Interface free energies in p-spin glass models

M.A.Moore

School of Physics and A stronom y, University of M anchester, M anchester M 13 9PL, UK

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

The replica method has been used to calculate the interface free energy associated with the change from periodic to anti-periodic boundary conditions in nite-dimensional p-spin glass models in the phase which at mean-eld level has one-step replica symmetry breaking (IRSB). In any nite dimension the interface free energy is exponentially small for a large system. This result implies that in nite dimensions, the IRSB state does not exist, as it is destroyed by thermal excitation of arbitrarily large droplets. The implications of this for the theory of structural glasses are discussed.

PACS num bers: 64.70 Pf, 75.10 Nr, 75.50 Lk

The use of p-spin glass models as models for understanding structural glasses was pioneered in the work of Kirkpatrick, Thirum alai and Wolynes [1]. They found that for the in nite-range version of these models, for which mean-eld theory is exact, that there were two im portant tem peratures. At a tem perature T_d there exists a dynamical transition below which an ergodicity transition occurs. The dynam ical equations which arise are very sim ilar to those of the mode-coupling theory of liquids [2]. At a lower tem perature T_c there is a st-order (discontinuous) transition to a phase with a 1R SB order parameter. As T $\, ! \,$ T_c the entropy goes to zero, so this transition is identi ed with the Kauzmann glass transition T_K [3], the tem perature at which the extrapolated entropy of the supercooled liquid apparently falls to that of the crystalline phase.

It has been realized for m any years that outside the m ean- eld lim it there will be no genuine dynam ical transition at T_d . This is because the m etastable states which trap the system dynam ically are unstable because of activation processes over the nite free energy barriers which exist outside the m ean- eld lim it. However, the apparent divergence of the viscosity in fragile glasses at som e non-zero tem perature, such as that in the Vogel-Fulcher form ula [4]

$$\log = \log_0 + \frac{1}{T - T_{VF}}; \quad (1)$$

has encouraged the belief that there m ight be a realtherm odynam ic glass transition near $T_{\rm V\,F}$. The observation that the ratio $T_{\rm K}$ = $T_{\rm V\,F}$ lies between 0.9–1.1 form any glass form ers whose $T_{\rm K}$ ranges from 50 K to 1000 K [5] is further evidence for this possibility. However, we have recently argued that there is no genuine glass transition [6] and that $T_{\rm K}$ and $T_{\rm V\,F}$ are just crossover temperatures'. The Ising spin glass in a eld seem s to provide a satisfactory analogy for supercooled liquids near $T_{\rm V\,F}$.

W e shall here strengthen these arguments by showing that the 1RSB state simply does not exist in the nite dimensional p-spin glass model! The reasons are similar to those why there is also no real dynamical transition T_d in nite dimensional systems. There is no transition

at T_c to a state with one-step replica symmetry breaking because that state is destabilized by thermal uctuations within it of droplets of arbitrarily large size which only cost a nite amount of free energy to produce. When p is even, the Hamiltonian is left unchanged by ipping the signs of all the spins, and the destablizing droplets are simple to identify: They are droplets of the timereversed state. Our basic task then is to calculate the interface free energy for droplets.

There have recently been other attempts to calculate interface free energies in p-spin models [7, 8]. Their results are very di erent to those reported here, essentially because they are studying a di erent interface free energy { that appropriate for non-equilibrium e ects below T_d. The interface free energy studied here is the one com m only studied in Ising spin glasses which involves changing the boundary conditions along one direction (the z direction) from periodic to anti-periodic [9]. That is, we $F_{P;AP}^{2}$ (here and in the following, the study F = overbarm eans averaging overbond con gurations) where $F_{P;AP} = F_{P}$ $F_{A\,P}$, and $F_{\,P}\;$ and $F_{\,A\,P}\;$ are the free energies with periodic and anti-periodic boundary conditions respectively. Anti-periodic boundary conditions can be realized by reversing the sign of the bonds crossing a plane whose norm al is parallel to the given direction. It will be shown below that $F_{P;AP} = 0$. If the system is of length L in the z direction, we nd that the interface free energy F decreases to zero as exp(L=2), provided \mathbf{L} is the correlation length in the 1RSB state. This state will therefore be destabilized by the therm al excitation of large droplets, since the free energy cost of creating them { their interface free energy { can be m ade arbitrarily sm all.

There exists simulational evidence as to the validity of the conclusion that the 1RSB state does not exist in the 10-state Potts spin glass [10]. In the in nite-range lim it when all the Potts spins are coupled by random interactions, mean-ekl theory becomes exact and is the same as that for the p-spin model. The simulations of it were consistent with the existence of both a dynamical transition T_d and a transition to a 1RSB state below

 $T_{\rm c}.$ However, in three dimensions using a Gaussian distribution of the couplings, there was no evidence of a dynam ical transition $T_{\rm d},$ nor of a transition to a 1RSB state.

The complete absence in three dimensions of the dynam ical behavior found at mean-eld level in the Potts glass is perhaps surprising given that in supercooled liquids there is ample evidence that mode-coupling works well. Furtherm one we have recently been able to derive the replicated form of the p-spin functional starting directly from the liquid state [6]. However, this derivation of the p-spin functional provides the answer to the puzzle: Its variables are quantities which are not directly related to the densities whose correlations functions are studied in mode-coupling theory. The derived replicated p-spin functional is useful though when studying whether there m ight be a genuine glass transition. It can be further transform ed to the replicated functional which describes an Ising spin glass in a eld [6, 11]. Then the issue of whether there is or is not a genuine therm odynam ic glass transition is the same as whether there is a transition of an Ising spin glass in a magnetic eld. But whether there is or is not a transition to a glass state, that state cannot be the 1R SB state as in the mean-eld p-spin glassm odel, since such a state does not exist in nite dimensions.

N um erical studies of p-spin m odels outside the m eaneld lim it [12] use H am iltonians which are of the following form, which is appropriate for the case p = 3 [12]. Each site is occupied by two Ising spins, i and i, and the H am iltonian is

$$H (f g; f g) = X J_{ij}^{(1)} i i j + J_{ij}^{(2)} i i j < ij>+ J_{ij}^{(3)} i j j + J_{ij}^{(4)} i j j ; (2)$$

where $\langle ij \rangle$ are nearest-neighbor pairs, and the couplings $J_{ij}^{(n)}$ are chosen independently from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and width J. Note that when the signs of all the spins are reversed, the sign of the H am iltonian changes, indicating the violation of time reversal symm etry. For models which have p even, such as that for p = 4 in Ref. [13], the H am iltonian does not change sign when all the spins are ipped.

Starting from a p-spin Ham iltonian such as that in Eq. (2) it is possible to obtain the generic' form of the replicated p-spin functional [8, 11, 14, 15] by averaging over the couplings:

$$H_{rep} = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} Z & Z \\ d^{d}x & 4\frac{t}{4} \\ , & q^{2} \\ , &$$

where q is a symmetric matrix with q = 0. The

coe cients $t;w_1;w_2$ and y are arbitrary positive param – eters. This generic functional has the same relationship to the nite dimensional p-spin glass models as (say) the Landau-G inzburg functional has to the Ising model. It represents a p-spin model with odd values of p as the cubic term with coe cient w_2 corresponds to a term which breaks time-reversal invariance. (Term s in which a replica index appears an odd number of times always break time-reversal invariance). The odd p models would seem to be the relevant ones for applications to structural glasses which have no identiable symmetries. The replica indices go from 1 to n, and q = 0.

In order to calculate interface free energies between systems which di er as to whether they have periodic or anti-periodic boundary conditions, we will follow the procedure used in R ef. [9]. This requires one to replicate the system with periodic boundary conditions n times and the system with anti-periodic boundary conditions m times, where as usual we will take n and m to zero at the end of the calculation.

Expanding the replicated partition function in powers of m and n, we have

$$\ln \overline{Z_{P}^{n} Z_{AP}^{m}} = (n + m) \overline{F}$$

$$\frac{(n + m)^{2}}{2} \frac{2 \overline{F^{2}}}{F^{2}} + \frac{nm}{2} \frac{2 \overline{F_{P}^{2}}}{F_{P,AP}^{2}} + ; \quad (4)$$

where $\overline{F^2} = \overline{F_p^2}$ $\overline{F_p^2} = \overline{F_{AP}^2}$ $\overline{F_{AP}^2}$ is the (m ean square) sample-to-sample uctuation of the free energy, the same for both sets of boundary conditions P or AP, and $\overline{F} = \overline{F_P} = \overline{F_{AP}}$. Thus $\overline{F_{P;AP}} = 0$. To nd the variance of the interface free energy, $\overline{F_{P;AP}^2}$, we expand out $\ln \overline{Z_P^n Z_{AP}^m}$ to second order in the numbers of replicas, n and m, separate out the pieces involving the total number of replicas n + m, and take the remaining piece, which is proportional to nm.

The replica indices in the generalization of Eq. (3) go ;; = 1;2; ;n;n + 1; ;n + m. The order param eter q divides naturally into blocks of size n and m. From now on, G reek indices will label the rst block, Rom an ones the second block, so, for example, q_i , m eans 2 [l;n] and i2 [n + 1;n + m], and refers to the respective entry in the o -diagonal, or m ixed, sector.

The boundary condition which results from the ipping the sign of of the bonds in the plane perpendicular to the z-axis is that qm ust be periodic in the G reek and R om an sectors, and anti-periodic in the m ixed sectors:

$$q_{ij}(z) = q_{ij}(z + L)$$

$$q_{ij}(z) = q_{ij}(z + L)$$

$$q_{i}(z) = q_{i}(z + L):$$
(5)

In the directions perpendicular to the z-axis, which we will take to be all of length M , the system is $\underline{\text{periodic}}$.

At m ean-eld level, the stable solution for $\ln {\mathbb Z}_p^n \operatorname{Z}_{A\,P}^m$ is

given by

$$\ln \overline{Z_p^n Z_{AP}^m} = H_{rep} f q^{SP} g; \qquad (6)$$

where q^{S^P} denotes the solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{d^{2}q}{dz^{2}} = tq \qquad X^{n} \qquad X^{n} \qquad X^{n}$$

$$= 1 \qquad i = 1$$

$$w_{2}q^{2} + yq^{3} = 3;$$

$$\frac{d^{2}q_{ij}}{dz^{2}} = tq_{ij} \qquad X^{n} \qquad Q_{ik}q_{kj} \qquad W_{1} \qquad Q_{i}q_{j}$$

$$= 1 \qquad X^{n} \qquad X^{n}$$

$$w_{2}q_{ij}^{2} + yq_{ij}^{3} = 3;$$

$$\frac{d^{2}q_{i}}{dz^{2}} = tq_{i} \qquad W_{1} \qquad q_{i}q \qquad W_{1} \qquad Q_{k}q_{ki}$$

$$= 1 \qquad K^{n} \qquad X^{n} \qquad X^{n}$$

$$w_{2}q_{ij}^{2} + yq_{ij}^{3} = 3;$$

$$\frac{d^{2}q_{i}}{dz^{2}} = tq_{i} \qquad W_{1} \qquad q_{i}q \qquad W_{1} \qquad q_{k}q_{ki}$$

$$= 1 \qquad K^{n} \qquad$$

Notice that there is a solution of these equations which satis es the boundary conditions in which the mixed overlaps $q_i = 0$ and where in the all G reek or all Romman sectors q and q_{ij} , the solutions are z independent. We believe that this is the physically relevant solution as it is stable. Thus at mean-eld level the free energy of the n + m replicated system with the boundary conditions breaks up into the sum of the free energies of the n and m replicated system s without boundary conditions, so that there can be no term of order nm in Eq. (4), so that the interface energy vanishes to this order.

In the high-tem perature phase the solution to the stationarity equations is $q = q_{ij} = q_i = 0$. A spatially constant 1RSB solution appears discontinuously at a tem perature $t_{\rm K} = 2w^2 = (3y)$ where to simplify the algebra the following notational changes have been m ade: $w_1 = 1, w_2 = 1 + w$ with w > 0. (For the specific model of Eq. (2) w is actually negative [15]). There is, for tem peratures t $t_{\rm K}$, a 1RSB solution in which q is zero everywhere except in n=m boxes of size m along the leading diagonal, where it takes the value $q_{\rm L}$. $q_{\rm ij}$ similarly is zero everywhere except in m=m boxes of size m . $q_i = 0$. For a review of 1RSB see Ref. [16]. Right at $t_{\rm K}$, on letting n;m go to zero, $q_{\rm I} = 2w = y$ and m = 1. For tem peratures $t < t_{\rm K}$, $q_{\rm I}$ increases and m decreases.

To get the leading non-vanishing contribution to the interface free energy we need to go to one-loop order. The

rst correction is due to G aussian uctuations around the stationary 1R SB solution:

$$\ln \overline{Z_{P}^{n} Z_{AP}^{m}} = H_{rep} fq^{SP} g + \frac{1}{2} X_{k}^{X} I(k^{2}); \qquad (8)$$

where

$$I(k^{2}) = {X \atop d \ln (k^{2} +)}$$
: (9)

3

K is a d-dimensional wave vector, are the eigenvalues of the Hessian, evaluated at the stationary point, and their degeneracies are d . The eigenvalues and degeneracies are the same as for a system of size n + m without boundary condition changes (because the stationary point is the same), only the nature of the k-vectors changes for the terms involving eigenvalues whose corresponding eigenvectors f are nonzero exclusively in the mixed sector (i.e. $f = f_{ij} = 0$): the wave vectors have to respect the imposed boundary conditions, which implies $\tilde{K} = (2n_1 = M; :::; 2n_{d-1} = M; (2n_d + 1) = L)$ (with $n_i \ge Z$) in the mixed sector as opposed to $\tilde{K} = (2n_1 = M; :::; 2n_{d-1} = L)$ in the Greek or Roman sectors.

W hile it is possible to compute the eigenvalues and their degeneracies d it is much easier to follow the procedures of Ref. [9, 17]. One computes $@I=@(k^2)$ rather than I itself. This helps because it is possible to express the terms in this derivative of order n^2 , m^2 and nm directly in terms of a single propagator of the mixed sector calculated for the system without boundary condition changes:

$$\frac{\partial I_{AP}}{\partial (k^2)} = nm G_{i; i}$$
(10)

where the prefactor nm re ects the number of eigenvectors in the mixed sector. The subscript AP indicates that its argument k must have values appropriate to the mixed sector. De ning the integral

$$J(k) \coloneqq d(k^2)G_{i;i}$$
(11)

and obtaining the constant of integration via Ref. [17] enables one to write the term s of order n^2 , m 2 and nm in I as

$$\frac{n^{2} + m^{2}}{2} J_{P} (k^{2}) + nm J_{AP} (k^{2})$$

$$= \frac{(n + m)^{2}}{2} J_{P} (k^{2}) + nm (J_{AP} (k^{2}) - J_{P} (k^{2})):$$

The subscripts P and AP on J mean that J must be taken as 0 when the argum ent is not of the required type, i.e. periodic or anti-periodic.

Comparison with Eq. (4) shows

$$\frac{2 - F_{P;AP}^{2}}{F_{P;AP}^{2}} = \frac{X - X}{AP} - J(k^{2}) =$$

$$X = \frac{X}{L} - \frac{X}{L^{2}} - \frac{(2r + 1)^{2}}{L^{2}} - J(t^{2} + \frac{(2r)^{2}}{L^{2}}) - J(t^{2}$$

where the subscripts on the sum s indicate the nature of the allowed k-vectors, as made explicit in the second part of the equation where the z component of the k-vector has been split \circ , leaving the d 1-dimensional wave

vector l. The sum over the z component can be extended to $\ 1$, introducing only exponentially small errors for large L .

The calculation of G_i, i is readily accomplished using the methods of Ref. [19]. Right at the transition t_K , the propagator is very simple because m = 1: G_i, i = $1=(k^2 + t)$, so $J(k^2) = ln(k^2 + t)$. We shall for simplicity work in the limit where the sums over the rst d directions can be converted to integrals (renaming the integration variable q). Then

$${}^{2}\overline{F_{P}^{2}}_{;AP} = M^{d-1} \frac{d^{d-1}q}{(2)^{d-1}} X^{d}$$
$$\ln (q^{2} + \frac{(2r+1)^{2-2}}{L^{2}} + t) \ln (q^{2} + \frac{(2r)^{2-2}}{L^{2}} + t) :$$

The sum over r can be done analytically when the righthand side becomes

$$2\frac{S_{d-1}}{(2)^{d-1}}M^{d-1}M^{d-1} dqq^{d-2} \ln \coth [p_{q^2} + tL=2]:$$

 S_d is the surface area of a d-dimensional unit sphere. In the limit when L , where = 1 = t is the correlation length, the integral is simple to evaluate:

$${}^{2}\overline{F_{P,AP}^{2}} = {}^{\frac{d+1}{2}} = {}^{\frac{d+1}{2}} = {}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} = {}^{\frac{S_{d-1}}{(2)^{d-1}}} \\ \frac{M}{L} = {}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} = \exp {}^{\frac{L}{2}} : (13)$$

This result is valid in the limits $M ext{L}$. By going back to Eq. (12), however, any desired aspect ratio can be studied for any value of $L = ext{.}$

W hile we have produced an explicit expression for the interface energy F at one particular tem perature, t_{K} , at which the system jumps into the 1RSB state, the same methods can be used at any temperature. The origin of the exponentially sm all free energy is the presence of positive non-zero eigenvalues in the Hessian matrix. The stationary point needs to be marginally stable in order to get interface free energies of the form F L,with > 0, which is what happens for the Ising spin glass [9]. In both the tem perature regions above and below t_{K} , the eigenvalues are positive so the interface free energy in p-spin m odels is at all tem peratures exponentially small. This conclusion will also be true of the 1FR SB state which exists for som e generalizations of the p-spin m odel, where the order param eter q(x) has both a jum p, characteristic of 1RSB, but in addition varies with x, like in full replica symmetry breaking. It has also only nonzero Hessian eigenvalues [18].

The methods used here can also be used to calculate the interface free energy appropriate to the dynam ical questions studied in Ref. [7, 8]. To locate the dynam ical transition one in poses the condition that the stationary point solution q^{SP} has a Hessian with a null eigenvalue [18]. This will guarantee that the associated interface free energy will not be exponentially sm all. The calculation is very sim ilar to that of Ref. [9] [20].

I should like to thank the Isaac N ew ton Insitute, C am – bridge, UK for hospitality during the writing of this paper and G. Biroli, J-P Bouchaud, Silvio Franz and other PDS W orkshop participants for stimulating discussions.

- [L] T.R.Kirkpatrick and P.G.W olynes, Phys.Rev.A 35, 3072 (1987); T.R.Kirkpatrick and D.Thirum alai, Phys. Rev.B 36, 5388 (1987); T.R.Kirkpatrick and P.G. W olynes, Phys.Rev.B 36, 8552 (1987).
- [2] W.Gotze and L.Sjogren Rep.Prog.Phys55,241 (1992);
 W.Gotze in Liquid, Freezing and the Glass Transition, edited by J.P.Hansen, D.Levesque and J.Zinn-Justin, (Elsevier, New York, 1991); J-P.Bouchaud, L.F. Cugliandolo, J.Kurchan and M.Mezard in Spin Glasses and Random Fields, edited by A.P.Young, (World Scientic, Singapore, 1997).
- [3] W .Kauzmann, Chem .Rev. 43, 219 (1948).
- [4] For recent papers see P.G.D ebenedetti and F.H.Stillinger, Nature 410, 267 (2001); M.A.EdigerAnnualRev. Phys.Chem. 51, 99 (2000).
- [5] C. A. Angell, Jour. Res. N IST, 102, 171 (1997); R. Richert and C. A. Angell, J. Chem. Phys. 108, 9016 (1998).
- [6] M.A.M oore and J.Yeo, cond-m at/0510375.
- [7] S.Franz, J.Stat. Mech. P04001 (2005); S.Franz, condm at/0507585.
- [8] M. Dzero, J. Schmalian and P. G. Wolynes, condmat/0502011.
- [9] T.A spelm eier, M.A.M oore and A.P.Young, Phys. Rev. Lett., 90, 127202 (2003).
- [10] C.Brangian, W.Kob and K.Binder, J.Phys.A 35, 191 (2002); J.Phys.A 36, 10847 (2003).
- [11] M .A .M oore and B .D rossel, P hys.R ev.Lett.89, 217202 (2002).
- [12] G. Parisi, M. Picco and F. Ritort, Phys. Rev. E 60, 58 (1999).
- [13] S.Franz and G.Parisi, Eur. Phys. J. B8, 417 (1999).
- [14] M.E.Ferrero, G.Parisi and P.Ranieri, J.Phys. A 29, L569 (1996).
- [15] M.A.Moore and J.Yeo, unpublished.
- [16] T.Castellani and A.Cavagna, cond-m at/0505032.
- [17] T. A spelm eier and M. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 177201 (2003).
- [18] A. Crisanti and L. Leuzzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 217203 (2004); cond-m at/0505748.
- [19] A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys., 12, 79 (1979).
- [20] M . A . M oore, unpublished.