Spin-lattice interaction in the quasi one-dimensional helim agnet LiC u_2O_2

Laszlo M ihaly, 1,2 Balazs Dora, 2 Andras V anyolos, 2 Helm uth Berger, 3 and Laszlo Forro³

¹D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, Stony B rook University, Stony B rook, NY 11794-3800, USA

²Electron Transport Research G roup of the Hungarian A cadem y of Science and D epartment of Physics,

Budapest University of Technology and Economics, 1111 Budapest, Hungary

³EPFL, Lausanne, CH-1015 Switzerland

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

The eld dependence of the electron spin resonance in a helim agnet, $LiCu_2O_2$, was investigated for the rst time. In the param agnetic state a broad resonance line was observed corresponding to a g-factor of 2.3. In the critical regim e around the param agnetic to helim agnetic phase transition the resonance broadens and shifts to higher frequencies. A narrow signal is recovered at low tem perature, corresponding to a spin gap of 1.5 m eV in zero eld. A com prehensive m odel of the m agnons is presented, using exchange param eters from neutron scattering (T.M asuda et al. Phys. Rev. B 72 014405), and the spin anisotropy determ ined here. The role of the quantum uctuations is discussed.

PACS num bers: 75.30 D s, 75.25.+ z, 75.50.-y

G round state solutions of the antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg m odel range from the N eel state to valence bond solids and resonating valence bonds, depending on the interactions, the dimensionality and connectivity of the system and other factors.^{1,2} W hen the ground state has long range Neel order, the excitation spectrum is gapless at q = 0. This follows from the rotational invariance of the Ham iltonian: the creation of long wavelength excitations, where the relative angle of the neighboring spins changes only by an in nitesim alam ount, costs very little energy. Gapless excitations, on the other hand, cause strong quantum uctuations, suppressing or destroying the Neelstate.³ The spin-orbit coupling leads to new terms in the Ham iltonian, including the single ion anisotropy, the exchange anisotropy, the D zyaloshinskii-M oriya coupling and others. The coupling between the direction of the spins and the lattice rem oves the rotational invariance in m any realm aterials, creates a gap in the spin wave spectrum, and contributes to the stability of the quasi-classical ground state.

In this work we investigated the magnetic excitations in a quasione-dimensional helim agnet, LiCu₂O₂, in eds of 0 T-14 T and at temperatures 2.5 K-60 K. The main result is that in the ground state two of the three magnon branches are gapped with = 11.8 cm ¹=1.5 m eV. 0 ur calculations reveal that this gap is due to a spin-lattice coupling of D = 0.079 m eV. We show that the proper treatment of the helical order of the spins explains the order-ofm agnitude di erence between the coupling param eter and the gap, enabling this weak coupling to reduce the quantum uctuations signi cantly.

LiCu₂O₂ crystallizes in an orthorhom bic structure with the space group Pnm a and lattice constants a = 5:730 A, b = 2:86 A, c = $12:417 \text{ A}^4$. The Cu²⁺ ions carry magnetic moments with spin 1/2, and they form quasi one-dimensional chains with a zig-zag "ladders" along the b direction (Fig. 1). The material has two characteristics relevant to frustrated quantum magnets: the exchange interaction goes beyond the rst neighbors, and the structure has a triangular motif susceptible to



FIG.1: (Color online.) Crystal structure of LiCu₂O₂, based on Ref. 4. The magnetic Cu²⁺ ions are dark blue, the non-magnetic copper is green, the lithium is light blue and the oxygen is red. The dark blue bonds emphasize the triangular spin ladder.

frustration.

Quantum e ects and uctuations are expected to be important since the spins are 1/2 and the system is quasi. one-dimensional. Indeed, in an early work Zvyagin et al. interpreted the broadening and disappearance of the ESR signal in term s of a "dim er liquid" state below the 24 K phase transition⁵. However, instead of a quantum liquid state, NMR, SR and neutron scattering results revealed a quasi-classical helical spin order along the chains, with a wavevector Q incom mensurate to the lattice 6,8,9 . All spins were found to be parallel to the a b plane. In the q = Q region the "acoustic" magnon branches were observed, but the energy resolution was not su ciently high to exclude or m easure a possible gap¹⁰. ESR has the resolution to address this question, and the application of the external static magnetic eld can be used to explore the spin dynam ics further. Note that in ESR the m agnetic excitation is at q = 0, but in the presence of a m agnetic superlattice it couples to the modes at q = Q



FIG.2: Temperature dependence of the ESR signal at 12T m agnetic eld. The measured transmissions, relative to the transmission in zero eld, 25K, are shown.Colors indicate the temperature. In the lower panel the same data is assembled into a two-dimensional intensity map.

aswell.

The m easurements were performed on an oriented single crystal sample of dimensions 3 mm = 6 mm = 0.5 mm. A coording to the Laue di ractogram, the c axis is along the shortest dimension of the sample; the a and b directions are parallel to the edges of the slab. There is a twinning in the a b plane, as typical of most LiCu₂O₂ sam ples. Spin resonance was detected in the transmission of the far infrared light, measured at Stony Brook University's high magnetic eld/infrared facility at the U12 IR beam line of the N ational Synchrotron Light Source. The light propagated parallel to the static magnetic eld, and passed through the sam ple along c direction. The polarization of the incident light was controlled and set to several directions within the a b plane.

Figure 2 shows the tem perature dependence of the spin resonance at 12 T eld. The raw transmission curves were normalized to the transmission of the sample in 0 T at 25 K, when no spin resonant absorption is expected. The oscillations seen in the baseline of the frequency dependence are residuals of the interference fringes seen in the raw spectra. These fringes are common and well understood for samples of plane-parallel geom etry.

At high temperatures a broad resonance at the "free spin" frequency of \sim ! = g_BH with a g factor of

g = 2:3 is observed. The ESR line starts to broaden below 30 K; all of this is in agreem ent with earlier ESR investigations^{5,11,12}. In the critical regime around 22 K – 24 K the ESR line is about 3 T-4 T broad (full width at half m axim um), and it shifts to higher frequency. Below 15 K a narrow er signal is recovered at a m arkedly higher frequency. This signal, characteristic to the developm ent of a spin gap in the ordered phase, has not been seen in earlier spin resonance works^{5,11,12}, due to lim itations in accessible elds and frequencies in those studies. Measurements at several other elds (8 T,10 T and 14 T), yielded results qualitatively sim ilar to the behavior presented in Fig. 2, and will be published elsewhere. Choi et al. suggested a transition from helim agnetic to Neel $order^{13}$ at T = 9 K, but there is no indication of a change in the ESR signal in that range.

Zvyagin and co-workers measured ESR in the param agnetic state at 277 GHz $(9.2 \text{ cm}^{-1})^5$. They observed the broadening and disappearance of the ESR signal, interpreting it in terms of the formation of a spin singlet liquid state above the ordering tem perature. In fact, as our data shows, the integrated intensity of the signal remains approximately constant as we cross the phase transition tem perature. Vorotinov et al. observed an "antiferrom agnetic resonance" (AFMR) signal at 30 GHz $(1 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{11,12}$ in zero eld, with an unexpected and unexplained m agnetic eld dependence and anisotropy. There is no information about the intensity of this signal relative to the ESR in the param agnetic state. However, since nearly all of the spectral weight of the param agnetic resonance remains in the high eld/frequency range studied here, the AFMR signal at 1 cm ¹ must be relatively weak, and m ay be related to low energy processes that are secondary to the physics of this m aterial.

Figure 3 shows the eld dependence of the spin resonance at low tem peratures. The three data sets in the upper panel correspond to the di erent polarization states of the incident light. Each set of curves were obtained by dividing the measured spectrum with a reference spectrum recorded at a temperature above the phase transition in zero external eld. The resonance lineshapes, frequencies and the intensities are sim ilar for all three polarization states. The most striking feature is the dram atic increase of the resonant absorption at higher magnetic elds.

To extract the eld dependence of the resonant frequency we took the average of the three sets of data, and assembled an intensity map (Fig. 4). In terms of simple, two-sublattice antiferrom agnets both the intensity and the eld dependence are surprising. The intensity of the antiferrom agnetic resonance should have a very weak eld dependence¹⁴ only. More importantly, the typical eld dependence of the resonance frequency in antiferrom agnets (! / H² + H²₀) can not be tted to the data without residual system atic deviations.

The model used for the magnon spectrum¹⁰ was adopted to evaluate the results presented in Fig. 3. W e extended it to nite magnetic elds perpendicular to the plane of the spins, and added the term s responsible for the spin gap. The H am iltonian is:

$$H = \sum_{i;j}^{X} J_{1}S_{i;j}S_{i+1;j} + J_{2}S_{i;j}S_{i+2;j} + J_{4}S_{i;j}S_{i+4;j} + J_{2}S_{i;j}S_{i;j+1} - g_{B}HS_{i;j}^{Y} + H^{0}; (1)$$

where

$$H^{0} = D_{s} (S_{i;j}^{y})^{2}$$
 or $H^{0} = D_{ex} S_{i;j}^{y} S_{i+1;j}^{y}$ (2)

The indices i and j run along and perpendicular to the double chains, respectively. J_1 is the coupling between along the diagonal "rungs" of the ladder, J_2 and J_4 are the nearest neighbor and the second neighbor couplings along the chain, and J_2 is the interchain coupling. The "easy plane" is represented either by the single ion anisotropy of $D_s > 0$, or by the exchange anisotropy of $D_{ex} < 0$ (the negative D_{ex} is required because the orientation of the nearest neighbor spins has an antiferromagnetic character). Following conventions established in the literature^{16,17}, the reference frame for the spin components is selected so that the magnetic eld H is applied along the y directions and in zero eld the spins are in the x z plane (this makes the crystallographic c direction parallel to the y axis). In nite magnetic eld the spin directions are on a cone whose axis is parallel to у.

The ground state and the spin wave excitations of this model were found with the methods described in Refs. 10,16,17. Following Masuda et al.¹⁰ we used $J_1 = 6.4 \text{ meV}$, $J_2 = 11.9 \text{ meV}$, $J_4 = 7.6 \text{ meV}$ and $J_2 = 1.8 \text{ meV}$, and in zero eld and with no anisotropy we reproduced the ts to the published spin wave spectrum. A fler carefully tracing the factor 2's, we believe that the coupling constants published in the preprint version of Ref. 10 were correct.

In general, a helical spin arrangem ent possesses 3 G oldstone m odes, one at ! (0) corresponding to the free rotation of the ordered m om ents within the helical plane, and two degenerate ones at ! (Q) associated with the tilting of the plane of the helix^{17,18}. The "easy plane" anisotropy generates a nite spin gap at Q, where Q = (=a; =b) is the ordering wave vector with = 5.2rad (the angle between subsequent spins on the same leg of the ladder). In term s of the Fourier transform of the exchange interaction, J (q) = 2 (J₁ cos(bg_b=2) + J₂ cos(bg_b) + J₄ cos(2bg_b) + J₂ cos(ag_b)), the gap is

$$= 2S \frac{p}{J^0 D_s} \quad \text{or} \quad = 2S \frac{p}{J^0 \cos(-2) D_{ex}} \quad (3)$$

for single ion or exchange anisotropy, respectively. The e ective exchange coupling $J^{\,0}$ is de ned as

$$J^{0} = \frac{J(2Q) + J(0)}{4} \frac{J(Q)}{2}$$
(4)

The application of external magnetic eld lifts the degeneracy of the Q points, resulting in distinct spin gaps



FIG. 3: Field dependence of the transm ission at 2.5K. The three sets of curves represent the transm ission relative to the transm ission at high temperature and zero eld. Top: partially polarized light, relative to 25K. M iddle: light polarized parallel to the long axis of the sample, relative to 20K. B ottom : polarization along the short axis, relative to 25K.



FIG.4: Upper panel: Intensity m ap based on the average of the three datasets shown in Fig. 3. Lower panel: Intensity m ap generated from the calculated frequencies and susceptibilities, based on the m odel described in the text. The lines represent the resonance frequency corresponding to the two branches, also plotted in the upper panel.

and di erent spin susceptibilities (Fig. 4). The continuous lines in the Figure represent the center-frequencies of the two branches generated by the application of the external eld. The strength of the resonance line was set according to the calculated spin susceptibility, and lifetim e e ects were simulated by Lorentzian lineshapes of 0.1m eV relaxation rate, independent of eld. On the lower branch, the strong absorption at high elds gradually decreases as the eld is lowered, due to the eld dependence of the susceptibility. The susceptibility belonging to the upper branch is so sm all that the the corresponding resonance signal is below the noise level.

In order to obtain the near-perfect agreem ent with the experiment we adjusted two parameters: the magnitude of the anisotropy, $D_s = D_{ex} \cos(-2) = 0.079$, was set to reproduce the zero-eld gap, and the g-factor was q = 1.85. Instead of using a single ion anisotropy in Eq. (1), one m ay use exchange an isotropy or D zyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, with very similar results¹⁵. The microscopic origin of all of these interactions is the spin orbit coupling, but ab-initio calculations are di cult. M oriya¹⁹ estim ated the anisotropic component of the ex- $(q=g)^2 J$, where change interaction in the order of D g is the g-factor shift in the param agnetic state and q=q is a measure of the spin orbit coupling. A spinlattice coupling in the range of D 100 V is therefore consistent with the g-factor ranging between 1.95 and 2.3 in the param agnetic state.^{11,12} The energy gap scales linearly with the spin orbit and exchange couplings, (g=g)J.

Q uantum uctuations suppress the sublattice magnetic order by the amount of $S = \begin{bmatrix} g(q) \\ 1(q) \end{bmatrix} d^{D} q$ (here D is the dimensionality of the lattice, ! (q) is the magnon excitation energy at wavevector q, and g(q) is expressed in term s of J(q)).^{3,17}. W ith no spin-lattice coupling we obtained S = 0.150, a 30% drop relative to spin S = 1=2, which is to be contrasted with S = 0.197 for a two dimensional antiferrom agnet. In the presence of the easy plane term the corresponding value is S = 0.125. The coupling to the lattice makes the quasi-classical state m ore stable. Further reduction of S is seen in the presence of static magnetic eld. Further evidence for

reduced sublattice magnetization follows from g-factor determ ined in the t. The macroscopic energy scale of the interaction with the magnetic eld is determ ined by the product g $_{\rm B}$ H S_{eff}. In our treatment the quantum e ects were neglected, and instead of a reduced S the quantum correction appears as a reduction of g.

In conclusion, we established that the spin wave spectrum of LiC u_2O_2 has a gap of 1.5m eV, and interpreted the experiments in terms of a model including an easy plane anisotropy. This term in the H am iltonian accounts for the experimentally observed spin direction in the crystallographic a b plane, and it also contributes to the stability of the quasi-classical ground state. There are intriguing possibilities for similar measurements on helim agnets with external eld applied in the plane of the spin rotation. The theoretical methods applied in this work can not be readily adapted to this new con guration. It is known that the static spin order turns into a a soliton lattice,^{21,22} but calculations for the ESR excitations have not been perform ed yet.

W e are indebted to Jessica T hom as for the X -ray work, G L.Carr for developing the IR facilities at U12IR, to K. Holczer for continued discussions and support, and to A. Janossy for consultations on the technical and theoretical aspects of ESR.LM. feels honored to receive the Szent-Gyorgyi fellow ship from the Hungarian Ministry of Education. B.D. was supported by the M aqyary Zoltan postdoctoral program of Magyary Zoltan Foundation for Higher Education (MZFK). Financial support of the Hungarian Research Founds OTKA TS049881 is acknow ledged. The work in Lausanne was supported by the SwissNSF, and itsNCCR "MaNEP".Use of the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, 0 ce of Science, 0 ce of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886.

- ¹ For a historical introduction, see : C laire Lhuillier, condm at/0502464 v1 18 Feb 2005
- ² P. Lem m ens, G. G untherodt, C. G ros, Physics Reports 375 1-103 (2003)
- ³ P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 86, 694 (1952); R.Kubo, Phys. Rev. 87, 568 (1952).
- ⁴ R.Berger, P.O.nnerud, R.Tellgren, J.Alloys and Compounds, 184, 315-322 (1992)
- ⁵ S.Zvyagin et al, Phys.Rev.B 66, 064424 (2002)
- ⁶ A.A.G ippius et al, Phys. Rev. B 70, 020406 (R) (2004)
- ⁷ S.-L.D rechsler et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., 94, 039705 (2005); Reply: T. Masuda et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 039706 (2005)
- ⁸ B.Roessliet al., Physica B 296, 306-311 (2001)
- ⁹ T.Masuda et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., 92, 177201 (2004)
- ¹⁰ T.M asuda et al., Phys. Rev. B 72, 014405 (2005), see also cond-m at/0412625
- ¹¹ A.M. Vorotynov et al., JETP 86, 1020 (1998); Zh.Eksp. Teor.Fiz.113, 1866–1876 (1998)
- $^{\rm 12}$ A .M .Vorotynov et al., J.M agn.M agn.M at.188,233–236

(1998)

- ¹³ K.-Y.Choi, S.A.Zvyagin, G.Cao, P.Lem m ens, Phys. Rev. B 69, 104421 (2004)
- ¹⁴ D. Talbayev, L. M ihaly, J. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Letters, 93 017202, (2004)
- $^{\rm 15}\,$ L . M ihaly et al., to be published
- ¹⁶ T. Nagiyama, Solid State Physics, edited by F. Seitz, D. Turnbull and H. Ehrenreich (A cademic, New York, 1967).
- ¹⁷ T. Ohyama, H. Shiba, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 63, 3454 (1994).
- ¹⁸ M.Y.Veillette, A.J.A.Jam es, F.H.L.Essler, Phys.Rev. B, 72, 134429 (2005).
- ¹⁹ T.Moriya, Phys. Rev. 120 91, (1960).
- ²⁰ E.A. Turov, Physical Properties of M agnetically O rdered C rystals (A cadem ic, 1964)
- ²¹ A.E. Jacobs, T.Nikuni, H. Shiba, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 62, 4066 (1993).
- ²² A.Zheludev et al, Phys. Rev. B, 57, 2968 (1998).