W et granular m aterials

N am iko M itarai^Y and Franco N or²⁷⁹⁹ ^Y D epartm ent of P hysics, K yushu U niversity 33, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan. ^zFrontier R esearch System, T he Institute of P hysical and C hem ical R esearch (R IK EN), H irosawa 2-1, W ako-shi, Saitam a 351-0198, Japan. ^{YY}C enter for T heoretical P hysics, P hysics D epartm ent, C enter for the Study of C om plex System s, T he U niversity of M ichigan, A nn A rbor, M ichigan 48109-1040, U SA

(Received 00 M onth 200x; In nalform 00 M onth 200x)

M ost studies on granular physics have focused on dry granular m edia, with no liquids between the grains. How ever, in geology and m any realworld applications (e.g., food processing, pharm accuticals, ceram ics, civilengineering, constructions, and m any industrial applications), liquid is present between the grains. This produces inter-grain cohesion and drastically modi es the m echanical properties of the granular media (e.g., the surface angle can be larger than 90 degrees). Here we present a review of the m echanical properties of wet granular m edia, with particular emphasis on the e ect of cohesion. W e also list several open problem s that m ight m otivate future studies in this exciting but mostly unexplored eld.

K eywords: G ranular m aterial; W et grains; C ohesion

1 Introduction

1.1 G ranular physics and wet granular m edia

G ranular m aterials are collections of m acroscopic particles, like glass beads or sand, which are visible by the naked eye. Because of the m acroscopic size of the particles, therm al noise plays no role in particle m otion, and particleparticle interactions are dissipative. Therefore, continuous energy input by external forces (gravity, vibrations, etc.) are necessary in order to keep them in m otion. Particles m ay stay at rest like a solid, ow like a liquid, or behave like a gas, depending on the rate of energy input. How ever, the external force is often not enough for the particles to explore their phase space, which m akes them quite di erent from conventional m olecular system s.

The scienti c study of granular media has a long history, mainly in the engineering eld, and many physicists have joined the granular research com -

^{*}Em ail: nam iko@ stat.phys.kyushu-u.ac.jp

m unity over the past few decades (for reviews and books, see, e.g., [1{12}). M ost studies on granular media, especially in the physics eld, have focused on dry granular materials, where the elects of interstitial uids are negligible for the particle dynamics. For dry granular media, the dom inant interactions are inelastic collisions and friction, which are short-range and non-cohesive. Even in this idealised situation, dry granular media show unique and striking behaviours, which have attracted the attention of many scientists for centuries.

In the real world, however, we often see wet granular materials, such as beach sand. Dry and wet granular materials have many aspects in common, but there is one big di erence: Wet granular materials are cohesive due to surface tension.

In the past, m any research groups that studied granular physics have been struggling to m inim ise hum idity and avoid inter-granular cohesive forces (e.g., [13]). Indeed, som e experim ents were perform ed in vacuum chambers. Hum idity and uids in general were seen as a nuisance to be avoided at all costs. How ever, m any in portant real life applications involve m echanical properties of wet granularm edia. Exam ples include rain-induced landslides, pharm aceuticals, food processing, m ining and construction industries. Thus, it is in portant to study the m echanical response of granular m atter w ith various degrees of wetness or liquid content.

In this review, we show how the cohesion induced by the liquid changes the mechanical properties of granular materials. We mainly consider static or quasistatic situations, where the cohesion dominates over other elects of the liquid, such as lubrication and viscosity. Some phenomena in this eld which are not well-understood are presented below as \open problem s". Most references listed at the end focus on experimental results. Theoretical approaches can be found in the review scited below and references therein.

1.2 W hat is di erent from dry granular m edia

Studies of wet granularm edia have been m ade in m any industrial applications. The m echanical properties of wet granular m edia are also extrem ely important in geology and civil engineering. For example, let us consider the huge and expensive civil works project of the construction of the K ansai international airport, on a m an-m ade island near O saka. Because of the weight of the 180 m illion cubic m eters of land lland facilities, the seabed com posed of clay is compressed, and it is inevitable for the airport to sink by som e am ount; The airport had sunk by 11.7 m eters on average at the end of 2000, and the settlem ent is still carefully m on itored [14]. This and other exam ples from geology and civil engineering stress the need to better understand the m echanical properties of wet granular assemblies, both sm all and large.

The biggest e ect that the liquid in granular media induces is the cohesion

PROPERTY	DRY	WET	
C ohesion	N egligible	Im portant	
Surface angle	Finite	Finite: Larger than the dry	
	A round 35 for sand	case	
		Can be as large as 90 , or	
		even larger	
Tensile strength	Negligible	Finite	
Y ield shear stress	Finite	Finite: Can be larger than	
	Zero at zero norm al	the dry case	
	stress	Non-zero at zero normal	
		stress	
H y <i>s</i> teresis	Yes	Yes:Enhanced	
Con gurational	Finite	Finite: Can be larger than	
phase space for		the dry case	
packing			

Table 1. Comparison of physical properties between dry and wet granular matter.

between grains. Even hum idity in the airm ay result in a tiny liquid bridge at a contact point, which introduces cohesion. The cohesion occurs in wet granular material unless the system becomes over-wet, i.e., the granular medium is completely immersed in a liquid. In this short review, we focus on the e ect of this cohesion; the system that we are considering is partially wet granular material, which is a mixture of solid grains, liquid, and air.

In addition to cohesion, there are many e ects induced by the presence of the liquid. One of them is the lubrication of solid-solid friction [15{17]. In addition, the liquid viscosity may induce a velocity-dependent behaviour and additional dissipation. These e ects are often seen in underwater experiments (e.g., [18,19]). The time scale of liquid motion (how the liquid moves or ows through granular media) also a ects the dynamics. All of these e ects, of course, play in portant roles in the properties of wet granular media. How ever, these are more or less velocity-dependent phenomena, and in the static or quasistatic regime, the cohesion often plays the most in portant role, providing a signi cant qualitative di erence from dry granular media.

The simplest situation where we see the e ect of cohesion in wet granular media would be the sandpiles that children make in a sandbox. Let us rst consider a sandpile made of dry grains and afterwards wet grains.

W hen we make a sandpile using dry sand (Fig. 1(a)), the surface of the pile is smooth, and makes a nite, well-de ned angle, which is about 35. A slightly denser pile might be made by tapping the surface of the pile, but it does not change much the shape of the pile. Even if we try to make a sharper

W et granular m aterials



Figure 1. (a) D ry sandpile with a well-de ned surface angle. (b) W et sandpile with a tunnel.



Figure 2. Sandcastle m ade of wet sand (C opyright Sandscapes 2005).

pile by pouring more dry sand, grains ow down along the pile surface and the resulting angle is always around the same value. It is easy to remove part of the sand from the pile, but we cannot make a tunnel through dry sandpile because the wall around the hole would collapse and the angle of the surface cannot be larger than the critical angle. Let us add som e water to the sand, and m ake another sandpile (Fig.1(b)). We can try to nd the optim al am ount of water to produce a certain shape. Sm all am ounts of water do not allow the creation of m any shapes, while too m uch water results in m uddy water that cannot keep a shape. W ith the proper am ount of water, we can m ake a sandpile with the surface angle larger than the dry case; Indeed, the angle can be as large as 90, or even larger, allow ing the construction of elaborate and stunning sandcastles (see, e.g., Fig. 2). This wet pile can be m ade denser and stronger by tapping the surface. Now we can m ake a tunnel through the pile, if we are su ciently careful. If we try to m ake a hole that is too large, the pile would break down form ing som e rugged surfaces.

Therefore, in a sandbox, we already learnt in portant properties of dry granular media: a nite angle of repose, small hysteresis in packing, and small strength against loading. We also learnt how drastically these properties are changed or enhanced by adding a liquid, resulting in: a much larger angle of repose, stronger hysteresis in packing, and enhanced strength against loading. These comparisons are summarised in Table 1. In the following sections, we will see how these behaviours are studied by scientists.

2 W et granular m edia: G rains with liquid and air

2.1 Cohesion between two spheres

2.1.1 Meniscus and suction. Cohesion in wet granular media arises from surface tension and capillary elects of the liquid. Consider a meniscus between air with pressure P_a and liquid with pressure P_1 . The pressure dilerence P between liquid and air with a meniscus of curvature radii r_1 and r_2 is given by the Young-Laplace equation as

$$P = P_a \quad P_1 = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}$$
; (1)

where is the surface tension between air and the liquid, and the curvature is positive when the meniscus is drawn back into the liquid phase (e.g., [20]). When the curvature is positive, P is positive, and it is often called the suction.

The capillary length

$$a = \frac{r}{\frac{2}{19}}$$
 (2)

gives the length scale that com pares the capillary force and gravity, where g



Figure 3. Schem atic diagram of a liquid bridge between identical spheres.

is the gravitational acceleration and 1 is the mass density of the liquid; a is around 3:9 mm for water at room temperature. The capillary force becomes dominant when the relevant length scales are much smaller than a. Hereafter we consider the situation where the capillary force is dominant. In general, one needs to consider the capillary length when interpreting experimental results, because most experiments are conducted under gravity.

2.1.2 Liquid bridge between two spheres. Now let us see how the liquid induces cohesion in granularm edia by considering a liquid bridge between two identical spheres as shown in Fig. 3. The attracting force between spheres due to the liquid menisci is given by the sum of the surface tension and the suction; when we estimate the force at the neck of the bridge, it is given by

$$F_{\text{bridge}} = 2 r_2 + r_2^2 P;$$
 (3)

with

$$P = \frac{1}{r_1} \frac{1}{r_2} :$$
 (4)

The detailed experimental analysis of the cohesion force due to the liquid bridge between two spheres is found in [21]. The e ect of roughness on the cohesive force is discussed in detail in [22].

In real partially wet granular media, the picture of a liquid bridge form ed between completely spherical particles is often not su cient to describe the interaction. However, the presence of a liquid, which tries to minim ise its surface area, generally results in suction and a cohesive force between particles.

2.2 W et granular m edia with various liquid content

2.2.1 Four states of liquid content: pendular, funicular, capillary, and slurry state. It is well known that cohesion in wet granularm aterials depends on the amount of liquid in the system. The following four regimes of liquid content have been distinguished in wet granularm edia [23,24]:

Pendular state: Particles are held together by liquid bridges at their contact points.

Funicular state: Some pores are fully saturated by liquid, but there still remain voids led with air.

Capillary state: All voids between particles are led with liquid, but the surface liquid is drawn back into the pores under capillary action.

Slurry state: Particles are fully in m ersed in liquid and the surface of liquid is convex, i.e., no capillary action at the surface.

These four regimes are schematically shown in Table 2.

C ohesion arises in the pendular, funicular, and capillary states. Thus, we consider these three states in this review . A priori, the mechanical properties of these three states would be expected to be qualitatively dierent. In the case of the pendular state, the cohesive force between a pair of grains acts through a liquid bridge; while in the capillary state the interface between the liquid and the air is pressed due to the suction and that pressure keeps together all the grains in the liquid phase. Both the two-body cohesion due to liquid bridges and the suction at the liquid-air interfaces play in portant roles in the functular state.

It is very di cult to directly observe the liquid distribution in threedimensional granular assemblies, though the liquid distribution should be readily observable for two-dimensional wet granular aggregates conned by Plexiglas plates (cf. [25]). As we will see later, liquid bridges in three dimensions for rather small liquid content have recently been visualised by using index matching techniques [22, 26, 27], but it seems to be di cult to extend the method for much larger liquid content. The detailed liquid distribution in the each liquid content regimes described in Table 2 still remains as one of many \open problem s" that we list below as areas that have not been su ciently well studied so far.

C onventionally, these liquid content regim eshave been distinguished by m easuring the relation between the liquid content S and the suction P [23] as we will see below, where S is the ratio of the volum e of liquid V_1 in the system to the volum e of the volum V_v in the granular media (when the total volum e of the system is V_t and the volum e occupied by grains is V_s , then $V_v = V_t - V_s$ and $V_v = V_1 + V_a$ where V_a is the air volum e in the system). Some authors de ne S as the percentage of the liquid content (i.e., multiplying the ratio of

Liquid con- tent	State Sch gra	nematic dia- am	P hysical description
No	D ry		Cohesion between grains is negligible.
Sm all	Pendular		Liquid bridges are form ed at the contact points of grains. C ohesive forces act through the liquid bridges.
Middle	Funicular		Liquid bridges around the contact points and liquid- lled pores coexist. Both give rise to cohesion between particles.
A lm ost satu- rated	C apillary		A lm ost all the pores are lled with the liquid, but the liquid surface form s m enisci and the liquid pressure is lower than the air pressure. This suction results in a cohesive interaction between particles.
M ore	Slumy		The liquid pressure is equal to, or higher than, the air pressure.No cohesive interaction appears between particles.

Table 2. Granular media with various amounts of liquid [23,24]. In the schematic diagrams in the third column, the lled circles represent the grains and the grey regions represent the interstitial liquid.

Table 3. List ofm ethods to measure the suction and practical suction range for each measurement. Methods based on the osm otic pressure di erence (e.g., due to gradients of solute concentration) are not listed below. A dapted from [28].

Technique or Sensor	Suction R ange (kPa)	R eferences
Tensiom eters	0–100	[29,30]
A xis translation techniques	0–1500	[31 , 32]
E lectrical or therm al conductiv-	0–400	[33{35]
ity sensors		
Contact lterpapermethod	Entire range	[36]

 $S = V_1 = V_v$ by 100). Here, either case, ratio or percentage, is clear from the text.

2.2.2 Liquid content and suction.

M easurement of suction in granular media. There are several ways to measure the suction P in granular media, and the appropriate method should be chosen regarding the measurement range of P. Table 3 shows the list of methods and the range of measurements [28] commonly used in soil mechanics (where the mixture of soil grains and water is considered as a specimen).¹ It is beyond the scope of this brief review to describe all the experimental methods used, but theses are described in the references. As examples, below we describe two offen-used methods, called the axis-translation techniques and tensiom eters, which make use of the capillary pressure in a porous ceram ic disk to measure and to control the suction [28].

Relatively low suction can be measured or controlled by using tension eters and the axis-translation technique [28]. A schematic diagram to describe these methods is given in Fig. 4; These methods make use of the properties of what is called a \high-air-entry (HAE) material", which is a material with many microscopic pores, such as a porous ceramic. In Fig. 4, a container is separated in two parts by a HAE disk; the bottom part of the box is led with a liquid with pressure P_1 , while the upper part is led with air with pressure P_a . A magni ed view of the HAE disk is given in the inset (a), where the pores

¹W hen solutes are dissolved in water in soil, the osm otic e ects produce the chem ical potential di erence from free water, where free water is the water that contains no dissolved solute, has no interactions with other phases that produce curvature to the air-water interface, and has no external force other than gravity [28]. In the soil mechanics, this chem ical potential di erence is som etimes m easured in the unit of pressure, and it is referred to as osm otic suction. The pressure di erence between the air and liquid (water) in soil $P = P_a - P_1$, which is due to capillary e ect and short-range adsorption of water to grain surfaces, is referred to as matric suction. In this paper, we focus on the latter, and P is simply referred to as suction.



Figure 4. Schem atic diagram describing the tension eters and the axis-translation techniques. The led circles represent grains and the light blue region represents a liquid. The container is separated by a H igh-A ir-Entry (HAE) porous disk that has many m icroscopic pores. The upper part is led with air with pressure P_a, and the low er part is led with liquid with pressure P₁. The pores in the porous disk are led with liquid, and the m ensici at the top surface of the pores in the porous disk (a m agni ed view is shown in inset (a)) m ake it possible to keep P₁ < P_a. A wet granular assem bly is placed on the porous disk (a m agni ed view is shown in inset (b)), and liquid in the wet granular media is in good contact with the liquid in the porous disk (a m agni ed view is shown in inset (c)); the liquid pressure around the wet granulas the pressure P₁ of the bulk liquid in the bottom of the container. A dapted from [28].

of the HAE material are saturated with liquid. There, the surface tension at the liquid-air interfaces formed in the pores allow a nite pressure di erence between the liquid and air. Considering Eq. (1) and the magni ed view (a) in Fig. 4, the largest possible pressure di erence between the air and liquid in the HAE material is roughly given by

$$P_{m ax} = \frac{2}{R_{m ax}}:$$
 (5)

Here, as a st approximation, we assume that the pores are tube-shaped with maximum radius R_{max} ; for smaller pore size, P_{max} would be larger. The liquid pressure P_1 in the lower part of the container and the air pressure P_a in the upper part can be separately controlled without allowing the air to enter the lower part of the container, as far as $(P_a P_1) < P_{max}$ is satisfied.

Now, let us place a wet granular material on the HAE disk inside the container (Fig. 4, inset (b)) [28]. When the equilibrium is reached after a long enough waiting time, the liquid pressure in the wet granular medium should be equal to the liquid pressure P_1 in the lower part of the container, if the liquid phase in the granular medium is in good contact with the liquid in the pores of the HAE disk (Fig. 4, inset (c)). The air pressure P_a and the liquid pressure P_1 in the upper and lower parts of the container, respectively, can be easily measured, or even controlled, and the suction P in the wet granular



Figure 5. A schem atic plot of the suction P versus the liquid content S. The suction is shown in a log-scale. The upper curve represents the drying process, and the low er curve represents the wetting process. It changes the slope signi cantly near the phase boundaries between the pendular, funicular, and capillary states.

material is given by $P = P_a P_1$ [28].

The method to control the suction P by controlling the liquid and air pressures separately is called the axis-translation technique [28]. Initially a saturated granular material is placed on a HAE disk that separates the liquid and the air, as schematically shown in Fig. 4, and the liquid in the granular assembly is drained until the system reaches the equilibrium, where the liquid pressure in the granular medium becomes equal to that of the bulk liquid in the lower box. The tool to measure the suction by using the HAE disk is called a tensiom eter, which is composed of a cup made of a HAE ceram ic and a sensor to measure the liquid pressure, connected by a tube lied with liquid (water) [28]. The HAE ceram ic cup is placed in the wet granular assembly, and the pore pressure is measured by a direct exchange of liquid between the sensor and the wet granular medium. Note that, in using either the axistranslation technique or a tensiom eter, the maximum possible value of the suction is limited by P_{max} ; other methods used to measure larger pressure di erences are listed in Table3 and Ref. [28].

Relation between the liquid content and suction. The schematic description of the suction P versus the liquid content S is shown in Fig. 5 [23,28]. Two lines are shown because there is a hysteresis in the wetting and drying processes. In both lines, the slope for low and large liquid content is much larger than that for intermediate liquid content. This change of slope rejects the liquid distribution in granular media.

For sim plicity, let us consider the drying process (the drainage of liquid) from completely saturated granular media. At st the material is in the slurry state, and the liquid pressure is the same or larger than the air pressure. A fter an appropriate am ount of liquid is drained, the media is in the capillary state, and the liquid pressure becomes lower than the air pressure, i.e., the suction P becomes positive. When we further decrease the liquid content S in the capillary state, P increases very rapidly upon decreasing S; P is determ ined by the curvature of the liquid surface, and the change of the surface curvature requires little change of liquid content S because bulk liquid remains in the system. When P exceeds a critical value, which is mainly determ ined by the surface tension and the geom etry of granular media around the surface liquid, air starts to enter the bulk of the system and the funicular state is obtained. The suction still increases as the liquid content decreases, but its rate of increase becomes much smaller than that in the capillary state, because the liquid in the pores in the bulk needs to be rem oved. At some point, most of the voids will be led with air, and the rest of the liquid is found around the contact points between neighbouring grains and in the thin liquid

In that covers the grains' surfaces. Namely, the system is in the pendular state. In this pendular state, a small change of the liquid content again results in a relatively large change of the suction, because the total amount of the liquid is much smaller than the void volume V_v and the change of curvature at each liquid bridge requires only a small change of the liquid content S.

As shown in Fig. 5, for a given value of the suction P, the liquid content S is smaller for the wetting process of initially dry granular media than that of the drying process of initially saturated grains. This is partly because of the hysteresis in the wetting and drying processes at solid surfaces [22,37,38], and partly because the variation of the pore size in the granular medium [28]. The ow of liquid in porous media (including granular media) is an important and interesting problem; indeed, this problem has developed into a separate research area. For a recent review on this problem, in terms of statistical physics, see [39].

3 Mechanical properties

In this section, we sum marise what is known about the mechanical properties of wet granularmedia. A smentioned above, here we focus on granular cohesion in the static and quasistatic regimes for varying liquid content. To also have some idea about the dynamics, dynamical experiments are brie y presented in the nalpart of this section.

3.1 G ranular cohesion in the static and quasistatic regimes

3.1.1 C om paction of wet granular media. The conguration of grains in a container shows history-dependence. In the case of dry granular media, the material just poured in a container is compacted when it is tapped. The dynamics of this compaction process is slow, which is known to show logarithm ic dependence on the number of taps (e.g., [40{42}]).

In the case of wet granular media, very low-density con gurations can be realised even when the grains are spherical. Due to the cohesion, a con guration with m any large openings or gaps surrounded by chains of particles can be stabilised, which m ay not be stable for dry spherical grains [43]. The com paction via a ram of this low-density con guration by a quasistatic com pression has been exam ined [44,45]. With increasing pressure on the system, the relatively large openings between particles disappear; a grain in a chain snaps in away from the chain, and the chain structure changes. A fler the openings disappear, a high-density region is form ed, and the density di erence between the initial low-density and new ly-form ed high-density regions becom es clearly visible. O ne can see that the dom ain wall between the high-density and low-density regions travels through the system like a wave.

The realisability of very low-density structures indicates that the hysteresis of congurations in wet granular media can be stronger than that in dry granular media. In other words, the many huge gaps or openings that are possible in wet granular media, make the conguration phase space available to the grains much larger than the dry granular case (see also Table 1).

3.1.2 Angle of repose for sm all am ounts of liquid.

Experiments. The angle of repose $_{\rm r}$ and the critical angle $_{\rm c}$ are measurable quantities that are sensitive to the cohesion. Here, the critical angle $_{\rm c}$ is the surface angle just before an avalanche occurs, while the angle of repose $_{\rm r}$ is the surface angle after the avalanche, and is typically slightly smaller than $_{\rm c}$. When the amount of liquid is small, the cohesion is also small, but the cohesion is still detectable through $_{\rm r}$ and $_{\rm c}$ of either a granular pile or in a drum that rotates very slow ly [46[51].

Even the hum idity in the air is enough to induce cohesion for initially dry granularm aterial [46,49,52{57]. Bocquet et al. [46] m easured the critical angle $_{\rm c}$ of glass beads in hum id air, and found that $_{\rm c}$ depends on the waiting time t $_{\rm w}$, namely, how long they waited after preparing the sample until m easurement. They reported the relation (tan $_{\rm c}$ tan $_{\rm 0}$) / log(t $_{\rm w}$)=cos $_{\rm c}$, where $_{\rm 0}$ is a constant (Fig. 6), and also found that the t $_{\rm w}$ -dependence of $_{\rm c}$ varies with hum idity.

This time dependence of the critical angle $_{\rm c}$ on the waiting time t_w has been



Figure 6. The critical angle _c of glass beads in hum id air as a function of the waiting tim e t_w in a slow ly rotating drum, show n as tan _c versus (log₁₀ t_w = cos _c). The tim e is in units of seconds, and hum idities are 15% (triangles), 27% (pentagons), 36.1% (squares), and 45.5% (circles). As tim e passes, m ore and m ore liquid condenses at the contact points between particles, increasing the cohesion between them. Therefore, the critical angle increases with tim e. From [46].

analysed based on the capillary condensation, which results from the fact that the equilibrium vapour pressure in a narrow space where non-zero suction P is allowed is lower than that in the bulk [58].¹ D ue to this e ect, the vapour can condense for lower pressures in a narrow space, like the point where particles are in contact, resulting in tiny liquid bridges at the contact points between touching grains. The critical angle $_{\rm c}$ increases due to the cohesion from these tiny bridges, which become es larger and increases with time because more and more vapour condenses.²

Hombaker et al. [47] investigated the angle of repose $_{\rm r}$ of spherical polystyrene beads mixed with either com oil or vacuum pump oil by the draining-crater method. They measured the liquid content dependence of the angle of repose $_{\rm r}$. They found that $_{\rm r}$ increases linearly with the average oil-layer thickness $_{\rm liq}$ (the volume of oil added divided by the total surface area of particles), indicating that the cohesion increases as the amount of liquid

 $^{^1\}$ C apillary condensation" can be understood as follows. Consider air and liquid in a box, where the liquid pressure p_1 , the total air pressure is p_a , the liquid vapour pressure p_v , and dry air pressure p_{da} = p_a p_v . From the G ibbs-D uhem equilibrium criterion and ideal gas approximation for the air, the K elvin's equation [58] is obtained: p_v = p_{v0} exp[($P v_1$)=(R $_g T$)], where v_1 is the partial m olar volume of liquid, p_{v0} is the saturated vapour pressure. T is the absolute temperature, and R $_g$ is the gas constant. Thus, when P > 0, p_v become so maller than p_{v0} . Nam ely, in narrow spaces like pores in granular m edia, the vapour can condense for lower vapour pressures.

 $^{^{2}}$ It should be noted, however, that there are alternative explanations about the origin of cohesion in this experim ent. R estagno et al. [52] conducted sim ilar experim ents for glass beads with water and glass beads with ethanol, and found that the di erence in the increase of the critical angle is larger than the value expected from the di erence of the surface tension between water and ethanol. This m ight be partly due to the chem ical reaction between silica and water [52,59].



Figure 7. Schem atic diagram of the force balance at the failure plane, as determ ined by the M ohr-C oulom b failure criterion.

increases. However, as they increased the liquid content, particles started to form correlated particle clusters (clum ps), and nally $_{\rm r}$ could not be determined in a well-de ned manner. The distribution width of the measured angle of repose became suddenly wide for a certain amount of liquid, suggesting a transition to a situation where long-range correlations dom inate.

C ohesion and angle of repose. The observed increase of $_{\rm r}$ and $_{\rm c}$ with increasing liquid content should be due to the cohesive force between grains. The relation between the surface angle and cohesion, however, has not yet been clearly understood. This is an area that would greatly bene t from more system atic studies.

The most well-known analysis of the critical angle $_{\rm c}$ in granular engineering is to use the failure condition for a continuum description [3,50]. In the case without cohesion, a phenom enological failure criterion for granular media is given in terms of the shear stress and the normal compressible stress as

where is the internal friction coe cient, considered as a material parameter. If there is any plane for which the ratio = exceeds, the material fails at that plane; $_{f} = _{f} =$, where the subscript f for the stresses $_{f}$ and $_{f}$ indicates the value at the failure plane. The critical angle corresponds to the angle of this failure plane, where the failure starts. At the same time, because the stress comes from the weight above the plane, as schem atically shown in Fig. 7, we have

$$_{\rm f}$$
 = gD sin $_{\rm c}$ and $_{\rm f}$ = gD cos $_{\rm c}$; (7)

with mass density and the depth D of the failure plane from the surface

before failure. Namely, we have

$$\frac{f}{f} = \tan_{c} = ; \qquad (8)$$

which gives $_{\rm c}$ for dry granular media.

In the case of wet granular media, it has been considered that the cohesion result in a norm alcohesive pressure $_{\rm c}$ [3,50]. This additional norm alstress $_{\rm c}$ allows to support a nite shear stress, even in the lim it of zero applied norm al stress. Then, the criterion in Eq. (6), for non-cohesive material, is modiled into [3,50]

>
$$(+ _{c}):$$
 (9)

The criterion in Eq. (9) is called the M ohr-C oulom b criterion, and Eq. (6) is the special case of Eq. (9) with $_{\rm c} = 0.W$ ith non-zero $_{\rm c}$, the stresses at the failure plane satis es $_{\rm f} = (_{\rm f} + _{\rm c})$, while we still have Eq. (7) for the force balance. Then we have

$$= \tan_{c} 1 + \frac{c}{gD \cos_{c}}^{1}; \qquad (10)$$

which shows that $_{\rm c}$ decreases with increasing D . N am ely, the criterion is the strictest at the bottom of the sandpile, which has the largest D . Therefore, the failure occurs at the bottom of the sandpile, and Eq. (10) with D being the total depth of the sandpile gives the critical angle $_{\rm c}$ of that sandpile. Note that the relation for the non-cohesive case, Eq. (8), is recovered in the lim it of D ! 1; the frictional force that holds the pile increases with the size of the pile because the norm all pressure increases, but the cohesive force rem ains constant, thus the cohesive force becom es irrelevant in a large enough granular pile [3,50]. We have increasing critical angle due to cohesion only for a nite sandpile where $_{\rm c}$ = gD is nite, and within this range $_{\rm c}$ increases with the cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$ and depends on the pile size. Note that, in this M ohr-C oulom b criterion, the yield shear stress is the consequence of the increased norm all pressure, and the direct contribution of particle-particle cohesion to the shear stress is not taken into account.

In the case of the pendular state, the cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$ arises from the liquid bridges between particles. Rum pf [60] proposed a sim plem odel to estim ate the cohesive stress from the force perbridge in isotropic granularm edia of identical spheres with diam eter d, ignoring the e ect of distributions in liquid bridge sizes and in number of bridges per particles [27]. He estim ated the cohesive

force _c per unit area as

$$_{c} \qquad \frac{k}{d^{2}} F; \qquad (11)$$

where is the packing fraction of the grains, k is the average number of liquid bridges per particle, and F is the average force per liquid bridge.¹

A coording to the Rum pfm odel in Eq. (11), $_{\rm c}$ is proportional to the cohesion per liquid bridge F. Then, the fact that $_{\rm r}$ for wet spherical glass beads increases with liquid content [47] m ay suggest increasing F by increasing the liquid bridge volume, if the number of bridge per particle k stays constant. How ever, this cannot be understood by simply considering the pendular state for completely spherical beads. For the case when two spheres are in contact (h = 0) and the wetting angle = 0, we get from Eq. (3) that

$$F_{bridge} = \frac{2 r}{1 + tan(=2)};$$
 (12)

where r is the radius of the spheres and is given in Fig.3.E quation (12) gives $@F_{bridge}=@ < 0.N ext{ am ely, the attracting force } F_{bridge} ext{ becomes smaller as the am ount of liquid in the bridge increases while keeping the particle separation equal to zero.}$

This discrepancy can be resolved by considering the surface roughness of the grains [48,50]. It has been known that, in the case of the cone-plane contact, the attracting force increases when the am ount of liquid increases [61]. If the liquid bridge volume is small enough to neglect the curvature of the macroscopic spherical shape of the beads, the surface roughness becomes more relevant, and the geometry at the contact point can be considered as the cone-plane type. If the liquid bridge becomes wide enough compared to the macroscopic curvature of the surface, then the estimation for spheres in Eq. (12) is expected to work.

M ason et al. [26] experim entally observed how the liquid distributes in spherical beads with m icroscopic surface roughness. They found that, when the am ount of liquid is smaller than a critical value, m ost of the liquid is trapped on the particle surface due to the surface roughness (F ig.8 (a)) and the critical angle did not depend on the surface tension of the liquid. W hen the liquid content exceeds a critical value, liquid bridges are form ed at the contact points (F ig.8 (b)), and the critical angle increases with liquid volum e and depends on the liquid surface tension. This agrees with the behaviour expected from

 $^{^1}$ In papers on granular engineering including [60], the porosity n de ned as the ratio of the void volum e V_v to the total volum e V_t is often used instead of the packing fraction $% V_t$ as a parameter to characterise the packing. These parameters are related by n = 1 $\,$.



Figure 8. Distribution of sm all am ount of liquid in granular media. The blue region shows the location of the liquid. The average grain diam eter is 240 m. (a) W hen the am ount is liquid is sm all, the liquid is uniform ly distributed at the grain surface. (b) W hen the am ount of liquid is large enough, m ost of the liquid is caught at the contact points between particles and liquid bridges are form ed. From [26].

the regime where the surface roughness determ ines the cohesion from a liquid bridge.

However, one should note that, not only the cohesion force F but also the number of liquid bridges k per particles depends on the liquid content in general, which should also a ect the cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$. Recent experiments [27,62] show that k increase very rapidly upon liquid content for sm all liquid content, and then saturate. The e ect of k on the critical angle needs to be investigated carefully especially for sm all liquid content.

A lbert et al. [48] proposed a criterion to understand the critical angle di erent from the Mohr-Coulomb criterion. They considered the criterion whether a grain at the surface escapes from the bum py geometry that other grains form. In their theory, the surface grain at an unstable position rolls down and the nal angle is determined by the condition that all grains at the surface sit at stable positions. The cohesion from liquid a ects the force balance and



Figure 9. Angle of repose r versus the average oil-layer thickness liq for spherical glass beads with average diam eter 0.9m m with three di erent container diam eters d_c (diam onds: 10.3cm, triangles: 15.6cm, squares: 20.4cm). The inset shows an enlargem ent of sm all liq regime. The two vertical arrows indicate the transitions between the granular, correlated, and plastic regime. From [63].

increases the critical angle c.

In either mechanism, the Mohr-Coulom b criterion or the surface failure criterion, the angle of repose of cohesive granular media is larger than that of non-cohesive granular media. However, the location of the failure plane is different. The failure occurs at the bottom in the case of the Mohr-Coulom b criterion and $_{\rm c}$ depends on the system size, while the surface fails for the \grain escape" criterion and $_{\rm c}$ is independent of the system size. In addition, the Mohr-Coulom b criterion explicitly considers the discreteness of the system .

Both mechanism smay be responsible in determining the critical angle. How – ever, it is likely that the discrete picture is dominant for very small amounts of liquid content, with small cohesive bulk stress, while the continuous picture is appropriate when the liquid content is enough to cause the cohesive bulk stress comparable to gravity. In order to see the relevant mechanism, Tegzes et al. [63] examined the system size dependence of the angle of repose $_{\rm r}$ for a larger range of liquid content (Fig. 9). They adopted the draining crater method, and the system size is controlled by changing cylinder diameters. They found that $_{\rm r}$ is independent of system size for small enough liquid content (called the granular regime, where surface ow occurs at the top few layers), while it decreases with system size for larger liquid content (called the corre-

lated regim e, where grains form clum ps and the surface ow is correlated). At the largest liquid content, the angle rst decreases and then increases slightly with oil-layer thickness, but still depends on the system size (called the plastic regim e, where the medium retains a sm ooth crater surface and the motion is coherent). Qualitative agreem ents have been obtained with the surface failure criterion for the granular regim e and with the Mohr-Coulom b criterion for the correlated and plastic regim e.

These results are not yet conclusive, and many researches on the surface angle are still going on. Ertas et al. [64] considered the critical angle in a pile m ade of a m ixture of spheres and dum bbell-shape grains, extending the surface failure criterion by [48]. They found that the surface angle drastically increases as the fraction of dum bbell-shape grains increases, because the dum bbell-shape grains hardly roll down the slope. They pointed out that, in wet granular m edia with sm all liquid content, liquid bridges connect a few grains and m ake clum ps; these clum ps m ay contribute to the increasing surface angle through the sam emechanism that the fraction of dum bbell-shaped grains increased the critical angle. On the other hand, Nowak et al. [65] applied the geometrical consideration of force balance by [48] not only at the surface but also inside the material. They claim ed that the most unstable plane from the geometrical consideration is inside the m aterial. Their argum ent does not take into account the e ect of friction, but it gives system -size dependent critical angle that agree with the critical angle of dry grains in the limit of in nite system size; this feature is sim ilar to that in the M ohr-C oulom b criterion.

In sum m ary, the e ect of cohesion on surface angle in granular pile has not yet been clearly understood, and further system atic studies are needed.

3.1.3 Tensile, compression, and shear tests for interm ediate and large liquid content. For large enough cohesion, the angle of repose m ay exceed 90 for laboratory-scale granular piles. In such a regime, the angle of repose is no longer a good parameter to characterise the cohesion, and we need another m ethod to characterise the cohesion in wet granular media.

If the failure condition is characterised by the M ohr-C oulom b criterion (9), them easurem ent of the stresses at the failure gives the cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$, which characterises the cohesion in wet granular media. The analysis of granular media based on the M ohr-C oulom b criterion has been well-established in the engineering eld, and a considerable am ount of data has been accumulated for wet granular media. In this subsection, we brie y describe several experimental methods to determ ine the internal friction coe cient and the cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$, and sum marise what has been known for wet granular media from those measurements.



Figure 10. Severalm easurem ent techniques of strength of granularm edia. The adhesive m ethod (a), the split-platem ethod (traction table m ethod) (b), the uniaxial com pression test (c), and the diam etrical com pression test (d).

Test m ethods and M ohr circle. Several experimental methods have been used to measure the tensile strength of wet granular media (e.g., [66, 67]). The simplest m ethod is just to pull the sample apart until the material fails, as shown in Figs. 10 (a) and (b). How ever, it is di cult to hold the sample because wet granular media are fragile and not always uniform. In the adhesive method (Fig. 10 (a)), the material needs to be strong enough so that the bottom and top faces of the sample can be bonded to the adaptors. In the case of the split-plate method (Fig. 10 (b)), the sample is placed on a plate, and the plate is split until the m aterial fails. In either m ethod, both the stress and the strain can be m easured until reaching failure.

The uniaxial and diam etrical compression tests have also been conducted, where the sample is compressed in one direction until it fails. In uniaxial compression tests (Fig.10(c)), a sample is pressed by a ram along one direction. In the case of the diam etrical compression test, a linear load is applied to a sample of cylindrical shape across one diam eter of the disk (Fig. 10(d)), and the tensile stress is calculated based on the Hertz theory for isotropic elastic bodies [68,69], though the validity of the elastic theory for granular media with the assumption of the isotropy is rather suspicious.

In addition to these uniaxial tests, where only one component of the stress applied to the sample is controlled, there are methods where two components of the stress are separately controlled. The triaxial compression test has been widely performed for soils [28,70,71]. Figure 11 (a) shows a schematic description of the triaxial testing system. The cylindrical-shaped sample is placed in a conning cell lled with uid, and the sample is separated from the conning uid by a exible membrane. The sample is compressed from above by a ram, and the pressure at side membrane can be separately controlled by changing the pressure of the conning uid. In the case of the direct shear test in Fig. 11 (b), a sample in a shear box is sheared as the shear box is slid. A series of tests can be conducted by varying the vertical compressive stress from the top. Note that there are several modications of these tests: In the case of unsaturated soils, the modie detests are offen performed, where the suction is kept constant during the test by using the HAE material (see subsection 2.2.2) and allows the liquid to drain from the sample [28].

It should be noted that the sam ple needs to be prepared carefully in either m ethod in order to get reproducible results. Especially, how the sam ple is com pacted before the m easurem ent is an important factor to determ ine its m echanical response.

The results of the tensile, compression, and shear tests are often analysed by using the M ohr circle, which visualises the rotational transformation of the two-dimensional stress tensor as follows [28,70,71]. Let us consider a twodimensional stress tensor whose principal values are $_1$ and $_2$. If the coordinate axes are rotated by an angle from the principal axes, the stress tensor $_{ij}$ in the coordinate system is given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 11 & 12 \\ 21 & 21 \end{array} &=& \begin{array}{c} \cos & \sin & 1 & 0 & \cos & \sin \\ \sin & \cos & 0 & 2 & \sin & \cos \\ \end{array} \\ &=& \begin{array}{c} \frac{\left(1+2\right)}{2} + \frac{\left(1-2\right)}{2} \cos 2 & \frac{\left(1-2\right)}{2} \sin 2 \\ \frac{\left(1+2\right)}{2} \sin 2 & \frac{\left(1+2\right)}{2} \cos 2 \end{array} \end{array}$$
(13)



Figure 11. Schem atic diagram s of a triaxial test system (a) and a direct shear test system (b).



Figure 12. A schem atic diagram of M ohr circles in the plane shear stress versus norm al compressive stress .W hen a two-dim ensional stress tensor (whose (i; j) component is given by ij) is considered, the M ohr circle includes the diam etrically-opposed points A (11; 12) and B (22; 12), as shown in the gure. The yield locus from the M ohr-C oulom b criterion for cohesive granular m edia is shown by the solid straight line, and the yield locus of the non-cohesive granular m edia is shown by the dashed line.

N am ely, when we consider two points A ($_{11}$; $_{12}$) and B ($_{22}$; $_{21}$) in the plane, they locate at the diam etrically-opposed points on a circle

$$\frac{1}{2}(1+2)^{2} + 2^{2} = \frac{1}{2}(1+2)^{2}; \qquad (14)$$

as shown in Fig. 12, where the angle between the % A is and the line A $\,$ B is 2 .

The Mohr circle is useful when considering the Mohr-Coulomb criterion

Eq. (9). The stress at failure, from the M ohr-C oulom b criterion, gives a straight line

$$=$$
 (+ _c) (15)

in the $-planewith -intercept at _c and slope . Instead of , = tan¹ (show n in Fig. 12) is also used as a parameter for the M ohr-C oulom b criterion;$

is called the internal friction angle. If the granular aggregate obeys the M ohr-C oulom b criterion, the M ohr circle at failure should be tangent to this straight line (15), because the granular aggregate fails as soon as a stress state that satis es the criterion appears. Therefore, by drawing an envelope curve of M ohr circles at failure with various stress conditions, from the data one can construct the M ohr-C oulom b criterion to determ ine the parameters and c.

This procedure can be done for the triaxial compression test and the shear test, where two components of the stress tensor are varied separately. The granular material does not always follow the ideal Mohr-Coulomb criterion and the envelope might be curved, but the response is linear for small enough values of the stress, and this gives and $_{\rm C}$.

In the case of uniaxial tensile (com pression) tests, one of the principal values is always zero. Thus, the resulting M ohr circle crosses the origin of the – plane, where the tensile (com pressive) stress at failure is given by tensile (com press) as shown in Fig.12. For a given internal friction coe cient , tensile (com press) is proportional to c, and the behaviour of tensile (com press) gives inform ation about the cohesion in the wet granular medium. However, we cannot determ ine the cohesive stress c from a uniaxial test unless we know from another experiment.

Below let us brie y review som e experim ental results about the stresses at the failure state for wet granular media with various liquid content.

Tests in the pendular state. Pierrat et al. [72] investigated the Mohr-Coulom b criterion by using direct shear tests for various granular assemblies including monodisperse glass beads and polydisperse crushed limestone with relatively small liquid content. The amount of liquid was larger than that in the experiments on the angle of repose presented in subsection 3.1.2, but the system was supposed to be still in the pendular state.

The yield loci in shear test for glass beads of diam eter 93 m with various liquid content are shown in Fig. 13, which shows a shift of the yield locus to the left from the dry case, but the slope is unchanged. It has also been found that the shift increases with the liquid content. For all the materials they investigated, they found that the yield loci can be collapsed by shifting the curve. Namely, in this experiment, the main e lect of the liquid appears in the cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$, but the internal friction coe cient was not signil cantly



Figure 13. Yield locus obtained by direct shear tests for glass beads (93 m):Dry (circles), with water (1.3% of liquid content is shown by squares, and 4% of liquid content is shown by triangles), and with n-hexadecan (liquid content 1%, shown by upside-down triangles). A dapted from [72].

modi ed, which indicates that the e ect of lubrication was small.

They also investigated the relation between the shear test and the uniaxial tensile test. They had conducted tensile tests separately [73], and from their empirical relation between tensile and parameters that characterise the sample, such as packing fraction and liquid content, they estimated the tensile strength tensile for the sample in the shear tests. If the internal friction coe cient = tan for dry samples does not change for wet granular materials, we can estimate c from tensile, using the relation $c = (1 + \sin)j_{tensile} \neq (2 \sin)$ (see Fig. 12) with being the internal friction angle in the dry case. This estimation was compared with observed yield loci in shear tests, and reasonable agreem ent was found.

Tests with interm ediate liquid content. Next, we review the tensile tests and uniaxial compression tests of wet granular media with interm ediate liquid content, typically in the funicular state. The mechanical response of the funicular state is not well understood, because in this state even the qualitative dependence of the tensile strength (tensile stress at the peak of stress-strain relation) on liquid content varies from one material to another, and the reason for this is not very clear. Here we just sum marise a few experiments.

In the eld of agglom eration processing, experiments have been conducted from relatively dry to almost saturated conditions. However, in the funicular state, the liquid content dependence of the strength may either increase or decrease upon increasing the liquid content. For example, increasing tensile strength with increasing liquid content has been found by Schubert [74] for limestone with mean diameter 65 m using the adhesive method. Figure 14 shows the stress-strain relation for various liquid content S, and the peak stress



Figure 14. Stress-strain relation of wet limestone (mean diameter 65 m) obtained by the adhesive method [74]. The packing fraction of the sample was 0:66. The dashed lines show the result for a sample prepared by a drying process (drainage), while the solid lines show the result for a sample prepared by a wetting process (im bibition). S refers to the percentage liquid content. From [74].



Figure 15. Stress-strain relation of dicalcium phosphate (diam eter 21 m) with an aqueous solution of a polymer.S refers to the percentage liquid content. The packing fraction of the sam ple was 0:5. From [75].

gives tensile strength.Stress-strain curves, show ing decreasing strength as the liquid content S increases from 36% to 70% are shown in Fig. 15, from [75], where compressive tests were performed using dicalcium phosphate with diam eter 21 m.K ristensen et al. [76] found increasing strength for increasing liquid content using glass beads of particle size 68 m, while decreasing strength for dicalcium phosphate of particle size 14 m in uniaxial compres-

sion tests. There have been m any other experiments probing the mechanical strength of wet granular media (see [24] for a review). The e ect of particle size on the competition between cohesion and lubrication was considered [24] to be one of the causes of these various behaviours, but the liquid content dependence in the intermediate liquid-content-regime (approximately given by 20%. S . 90%) is not yet well-understood.

It has been found that the critical strain $_{\rm c}$ (strain at the peak in the stress-strain curve) always increases with increasing liquid content, as shown in Figs. 14 and 15. This indicates that the wet granular material is brittle when the am ount of liquid is small, and tends to show visco-plastic behaviour as the liquid content is increased.

It should be also noted that, even for sim ilar am ounts of liquid content, the strength of a sam ple m ay depend on whether it is prepared by either draining or adding liquid; Schubert [74] found di erent stress-strain curves for each processes as shown in Fig. 14. In m any studies, the sam ple is often driven by an external force (e.g., rotating drum, m ixing, etc.) before the m easurem ent in order to better distribute the liquid, which would m ake hysteresis less obvious.

Tests in soil mechanics: relatively large amounts of liquid. As pointed out in subsection 2.2.1, the suction in the capillary state largely varies upon a sm all change of liquid content. In soil mechanics, the shear tests of unsaturated soils have been conducted by decreasing the water content from initially saturated soils, or, in other words, by increasing the suction from zero. The data is usually presented in term s of the suction P, not the liquid content S. This is a reasonable way of arranging data in the capillary state, because the change of P upon changing S is very rapid for low and high liquid content as has been shown in subsection 22.1. Here we sum marise these data to try to understand the mechanical properties with large amount of liquid content. It should be noted that the grains that form soils can be very sm all (for example, clay can be the order of 2 m in diam eter), may have characteristic shape, and may be a ected by, e.g., electrostatic interaction. These properties a ect its m echanical responses, but we focus on the variation of the mechanical properties upon changing the suction P in the following, so that we will be able to extract the properties mainly determ ined by the capillary e ect.

The failure condition given by the M ohr-C oulomb criterion in Eq. (9) has been experimentally investigated for soils. Figure 16(a) show s^1 the yield loci

¹ In soilm echanics, the total norm alstress t, the air pressure P_a, and the water pressure P₁ are often taken to be the control parameters. Here, the total norm alstress t includes both the load on the granular sample and the uid pressure on it, thus $t = P_a$ if the sample is placed in air without any external load. When an external pressure is applied on the sample, the net norm alstress sustained by granular particles would be given by t P_a. In the original gures of Fig. 16(a), the label of the horizontal axis shows \N et N orm al Stress u_a (kPa)", where in [28] represents the total norm al stress, and u_a is the air pressure (t and P_a in our notation, respectively).



Figure 16. Yield loci for wet soil (M adrid G ray C lay). (a) N et norm al stress versus shear stress for various suction $P = P_a P_1$. The slope is alm ost independent of suction in this regime. (b) Shear stress versus suction for various net norm al stresses . The slope is alm ost independent of the net norm al stress in this regime. A dapted from [28] (original data from [77]).



Figure 17. Shear strength s versus suction P obtained by shear tests for red clay. This gure shows a larger range of suction P (com pared to Fig. 16(b)), as well as a non-linear and non-m onotonic behaviour. A dapted from [78].

of wet clay for various values of the suction P, where the slope gives the friction coe cient and the y-intercepts of lines give $_{\rm c}$. The slopes scarcely vary with suction, which indicates that , or, the internal friction angle = tan 1 , does not depend on the suction P. The y-intercept is small for P = 0 and increases with increasing the suction P. Namely, $_{\rm c}$ increases with increasing P.

Figure 16(b) shows the yield shear stress versus suction for various norm all compressive stresses, which shows a linear dependence on P. This result and the fact that the internal friction angle depends little on the suction

P suggest that the cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$ increases linearly with P. The linear increase of $_{\rm c}$ upon increasing P for small P is natural, because the compressive stress P at the liquid-air interface at the surface of the granular assembly is the source of the cohesion. This behaviour has been found for some kinds of clay [77{79}, undisturbed decomposed granite [80], silt [81], and glacial till [82].

W hen P is increased further, a non-linear dependence of the shear strength $_{\rm s}$ (the shear stress at failure for a given suction and norm alload) on P is found [28,78], as shown in Fig. 17. The increase of the shear strength $_{\rm s}$ becomes nearly zero as P grows. It is very likely that the internal friction coe cient does not vary signi cantly upon changing P in these regimes, and then the obtained shear strength $_{\rm s}$ is proportional to the cohesive stress c.

Sum m arising these results, $_{\rm c}$ increases linearly with P for small enough suction P, but for large P, the increase disappears. The suction P increases as the liquid content S decreases as shown in Fig. 5, therefore, $_{\rm c}$ increases upon decreasing the liquid content from S = 100% (P = 0) [83].

3.2 Dynam ical behaviours

In this subsection, we brie y describe som e dynam ical behaviours of wet granular media. In the dynam ical regime, not only cohesion but also other e ects of the liquid such as viscosity, lubrication, and liquid motion play important roles. The dynam ical behaviours observed are farm ore complicated than those in the quasistatic regime. In addition, though there are numerous studies of the dynam ics of partially wet granular media from the practical point of view, system atic studies in simple situations are rather few; here we brie y summarise som e of the experimental studies on the dynam ical response of wet granular media. Those who are interested in recent studies on dynam ics should also refer to a recent review [22].

3.2.1 D ynam ics in the pendular state.



Figure 18. Surface shapes in a rotating drum during single avalanches, for various liquid contents S (shown as percentages). The coloured line for liquid content percentages 0:12% and 0.37% indicate approxim ate slip planes in the correlated regime, and the coloured lines for 5:00% show the travelling quasiperiodic surface features in the viscoplastic regime. From [84].

A valanches in rotating drum s. Granular ow and avalanches in a rotating drum have been widely investigated for dry granular media (see, e.g., [85[92]). At low rotation rate, the grains stay at rest until the surface angle reaches the critical angle c, and avalanche occurs; the angle just after the avalanche is the angle of repose r. This is called the interm ittent regime. W hen the rotation rate is high enough, the continuous ow occurs with keeping a constant angle between the surface and horizontal plane; this is the continuous regime. The ow regimes of ne cohesive powders in a rotating drum has been also studied

by [93].

Tegzes et al. [84,94] investigated the ow behaviours of wet granular materials in a thin rotating drum. In the experiments, the amount of liquid is sm all (liquid content varied from 0.001% to 5%), where the pendular state is expected. They have observed the transition from interm ittent to continuous ow with increasing the rotation rate in this range of liquid content.

They observed the time evolution of the surface prole, which enable them to calculate the m ean surface angle, statistics of the local surface angle, evolution of pattern at the surface, etc. In Fig. 18, the typical surface pro les just after avalanches in the interm ittent ow regime are shown. For enhanced clarity, the gray-scale plot in the third dimension of the gure shows the local surface angles.

By changing the liquid content, the following three regimes have been distinguished. For low liquid content, the cohesion is small, and small avalanches are observed (the granular regime); the avalanches occur at the surface and the surface pro le is always sm ooth (Fig. 18, liquid content 0.04%), sim ilarly

to the dry granular media (Fig. 18, dry case). When increasing the liquid content, the cohesion becomes stronger, and grains move as a connected block. An avalanche occurs through a succession of local slip events, and the surface structure uctuates after each avalanche; this is the correlated regime (Fig. 18, liquid content 0.12% and 0.37%). Further increasing the liquid content results in the visco-plastic regime. In this state, the ow becomes coherent over the entire sample, and uctuations are suppressed (Fig. 18, liquid content 5%).

They also found that the critical angle $_{\rm c}$ depends not only on the liquid content but also on the rotation rate. They varied the duration between avalanches, or the \waiting time", from about 0.1 s to 1000 s. It was found that $_{\rm c}$ increased logarithm ically with the waiting time. They supposed that this was caused by the slow ow of liquid along the particle surface.

A system atic study of liquid motion in wet granular media in the pendular state was recently conducted by [62]. They found that, just after shaking the material to mix the liquid with grains, the average liquid bridge volume was much less than that in equilibrium; the volume saturated after long enough waiting times, more than one hour. This also suggests that the liquid-moving process is very slow and may induce the ageing elect observed by [84,94].

V ibrated wet granular media. Dry granular media placed on a horizontal plane excited by vertical vibrations is a fundamental and widely-used setup to study granular gases (e.g., [95]), segregation (e.g., [96]), and pattern formation (e.g., [97]). In dry granular media, the onset of uidisation is often characterised by the dimensionless acceleration $= A !^2 = g$, where A is the amplitude of the vibration, ! is the angular frequency of the vibration, and g is the acceleration of gravity. There is a threshold min below which there are no

uidisation, which was reported to be a constant around one, (e.g., [1,98,99]), but recently reported that min can be smaller than one and show weak ! dependence [100,101]. Above the uidisation threshold, dry granular media exhibits transitions between localised patterns of jumping grains (in the case of few layers of grains) all the way to gas-like phases (see, e.g., $[102\{108]$).

For wet granular media, the cohesion force introduces an additional force or energy scale. It is non-trivial to determ ine which parameters would better characterise the resulting behaviour. Recently, experiments on this subject have been conducted [27,109], where uidisation of glass beads wet by water under vertical vibration has been investigated. The critical dimensionless acceleration $_{\rm crit}$ for uidisation is found to depend on the frequency f = !=2, the particle radius R, and the liquid content W de ned as the ratio of the liquid volume to the total volume, as shown in Fig. 19. Here, W is de ned as the ratio of the liquid volume to the total volume. They [27,109] found that $_{\rm crit}$ depends weakly on f, but becomes constant for su ciently high frequency. In this high frequency regime [27,109], $_{\rm crit}$ is sm aller for larger R for a given W



Figure 19. (a) Critical acceleration _{crit} versus frequency f for three di erent bead radii, with water content W = 0.5%. (b) _{crit} versus liquid content W for three di erent frequencies. The particle radius R is 140 m. From [27].

(Fig. 19(a)), while it increases with W for a given R (Fig. 19(b)).

R ef. [27,109] interpreted their results by considering the cohesion force due to liquid bridges between grains as well as between grains and the container wall. The increase of crit with W was found [109] to be proportional to the increase of the cohesion force $F_{\rm bridge}$ per liquid bridge, which they estimated from W . It is natural that crit increases with increasing F_{bridge}, but [27,109] found that the proportionality is not trivial: A nother possibility is that crit is ruled by the energy required to break the bridge, whose dependence on W should be di erent from the dependence of the force. In the case of the dependence upon R, [27,109] explained it by considering the shear stress due to the form ation of liquid bridges between grains and side walls; the bulk material tends to m ove together due to the cohesion, but if the shear from the side wall is large enough, the shear stress makes it possible to deform the bulk sample and to induce the uidisation. Following their interpretation, the decrease of crit upon increasing R is because the force per bridge is proportional to R but the num ber of liquid bridges per area is proportional to $1=R^2$, which gives the shear stress proportional to 1=R. They [27,109] also studied the uidisation with the container whose walls are covered by the hydrophobic material so that liquid bridges cannot be form ed with the side walls, and they found that the material cannot be uidised up to = 20.

Segregation. Segregation of grains by their size is one of the most striking phenom ena of granular materials. The most well-known example is the Brazilnuts e ect, i.e., the large Brazil-nuts always appear on top of mixed-nuts containers. A fler two or more kinds of grains are mixed together, size segregation occurs very easily when they are excited by an external energy input in, e.g., a vibrated container or chute ows. Size-segregation has been studied for dry granular material for various types of mixtures and excitations (e.g., [96,110{112]}. In wet granular materials, the cohesion tends to suppress the segregation by sticking grains together, but at the same time, various factors come into play in such a dynamical situation, as we will see below.

The size-segregation in wet granular materials that ow down an inclined plane has been investigated in [113,114]. They used binary mixtures of glass beads with several sets of diameters. A loo water, glycerol, and other kinds of liquid were used to observe the e ect of the viscosity as well as the surface tension. They found that segregation is basically suppressed by increasing the liquid content, which is natural because the cohesion tends to suppress the particles' relative motion.

Sam adani and K udrolli [113,114] investigated the phase diagram s of segregation in the space of particle diam eter ratio and liquid content. These found a qualitative di erence of phase diagram s between water and glycerol: the surface tensions are alm ost the same for these two kinds of liquid, but the viscosities are di erent, and the segregation is lower at higher viscosity. This is because [113,114] viscosity tends to reduce the velocity uctuations required for segregation.

Sam adaniand K udrolli [113,114] estim ated the viscous force between m oving particles connected by a liquid bridge by using the R eynolds lubrication theory, in which the hydrodynam ice ect in thin space is taken into account [15,16,115, 116]. There [113,114], the force is proportional to the relative velocity between m oving particles, and they found that the viscous force can be com parable with the cohesive force for the characteristic velocity scale under gravity. This also suggests that the viscosity is very in portant for dynam ical behaviour like segregation.

On the other hand, G erom ichalos et al. [22,117] investigated size segregation in granular m edia in a jar driven by a horizontal circular m otion (Fig. 20 (a)). They found that segregation som etim es occurs partially, and they investigated the degree of segregation by m easuring the fraction of the m ixture zone (i.e., the region where no segregation occurs) to the total sample. From the experim ents, they distinguished three regim es: (Fig. 20 (b)); the gaseous regim e, where an increase of the liquid content enhances size-segregation; the interm ediate regim e, where segregation occurs, but increasing the liquid content suppresses segregation; and the viscoplastic regim e, where no segregation occurs. By increasing the liquid content with a xed ratio of radii, the system state evolves from gaseous, interm ediate, to the viscoplastic regim es.

The behaviour in the gaseous regime found in [117], where segregation is enhanced by increasing liquid content, seems to contradict the results in [113,114]. Gerom ichalos et al. [117] discussed that, in the gaseous regime, the energy dissipation is enhanced by the breakage of a number of tiny liquid



Figure 20. (a) Schem atic description of the experim ental setup in [22,117]. The jar experience rapid, horizontal circular motions (20 revolutions per second), where the centre of the jar follows a small circule as shown in the gure (The jar itself is not spinning around its centre). (b) Particle-size segregation phase diagram for binary mixtures of wet granular materials. The radius of the bigger beads is xed, and the vertical axis shows the radius of the smaller beads. The horizontal axis shows the radius of the smaller beads. The horizontal axis show s the am ount of liquid via the dimensionless quantity W, de ned as the volume of the liquid divided by the total volume of the small beads (including the space between the beads). The segregation does not occur in grey region. The dashed steep line separates the region where the segregation decreases or stays the same (right). From [117].

bridges, while the cohesion is not enough to strongly a ect the dynam ics. The larger energy dissipation tends to enlarge the energy di erence between large and sm all grains, resulting in an enhanced segregation. As liquid content is increased, the liquid bridge becom es larger and the kinetic energy becom es insu cient to break the bridges [117]. Then, segregation is suppressed and the system goes from the interm ittent regime to the viscoplastic regime.

3.2.2 Shear experiments for various liquid content. Recently, Fournier et al. [27] investigated the response of wet granularm aterials under shear by using a unique experimental setup, shown in Fig. 21. A cylindrical cell was liked with spherical glass beads wet by water, where the at sides of the cell (area A) each consist of a thin latex mem brane. A djacent to each mem brane was a cylindrical chamber liked with liquid, connected to a syringe. When the pistons of the syringe are moved at equal speed, the mem branes are deformed by a volume V (Fig. 21 (a) shows a lateral cut of the cylindrical cell (top) and a vertical cut (bottom)), which allows them to shear the granular material under a constant volume e at a controlled speed. The pressure at each mem brane is measured during the shear. The pressure di erence between the two mem branes versus average shear V =A is shown in Fig. 21 (b) for densely packed glass



Figure 21. (a) Experim ental setup to shear wet granular m aterials in a cell, showing a slice of the shearing cell seen from the side and from the front. (b) The hysteresis loop of the pressure di erence versus the average shear V=A for densely packed glass beads. From [27].

beads (packing fraction 0:63), show ing hysteresis. The vertical width of the hysteresis loop releases the resistance to shear of the material. They de ned

 $_{0}$ as the vertical width of the hysteresis bop at zero strain divided by two, 0 for various liquid content and shear rate. The dependence and m easured 0 upon the amount of wetting liquid is shown in Fig. 22. Following their of param etrisation, the horizontal axis shows ${\tt W}$, the ratio of the liquid volum e to the total volum e. For a given packing fraction , the liquid saturation S is given by S = W = (1)), thus W = 0.35 is almost in a saturated state = 0:63.W e can see that (S 0:95) when 0 increases rapidly as W is increased from zero, but it starts to drop at W 0:04 (S 0:1) and goes 0:35. They observed the liquid distribution for sm all liquid to zero at W content by index m atching techniques, and found that W 0:03 is the point where liquid bridges start to merge to form a liquid cluster (Fig. 23); beyond that point, the interfaces between the air and liquid start to decrease, which m ay cause the decrease of the cohesive force.

Reference [27] also investigated the shear rate dependence of $_0$.W ithin the investigated range of the shear rate, $_0$ decreases for larger shear rate, while the dry granular material does not show shear-rate dependence. A possible origin of the shear-rate dependence is the time dependence of liquid motion as described in section 32.1, which a left the temporal evolution of liquid bridges.

In addition, they [27] claim that the increase of the shear stress is not solely coming from the frictional e ect, as in the Mohr-Coulomb picture described in section 3.1.2, but it can be understood by just considering the cohesion that



Figure 22. Dependence of $_0$ upon the amount of the liquid W .From [27].

arises from the liquid bridges. One of the tests they conducted to con m their claim is to measure the absolute pressure dependence of $_{0}$; if the frictional shear stress proportional to the cohesive norm alstress $_{c}$ is responsible for the fact that $_{0}$ is greater than that of the dry granular material, then applying a unidirectional pressure comparable with $_{c}$ for dry granular material may cause a similar elect to increase $_{0}$. However, they found that applying absolute pressure to the sample is not enough to make $_{0}$ increase. Though the fact that the applied pressure is unidirectional while the cohesive pressure is uniform may be one of the causes of this dillerence [22], their results indicates that the origin of the higher yield shear stress in wet granular materials need to be investigated further.

The response of materials against shear is a fundamental property to understand the material's rheology. Further experiments of sheared wet granular material in various setups would be useful.

3.2.3 Dynam ics of wet granular media: practical applications. There are many research elds that investigate the dynam ics of wet granular media from a practical point of view. Below we sum marise a few examples.

A gglom eration processing: grains binded by liquid. During an agglom eration or granulation process, particles lump or agglom erate together into larger, sem iperm anent aggregates called granules [24, 118, 131]. There are several m ethods to m ake granules. One of them is to spray a binder liquid onto dry pow der and m ix them in, e.g., a tum bling drum so that clumps of particles



Figure 23. Fluorescence m icroscope im ages of liquid distribution between glass beads of diam eter 375 m for W = 0:03, \liquid clusters" are form ed. From [27].

binded by liquid grows. The granules may either grow or break down when they collide. Another method is to strongly shear a very dense mixture of binder liquid and powder (dense paste), and then air comes into the paste to form lumps. In either method, the main source of the cohesive force that binds powder particles together is the capillary force.

N um erous dynam ical experim ents have been perform ed on granulation processing: The dynam ics of liquid distribution during granulation, the grow th of granules, the shear rate dependence of the grow th rate, the collision velocity dependence of breakage, and so on. Though m ost of the experim ental setups seem rather com plicated, the accum ulated know ledge provides m any insights on the dynam ical properties of wet granular m edia. How ever, it is beyond the scope of this brief review to sum m arise all experim ents in this sub eld. Interested readers should consult a rather recent review on this topic [24], a conference proceedings [118], and references therein.

In addition, the properties of sheared dense paste are important not only in the granulation process, but also in, e.g., ceram ics engineering, where the rheology of paste has been investigated. The importance of cohesion due to the capillary e ect in the rheology of paste has often been pointed out (e.g., [119,120]), and the know ledge about its rheology also provides insights about wet granular media.

G eological events. Geology is one of the largest research elds on wet granular media. The failure criterion of soils and also their dynam ical behaviours are very important to understand. Signi cant, and som etimes catastrophic and tragic, examples include land slides, debris ows, and liquefaction of ground (see, e.g., [71,121{124}).

Most of the past work on debris ows focused on the ow of soils saturated by



Figure 24. Schem atic diagram sum marising the variations of the suction $\,$ P and the cohesive stress $\,$ c, upon changing the liquid content S .

water. In studies of debris ows, know ledge about dry granularm edia has been incorporated into the analysis, and at the same time, the signi cante ects of the liquid lubrication and the liquid viscosity on debris ows have been investigated [121]. Liquefaction of wet soils triggered by earthquakes is also offen studied in saturated situations [71]. System atic studies of wet granular m edia from partially-wet to the completely wet state should also provide useful insights to understand these in portant geological events.

4 Sum m ary and open questions

4.1 E ect of the liquid content on quasistatic behaviour

The cohesive force due to the presence of a liquid arises in the pendular, funcular, and capillary states. This cohesion gives rise to a nite cohesive stress in quasistatic experiments. Figure 24 shows a schematic diagram summarising how the suction P and the cohesive stress $_{c}$ vary upon liquid content.

The dependence of the suction P on the liquid content S is given by a red solid line, and its slope changes signi cantly near the phase boundaries between the pendular, funicular, and capillary states, as discussed in subsection 22.1.

The cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$ versus S is schem atically shown by the green dashed lines. The overlapping dashed curves for low and high S are better established, while the non-overlapping interm ediate curves vary signi cantly between experiments. The cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$ increases as we add m ore and m ore liquid



Figure 25. Schem atic diagram of a possible jam ming phase diagram of granular media for various liquid contents. Number 0 (black solid line): Dry . Number 1 (green dotted line): Sm all am ount of liquid (slightly cohesive). Number 2 (blue dashed line): \O ptim al" am ount of liquid (the most cohesive, and the largest phase-space volum e of the jam med phase). Number 3 (red dash-dotted line): Large am ount of liquid (less cohesive than the optim al case).

to initially dry grains, shown by the positive slope, for small S, of the $_{\rm c}$ line in the pendular state. At the opposite end, cohesion becomes zero for completely saturated granular media (S = 100%), schematically shown by the green dashed line with negative slope for S close to 100% in the capillary state.

In the funicular state, the cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$ dependence on the liquid content S is not clearly understood. The cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$ m ay either increase or decrease with S in the funicular state. These possible curves are shown by the green dashed lines, where both lines connect to single lines in the lim its when S ! 0 and S ! 100%. We see that there would be at least one maximum of the cohesive stress at a certain liquid content, though it is not clear, a priori, the location of the peak.

4.2 Open problem s

There are a number of open problem s on mechanical properties of wet granular media, some of which have already been mentioned in the text. In this subsection, we list a few examples of open problem s, in order to encourage future studies in this area. 4.2.1 Jam m ing. A s sum m arised in subsection 4.1, in the quasistatic regim e, the cohesion in wet granular m edia has a m axim um for a certain liquid content, though whether the m axim um is located at a rather sm all or large liquid content is not known a priori. This variable cohesion will a ect the \jam m ing" properties of the granular assembly. Thus, an interesting problem worth considering is the jam m ing phase diagram in wet granular m edia.

A jam m ed state occurs when a disordered system subject to an external force is caught in a small region of phase space with no possibility of escape [104,108,125{130}]. In order to unjam a jam m ed material, a nite yield stress or uctuation energy is needed, which forces the elements to escape from the phase space region where they are trapped. G ranular m edia at rest is a typical jam m ed material, where we need a nite yield stress or external energy input in order to let the grains ow orm ove. There are many kinds of materials that show jam ming, such as dense colloids, and pinned vortices in superconductors. Jam m ing also plays a role in them echanical properties of wet granular m edia. For example, C ates et al. [131] recently tried to understand the physics of granulation (section 32.3) in a highly-sheared dense paste in term s of a jam m ing transition under shear.

Liu et al. [125] proposed a very schem atic phase diagram for jamming, in the space of packing fraction (density), external load, and temperature or uctuation energy. The purpose of this schem atic phase diagram is to try to summarise a united view of the jamming phenomena, observed in various media. A schematic diagram of our jamming phase diagram is shown in Fig.25; the solid black curves, labelled with the number 0, was proposed by [127,128] for non-cohesive (dry) granular media. The axes shown are: inverse packing fraction 1=, the yield stress yield, and the uctuating energy T from an external energy source, such as vibration. One of the features of jamming in dry granular media is that the behaviour in the T = 0 plane, i.e., no external energy, can be easily investigated. The \jammed" phase-boundary intersects the inverse packing fraction 1= axis at zero yield stress and temperature, and the packing fraction at the intersection corresponds to the random closed-

O byiously, attractive interactions modify the jam ming properties. Trappe et al. [129] investigated jam ming in colloidal systems with varying attractive interaction potentials; they found that the jam med phase boundary shifts to higher tem peratures for stronger attractive potentials, because the attractive potential characterises the energy that particles need to escape from the phase space where they are trapped. Jam ming in cohesive powders was investigated by [130]; the powder particles were large enough so that therm al noise was negligible. It was found that the critical jam ming density for zero uctuation energy and yield stress for cohesive powder is smaller than the critical density in non-cohesive granular media. This is because the cohesion made it possible

packing density [127,128].

to form very sparse but stable clusters of pow der. Indeed, a percolating cluster appears in their system for relatively low densities.

Considering these results, the cohesion present in wet granular media will decrease the density needed to jam the system. To break a cluster in wet granular media, a large enough external uctuation energy is needed, and this energy will increase with cohesion. The yield stress will increase with cohesion as described by the Mohr-Coulomb criterion, where the yield shear stress increases with the cohesive stress $_{\rm c}$. These considerations in ply that, for cohesive granular media, the volume of phase space occupied by the jam med state expands as the cohesion increases.

How is the jam med phase diagram for granular media modi ed by adding liquid? A schematic phase diagram is shown in Fig. 25. The number in each curve there shows how the behaviour changes as we increase liquid content. W hen a small amount of liquid is added, the phase boundaries are slightly shifted so that the jam med phase expands in all three directions of 1= , , and T (num ber 1, green dotted lines). As liquid is further added, the cohesion increases, and the boundary will shift further. At a certain liquid content, the cohesion will reach a maximum, corresponding to the largest jammed region in phase space (number 2, blue dashed lines). As we further increase the liquid content, the cohesion decreases, and the phase-space volume of the jam med region decreases (num ber 3, red dash-dotted line). Nam ely, as far as cohesion is concerned, there is an optimal liquid content that maxim ises the jam med region. This argument does not consider the e ects of liquid other than cohesion. For large enough liquid content, the lubrication e ect may a ect this conclusion, and could drastically shrink the size of the jammed region. The liquid content dependence of the jam m ing behaviour in wet granular m edia is an important and interesting phenom enon that has not been system atically investigated and constitutes a fertile new direction of research.

4.2.2 Statistical m echanics approach. Recently, m any physicists are trying to describe dry granular system s using statistical m echanics approaches, especially in the jam m ed regim e (For a recent review, see [132]).

E dwards [12,133] postulated that a dense granular assembly under small external perturbations can take all possible jammed congurations, and the density can be described by suitable ensemble averages over its blocked states. Later, N ow ak et al. [41] investigated the compaction of slow ly tapped granular assemblies, and found there exists a \reversible regime": When a loosely packed conguration is tapped, large voids are removed, which results in irreversible motion of grains (the initial loosely packed conguration cannot be reproduced by further tapping). Once the memory of this initial conguration is lost, after large enough tapping, the density is determined by the ratio of the tapping am plitude to gravity, which is in the reversible regime; in this regime, the density is lower for larger . The existence of a reversible regime is non-trivial in granularm atter, where the frictional force depends on history. The E dw ards' scenario only considers non-history dependent situations, and the existence of such a regime gives hope that this scenario would work in the reversible regime. Research to test this theory has been done [134{140], and there remains many open questions.

A nother example of a statistical mechanics approach is the recent experiment by [104], who observed the motion of a torsion oscillator immersed in a granular medium perturbed by external vertical tapping, and measured the susceptibility and the auto-correlation function of the motion of the oscillator. For the equilibrium systems, these two quantities are related by the

uctuation-dissipation theorem and the tem perature can be consistently determ ined. They [104] exam ined whether the same form alism works in the granular state, and found that an \e ective tem perature" can be de ned from the susceptibility and the auto-correlation function. Though this dissipative system is far from equilibrium, the analogy to the uctuation-dissipation theorem in the experiment is remarkable. It is an interesting question whether such a relation can be extended to other system s or regimes (e.g., wet grains).

In the case of wet granular media, the available phase space is larger and, due to the cohesion, the history dependence is stronger than the dry case as mentioned in Section 3.1.1 and Table 1. Future experiments probing the statistical mechanics in wet granular assemblies will provide more know ledge of its applicability and generality.

4.2.3 A rches and contact-force uctuations. A rches (i.e., e ective longrange interactions) and the resulting large uctuation of contact forces have been extensively studied in several types of dry granular media (see, e.g., [141{147]). However, no such system atic studies exist for wet granular media. The clogging of cohesive powders in a hopper is often said to be an arching e ect [3], but it is rather rare to investigate the arches directly in partially wet granular media. This would be interesting because the change of the interparticle forces with liquid content likely a ects the strength and topology of the so-called \force chains" or stress-line-networks of the granular assembly.

4.2.4 Sim ple experim ental setups to study the dynam ics of wet granular m edia. Several experim ental setups have been widely perform ed to study the fundam ental dynam ics of dry granular m edia. The widely-used setups include vibrated granular m edia (e.g., [96,102{108]}), rotating drum s (e.g., [85{92]}), shear (e.g., [145,148{150}), and inclined chutes (e.g., [13,151{159}). These

setups have played important roles in studying the fundam ental dynam ics and rheology of dry granular m aterials.

Some of the setups have been used in recent experiments of wet granular media, as discussed in section 3.2, but not so many systematic studies have been done so far. One of the di culties in fundamental studies of wet granular materials is their strong tendency to be inhom ogeneous. It becomes di cult to induce particle motions, due to cohesive force. In other words, the regions that were mobile in the dry case become localised when wet, and the bulk material becomes solid. Similar behaviours are known for dry granular materials as well (e.g., shear bands), but the localisation would be stronger for the wet case. In addition, the distribution of liquid can also be inhom ogeneous, especially for larger liquid content. Nonetheless, further studies on the dynamics of wet granular materials (including their inhom ogeneity) using these rather simple setups will certainly contribute to granular science.

4.2.5 Num erical simulations. The recent remarkable progress in the study of dry granular material is partially due to num erical simulations. Molecular dynamics simulations of soft-sphere or inelastic hard-sphere models help clarify phenomena found in experiments and get data in \ideal" situations. In the case of wet granular media, however, its numerical simulation model for the wide range of liquid content has not been established yet because of its complexity.

Som e m odels of wet grains in the pendular state have been proposed for m olecular dynamics simulations (e.g., [24,51,118,160{163]), where m ost of them are based on soft-particle m odels for dry granular m aterials with elastic force and dissipation [164]. In m odels for wet grains, the e ect of the liquid is added by assuming that liquid bridges are formed when grains are in contact, and cohesion and dissipation due to the liquid bridges are taken into account. These types of m odels would be applicable to some extent to situations where the am ount of liquid is small and the liquid m ostly sticks to the grain surface. H ow ever, as the liquid content is increased, the liquid-bridge picture becomes invalid and liquid m otion becomes relevant. N o available simple m odels has been yet proposed for this regime, as far as we know.

Even for dry granular media, the real granular particles are more com plicated than the ones used in most com putational models; still, the sim ple models have been found to be very useful. It is apparent that good models are also needed for wet granular material. The required level of realism of the model largely depends on the phenom ena that one would like to understand. To help the modelling, more system atic experiments in sim ple situations are necessary. 4.2.6 M echanical properties of snow. The mechanical properties of snow have been investigated for a long time (e.g., [54,165{169]). It is important to understand snow properties to reduce disasters caused by snow avalanches; thus know ledge should also be useful to design equipment and constructions in snow bound areas [169].

Snow is a type of granular material of grains of ice. Snow partially melts in many situations, and pores are led with both air and water; it is a \wet granular material". The know ledge obtained about the dynamics of dry granular media has been found to be useful to understand snow dynamics; for example, the mechanism of size segregation is important to understand how to save skiers caught in an avalanche [167]. In terms of cohesion among grains, wet snow avalanches has some aspects in common with avalanches in partially wet granular media [84]. More research on wet granular media should be useful to better understand the mechanical properties of snow.

However, there is a big di erence between wet sands and snow; in snow, solid ice-bonds exist between ice grains. In new or low-density snows, ice grains slide over each other, while in old or dense snow, the deform ation of solid ice-bonds dom inate the interactions [167]. The form ation, strength, and breakage of ice-bonds depend on m any factors such as the heat ux and motion of water or water vapours. Because of this com plex nature of interactions, there remains m any open questions in snow physics. For exam ple, it is known that the density of snow is not a good parameter to characterise snow properties, and one needs to classify snow by considering its microstructure [169]. It will be useful to nd a parameter that is easily measured and can better characterise its mechanical properties.

5 Conclusion

W et granular m edia have properties which are signi cantly di erent from dry grains. These include enhanced cohesion am ong grains leading to very steep angles of repose. W et granular m edia are pervasive everywhere, including num erous industrial applications and geological phenom ena. In spite of the ubiquitous presence of wet granular m edia and its im portance, relatively little is known about it. This brief overview sketched som e of the physical properties of wet granular m edia, and identi ed several open problem s for future studies.

A cknow ledgem ents

NM thanks H.Nakanishi for helpful discussions. A uthors thank G.D'Anna for his comments on an early version of the manuscript.Part of this work has

been done when NM was supported by the Special Postdoctoral Researcher Program by RIKEN.NM is supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists(B) 17740262 from The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) and Grant-in-Aid for Scienti c Research (C) 16540344 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).FN is supported in part by the National Security Agency (NSA) and Advanced Research and Development Activity (ARDA) under Air Force O ce of Research (AFOSR) contract number F49620-02-1-0334, and by the National Science Foundation grant No.E IA-0130383.

References

- J.D uran, Sands, Powders, and Grains: An Introduction to the Physics of Granular M aterials (Springer, New York, 1997).
- [2] H M . Jaeger, S.R. Nagel, and R.P. Behringer, Granular solids, liquids, and gases, Rev. M od. Phys. 68 1259 (1996).
- [3] R M . Nedderm an, Statics and K inem atics of G ranular M atter (C am bridge U niversity P ress, C am bridge, 1992).
- [4] P.-G. de Gennes, Granular matter: a tentative view, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71 S374 (1999).
- [5] R P. Behringer and J.T. Jenkins, editors Powders & Grains 97. (A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, 1997).
- [6] R A. Bagnold, The Physics of Blown Sand and Desert Dunes, (Mathuen, London, 1941).
- [7] H M .Jaeger and S.R. Nagel, Physics of the granular state, Science 255 1523 (1992).
- [8] H M .Jaeger, S R .N agel, and R P.Behringer, The physics of granular m aterials, Physics Today 49 32 (1996).
- [9] P. Sholtz, M. Bretz, and F. Nori, Sound-producing sand avalanches, Contemporary Physics 38 329 (1997).
- [10] F.Nori, P.Sholtz, and M.Bretz, Booming sand, Scientic American 277 84 (1997).
- [11] R P.Behringer, H M. Jaeger, and S R. Nagel, editors. Focus issue on granular materials, Chaos, 9 509 (1999).
- [12] S.F.Edwards and D.V.G rinev.G ranular m aterials: towards the statistical mechanics of jam med con gurations, Adv. Phys. 51 1669 (2002).
- [13] M. Bretz, J.B. Cunningham, P.L. Kurczynski, and F. Nori, Imaging of avalanches in granular materials, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 2431 (1992).
- [14] K ansai International A inport Co. Ltd. B rief sum m ary of settlem ent, http://www.kiac.co.jp/english/land/land.htm.
- [15] Y.K im ura and H.Okabe.Tribology Gairon (in Japanese), (Yokendo, Tokyo, 1994).
- [16] O. Pinkus and B. Stemlicht. Theory of Hydrodynam ic Lubrication, M cG raw Hill, New York, 1961).
- [17] F.P. Bow den and D. Tabor. The Friction and Lubrication of Solids (C larendon Press, O xford, 1986).
- [18] J.-C. G em inard, W. Losert, and J.P. Gollub. Frictional mechanics of wet granular material, Phys. Rev. E 59 5881 (1999).
- [19] M. Medved, H. M. Jaeger, and S.R. Nagel. Convection in a fully immersed granular slurry, Phys. Rev. E 63 061302 (2001).
- [20] L D . Landau and E M . Lifshitz. F luid M echanics (B utterworth H einem ann, O x ford, 2nd edition, 1987).
- [21] C D. W illett, M J. A dam s, S A. Johnson, and J.P.K. Seville, C apillary bridges between two spherical bodies, Langmuir 16 9396 (2000).
- [22] S. Herm inghaus, D ynam ics of wet granular matter, A dv. Phys. 54 221 (2005).
- [23] D M. New itt and J M. Conway-Jones, A contribution to the theory and practice of granulation, Trans. I. Chem. Eng. 36 422 (1958).
- [24] S.M. Iveson, J.D. Litster, K. Hapgood, and B. J. Ennis, Nucleation, growth and breakage phenom ena in agitated wet granulation processes: a review, Pow der Technol. 117 3 (2001).
- [25] M A.Rubio, C A.Edwards, A.Dougherty, and J.P.Gollub, Self-a ne fractal interfaces from

W et granular m aterials

im m iscible displacem ent in porous m edia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 1685 (1989).

- [26] T.G. Mason, A.J. Levine, D. Ertas, and T.C. Halsey, Critical angle of wet sandpiles, Phys. Rev. E 60 R 5044 (1999).
- [27] Z.Fournier, D.G erom ichalos, S.H em inghaus, M.M.Kohonen, F.Mugele, M.Scheel, M.Schulz, B.Schulz, Ch.Schier, R. Seem ann, and A. Skudelny, M. echanical properties of wet granular material, J.Phys.: Condens. M atter 17 S477 (2005).
- [28] N.Lu and W. J.Likos.Unsaturated Soil Mechanics. (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2004).
- [29] D. K. Cassel and A. K hute, W ater potential: tensiom etry, in A. K hute, editor, M ethods of Soil A nalysis, Part 1, Physical and M ineralogical M ethods, 2nd ed. (Soil Science Society of Am erica, M adison, W I, 1986).
- [30] D. J. Stannard, Tension eters theory, construction, and use, G eotech. Test. J. 15 48 (1992).
- [31] J.W. .H ilf.An investigation of pore water pressure in compacted cohesive soils (Technical report, United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Design and Construction Division, Denver, CO, 1956).
- [32] K A.Bocking and D.G.Fredhund.Limitations of the axis translation technique, In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Expansive Soils, pp.117(135 (Denver, CO, 1980).
- [33] C J. Phene, G J. Ho m an, and S L. Law lings, M easuring soil matric potential in-situ by sensing heat dissipation within a porous body: I. theory and sensor construction, Soil Science Society of A m erica Proceedings 35 27, (1971).
- [34] C J.Phene, G J.Ho m an, and S.L.Law lings. M easuring soilm atric potential in-situ by sensing heat dissipation within a porous body: II. experimental results, Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 35 225 (1971).
- [35] D.G. Fredlund and D.K.H. Wong. Calibration of thermal conductivity sensors for m easuring soil suction G eotech. Test. J.12 188 (1989).
- [36] S.L. Houston, W. N. Houston, and A. Wagner. Laboratory Iter paper suction measurements Geotech. Test. J. 17 185 (1994).
- [37] P.-G. de Gennes. Wetting: statics and dynamics Rev. Mod. Phys. 57 827 (1985).
- [38] P.-G. de Gennes, D. Quere, and F. Brochard-W yart, Capillarity and W etting Phenom ena: Drops, Bubbles, Pearls, W aves (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003).
- [39] M. Alava, M. Dube, and M. Rost, Im bibition in disordered media, Adv. Phys. 53 83 (2004).
- [40] J.B. Knight, C.G. Fandrich, C.N. Lau, H.M. Jaeger, and S.R. Nagel, Density relaxation in a vibrated granular material, Phys. Rev. E 51 3957 (1995).
- [41] E.R. Nowak, J.B. Knight, E. Ben-Naim, H.M. Jaeger, and S.R. Nagel, Density uctuations in vibrated granular materials, Phys. Rev. E 57 1971 (1998).
- [42] M. Nicodem i, A. Coniglio, and H.J. Herrm ann, Frustration and slow dynamics of granular packings, Phys. Rev. E 55 3962 (1997).
- [43] J.Q. Xu, R.P. Zou, and A.B. Yu, Packing structure of cohesive spheres Phys. Rev. E 69 032301 (2004).
- [44] G.Gioia, A.M. Cuitino, S. Zheng, and T. Uribe, Two-phase densi cation of cohesive granular aggregates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 204302 (2002).
- [45] C M .K ong and J.J.Lannutti, Localized densi cation during the compaction of alum ina granules: The stage I{II transition, J.Am. Ceram. Soc. 83 685 (2000).
- [46] L. Bocquet, E. Charlaix, S. C iliberto, and J. C rassous, M oisture-induced ageing in granular m edia and the kinetics of capillary condensation, N ature 396 735 (1998).
- [47] D. J. Hornbaker, R. A lbert, I. A lbert, A.-L. Barabasi, and P. Schier, W hat keeps sandcastles standing Nature 387 765 (1997).
- [48] R.A Ibert, I.A Ibert, D.Hombaker, P.Schi er, and A.-L.Barabasi, Maximum angle of stability in wet and dry spherical granular media, Phys. Rev. E 56 R 6271 (1997).
- [49] N.Fraysse, H.Thome, and L.Petit, Hum idity e ects on the stability of a sandpile, Euro.Phys. J.B 11 615 (1999).
- [50] T.C. Halsey and A.J. Levine, How sandcastles fall, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 3141 (1998).
- [51] S.T. Nase, W L. Vargas, A A. Abatan, and J.J. M of arthy, D iscrete characterization tools for cohesive granular m aterial, Powder Technol. 116 214 (2001).
- [52] F. Restagno, C. Ursini, H. Gayvallet, and E. Charlaix, Aging in hum id granular media, Phys. Rev. E 66 021304 (2002).
- [53] G.O varlez, E.Kolb, and E.C lem ent, Rheology of a con ned granular material, Phys. Rev. E 64 060302 (2001).
- [54] G. D'Anna, M echanical properties of granular media, including snow, investigated by a lowfrequency forced torsion pendulum, Phys. Rev. E 62 982 (2000).
- [55] G. D'Anna, D issipative dynam ics of granular media investigated by forced torsion pendulum,

Europhys.Lett. 51 293 (2000).

- [56] A J. Forsyth, S. Hutton, and M J. Rhodes, E ect of cohesive interparticle force on the ow characteristics of granular m aterial Pow der Technol. 126 150 (2004).
- [57] J. Crassous, L. Bocquet, S. Ciliberto, and C. Laroche, Humidity e ect on static aging of dry friction, Europhys. Lett. 47 562 (1999).
- [58] J.N. Israelachvili, Interm olecular and Surface Forces (A cadem ic Press, New York, 2nd edition, 1992).
- [59] N. O livi-Tran, N. Fraysse, P. G irard, M. R am onda, and D. C hatain, M odeling and simulations of the behavior of glass particles in a rotating drum in heptane and water vapor atm ospheres Eur. Phys. J. B 25 217 (2002).
- [60] H. Rum pf, The strength of granules and agglom erates, In W illiam A. Knepper, editor, Agglom eration, pp.379 (Interscience publishers, New York, 1962).
- [61] J.W. Cahn and R.B. Heady. Analysis of capillary forces in liquid-phase sintering of jagged particles, J.Am. Ceram. Soc. 53 406 (1970).
- [62] M M.Kohonen, D.Geromichalos, M.Scheel, C.Schier, and S.Herminghaus, On capillary bridges in wet granular materials, Physica A 339 7 (2004).
- [63] P. Tegzes, R. A lbert, M. Paskvan, A.-L. Barabasi, T. Vicsek, and P. Schi er, Liquid-induced transitions in granular media, Phys. Rev. E 60 5823 (1999).
- [64] D. Ertas, T.C. Halsey, A.J. Levine, and T.G. Mason, Stability of monom er-dim er piles, Phys. Rev. E 66 051307 (2002).
- [65] S. Nowak, A. Sam adani, and A. Kudrolli, Maximum angle of stability of a wet granular pile, Nature Physics 1 50 (2005).
- [66] D. G. Bika, M. Gentzler, and J.N. M ichaels, M echanical properties of agglom erates, Powder Technol.117 98 (2001).
- [67] H. Schubert, Tensile strength of agglom erates, Powder Technol. 11 107 (1975).
- [68] A.T. Procopio, A.Zavaliangos, J.C. Cunningham, Analysis of the diam etrical compression test and the applicability to plastically deform ing materials, J.M aterials Sci. 38 3629 (2003).
- [69] H.Hertz, GesammelteWerke (CollectedWorks) (Leipzig, 1895).
- [70] A.Kezdi, Soil Physics (Handbook of Soil Mechanics, Vol. 1) (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1974).
- [71] F. Oka. Soil Mechanics (Doshitsu-Rikigaku, in Japanese) (A sakura-Shoten, Tokyo, 2003).
- [72] P. Pierrat, D. K. Agrawal, and H.S. Caram, E ect of moisture on yield locus of granular materials: theory of shift, Pow der Technol. 99 220 (1998).
- [73] P. Pierrat and H.S. Caram, Tensile strength of wet granular materials, Powder Technol. 91 83 (1997).
- [74] H. Schubert, W. Herrm ann, and H. Rum pf, D eform ation behaviour of agglom erates under tensile stress, Pow der Technol. 11 121 (1975).
- [75] H.G. Kristensen P. Holm, T. Schaefer, Granulation in high-speed mixers: Part V. power consumption and temperature changes during granulation, Powder Technol. 43 213 (1985).
- [76] H.C.Kristensen, E. Holii, and T.Schaefer, M echanical properties of moist agglom erates in relation to granulation mechanism s: Part I. deform ability of moist, densi ed agglom erates, Powder Technol. 44 227 (1985).
- [77] V. E scario, Suction-controlled penetration and shear tests In P roceedings of the 4th International C onference on Expansive Soils, pp. 781{787 (D enver, CO, 1980).
- [78] V. E scario, J. Juca, and M. S. Coppe, Strength and deform ation of partly saturated soils In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Soil M echanics and Foundation Engineering, 3 pp. 43{46 (R io de Janeiro, 1989).
- [79] A W .B ishop, I.A lpan, G E.B light, and IB.D onald, Factors controlling the shear strength of partly saturated cohesive soils, In A SCE Research C on ference on the Shear Strength of C ohesive Soils, pp. 503{532 (University of C olorado, B oulder, 1960).
- [80] D.Y.F. Ho and D.G. Fredlund, increase in shear strength due to suction for two Hong Kong soils, In Proceedings of the ASCE G extechnical Conference Engineering and Construction in Tropical and Residual Soils (Honolulu, HI, 1982).
- [81] J.K rahn, D.G.Fredlund, and M.J.K lassen, E ect of soil suction on slope stability at notch hill, Can.G eotech.J.26 269 (1989).
- [82] J.K. M. Gan, D.G. Fredlund, and H. Rahardjo. Determ ination of shear strength parameters of an unsaturated soil using the direct shear test, Can. Geotech. J. 25 500 (1988).
- [83] S.K. Vanapalli, D.G. Fredlund, D.E. Pufahl, and A.W. Clifton, Model for the prediction of shear strength with respect to soil suction, Can. Geotech. J. 33 379 (1996).
- [84] P.Tegzes, T.V icsek, and P.Schi er, D evelopm ent of correlations in the dynam ics of wet granular avalanches, Phys. Rev. E 67 051303 (2003).

- [85] J. Rajchenbach, Flow in powders: From discrete avalanches to continuous regime, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 2221 (1990).
- [86] J.Rajchenbach.Dynamics of grain avalanches, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88 014301 (2002).
- [87] D. Bonamy, F. Daviaud, L. Laurent M. Bonetti, and J.P. Bouchaud, Multiscale clustering in granular surface ows, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 034301 (2002).
- [88] J.Rajchenbach, Granular ows, Adv. Phys. 49 229 (2000).
- [89] V.G. Benza, F. Nori, and O. Pla, Mean- eld theory of samdpile avalanches: From the interm ittent-to the continuous- ow regime, Phys. Rev. E 48 4095 (1993).
- [90] G H.R istow, Dynam ics of granular materials in a rotating drum, Europhys.Lett. 34 263 (1996).
- [91] D. V. Khakhar, J.J. McCarthy, T. Shinbrot, and J.M. Ottino, Transverse ow and mixing of granular materials in a rotating cylinder, Phys. Fluids 9 31 (1997).
- [92] G. Baum ann, J.M. Janosi, and D.E. Wolf, Surface-properties and ow of granular material in a 2-dim ensional rotating-drum model, Phys. Rev. E 51 1879 (1995).
- [93] A. Castellanos, J.M. Valverde, A.T. Perez, A. Ramos, and P.K. Watson, Flow regimes in ne cohesive powders, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 1156 (1999).
- [94] P. Tegzes, T. V icsek, and P. Schi er, A valanche dynam ics in wet granular m aterials, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 094301 (2002).
- [95] T. Poschel and S. Luding, editors, Granular Gases, volume 564 of Lecture Notes in Physics. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001).
- [96] A.Kudrolli, Size separation in vibrated granular matter, Rep. Prog. Phys. 67 209 (2004).
- [97] G.H.Ristow, Pattern Formation in Granular Materials (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000).
- [98] E.C lem ent, J.D uran, and J.R a jchenbach, Experim ental study of heaping in a two-dim ensional \sandpile" Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 1189 (1992).
- [99] J. B. Knight, H. M. Jaeger, and S. R. Nagel, Vibration-induced size separation in granular media: The convection connection, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 3782 (1993).
- [100] S.Renard, T.Schwager, T.Poschel, and C.Saluera.Vertically shaken column of spheres.onset of uidization, Eur. Phys. J.E 4 233 (2001).
- [101] T. Poschel, T. Schwager, and C. Saluera, Onset of uidization in vertically shaken granular material, Phys. Rev. E 62 1361 (2000).
- [102] W . Losert, D G W . Cooper, and J.P.Gollub, Propagating front in an excited granular layer, Phys. Rev. E 59 5855 (1999).
- [103] F. Meb, P.B. Umbanhowar, and H.L. Swinney, Hexagons, kinks, and disorder in oscillated granular layers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 3838 (1995).
- [104] G. D'Anna, P. Mayor, A. Barrat, V. Loreto, and F. Nori, Observing Brownian motion in vibration- uidized granular media, Nature 424 909 (2003).
- [105] P.B. Um banhowar, F. M elo, and H.L. Swinney, Localized excitations in a vertically vibrated granular layer, N ature 382 793 (1996).
- [106] H.K. Pak and R.P. Behringer, Bubbling in vertically vibrated granular-materials, Nature 371 231 (1994).
- [107] C. Laroche, S.D ouady, and S. Fauve, Convective ow of granular m asses under vertical vibrations, J. Physique 50 699 (1989).
- [108] G.D'Anna and G.Grem aud, Vibration-induced jamming transition in granular media, Phys. Rev.E 64 011306 (2001).
- [109] M. Schell, D. G erom ichalos, and S. H erm inghaus, W et granular m atter under vertical agitation, J. Phys.: C ondens. M atter 16 S4213 (2004).
- [110] M E.M obius, B E.Lauderdale, S R. Nagel, and H M. Jaeger, Brazil-nut e ect-size separation of granular particles, Nature 414 270 (2001).
- [111] D.C. Hong, P.V. Quinn, and S. Luding, Reverse Brazil nut problem : Competition between percolation and condensation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 3423 (2001).
- [112] JM . Ottino and D.V. Khakhar, M ixing and segregation of granular materials, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 32 55 (2000).
- [113] A.Sam adani and A.K udrolli, Segregation transitions in wet granular m atter, Phys.Rev.Lett. 85 5102 (2000).
- [114] A. Sam adani and A. Kudrolli, Angle of repose and segregation in cohesive granular matter, Phys. Rev. E 64 051301 (2001).
- [115] O. Pitois, P. M oucheront, and X. Chateau, Liquid bridge between two moving spheres: An experimental study of viscosity e ects J. Colloid Interface Sci. 231 26 (2000).
- [116] O. Pitois, P.M oucheront, and X.Chateau, Rupture energy of a pendular liquid bridge, Euro. phys. J.B 23 79 (2001).
- [117] D. Gerom ichalos, M. M. Kohonen, F. Mugele, and S. Herm inghaus, Mixing and condensation

in a wet granular medium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 168702 (2003).

- [118] A D. Salm an and M J. Hounslow, editors. Special Issue: 1st Int. W orkshop on G ranulation, Powder Technol. 140 pp.155{296 (2004).
- [119] J.S. Reed, Principles of Ceram ics Processing (John W iley & Sons, New York, 2nd edition, 1995).
- [120] H.Van Damme, S.Mansoutre, P.Colombet, C.Lesa re, and D.Picart, Pastes: lubricated and cohesive granular media, C.R.Physique 3 229 (2002).
- [121] R M . Iverson, The physics of debris ows, Rev. Geophys. 35 245 (1997).
- [122] R.D. ikau, D.B. runsden, L.Schrott, and M.-L.Ibsen, Landslide Recognition (Wiley, Chichester, 1996).
- [123] P.Coussot, Mud ow Rheology and Dynamics (Balkema, Rotterdam, 1997).
- [124] K. Ishihara, Liquefaction and ow failure during earthquakes, Geotechnique 43 351 (1993).
- [125] A J.Liu and S.R.Nagel, Jamming is not just cool any more, Nature 396 21 (1998).
- [126] A.J. Liu and S.R. Nagel, editors, Jamming and Rheology: Constrained Dynamics on Microscopic and Macroscopic Scales (Taylor & Francis, London, 2001).
- [127] C.S.O'Hem, SA.Langer, A.J.Liu, and S.R.Nagel, Random packings of frictionless particles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 075507 (2002).
- [128] C.S.O'Hern, L.E. Silbert, A.J. Liu, and S.R. Nagel, Jam m ing at zero temperature and zero applied stress: The epitom e of disorder Phys. Rev. E 68 011306 (2003).
- [129] V. Trappe, V. Prasad, L. C ipelletti, P N. Segre, and D A. W eitz, Jamming phase diagram for attractive particles, Nature 411 772 (2001).
- [130] JM .Valverde, M A S.Quintanilla, and A.Castellanos, Jamming threshold of dry ne powders, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 258303 (2004).
- [131] M E.Cates, M D.Haw, and C B.Holmes, Dilatancy, jamming, and the physics of granulation, J.Phys.: Condens. M atter 17 S2517 (2004).
- [132] P.R ichard, M.N icodem i, R.D elannay, P.R ibiere, and D.B ideau, Slow relaxation and compaction of granular systems, Nature Materials 4 121 (2005).
- [133] SF.Edwards, The role of entropy in the speci cation of a powder, in Granular Matter: An Interdiciplinary Approach, pp. 121{140 (Springer, New York, 1994).
- [134] J.J.Brey, A.Padros, and B.Sanchez-Rey, Therm odynam ics description in a simple model for granular compaction, Physica A 275 310 (2000).
- [135] G. Tarjus and P. V iot, Statistical m echanical description of the parking bt m odel for vibrated granular m aterials, Phys. Rev. E 69 011307 (2004).
- [136] M. Nicodem i, Dynam ical response functions in models of vibrated granular media, Phys. Rev. E 82 3734 (1999).
- [137] A.Coniglio and M.Nicodem i, A statistical mechanics approach to the inherent states of granular media, Physica A 296 451 (2001).
- [138] H A .M akse and J.K urchan, Testing the therm odynam ic approach to granular matter with a num ericalm odel of a decisive experiment, Nature 415 614 (2002).
- [139] A.Fierro, M.Nicodem i, and A.Coniglio, Therm odynamics and statisticalm echanics of frozen systems in inherent states, Phys. Rev. E 66 061301 (2002).
- [140] M. Nicodem i, A. Fierro, and A. Coniglio, Segregation in hard spheres mixtures under gravity. an extension of edwards approach with two therm odynam ics param eters, Europhys. Lett. 60 684 (2002).
- [141] R R. Hartley and R P. Behringer, Logarithm ic rate dependence of force networks in sheared granular materials, Nature 421 928 (2003).
- [142] I.A Ibert, P. Tegzes, B.K ahng, R.A Ibert, J.G. Sam ple, M.P feifer, A.-L.Barabasi, T.V icsek, and P.Schi er, Jamming and uctuations in granular drag, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 5122 (2000).
- [143] C.-h.Liu, S.R.Nagel, D.A.Schecter, S.N.Coppersm ith, S.M. a jum dar, O.Narayan, and T.A. Witten, Force uctuations in bead packs, Science 269 513 (1995).
- [144] D. Howell, R. P. Behringer, and C. Veje, Stress uctuations in a 2d granular Couette experiment: A continuous transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 5241 (1999).
- [145] B.M iller, C.O'H em, and R.P.Behringer, Stress uctuations for continuously sheared granular m aterials, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 3110 (1996).
- [146] S.N. Coppersm ith, C.-h. Liu, S. M ajum dar, O. Narayan, and T. A. W itten, M odel for force uctuations in bead packs, Phys. Rev. E 53 4673 (1996).
- [147] D L.Blair, N W .M ueggenburg, A H.M arshall, H M .Jaeger, and S R.N agel, Force distributions in three-dimensional granular assemblies: E ects of packing order and interparticle friction, Phys. Rev. E 63 041304 (2001).
- [148] H. Xu, M.Y. Louge, and A. Reeves, Solutions of the kinetic theory for bounded collisional

granular ows, Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. 15 321 (2003).

- [149] D M . M ueth, G F. Debregeas, G.S. Karczmar, P.J. Eng, S.R. Nagel, and H.M. Jaeger, Signatures of granular microstructure in dense shear ows, Nature 406 385 (2000).
- [150] S.Nasuno, A.Kudrolli, and J.P.G ollub, Friction in granular layers: Hysteresis and precursors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 949 (1997).
- [151] T. Poschel, Granular material owing down an inclined chute a molecular-dynamics simulation, J. Physique II 3 27 (1993).
- [152] N. M itarai, H. Hayakawa, and H. Nakanishi, Collisional granular ow as a micropolar uid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 174301 (2002).
- [153] N. M itarai and H. Nakanishi, Hard-sphere lim it of soft-sphere model for granular materials: Sti ness dependence of steady granular ow, Phys. Rev. E 67 021301 (2003).
- [154] N.M itarai and H.N akanishi, Linear stability analysis of rapid granular ow down a slope and density wave formation, J.F luid Mech. 507 309 (2004).
- [155] N.M itarai and H.N akanishi, Bagnold scaling, density plateau, and kinetic theory analysis of dense granular ow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 128001 (2005).
- [156] O. Pouliquen, Scaling laws in granular ows down rough inclined planes, Phys. Fluids 11 542 (1999).
- [157] A.D aerr and S.D ouady, Two types of avalanche behaviour in granular m edia, N ature 399 241 (1999).
- [158] S.B. Savage and K. Hutter, The motion of a nite mass of granular material down a rough incline, J. Fluid Mech. 199 177 (1989).
- [159] T.S. Kom atsu, S. Inagaki, N. Nakagawa, and S. Nasuno, Creep motion in a granular pile exhibiting steady surface ow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 1757 (2001).
- [160] T.Groger, U.Tuzun, and D.M.Heyes, Modelling and measuring of cohesion in wet granular materials, Powder Technol. 133 203 (2003).
- [161] M. Schulz, B.M. Schulz, and S. Herminghaus, Shear-induced solid- uid transition in a wet granular medium, Phys. Rev. E 67 052301 (2003).
- [162] G. Lian, C. Thorntont, and M. J. Adam s, D iscrete particle simulation of agglom erate in pact coalescence, Chem. Eng. Sci. 58 3381 (1998).
- [163] M. Hakuno and K. Meguro, Simulation of concrete-frame collapse due to dynamic loading, J. Eng. Mech.-ASCE 119 1709 (1993).
- [164] P A. Cundall and O D L. Strack, D iscrete num erical model for granular assemblies, G eotechnique 29 47 (1979).
- [165] M. Fukue, M echanical performance of snow under bading (Tokai University Press, Tokyo, 1979).
- [166] F. N icor, Constitutive modelling of snow as a cohesive-granular material, Gran. M att. 6 47 (2004).
- [167] P.Bartelt and B.Othmar, Avalanche physics ploughs ahead, Physics W orld 14 25 (2001).
- [168] G. Seligm an Snow structure and ski elds (M acM illian and co., London, 1936).
- [169] L.H. Shapiro, J.B. Johnson, M. Sturm, and G.L.B laisdell, Snow mechanics: Review of the state of know ledge and applications (C old Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Report, No. 97-3, 1997).