensurability between numbers of vortices in shells. We show that "melting" can be achieved at experimentally attainable parameters and very low temperatures. Also studied is the effect of thermal fluctuations on vortices in an anisotropic trap with small quadrupole deformation. We show that thermal fluctuations lead to the decoupling of a vortex cluster from the pinning potential produced by this deformation. The decoupling temperatures are estimated and strong commensurability effects are revealed.

PACS numbers: 73.21.La, 73.30.+y, 79.60.Dp, 68.65.La, 36.40.Qv

## I. INTRODUCTION

Properties of Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) of alkali atom gases attract a considerable current interest. Recent progress in this field allows for the creating of quasi-two-dimensional atomic gas either using 1D optical lattices or applying tight axial trapping [1, 2, 3, 4]. It is well-known that, according to the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem, Bose-Einstein condensation is impossible in 2D homogeneous systems in the thermodynamic limit. However, a Bose-Einstein condensation at finite temperature becomes possible in a trapped gas.

Recently, the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition associated with the creation of vortex-antivortex pairs was studied theoretically in 2D BEC clouds [5, 6, 9] and it was shown that this transition can occur in the experimentally attainable range of parameters. For instance, according to Ref. [6], BKT transition can happen at  $T \approx 0.5T_c$  for the number of particles  $N \approx 10^3 - 10^4$  and realistic values of other parameters. These results demonstrate an importance of temperature effects in 2D BEC even at temperatures well below the critical one. At the same time, the effect of temperature on vortex lattices in BEC has not been studied yet, although fluctuation of positions of vortices should become considerable even at lower temperatures than those corresponding to the BKT transition. Finally, experimental evidence for the BKT transition in trapped condensates was reported in Ref. [7]. Recently, the effect of temperature on the vortex matter was analyzed in Ref. [8], but in the strongly fluctuative regime at relatively high temperatures, when positions of vortices are random.

It is well-known from the theory of superconductivity that thermal fluctuations can lead to the melting of flux line lattice. However, in real superconductors this usually happens only in the vicinity of the critical temperature. For the case of atomic BEC, critical temperature depends on the number of particles in the trap. Therefore, melting can occur at temperatures much lower than the critical one. In finite systems, fluctuations of vortex positions

depend also on the number of vortices. In such systems, melting temperature is not a strictly defined quantity. In this case, a characteristic temperature of the order-disorder transition ("melting") can be defined using the Lindemann criterion, see the discussion in [10]. With increasing of the number of vortices, the fluctuations of the vortex positions are determined by elastic shear modulus of the system, i. e. by the Tkachenko modes studied in Ref. [11]. However, when a vortex number is not large, quantization effects start to play a very important role and "melting" temperatures in this case can be much smaller than that for a larger system. Thermal fluctuations of the system of interacting point particles trapped by external potential were studied before in Refs. [10, 12, 13, 14, 15] mostly by using Monte-Carlo simulations. If there are not many particles (or vortices) in the system, in the ground state, they form a cluster consisting of shells. It was shown in Refs. [12, 13, 14, 15] that with increasing the temperature, first, an order between different shells is destroyed and these shells become decoupled with respect to each other. Only after this, with the sufficient increase of temperature, a radial disordering of the cluster occurs. This leads to the hierarchy of "melting" temperatures, which depends dramatically on the symmetry of cluster and number of particles.

In addition to thermal fluctuations, quantum ones can be significant in atomic condensate. In recent works [16, 17], quantum and thermal fluctuations in finite vortex arrays in a one-dimensional optical lattice were considered. See also Refs. [18, 19] for thermal fluctuations in spinor condensates.

In the present paper, we study the intershell "melting" of small vortex clusters in quasi-2D BEC at different number of vortices in the system. We consider the situation, when a cluster consists of only two shells. First, we find the ground state configurations of vortices and then calculate deviations of vortices from their equilibrium positions in a harmonic approximation. We show that, if the number of vortices in the inner and outer shell are not commensurate, deviations of shells with re-

spect to each other can be very significant even at low temperatures,  $T \ll T_c$ , and large number of particles in the system, and shells become decoupled with respect to each other thus leading to the disordering of the vortex cluster. Also studied is the role of thermal fluctuations on the small cluster, consisting on 2, 3, or 4 vortices, in a trap with a small quadrupole deformation, which acts as a source of orientational pinning for the cluster.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present our model, which allows one to find an energetically favorable vortex configuration in the 2D case and also to calculate semi-quantitatively deviations of vortex positions due to the thermal fluctuations. In Section III we study the intershell "melting" process in different two-shells vortex clusters and obtain a order-disorder transition temperature. In Section IV we analyze the effect of thermal fluctuations on vortices in the trap with a small quadrupole deformation. We conclude in Sec. V.

## II. MODEL

Consider quasi-two-dimensional condensate with  $N$  particles confined by the radial harmonic trapping potential

$$U(r) = \frac{m \omega_z^2 r^2}{2}; \quad (1)$$

where  $\omega_z$  is a trapping frequency,  $m$  is the mass of the atom, and  $r$  is the radial coordinate. The system is rotated with the angular velocity  $\omega$ . In this paper, we restrict ourselves on the range of temperatures much smaller than  $T_c$ . Therefore, we can neglect a noncondensate contribution to the free energy of the system. Thus, the energy functional reads

$$F = \frac{\omega_z}{2} N(T) \int_0^{\infty} r dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \left[ \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}^2 + \frac{r^2}{2} \dot{\psi}^2 + 2 g_N \dot{\psi}^2 \right] \quad (2)$$

where the integration is performed over the area of the system,  $\phi$  is the polar angle,  $N(T)$  is the number of condensed atoms,  $\omega$  is the rotation frequency,  $g_N = N \frac{\omega_z}{a_z}$  is the interaction parameter,  $a$  and  $a_z$  are the scattering length and oscillator length ( $a_z = \frac{\hbar}{m \omega_z}$ ) in  $z$ -direction, which is kinematically frozen. Distances and rotation frequencies are measured in units of the radial oscillator length and the trapping frequency, respectively. The normalization condition for the order parameter reads  $\int_0^{\infty} r dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \dot{\psi}^2 = 1$ . In this paper, we analyze the case of dilute BEC and take  $g_N = 5$ , which corresponds to  $\omega_z = 1.05$  kHz at  $N = 1000$  for  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  ( $a = 5.3$  nm). Since we consider the range of low temperatures,  $T \ll T_c$ , we can assume that  $N(T) \approx N$ . For the dependence of  $T_c$  on  $N$ , we use the ideal gas result for the

2D case:

$$\frac{\omega_z}{k T_c} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} \zeta(2); \quad (3)$$

where  $\zeta(2)$  is a Riemann zeta function,  $\zeta(2) \approx 1.6449$ . Eq. (3) remains accurate even for the case of interacting particles [20].

### 1. Ground state

Now we present a method allowing one to find a ground state of the system, which corresponds to the certain vortex cluster, and deviations of vortices from their equilibrium positions due to thermal fluctuations.

In general case,  $\psi$  can be represented as a Fourier expansion

$$\psi(r, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_l(r) \exp(i l \phi); \quad (4)$$

Let us denote a number of vortices in the system as  $v$ . If the superfluid phase in BEC has a  $q$ -fold symmetry, then only terms with  $l$ 's divisible by  $q$  survive in expansion (4). For instance, a vortex cluster consisting of a single ring of  $v$  vortices corresponds to the expansion (4) with  $l = 0, v, 2v, 3v, \dots$ . A two-shell cluster with  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  vortices in the shells ( $v_1 + v_2 = v$ ), where  $v_2$  is divisible by  $v_1$ , corresponds to the expansion (4) with  $l = 0, v_1, 2v_1, 3v_1, \dots$ . If  $v_2$  is not divisible by  $v_1$ , then, in general case, expansion (4) contains all harmonics. Typically, main contribution to the energy is given by just few harmonics and by taking into account approximately ten of them, one can find the energy of the system with very high accuracy provided that the number of vortices in the cloud is not too large,  $v \leq 20$ .

In the limit of noninteracting gas ( $g_N = 0$ ), it follows from the Gross-Pitaevskii equation that each function  $f_l$  coincides with the eigen function for the harmonic oscillator corresponding to the angular momentum  $l$ . These functions have the Gaussian profile  $\exp(-\frac{r^2}{2})$ . Therefore, one can assume that this Gaussian approximation remains accurate in the case of weakly interacting dilute gas. The accuracy can be improved if we introduce a variational parameter  $R_1$  characterizing the spatial extent of  $f_l$ . Finally, our ansatz for  $f_l$  has a form:

$$f_l(r; C_1, R_1; \omega_z) = C_1 \frac{r^l}{R_1^{l+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2R_1^2}\right); \quad (5)$$

where  $C_1$ ,  $R_1$ , and  $\omega_z$  can be found from the condition of minimum of the energy (2),  $C_1$  is a real number. This approach was used for the first time in Ref. [21] to evaluate energies and density plots of different vortex configurations. In Ref. [22], the simplified version of this method with fixed values of  $R_1 = 1$  was applied for the limit of

weakly interacting gas with taking into account up to 9 terms in the expansion (4). In Ref. [23], the results for such approximate solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii equation were compared with some known results of numerical solutions. A good accuracy of the ansatz was revealed. See also Ref. [24] with the related approach. In Ref. [25], a version of this method was also used to calculate the energy of axially-symmetric vortex phases in spinor condensates with the comparison of the obtained results with numerical solutions, and a good agreement was found. Therefore, this method can be also applied for our problem and we expect that the results must be semi-quantitatively accurate and with the help of this model one can reveal the effect of symmetry of vortex cluster on melting temperatures and estimate values of those temperatures.

Now we substitute Eqs. (4) and (5) to Eq. (2) and after the integration we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F}{\sim !^2 N} = & \sum_l C_l^2 + \sum_l I_{llll} C_l^4 + 4 \sum_{l>k} I_{llkk} C_l^2 C_k^2 \\ & + 4 \sum_{l>k>m} I_{lkkmm} C_l C_k^2 C_m \\ & \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{m}{2} - \frac{k}{2}\right) \\ & + 8 \sum_{l>k>m>n} I_{lkmn} C_l C_k C_m C_n \\ & \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{2} - \frac{m}{2} - \frac{n}{2}\right); \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$l! = \frac{1}{2} (l+2)! + R_l^4 + R_l^2 (l+1)!; \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{lkmn} = & 2^{-2} g_N \left( \frac{1+m+n+k}{2} + 1 \right) R_{lkmn}^2 \\ & \frac{R_{lkmn}}{R_l} \frac{R_{lkmn}}{R_k} \\ & \frac{R_{lkmn}}{R_m} \frac{R_{lkmn}}{R_n}; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$R_{lkmn} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (R_l^2 + R_k^2 + R_m^2 + R_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}; \quad (9)$$

(l) is a gamma function. Normalization condition is now given by

$$\sum_l C_l^2 R_l^2 (l+1) = 1; \quad (10)$$

Values of parameters  $R_l$ ,  $C_l$  and  $l!$  can be found from the minimum of the energy (6) taking into account Eq. (10). For instance, for the axially-symmetric vortex-free state,  $C_0 = \sqrt{1/R_0^2}$ ,  $R_0 = (1+2g)^{1/4}$ , and  $C_1 = 0$  at  $l=1$ . Note that the energy is proportional to  $\sim !^2 N$  at given values of  $l!$  and  $g_N$ .

## 2. Thermal fluctuations: harmonic approximation

After finding of ground state values of variational parameters, one can calculate the equilibrium positions of vortices  $r_0^{(j)}; r_0'^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1; \dots; N$ ; by numerical solution of equation

$$(r_0^{(j)}; r_0'^{(j)}; p_n^{(0)}) = 0; \quad (11)$$

where we introduced a notation  $p_n$  for the set of all variational parameters ( $R_l$ ,  $C_l$  and  $l!$ ) and  $p_n^{(0)}$  denotes ground state values of these parameters. Fluctuations of  $p_n$ , which are the degrees of freedom for the system in this model, lead to the fluctuations of vortex positions. We denote deviations of variational parameters from their equilibrium values as  $p_n$  and express deviations of vortices  $r^{(j)}$  and  $r'^{(j)}$  through deviations of variational parameters in a linear approximation. Perturbed positions of vortices are determined by the equation

$$(r_0^{(j)} + r^{(j)}; r_0'^{(j)} + r'^{(j)}; p_n^{(0)} + p_n) = 0; \quad (12)$$

Finally, deviation of the position of a given vortex from the equilibrium is

$$r^{(j)} = \frac{A_n^{(j)}}{D^{(j)}} p_n; \quad (13)$$

$$r'^{(j)} = \frac{B_n^{(j)}}{D^{(j)}} p_n; \quad (14)$$

Here and below repeated indices are summed;  $A_n^{(j)}$ ,  $B_n^{(j)}$ , and  $D^{(j)}$  are given by

$$A_n^{(j)} = \text{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} \text{Re} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \text{Re} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} \text{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}; \quad (15)$$

$$B_n^{(j)} = \text{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} \text{Re} \frac{\partial}{\partial r'} - \text{Re} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} \text{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial r'}; \quad (16)$$

$$D^{(j)} = \text{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \text{Re} \frac{\partial}{\partial r'} - \text{Re} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \text{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial r'}; \quad (17)$$

All the derivatives on  $p_n$ ,  $r$ , and  $r'$  in Eqs. (15)–(17) are taken at ground state values of parameters  $p_n = p_n^{(0)}$  and space coordinates, corresponding to the equilibrium position of a given vortex,  $r = r_0^{(j)}$ ,  $r' = r_0'^{(j)}$ . The squared deviation of radial and polar coordinates of two vortices

labelled as  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  with respect to each other is given by

$$r_{(j_1 j_2)}^2 = G_{mn}^{(j_1 j_2)} p_m p_n; \quad (18)$$

$$r_{(j_1 j_2)}'^2 = J_{mn}^{(j_1 j_2)} p_m p_n; \quad (19)$$

where

$$G_{mn}^{(j_1 j_2)} = \frac{A_n^{(j_1)}}{D^{(j_1)}} \frac{A_n^{(j_2)}}{D^{(j_2)}} \frac{A_m^{(j_1)}}{D^{(j_1)}} \frac{A_m^{(j_2)}}{D^{(j_2)}}; \quad (20)$$

$$J_{mn}^{(j_1 j_2)} = \frac{B_n^{(j_1)}}{D^{(j_1)}} \frac{B_n^{(j_2)}}{D^{(j_2)}} \frac{B_m^{(j_1)}}{D^{(j_1)}} \frac{B_m^{(j_2)}}{D^{(j_2)}}; \quad (21)$$

In the same manner, we can express deviations of energy from the ground state value as a quadratic function in terms of deviations of variational parameters:

$$F = E_{st} p_s p_t; \quad (22)$$

where

$$E_{st} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_s \partial p_t}; \quad (23)$$

The derivatives here are also calculated at  $p_n = p_n^{(0)}$ .

The averaged squared deviations of radial and polar coordinates of two vortices with respect to each other due to thermal fluctuations are given by

$$r_{(j_1 j_2)}^2 = \frac{\int d(p) G_{mn}^{(j_1 j_2)} p_m p_n \exp\left(-\frac{1}{kT} E_{st} p_s p_t\right)}{\int d(p) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{kT} E_{st} p_s p_t\right)}; \quad (24)$$

$$r_{(j_1 j_2)}'^2 = \frac{\int d(p) J_{mn}^{(j_1 j_2)} p_m p_n \exp\left(-\frac{1}{kT} E_{st} p_s p_t\right)}{\int d(p) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{kT} E_{st} p_s p_t\right)}; \quad (25)$$

In general case, integrals in Eqs. (24) and (25) can not be calculated analytically, since matrix  $E_{st}$  is not necessarily diagonal. Therefore, we have to switch to a new basis  $t = M^{-1} p$ , where  $M$  is a matrix, which diagonalizes quadratic form (22). Here and below we will use a matrix form for the equations. Quadratic forms (18), (19), (22) in the new basis can be written as

$$r_{(j_1 j_2)}^2 = (t)^T P^{(j_1 j_2)} t; \quad (26)$$

$$r_{(j_1 j_2)}'^2 = (t)^T R^{(j_1 j_2)} t; \quad (27)$$

$$F = (t)^T Q t; \quad (28)$$

where  $Q = M^T E M$ ,  $P^{(j_1 j_2)} = M^T G^{(j_1 j_2)} M$ , and  $R^{(j_1 j_2)} = M^T J^{(j_1 j_2)} M$ . Matrix  $Q$  must be diagonal and from this condition one can find matrix  $M$  numerically and then calculate  $P^{(j_1 j_2)}$  and  $R^{(j_1 j_2)}$ . In the new basis, integrals in Eqs. (24) and (25) can be found analytically and finally we get:

$$r_{(j_1 j_2)}^2 = kT \frac{P_{nn}^{(j_1 j_2)}}{Q_{nn}}; \quad (29)$$

$$r_{(j_1 j_2)}'^2 = kT \frac{R_{nn}^{(j_1 j_2)}}{Q_{nn}}; \quad (30)$$

As usual in a harmonic approximation, average squares of deviations are proportional to the temperature.

For the vortex cluster consisting of two shells we also introduce a quantity  $r'$ , which has a sense of averaged displacement of vortex shells with respect to each other. It can be defined as a root of square displacement of pair of vortices from different shells averaged over all possible pairs of vortices:

$$r' = 4 \frac{1}{v_1 v_2} \sum_{j_1 j_2} r_{(j_1 j_2)}^2 = 4 \frac{1}{v_1 v_2} \sum_{j_1 j_2} kT \frac{P_{nn}^{(j_1 j_2)}}{Q_{nn}}; \quad (31)$$

Now, if we take into account Eq. (31) and the fact that energy in the ground state is proportional to  $\sim N$ , we obtain the following relation:

$$r' = \frac{t^{1=2}}{N^{1=4}} d(g_N; !); \quad (32)$$

where  $t$  is a reduced temperature,  $t = T/T_c$ ; function  $d(g_N; !)$  depends on interaction constant  $g_N$  and rotation speed  $!$ . Of course,  $d(g_N; !)$  is also very strongly dependent on the vortex cluster symmetry and in the next Section we will calculate it for some values of  $g_N$  and  $!$  and vortex configurations.

Note that the harmonic approximation remains accurate only if the deviations of the positions of vortices are much smaller than the characteristic distance between two neighboring vortices. The "melting" temperature can be defined through the Lindemann criterion.

### III. INTERSHELL MELTING OF VORTEX CLUSTERS

If there are not many vortices in the system, they are situated in concentric shells. In the single vortex state, a vortex occupies the center of the cloud. If the number of vortices is more than one, but less than six, vortices are arranged in one shell. With further increasing of number of vortices, one of the vortices jumps to the center of the cloud, whereas others are still situated in the single shell [26]. Thermal fluctuations in these cases can lead

only to the radial displacements of vortex positions, since there is only one shell in the system. However, when the number of vortices is increased, they are arranged in two shells. For instance, it was shown in Ref. [21], that in the phase with ten vortices, two of them are situated in the inner shell and eight are arranged in the outer shell. For phases with larger amount of vortices, their number in the inner shell can increase.

Here, we consider the process of the intershell disordering in two-shells clusters containing 10, 11, 12, and 13 vortices, respectively. It would be more convenient for the comparison to calculate "melting" temperatures for these configurations at the same value of rotation frequency. However, only one of these states can be a true ground state and if the system is not in a ground state, then sooner or later it will switch to the ground state due to thermal fluctuations. Therefore, we find "melting" temperatures for different vortex configurations at different, but quite close to each other rotation speeds, which correspond to ground states of the given configuration.

We choose the value of the gas parameter  $g_N = 5$ , as was explained in Section II, and find the ground states of the system. We have obtained that a two-shells vortex clusters consisting of  $v = 10, 11, 12$ , and 13 vortices are energetically favorable in the vicinity of the point  $\Omega = 0.9$ . For instance, the ground state of the system is represented by phases with 10, 11, 12, and 13 vortices at  $\Omega = 0.9, 0.91, 0.92, 0.94$ , respectively. In these cases, the inner shells contain  $v_1 = 2, 3, 3$ , and 4 vortices, whereas the outer shells have  $v_2 = 8, 8, 9$ , and 9 vortices, respectively. Density plots for these vortex phases are shown in Fig. 1. Let's calculate deviations of vortex positions for these states.

Using a technique presented in the previous Section, we found that if we increase the temperature from zero, at first, fluctuations of relative phases  $\phi_i$  of different harmonics of the order parameter becomes important and deviations of the positions of vortices are almost entirely due to the fluctuations of  $\phi_1$  and not due to fluctuations of  $C_1$  and  $R_1$ . This can be expected, since it is well-known that fluctuations of the phase of the order parameter are more pronounced at relatively low temperatures and only at much higher temperatures an amplitude of the order parameter starts to fluctuate. Also, fluctuations of  $\phi_1$  lead predominantly to azimuthal displacements of vortices, displacements in a radial direction are much smaller. This reflects the fact that the temperature of the intershell melting is much lower than that of the radial melting. We define an intershell melting temperature  $t_{melt}$  of the cluster as a temperature, at which  $\phi_1$  is equal to  $\frac{360}{v_2}$ , where  $\Omega = 0.1$  is a characteristic number from the Lindemann criterion:

$$t_{melt} = \frac{360}{n_2 d(g_N; \Omega)}^2 \frac{p}{N}; \quad (33)$$

Factor  $360=v_2$  in Eq. (33) reflects the fact that the two-

shell cluster is invariant under the rotation of shells with respect to each other on angle  $360/v_2$ . We have calculated values of  $d$  for clusters with 10, 11, 12, and 13 vortices. Our results are  $d(g_N; \Omega) = 608, 3500, 123$ , and 810 for 10, 11, 12, and 13 vortex clusters, respectively. We can see that 10 and 12 vortex clusters are most stable among the analyzed configurations, and average angle between the shells is less than in other cases. This is because the number of vortices in the outer shell  $v_2$  is divisible by  $v_1$ . Intuitively, it is clear that the stability of a cluster with  $v_2$  divisible by  $v_1$  depends also on the ratio  $v_2=v_1$ , since in the limit  $v_2=v_1 \rightarrow 1$ , each vortex in the inner shell corresponds to one vortex from the outer shell. Probably, this is a reason, why 12-vortex cluster is more stable than 10-vortex configuration. At the same time, 11 and 13-vortex clusters are the most unstable among considered here, since  $v_2$  and  $v_1$  are incommensurate, and a deviation of shells with respect to each other is the largest. Note that with changing of  $\Omega$  with fixed  $g_N$ ,  $d(g_N; \Omega)$  increases, in accordance with calculations [11] for Tkachenko modes. One can see from Eq. (34) and our estimates for  $d(g_N; \Omega)$  that 12-vortex cluster is not melted and remains stable at  $N = 10^3$  and  $t = 0.1$ , whereas in other cases a displacement angle between different shells is comparable with the angle between the two neighboring vortices in the outer shell and therefore the shells are decoupled. The difference in melting temperatures for 12- and 11-vortex clusters is several orders of magnitude.

Experimentally, melting of vortex clusters can be studied by tuning of  $\Omega$  at fixed  $g_N$  and  $N$ . After obtaining a desirable vortex configuration, one can also tune  $T$  and reach a "melting" range of temperatures. Vortex positions can be found by the free expansion technique and after the repeating of this procedure one can determine the average deviation of the vortex positions from the equilibrium. It is also possible to use a Bragg spectroscopy for the systems containing much larger vortex arrays than those considered here.

#### IV. VORTICES IN A TRAP WITH QUADRUPOLE DEFORMATION

In this Section we consider the effect of thermal fluctuations on vortices in the trap with a quadrupole deformation, which breaks a rotational symmetry. Such a deformation is often used in experiments to facilitate the creation of vortices. In fact, it introduces a preferable direction for the arrangements of vortices acting as a source of orientational pinning for a vortex cluster. The additional quadrupolar pinning potential is given by

$$U_{quadr}(r) = \frac{\mu \Omega^2 r^2 \cos 2\theta}{2}; \quad (34)$$

where  $\mu$  is a small coefficient,  $\mu \ll 1$ . At zero temperature quadrupole deformation of the trap potential fixes

azimuthal positions of vortices, whereas thermal fluctuations lead to displacements of vortices, which depend on  $\theta$  and  $T$ . Note that, in the case of a two-shell cluster at  $\theta = 0$ , considered in the previous Section, pinning centers are created by each vortex shell for another shell, and the total rotational symmetry is preserved. Additional potential (34) leads to the following contribution to the energy (6):

$$\frac{F_{\text{quadr}}}{\sim \frac{1}{2} N} = 2 \sum_m \frac{C_m C_{m+2}}{(m+3) \cos(\theta_{m+2} - \theta_m)} \frac{R_{m+2}^{m+4} R_m^{m+6}}{R_{m+2}^2 + R_m^2} \quad (35)$$

This term relates phases and amplitudes of different harmonics of the order parameter with angular momenta different by 2 from each other. Here we analyze the situation, when there are  $v = 2, 3$  or 4 vortices in the trap. Density plots for these vortex states are presented in Fig. 2. The direction of minimum of trapping potential is vertical. We found that at small quadrupole deformation,  $\theta \ll 1$ , fluctuations of relative phases of the order parameter Fourier harmonics are much stronger than fluctuations of their amplitudes, similarly to the case considered in the previous Section. Therefore, we apply the same ideas to the present problem. Fluctuations of the relative phases lead mostly to the displacements of vortices in the azimuthal direction. These are so called scissors modes, which are responsible for such oscillations [27, 28, 29, 30].

In the limit of small  $\theta$ , one can consider  $F_{\text{quadr}}$  as a perturbation to the energy of the system with  $\theta = 0$ . If there are two vortices in the system, the nonperturbed order parameter contains all harmonics divisible by 2, and the main contribution to the energy is given by harmonics with  $l = 0$  and 2. Amplitudes of these harmonics are of  $\theta^0$  order of magnitude, relative angles between them are fixed, and the energy is degenerate with respect to  $\theta$ , which reflects the fact that vortices can rotate freely (Goldstone mode). Quadrupole deformation connects  $l_0$  and  $l_2$ ,  $l_2$  and  $l_4$ ,  $l_4$  and  $l_6$ , etc.. As a result,  $F_{\text{quadr}} \sim \theta^1$  and the angle of deviation of vortex cluster due to thermal fluctuations is given by

$$\theta = \frac{t^{1/2}}{N^{1/4}} d(g_N; \theta); \quad (36)$$

where  $d(g_N; \theta)$  is a function, independent on  $t$ ;  $\theta = 0.5$ .

If there are 4 vortices in the system, then at  $\theta = 0$  the order parameter contains all the harmonics divisible by 4. However, these harmonics cannot be related through the Eq. (35), since their angular momenta should differ by 2 and not by 4. In this case, coupling of vortex cluster to the quadrupole deformations occurs in the next order of  $\theta$ . Namely, quadrupole deformation induces a harmonic with  $l = 2$ , whose amplitude is of the order of  $\theta^1$ , and naturally  $F_{\text{quadr}} \sim \theta^2$ ; and we again arrive to Eq. (36) with  $\theta = 1$ .

Now we consider the situation, when there are 3 vortices in the system. Again, a nonperturbed order parameter consists of contributions with  $l$ 's divisible by 3, and their phases are not related by Eq. (35), as in the previous case with 4 vortices. In the next approximation with respect to  $\theta$ , quadrupole deformation induces other harmonics with all integer  $l$ 's, and amplitudes of these harmonics are of the order of  $\theta^1$ . This is possible, because any integer  $l$ , which is not divisible by 3, can be represented as  $3l' + 2$ , and therefore it can be obtained by adding or subtraction 2 from  $3l'$ . However, it turns out that the energy even in the second order of  $\theta$  is again degenerate and this degeneracy is removed only in the next order of  $\theta$ . After all,  $F_{\text{quadr}} \sim \theta^3$  and Eqs. (36) is again valid but with  $\theta = 1.5$ .

We see that the symmetry of the vortex configuration dictates the asymptotic behavior of the pinning energy and the average deviation of vortex cluster at  $\theta \neq 0$ . The cluster with 2 vortices is most strongly pinned, whereas the cluster with 3 vortices is the most unstable. This effect reflects the commensurability of the angular momenta of the quadrupole deformation ( $l = 2$ ) and of the order parameter harmonics, responsible for vortices ( $l = 2, 3, 4$ ). The strongest pinning is observed, when these momenta are equal to each other (two-vortex state), less stronger pinning, when these momenta are commensurate, but not equal (four-vortex phase), and the most weak pinning for incommensurate case (three-vortex state). Note that similar scaling relations for the frequencies of scissors modes in 2 and 3 vortex states were obtained recently in Ref. [30].

Next we calculate values of  $d(g_N; \theta)$  for 2, 3 and 4 vortex cluster at  $g_N = 5$  and  $\theta = 0.68, 0.75$ , and  $0.78$ , respectively, where these vortex configurations are energetically favorable, according to our calculations. Our results are  $d(g_N; \theta) = 65, 130$ , and  $11$  for 2, 3, and 4 vortex states, respectively. If the deviation angle  $\theta$  becomes of the same order as the angle between two neighboring vortices in the cluster,  $\theta \approx v$ , we will treat this vortex cluster as being depinned from the quadrupole deformation. By using Eq. (36) and this condition, one can easily obtain phase diagram in the  $(t; \theta)$  space. The example of the phase diagram is presented on Fig. 3 for  $g_N = 5$  and  $N = 1000$ . Each line determines the boundary between the pinned and unpinned vortex cluster for a given vortex configuration. Below these lines, vortex cluster is pinned and above it is unpinned. One can see that the region of stability of 2-vortex cluster is much broader than that for two other configurations.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we studied the effect of thermal fluctuations on small vortex clusters in harmonically trapped rotating Bose-Einstein condensate at temperatures much lower than the critical temperature. First, we have considered the clusters, consisting of two concentric shells

of vortices. These were 10, 11, 12, and 13 vortex structures. We obtained that with increasing the temperature from zero, first, an order between the positions of vortices from different shells is destroyed, whereas the order within each shell is preserved. By using a Lindemann criterion, we defined the temperature, corresponding to the decoupling of two shells of vortices with respect to each other, which determines an order-disorder transition. This "melting" temperature is strongly dependent on the commensurability of the number of vortices in shells; less commensurate clusters have lower "melting" temperature. For instance, the "melting" temperatures for the 11-vortex cluster consisting of two shells with 3 and 8 vortices and for the commensurate 12-vortex cluster with 3 and 9 vortices in shells differ in several orders of magnitude. Intershell order-disorder transition can be observed at experimentally attainable range of parameters. We have shown that intershell melting in atomic condensates can occur at very low temperatures, especially for incommensurate clusters.

Also studied are vortex clusters in the trap with small quadrupole deformation of the trapping potential, which acts as an orientational pinning center for vortices. We

have analyzed the case of 2, 3 and 4 vortices in the system. We have demonstrated that the pinning energy depends very strongly on the number of vortices in the system. With tending the quadrupole deformation  $\epsilon$  to zero, the pinning energy becomes proportional to  $\epsilon^l$ , where coefficient  $l = 1, 3$ , and  $2$  for 2, 3, and 4 vortex configurations, respectively. This is due to the commensurability between the angular momenta  $l = 2$  transferred to the system by the quadrupole deformation and  $l = 2, 3$ , and  $4$ , responsible for the creation of 2, 3, and 4 vortices, respectively. Average deviation angles between the vortex cluster and the trap anisotropy direction diverge in different power laws with tending  $\epsilon$  to zero for different vortex configurations,  $\theta \sim \epsilon^{1/2}$ , with  $\theta = 0.5, 1.5$ , and  $1$  for 2, 3, and 4 vortex clusters, respectively.

#### Acknowledgments

Authors acknowledge useful discussions with T. K. Ghosh. W. V. Pogosov is supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

- 
- [1] A. G. Orlov, J. M. Vogels, A. E. Leanhardt, C. Raman, T. L. Gustavson, J. R. Abo-Shaeer, A. P. Chikkatur, S. Gupta, S. Inouye, T. Rosenband, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 130402 (2001).
  - [2] V. Schweikhard, I. Coddington, P. Engels, V. P. Mosenfelder, and E. A. Comell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 040404 (2004).
  - [3] D. Rychtarik, B. Engeser, H.-C. Nagerl, and R. Grimm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 173003 (2004).
  - [4] N. L. Smith, W. H. Heathcote, G. Hechenblaikner, E. Nugent, and C. J. Foot, *J. of Phys. B At. Mol. and Opt. Phys.* **38**, 223 (2005).
  - [5] A. Trombettoni, A. Smirzi, and P. Sodano, *New J. Phys.* **7**, 57 (2005).
  - [6] T. P. Simula, M. D. Lee, and D. A. W. Hutchinson, *Phil. Mag. Lett.* **85**, 395 (2005); *cond-mat/0412512*.
  - [7] Z. Hadzibabic, P. Krüger, M. Cheneau, B. Battelier, and J. Dalibard, to be published in *Nature*; *cond-mat/0605291*.
  - [8] Y. Castin, Z. Hadzibabic, S. Stock, J. Dalibard, and S. Stringari, *cond-mat/0511330*.
  - [9] M. Holmann, G. Baym, J. P. Blaizot, and F. Labe, *cond-mat/0508131*.
  - [10] V. M. Bedanov, G. V. Gadiyak, and Y. E. Lozovik, *Phys. Lett. A* **109**, 289 (1985).
  - [11] E. B. Sonin, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 011603(R) (2005); M. Cozzini, S. Stringari, and C. Tozzo, *cond-mat/0509559*; G. Baym, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 110402 (2003); J. R. Anglin and M. C. Cross, *cond-mat/0210063*; T. Mizushima, Y. Kawaguchi, K. Machida, T. Ohmi, T. Isoshima, and M. M. Salomaa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 060407 (2004).
  - [12] Yu. E. Lozovik and E. A. Rakoch, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 1214 (1998).
  - [13] A. V. Filinov, M. Bonitz, and Y. E. Lozovik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3851 (2001).
  - [14] V. M. Bedanov and F. M. Peeters, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 2667 (1994).
  - [15] V. A. Schweigert and F. M. Peeters, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 7700 (1995).
  - [16] M. Snoek and T. H. C. Stoof, *cond-mat/0601695*.
  - [17] M. Snoek and T. H. C. Stoof, *cond-mat/0605699*.
  - [18] W. V. Pogosov and K. Machida, *cond-mat/0601604*.
  - [19] W. V. Pogosov and K. Machida, *cond-mat/0602119*.
  - [20] C. Gies, B. P. van Zyl, S. A. Morgan, and D. A. W. Hutchinson, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 023616 (2004).
  - [21] D. S. Rokhsar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2164 (1997); D. Butts and D. S. Rokhsar, *Nature (London)* **397**, 327 (1999).
  - [22] G. M. Kavoulakis, B. Mottelson, and C. J. Pethick, *Phys. Rev. A* **62**, 063605 (2000).
  - [23] G. M. Kavoulakis, B. Mottelson, and S. M. Reimann, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 055602 (2001); A. D. Jackson and G. M. Kavoulakis, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 023601 (2004); G. M. Kavoulakis, *Eur. Phys. J. D*, **36**, 11 (2005).
  - [24] E. Lundh, A. Collin, and K. A. Suominen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 070401 (2004).
  - [25] W. V. Pogosov, R. Kawate, T. Mizushima, and K. Machida, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 063605 (2005).
  - [26] J. K. Kim and A. L. Fetter, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 043624 (2004).
  - [27] D. Guéry-Odelin and S. Stringari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 4452 (1999).
  - [28] O. M. Marago, S. A. Hopkins, J. A. Ritt, E. Hodby, G. Hechenblaikner, and C. J. Foot, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2056 (2000).
  - [29] B. Jackson and E. Zaremba, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 100404 (2001).
  - [30] C. Lobo and Y. Castin, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 043606 (2005).

# VI. FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 (Color online). Density plots for the states with 10, 11, 12, and 13 vortices. Dark spots correspond to vortices.

Fig. 2 (Color online). Density plots for the states

with 2, 3, and 4 vortices in a trap with small quadrupole deformation. Dark spots correspond to vortices.

Fig. 3. The phase diagram of the 2, 3, and 4-vortex clusters in the trap with the quadrupole deformation at  $N = 1000$ . Above these lines the cluster is decoupled from the deformation and below it is coupled.

















