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#### Abstract

The num erically exact path integral M onte C arlo approach for the real-tim e evolution of dissipative quantum system $s(P \mathbb{I M} C)$, particularly suited for system $s w$ ith discrete con guration space (tight-binding system $s$ ), is extended to treat spatially continuous and correlated many-body system s . This way, one has to consider generalized tight-binding lattices w ith either non-equidistant spacing or in higher dim ensions, which in tum allow $s$ to analyze to what extent $M$ arkovian $m$ aster equations can be applied beyond the usually studied spin-boson type of $m$ odels.


## I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

Q uantum B rownian $m$ otion is $m u c h ~ m o r e ~ i n v o l v e d ~$ than its classical analog since in generaltractable equations of $m$ otion do not exist [1]. P rogress can be $m$ ade in two lim iting ranges, nam ely, in the realm of weak dissipation and in the opposite one of strong friction. In the form er case a perturbative treatm ent has led to a variety of $M$ arkovian weak-coupling $m$ aster equations [2], am ong them the fam ous Lindblad [3] and the $R$ ed eld [4] equations. Successfiul applications inchude quantum optical system $s$, decoherence for solid-state based quantum bits and nonadiabatic dynam ics in m olecular system $s$, to nam e but a few. Strong friction has been explored only recently [5] w the a grow ing am ount of research since then [6,7]. T here, the quantum Sm oluchow skiequation, a sort of $M$ arkovian $m$ aster equation as well, allow s to investigate condensed phase dynam ics at lower tem peratures e.g. in soft $m$ atter and $m$ esoscopic system $s$ [8].

A form ally exact description of open quantum sys tem s valid for all tem peratures and dam ping strengths is provided by the path integral approach initiated by the work of Feynm an and Vemon [g] and developed in detail in the 1980s [1, 10, 11]. T he approach has been utilized in num erous applications especially in condensed phase system $s$, e.g. to reveal the non-exponential decay of low tem perature correlation functions, and has further been exploited to consistently derive the $M$ arkovian $m$ aster equations $m$ entioned above [5, 12]. A real challenge, how ever, has been to evaluate the form ally exact expression for the reduced density $m$ atrix in param eter regions w here analytical progress and pertunbative sim pli cations are prohibitive. W ith the increasing complexity of designed and controllable quantum system s on the nanoscale, e.g. forquantum inform ation processing or m olecular electronics, the issue ofe cient num ericalprocedures in the real-tim e dom ain becom es a very crucial one. Indeed, im portant achievem ents have been m ade in the last decade $w$ ith the developm ent of advanced path integral M onte-C arlo m ethods (P $\mathbb{I M} C$ ) [13], the quasiadiabatic propagator schem e (QUAP I) [14], stochastic Schrodinger equations [15], and basis set-m ethods [16].

In this context a certain class of system $s$ has been ex-
tensively studied, nam ely, system swith discrete con guration space, also coined tight-binding system s (TBSs). For these system squantum di usion takes place on a lattice, w here the sites are coupled by tunneling am plitudes, an im portant case being the restriction to nearest neighbor coupling. T he sim plest exam ple is the well-known spin booson model [17] w ith applications from condensed $m$ atter physics to electron transfer reactions. T he fundam ental role of TBSs follows from the divensity of realizations in physics and chem istry [1]. T ransport properties in general multistable system $s$ at su ciently low tem peratures can be described w ithin TBS m odels leading to relations to the K ondo problem and the Luttinger liquid m odel. Further, TBSs serve as archetypicalm odels to study quantum phase transitions in correlated system $s$, as e.g. for H ubbard type of m odels. Rem arkably, even a large class of continuous system $s$ can be $m$ apped exactly onto TBSs by m eans of duality transform ations [18]. B ased on the path integral representation exact non $-M$ arkovian $m$ aster equations for $T B S s$ (1] have been derived, which provide the starting point for perturbative treatm ents such as the non-interacting blip approxim ation ( $N$ BA) and reduce to $M$ arkovian ones even for m oderate dissipation and low tem peratures [19], i.e. far from the lim ting ranges addressed above.

T he P IM C approach is particularly suited to treat the dissipative real-tim e evolution of TBSs num erically exactly. W hile form er applications were restricted m ainly to tw o and three state m odels [20], recently, we substantially im proved the approach to apply to m ore com plex system s [21, 22], such as single charge transfer across long one-dim ensionalm olecular chains inchuding im purities and extemaldriving elds. M oreover, the sim ulation tim e range could be extended to cover basically all relevant tim e scales of the dissipative dynam ics. W e have been thus in a position to directly access the range of validity of $M$ arkovian $m$ aster equations for $T B S s, w h i c h$ in tum are extrem ely helpfulto reveal the relevant physical processes behind the num erical data. T he goal of this paper is now twofold: On the one hand we push the P IM C procedure even further and present rst results for the dissipative dynam ics of spatially continuous and of correlated $m$ anyłoody system $s$; on the other
hand, we give argum ents to what extent $M$ arkovian $m$ aster equations can be used in these $m$ ore involved situations. W e will see that this latter question will directly lead us to consider generalized tight-binding lattioes w ith non-equidistant spacing betw een sites or in higher dim ensions.

T he article is organized as follow s. W e start in Sec. II $w$ th a brief sum $m$ ary of the path integral representation for open quantum system sand continue in Sec.III to collect the m ain ideas of the P $\mathbb{I M} C$ schem e . Sec. $\mathbb{I V}$ deals $w$ th known results for non $M$ arkovian and $M$ arkovian $m$ aster equations for $T$ B Ss, the applicability of w hich for one-dim ensional chains is ilhustrated. Then, in Sec. V spatially continuous system s are discussed, before we com e to the correlated $m$ any-body dynam ics in Sec. VI. At the end som e conclusions are given.

## II. DYNAM ICSOFDISSIPATIVEQUANTUM SYSTEMS

The standard approach [1] for the inclusion of dissipation into a quantum $m$ echanical form ulation starts from a system + reservoir m odel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith a system part $H_{S}$, an environm ental part $H_{R}$, and a system -bath interaction $H_{I}$. T he reservoir (heat bath) ism im icked by a quasi-continuum ofharm onic oscillators bilinearly coupled to the system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{R}+H_{I}=X^{"} \frac{P^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{1}{2} m!^{2} X+\frac{c q q^{2}}{m!^{2}} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where of denotes a system operator corresponding to a one-dim ensional degree of freedom . D issipation appears when one considers the reduced dynam ics by properly elim inating the bath degrees of freedom, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=T_{R} n^{n} e^{i H t=h} W \quad(0) e^{i H t=h} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an initial density $m$ atrix $W$ ( 0 ) of the total system. It tums out that for the reduced dynam ics the environ$m$ ental param eters enter only via the spectral density

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(!)={\frac{a^{2}}{2 h}}^{X} \frac{c^{2}}{m!}(!\quad!) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which e ectively becom es a continuous function of! for a condensed-phase environm ent. $N$ ote that in the above de nition of the spectral density we have included a factor $a^{2}=h$ with a being a proper length scale which is convenient for the treatm ent of TBSs. The G aussian statistics of the isolated environm ent is determ ined by the com plex-valued bath autocorrelation function which for real tim et reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\underline{1}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d!J(!) \frac{\cosh [!(\mathrm{h}=2}{\sinh (\mathrm{h}!=2)} \text { it) } \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=1=k_{B} T$.
In the sequel we focus on system $s$ evolving in a discretized con guration space w ith respect to the pointer variable $q$ and thus consider the population $P\left(q_{f} ; t\right)$ of a $\backslash$ lattice site" $q_{f}$ determ ined by the diagonal part of the reduced density m atrix, i.e.,

$$
P\left(q_{f} ; t\right)=\operatorname{Trf} \dot{M}_{f} \operatorname{in} g_{f} j \quad(t) g ;
$$

which is norm alized dqP $(q ; t)=1$. A ccordingly, the initialdensity $m$ atrix of the total com pound $W$ (0) in (3) is taken to be
w ith the partition function of the isolated reservoir $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}$. $T$ he bath is equilibrated according to a localized in itial state of the system on a lattioe site $q_{i}$, where $E=$

C X so that e.g. for electron transfer in a polar environm ent, is the electronic dipol $m$ om ent and $E$ the collective dipolm om ent of the bath. G eneralizations to delocalized in itial states for the system are straightforward 11].

The path integral representation provides a form ally exact expression for the reduced dynam ics and is thus the starting point for a num erically exact $M$ onte-C arlo (MC) schem e. A long the lines sketched above, the bath degrees of freedom are elim inated exactly to arrive at the reduced dynam ics. A s show $n$ in $R$ ef. [1], one thus obtains for Eq. (6)

$$
P_{s_{f} ; s_{i}}(t)=\stackrel{I}{D s_{s(t) ; s_{f}} \exp \quad \frac{i}{h} S_{S}[s] \quad[s]:}
$$

H ere the path integration runs over closed paths s $(t)$ connecting $s(0)=s_{i} w$ ith $s(t)=s_{f}$ along the real-tim econtour e 20 ! t ! 0 , which combines the forw ard and backw ard paths $s\left(t^{0}\right)$ and $s^{0}\left(t^{0}\right)$, respectively. Furtherm ore, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}[\mathrm{s}]$ denotes the action of the free system. T he in uence of the traced-out bath is com pletely encoded in the Feynm an-Vemon in uence functional [s] (9]

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[q ; q^{0}\right]={ }_{0}^{Z} \mathrm{dt}^{Z^{0}} t_{0}^{t^{0}} d t^{\infty}\left[q\left(t^{0}\right) \quad q\left(t^{0}\right)\right] \mathbb{L}\left(t^{0} \quad t^{0}\right) q\left(t^{0}\right)} \\
& \left.L\left(t^{0} \quad t^{0}\right) q^{0}\left(t^{0}\right)\right] \\
& \left.+\hat{i}_{2}^{Z^{t}}{ }_{0}^{t} t^{0} 0^{2}\left(t^{0}\right) \quad q^{2}\left(t^{0}\right)\right] ; \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge=\frac{2}{Z}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d!\frac{J(!)}{!}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The in uence functional introduces long-ranged nonlocal interactions am ong the system paths so that in general an explicit evaluation of the rem aining path integral in

Eq. (8) is possible only num erically. In this situation the $P \mathbb{I} C \mathrm{~m}$ ethod has been proven as a very prom ising approach to obtain num erically exact results even in regions of param eter space where other approxim ate m ethods fail.

## III. PIMC SIM ULATION METHOD

A prerequisite for an e cient num erical algorithm is an appropriate discretization of time and con guration space. The latter one is intrinsically given for multistable system $s$ in the tight binding lim it, where the relevant states are strongly localized in position, only very weakly coupled by tunneling, and energetically well separated from the rest of the spectrum. For spatially continuous system s supporting delocalized states the situation is less obvious, but in case of a discrete energy spectrum a m apping onto a generalized tight-binding lattioe applies as well for low er tem peratures [14, 23]. In any case, the con guration space variable can then be written as $q(t)=a \quad s(t) w$ th a typical length scale a and a dim ensionless variable $s(t) 2$ fqi;:::; $q_{d} g$ with
$S=q<q_{2}<\quad d^{<}<q S$ according to a d-level system (dLS). Hence, the system H am iltonian reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dLS}}=\mathrm{hE} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{z}$ describes the energetic distribution of the sites according to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{z}} \dot{\mathrm{M}} i=\quad \dot{\mu} \mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}$ the couplings between them $\quad=$ hq ${ }_{j}{ }_{x} \dot{M} i ;$. In particular, in case of $q+1 \quad q=1$ and nearest neighbor coupling only one recovers a $(2 S+1)$-spin-boson $m$ odel.

For the discretization in tim e, the time axis is slioed via $r$ uniform ly spaced points $w$ ith discretization steps
$=t=r$. The path integral in Eq. (8) then becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s_{f} ; s_{i}}(t)=\underbrace{X}_{f_{j} g} \quad s_{x+1 ; s_{f}} \quad\left[f s_{j} g\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s} j} \mathrm{~g}\right]=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{r}}} \mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\left[\mathrm{fs}_{j} \mathrm{~g}\right]}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum runs over all realizations of the discretized spin path $f s_{j} g=f s_{1} \quad s_{1} ; s_{2} ;::: ; S_{2 r} ; s_{2 r+1} \quad$ sg, and $K\left(S_{j} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}+1}\right)$ denotes the coordinate representation of the free dLS propagation over the tim e interval , ie.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{s}^{0} ;\right)=\mathrm{hsj} \exp \left(\quad i \quad H_{\mathrm{dL}}=\mathrm{h}\right) \dot{j}^{0} i: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his propagator of the dLS H am iltonian can be obtained from the eigenstates

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {dLS }} j \quad i=E \text { j } i ; \quad=1 ;::: ; d \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(s ; s^{0} ;\right)=X_{=1}^{X^{d}} h s j \text { ih } \dot{\mathcal{S}}^{0} i e^{i E=h} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be easily com puted num erically once the dLS's param eters are speci ed.

To arrive at a discretized form (in tim e) ofthe in uence functional (9), the sum and di erence coordinates

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(t^{0}\right) & s\left(t^{0}\right)+s^{0}\left(t^{0}\right) ;  \tag{17}\\
\text { (t) } & s(t) \quad s^{0}\left(t^{0}\right)
\end{array}
$$

are introduced, which read $\left.\left(t^{0}\right)=j\left(t^{0}\right)=j\right)$ for $t^{0} 2\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}j & 1\end{array}\right) \quad=2 ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}j & 1\end{array}\right)+=2\right]$ in their discretized form. The sum paths are also considered as \quasi-classical", while the di erence paths capture quantum uctuations (1]). Equation (9) nally can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[s_{i} ; ~ ; ~\right]=X_{i}^{X^{r}}{ }_{j} \hat{X}_{j}^{\left(s_{i}\right)}}  \tag{18}\\
& j=2 \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{r}} \\
& +{ }_{j k=2}\left(\mathrm{XX}_{j k k}+j k k\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the kemels $\hat{X}_{j}^{\left(s_{i}\right)}, X_{j k}$, and $j_{k}$ follow from discretizing the tw ige integrated bath autocorrelation function $Q(t)$ de ned by $Q(t)=L(t), Q(0)=0 \mathrm{w}$ th $Q(0)=i^{\wedge}=2$. In the sequel we consider a spectral density of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(!)=2 \quad!e^{!=!c} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to ohm ic dam ping $w$ ith a cut-o frequency $!_{c}$. In this case $Q$ ( $t$ ) can be calculated analytically and one obtains

w ith $=1+1=(\mathrm{h}!\mathrm{c})$ and the G amma function $(\mathrm{z})$.
Equations (12), (13) and (18) constitute a discretized form of the populations (6) and thus provide a starting point for $P \mathbb{I M} C$ simulations. As it is well-known this $m$ ethod is handicapped by the dynam ical sign problem [24]. It originates from quantum interferences betw een di erent system paths $\mathrm{fs}_{j} \mathrm{~g}$, causing a sm all signal-tonoise ratio of the stochastic averaging procedure.

O ne approach to dealw th this problem is based on the observation that the quasi-classicalpaths $f{ }_{j} g$ in Eq. (18) can be integrated out as a series of $r \quad 1 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\mathrm{m} u l t i p l i-$ cations [25]. This reduces the degrees of freedom from the 2r 1 variables $f_{2} j r+1$; $2 j r g$ to the $r 1$ quantum variables $\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{g}$ and therefore signi cantly im proves the num erical stability of the corresponding M C sim ulations. W hile this technique, which in fact is yet another exam ple of a blocking approach [26], works greatly for dissipative tw o- and three-state system s [20], how ever, the increasing size of the corresponding $m$ atrices $w$ ith the num ber of electronic sites tums these $m$ ultiplications into a quite tim e consum ing task. Since they have to be perform ed for every single update of the M C trajectory, the investigation of larger system $s$ again requires exceptionally long CPU tim es.

N evertheless, this severe com putationalbottleneck can be profoundly alleviated on physical grounds [21, 22]. U pon closer inspection one nds that the possibility of rew riting the integration over the quasi-classical coordinates in term sof sim ple $m$ atrix $m$ ultiplications is due to the fact that the real-valued part of the bath autocorrelation function (5) govems only the quantum coordinates but not the quasi-classical ones. This real-valued part, which eventually leads to a dam ping out of quantum $\mathrm{co}^{-}$ herences, introduces a non-local self-coupling am ong the quantum coordinates and is thus directly related to retardation e ects, a $m$ ain complication for treating dissipative quantum system s. A ccordingly, the retardation e ects in uence the evolution of the quasi-classicalcoordinates contained in the im aginary part of the in uence fiunctional to a signi cantly weaker extend than that of the quantum coordinates. Neglecting them while generating the MC trajectories leads to an only minor im pairm ent of the sam pling statistics, but causes an alm ost com plete decoupling betw een quantum and quasiclassicalcoordinates. This in tum allow s for an enorm ous speed-up of the $m$ atrix $m$ ultiplications. A ccordingly, the sam pling process could so farbe accelerated by a factor of approxim ately 100 w ith respect to the original approach [20] (for further details, we refer to $R$ efs. [21, 22]), thus opening the door to treat the reduced dynam ics ofm uch larger and even $m$ any-body system s over su ciently long tim es.

## IV. TIME-LOCALMASTEREQUATIONS

From the exact expression (8) for the reduced density simpli cations can be derived in certain lim its in term s of tim e-localm aster equations. In the context discussed here, these are of crucialim portance, $m$ ainly since (i) $m$ aster equations allow to study ranges in param eter space where the $M$ onte $C$ arlo sam pling is rather expensive, e.g. for very weak or very strong friction, and (ii) they provide a basis to access the physical processes underlying the num erical data also by $m$ eans of analytical techniques.

In the weak friction lim it one im poses that the level broadening due to friction is $m$ uch $s m$ aller than $k_{B} T$ and the typical level separation. It is thus natural to work in the basis spanned by the energy eigenstates of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and to treat the coupling $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ perturbatively. W th increasing coupling, how ever, the environm ent drives the system to its pointer basis in which the system -bath coupling operator $q$ is diagonal. As we have seen above in Sec . II, in this basis the path integral form ulation allows for a non-perturbative elim ination of the reservoir degrees of freedom. In case that friction is very strong and thus levelbroadening $m$ uch larger than levelspacing and tem perature, a m aster equation com plem entary to the weak friction range, the quantum Sm oluchow ski equation, is again available [5, 27].

W hat's about the interm ediate regim e? For TB Ss dis-
cussed here, substantial ndings have been gained in the past decade [1]. N am ely, it was show n that an exact retarded $m$ aster equation exists, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P_{f ; i}(t)}{d t}=X_{=1}^{X^{d} Z_{t}} d t^{0} \wedge_{f}(t \quad l) P ;_{i}\left(t^{0}\right) ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the kemels obey $\wedge=P \wedge \wedge$. Basically they represent a pow er series in the couplings with corresponding spin-path integrals. To $m$ ake the latter tractable, one applies the NIBA [17] or its generalization, the non-interacting cluster approxim ation (N IC A) [1], which has been shown to be accurate in a variety of param eter ranges. For instance, in case of an ohm ic spectraldensity w ith a high frequency cut-o, it captures quantum coherence as well as pure relaxation dynam ics. The corresponding kemels ~ read in low est order in the intersite couplings

$$
\begin{align*}
\sim(2)(t)= & 2^{2} \exp \quad(q \quad q)^{2} Q^{0}(t) \\
& \cos (\quad) t+(q \quad q)^{2} Q^{\infty}(t) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

with $Q^{0}=\operatorname{ReQ}(t)$ and $Q^{\infty}=\operatorname{Im} Q(t)$. The practical use of (21) is lim ited though due to the retardation.

Now, for su ciently strong dissipation and fast enough bath modes the kemel falls o on a time scale much shorter than the tim e scale on which the relevant reduced dynam ics occurs so that we m ay set in 21) $P$; i $\left(t^{0}\right)$ P ; i ( $t$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d t^{\sim} \quad(t): \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccordingly, (21) reduces to a sim ple M arkovian rate equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=A P(t) ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a rate m atrix A consisting of the individual rates . For nearest neighbor coupling, the golden rule rates ${ }^{(2)}$ describe a sequential hopping process, while all higher order contributions to capture long-range hopping term ed superexchange. N ote that in contrast to weak coupling $m$ aster equations as e.g. the Red eld equations, (21) and (24) togetherw ith the corresponding transition rates apply also to the range of $m$ oderate to strong bath coupling and very low tem peratures.

As an explicit exam ple of (24) we consider a onedim ensional tight-binding lattice with $d=7$ sites, spacing 1, and constant nearest neighbor coupling corresponding to a spin-3-boson system (for details see [22]). This m odel can be seen as a sim ple realization ofelectron transfer along a m olecular chain sub ject to a dissipative environm ent [21, 28]. Initially, the charge is localized at the donor site $s_{i}=q_{1}=3$ and $P_{S_{f}} ; s(t) m$ onitors the dynam ics tow ards the acceptor at $q_{7}=3$. Speci cally, donor and acceptor are linked by a bridge w ith an im purity at its center. D onor/acceptor have vanishing onsite


F IG . 1: P opulations along a $m$ olecular chain $w$ ith $d=7$ sites obtained from P IM C sim ulations (sym bols) and from the local $m$ aster equation approach (lines). D onor (D) and acceptor (A) are connected by a bridge with an im purity (I) at its center. The im purity has an onsite energy relative to $D / A$ of $\mathrm{I}==+5$ (P IM C: diam onds; $m$ aster equation: solid lines) and ${ }_{I}==5(\mathrm{P}$ IM C : circles; $m$ aster equation: diam onds $)$. O ther param eters are $=0: 1 ; h=0: 1 ;!c==5$, see text for details.
energy, the bridge sites are elevated by ${ }_{\mathrm{B}}==2: 5$, and the im purity site has $\mathrm{I}==$. As seen in g . 1 the exact $P \mathbb{I M} C$ data are very accurately described by the tim e-localm aster equation (24) despite the fact that all param eters are chosen such that one is close to a coherent/incoherent transition (for the given values of !c and coherences appear at inverse tem peratures $h \quad 0: 3$ and larger) and $!_{c}=5$ is far from the scaling lim it.
V. DYNAM ICSOFSPATIALLY CONTINUOUS SYSTEMS

In order to go beyond the spin-boson type of $m$ odels (one-dim ensional tight binding lattice, equidistant spacing, constant nearest coupling), we start by presenting an approach to capture the dynam ics of spatially continuous system swithin the P IM C procedure outlined above. It tums out that this $m$ ethod applies to system $s w$ th a discrete energy spectrum. The basic idea is sim ple: For su ciently low tem peratures only the low est lying states of the isolated system can be assum ed to take part in the dynam ics; thus, the fiull H ilbert space $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ can e ectively be truncated to a subspace $H_{S}^{(N)}$ spanned by the $N$ low est lying eigenstates. O ne then has to nd a proper basis in this subspace, obtained by a unitary transform ation from the eigenstate basis, in which a stochastic sam pling of the path integrals (8) can be perform ed.

This sort of reduction is not new. In fact, the spinboson m odel can be seen as originating from a double well potential, where only the tw o low est lying states are taken into account. O fcourse, the goal here is to go beyond: Wew ant to capture the dissipative dynam ics from very low up to moderate tem peratures. For very high tem peratures sem i-classical or classical $m$ ethods apply anyw ay. Further, we are interested in the regim e ofm oderate friction, where neither of the known approxim ate form ulations work so that a num erical treatm ent has to start from the exact reduced dynam ics (8). The proper basis for the sam pling in the restricted $H$ ilbert space is then the basis that diagonalizes the operator $\mathcal{q}$ in $H_{S}^{(N)}$. $T$ his idea to treat spatially continuous system s has been
rst applied in the num erical Q UAP I approach 14] and has been recently analyzed in [23] to derive a generalized $m$ aster equation of the type given above (21). H enœ, we sketch here only the central results brie $y$ and refer to these previous w orks for further details.

In the N -dim ensional subspace $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ the pointer basis is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { qujq i= aq jqi; }=1 ;::: ; N \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtained from the eigenstate basis finig by diagonalizing a $m$ atrix $w$ ith entries hn fijn $i, n ; m=1 ;::: ; N$. Its eigenvalues provide the dim ensionless $q$, while the eigenvectors are given as $\dot{\operatorname{q}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ini. The basis set $f \dot{j}$ ig is also known as the $D V R$-basis ( $D$ iscrete $V$ alue $R$ epresentation). By representing the $H$ am iltonian in this DVR-basis, one obtains \onsite energies" h = hq $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{q}$ i and \intersite-couplings" = hq $H_{s} \dot{\mathcal{j}} i=h$. The original system is thus $m$ apped onto a generalized N -dim ensional tight-binding lattioe w ith non-equidistant sites at q; $=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ and non-nearest neighbor couplings . N ow, to im plem ent this TBS into the $P \mathbb{M} C$ algorithm, one has to take into account the non-equidistant lattice by re-de ning the $j$ and $j$ variables properly.

A rough estim ate for the validity of the truncation procedure to the low est $N$ eigenstates of the isolated system


FIG .2: DVR-site populations for a harm on ic oscillator $w$ ith $\mathrm{N}=5$ eigenstates obtained from P IM C sim ulations (sym bols) and a $m$ aster equation approach (solid lines). Top left: $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (diam onds) and $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ (circles), top right: $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ (diam onds) and $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (circles), bottom left: $\mathrm{P}_{3}$, bottom right: average position, see text for details.
is given by the conditions that (i) the level broadening due to friction is of the sam e order as the level spacing or sm aller and that (ii) the tem perature is su ciently low Nh ! $0 \quad 1 . \mathrm{W}$ hile the second condition is obvious, the rst one originates from the fact that for very strong friction the system tends to the classical lim it again, see [b].

By way of exam ple, we consider in the sequel a har$m$ onic oscillator $w$ th $m$ ass $M$ and froquency ! 0 , so that the typical length scale is a $\quad \mathbb{C}=\overline{\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{M}!0}$. W hile a detailed account of the im plem entation into the P IM C algorithm and results of sim ulations for speci c observables, particularly in com parison $w$ ith analytical results, w ill be given elsew here, here, our $m$ ain interest is to explicitly show that apart from the conditions for the applicability of tim e localm aster equations know n for spinboson m odels, the treatm ent of continuous system $s$ via the described $m$ apping com es with an additional com plication. Form ally, this issue has also been addressed recently in [23]. H ere, we directly com pare num erically exact $P \mathbb{I M} C$ data $w$ ith results from the sim pli ed $m$ aster equation. For this purpose the initial state is chosen as one of the DVR-states which su ces to study the time evolution of the populations on the sites q .

Results for $N=5$ and $N=7$ are depicted in gs.2, 3 for a bath $w$ ith $!_{c}=!_{0}=5, \quad=0 \cdot 2$, and $h!_{0}=0 \cdot 2$. For this param eter set we have show $n$ recently [21, 22] that tim e localm aster equations capture the exact dynam ics of linear chains rather accurate even though one is close to an incoherent/coherent transition for the reduced dy-


FIG. 3: Same as in g. 2] but for $N=7$. Top left: $P_{1}$ (diam onds) and $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ (circles), top right: $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ (diam onds) and $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ (circles), $m$ iddle left: $P_{3}$ (diam onds) and $P_{5}$ (circles), $m$ iddle left: $\mathrm{P}_{4}$, bottom : average position, see text for details.
nam ics. The sam $e$ is true here for $N=5$, where we used (24) together $w$ ith the hopping rates (23). In contrast, for $\mathrm{N}=7$ deviations appear in the short to interm $\mathrm{e}-$ diate tim e regim e. For tim es of the order of $1=!_{c}$ and shorter (! ot $0: 2$ ), these are caused by adiabatic e ects in the bath well-known from the dynam ics in ordinary tight binding lattices. In the interm ediate tim e range, how ever, where for certain populations pronounced m axim a occur, deviations m ust be ascribed a speci c property related to the $m$ apping onto a tight binding lattice w ith non-equidistant spacing. A s m entioned above, this m apping requires a re-de nition of the and variables, originally de ned for a tight binding lattice $w$ th equidistant spacing of length $a$.

Q ualitatively, the situation is the follow ing: Since the variance of the $N$ th eigenstate is roughly $\left(q^{2}\right)_{N} \quad N q_{g}^{2}$, the box in position space covered by N states has a typicalw idth $L \quad 2 \bar{N} q_{0}$. Them ean spacing boftw een adjacent lattice sites is thus $a_{e} \quad \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{N}=2 \propto=\bar{N}$. Hence, com pared to a lattice w ith equidistant spacing of length $a=q_{0}$, the re-de nition of the and variables in the in uence functional (18) com es $w$ ith an additional factor
of the order $\left(2=\frac{p}{N}\right)^{2}$, which basically renorm alizes the dam ping kemel. C onsequently, for xed bath param eters and increasing $N$, thee ective coupling constant betw een bath and discrete system is not constant, but decreases as $4=\mathrm{N}$. The system is thus driven into the range of weak coupling to the bath [23], where retardation e ects due to quantum coherences becom em ore substantial. H ence, the lim it to a spatially continuous system $N$ ! 1 is nontrivial and $m$ ust be perform ed by keeping $=\mathrm{N}$ constant. speci cally, by com paring the spectraldensity (19) w ith the one usually introduced for spatially continuous system s [1], one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{N} \quad \frac{}{2!0} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where denotes the $m$ acroscopic dam ping constant appearing in the classicallangevin equation. N ote that the above relation cannot be seen as a strict equality since the spacing betw een adjacent D V R -sites varies slightly and is typically sm aller deep inside the potentialw ell. The value
$=0: 2$ chosen above corresponds for $\mathrm{N}=5$ to $=!0 \quad 1$ and for $\mathrm{N}=7$ to $=!0 \quad 0: 7 . \mathrm{N}$ ow, a rough estim ate for the validity of tim e localm aster equations can be gained by assum ing that the exponential in (22) m ust fallo on a tim e scale su ciently shorter than that of the dynam ics of $\quad(t)$. Form oderate and low tem peratures, this leads to $1=\left(!_{c} \overline{=N}\right)<1=!_{0}$ and thus $N<\left(!_{c}=!_{0}\right)^{2}$. In accordance w th this relation, the $P \mathbb{I M} C$ data presented in
g. 2,3 for $\mathrm{N}=5$ can stillibe captured quantitatively by (24), while for $N=7$ only a qualitative agreem ent can be seen. To perform the continuum lim it is thus not an easy task and certainly deserves further research.

## VI. CORRELATED TWO PARTICLE DYNAM ICS

$T$ he dissipative real-tim e evolution of interacting $m$ any body system s has been left basically untouched so far. In certain lim its, e.g. for very strong repulsive interactions, results could be derived from a simple hopping m odel [29], but the intim ate interplay betw een direct interaction, interaction $m$ ediated by the environm ent and dissipation has not been accessible. H ere, for the rst tim e we present $P \mathbb{I}$ C results for the dynam ics of two interacting particles along the lines described above. A s in the previous section, in the sequel the form ulation is outlined only brie y and we focus on conceptual properties related to tim e-local m aster equations. Further, we consider the case of indistinguishable particles, called charges henœforth, so that the quantum nature of the particle statisticsm atters. P hysically, the situation refers to interacting spinless ferm ions or interacting bosons.
$T$ he free system is taken as a tight-binding lattice w ith spacing 1 and nearest neighbor coupling, where the tw o charges can be placed on $d=2 S+1$ sites. A ccordingly,
the tw o-charge H am ilton operator reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{d L S}^{(2)}=h^{n} E_{z}\left[S_{x}^{(a)}+S_{x}^{(b)}\right]+U \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{z}$ and $S_{x}^{(j)} ; j=a ; b$ are straightforw ard generalizations of the corresponding operators introduced above for the single particle case, while $U$ describes a site dependent C oulom b interaction speci ed below. T he coupling to the bath is determ ined by the total dipol-m om ent of the system and thus follow s directly from (2):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
H=H_{d L S}^{(2)}+\frac{P^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{1}{2 m!^{2}} \\
X \frac{\mathrm{C} \mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{~m}!^{2}} S_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\mathrm{a})}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\mathrm{b})} \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

$w$ ith $S_{z}^{(j)} \dot{j}^{(j)} i=s^{(j)} \dot{j}^{(j)} i ; j=a ; b$.
Since the tw o charges are indistinguishable it is convenient for the $P \mathbb{I M} C$ sim ulation to work not in the single particle product basis, but rather in the basis of the $m$ any body states. For this purpose we introduce
$w$ ith $s$ S. The advantage of this representation is that the $d(d+1)=2$ states $f \dot{j} ;$ Sig are orthogonal in contrast to the original ones. A ccordingly, $H_{d L S}^{(2)}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dLS}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{h} \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{U}\right] \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{z}} \dot{\mathrm{~s}} ; \hat{\mathrm{S} i}=(\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{s}) \dot{\mathrm{j}} ; \hat{\mathrm{S} i} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}} \dot{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{si}=\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{~s} 1 \text { 方 } 1 ; \mathrm{si}+\mathrm{s} \dot{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{s}+1 \mathrm{i})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\mathrm{s} \dot{\mathrm{j}}+1 ; \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{s} 1 \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{s} \quad 1 \mathrm{i}) \\
& U \dot{j} ; \dot{s i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{s ; s}+u_{s ; s}\right) \dot{j} ; s i: \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

For an onsite C oulom b energy this m odel is identical to a dissipative $H$ ubbard $m$ odel with two charges. N ote, how ever, that for the P IM C sim ulations a non-local interaction can easily be taken into account.

N ow, as seen above, the free system dynam ics enters in the discretized path integral form ulation only through its short tim e propagator which is conveniently represented in the energy eigenbasis of $H_{d L S}^{(2)}$ in (30), see (16). Further, since in (28) the tw o charges interact $w$ ith the bath only via the sum $S_{z}^{(a)}+S_{z}^{(b)}$ the corresponding change to the $m$ any body basis in the in uence functional is straightforw ard. It am ounts to introduce discretized sum and di erence paths according to

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
j & S_{j}+s_{j}^{0} ; & j & S_{j} & S_{j}^{0} ;  \tag{32}\\
\hat{j}_{j} & S_{j}+s_{j}^{0} ; & \hat{j} & S_{j} & S_{j}^{0}
\end{array}
$$



FIG. 4: M apping of a two charge system moving in a onedim ensional tight binding lattice onto a one particle system di using on a two-dim ensional triangular lattice.
such that the in uence functionaldepends only on $\left(t^{0}\right)+$ $\wedge\left(t^{0}\right)$ and $\left(t^{0}\right)+{ }^{\wedge}\left(t^{0}\right)$.

In principle, we could now start to implem ent the above representation into a $P \mathbb{M}$ C algorithm. H ow ever, before doing so we go one step further and exploit the follow ing crucial property: T he dissipative dynam ics of two indistinguishable charges on a one-dim ensional lattice with $d$ sites is equivalent to the dissipative dynam ics of a single particle on a two-dim ensional triangular lattice $w$ ith $d(d+1)=2$ sites. To see this, one realizes that the coupling to the bath $\left(S_{z}^{(a)}+S_{z}^{(b)}\right)$ E w ith $E$ as in (7) can be written as ~ E w ith the vector operators $\sim=\left(S_{z}^{(a)} ; S_{z}^{(b)}\right)$ and $E=(E ; E)$, thus being identical to the system -bath coupling of a single particle on a surface. Eventually, by a proper re-labeling of the populations P $\left(S_{i} ; S_{f} ; S_{i} ; S_{f} ; t\right)!P^{\sim}\left(l_{i} ; l_{f} ; t\right) ; l_{i} ; l_{f}=$ $1 ;::: ; d(d+1)=2$ one form ally obtains the desired m apping. $T$ his is ilhustrated in $g$. 4 w here the actualcoupling $w$ ith the bath at a certain site is given by the pro jection of the corresponding \spin-vector" $\sim=(s ; s)$ onto $E$ as just described and each site carries an onsite energy depending on $s+{ }_{s}+\left(u_{s ; s}+u_{s ; s}\right)=2$. D ue to the nearest neighbor-coupling, transitions can only occur in the vertical and the horizontaldirection, respectively. $N$ ote that this $m$ apping can be generalized to ferm ionic system $s$ as well.

To sum $m$ arize, the advantages of the $m$ any body basis and the subsequent $m$ apping onto a single particle $2 \mathrm{~d}-$ lattice are: (i) the P IM C algorithm developed for single particles can be sim ply adapted to the case of tw o particles, (ii) the con guration space to be sam pled is reduced from $d^{2}$ to $d(d+1)=2$, and (iii) the $m$ aster equations introduced in the previous section can be directly applied.

Let us now analyze (iii) in more detail based on (24) w ith the corresponding transition rates (23). The simplest case is $d=2 \mathrm{w}$ th only three available sites $\left({ }^{1}=2 ; \quad{ }^{1}=2\right) ;\left({ }^{1}=2 ;{ }^{1}=2\right) ;\left({ }^{1}=2 ;{ }^{1}=2\right)$. O bviously, for this


FIG.5: D issipative dynam ics of two correlated charges in a one-dim ensional tight binding lattice w ith $d=5$ sites obtained from $P \mathbb{I M} C$ sim ulations (sym bols) and a m aster equation approach (lines). Shown are the many-body state populations $P_{s ; s^{0}}$ for the edge states of $g$. 4) $w$ ith either $s=1: 5$ or $s^{0}=1: 5$. C ircles, squares and diam onds denote situations where som e sites have been rem oved from otherw ise identical lattices as depicted in a), b), and c), respectively, referring to an increasing connectivity betw een the allowed sites. Solid (a), dashed (b), and dotted lines (c), respectively, depict the corresponding results from the $m$ aster equation.
case the triangular lattioe coincides $w$ ith a linear chain $w$ ith three sites so that if a tim e localm aster equation applies for this latter case, it also applies for the tw ocharge case. For larger d, how ever, the situation changes fundam entally due to a di erent topology of the twodim ensional lattiges which then contain bulk-sites with four adjacent sites (apart from $d=3$ where there is no bulk site, but tw o edge-sites w ith three connections). A ccordingly, the typical dwell tim e on a bulk-site is considerably shorter than on a site with two connections, roughly, by a factor of 2 . A $n$ environm ent which is able to destroy the phase coherence of the wave function on
each site of a linear chain before a jum $p$ to an adjacent site takes place, $m$ ay be too slow to achieve the sam e on bulk-sites in a 2d-lattice. H ence, entangled $m$ any body states can survive on such a long time scale that a description based on a master equation local in tim efails. In fact, this can be seen in 9.5 , where $P \mathbb{M} C$ data for $d=4$ are depicted together $w$ th the corresponding $d y-$ nam ics of the $m$ aster equation (24). By successively re$m$ oving $m$ ore and $m$ ore bulk sites from the lattice, the agreem ent of the P $\mathbb{I M}$ C data w th the prediction of the $m$ aster equation, which is rather poor in case of the full lattice, increases, until upon creating a linear chain by rem oving all bulk states an alm ost perfect $m$ atch is regained.

The situation becom es even w orse for a larger num ber ofchargesn since then the bulk sites of the n-dim ensional cube attain $2 n$ decay channels to adjacent sites, thus reducing the average dwell tim e by a factor of about 2 n com pared to the one-dim ensional case $\mathrm{n}=1$. T he conclusion is the follow ing: If for a bath characterized by $!_{c}$ and a M arkovian approxim ation applies for the dynam ics on a 1d-lattice $w$ ith nearest neighbor coupling
, i.e. $h!c<1$ and $=$ ! $c \quad 1$, this approxim ation fails for the multi-charge dynam ics, unless $!_{c}$ is taken to be very large and tem peratures are su ciently high, roughly, $n=$ ! c 1 and $n h \quad!_{c}<1$. Hence, for $m$ ost cases only num ericalapproaches like the P IM C procedure presented here, seem to be applicable.
VII. CONCLUSIONS

The num erically exact P IM C approach has been pushed further to deal also w ith spatially continuous system $s$ and correlated $m$ any-body dynam ics. To bet-
ter understand the num erical data in certain param eter ranges, to estim ate the dissipative quantum dynam ics before starting an involved P $\mathbb{M}$ C calculation, and even to develop sim pli ed $m$ odels capturing the relevant processes, $M$ arkovian $m$ aster equations are of great practical use. To explore their applicability in the above situations, we had to consider generalized tight-binding lattices w ith either non-equidistant spacing or in higher dim ensions. In both cases, additional restrictions $m$ ust be im posed beyond the constraints known from equidistant one-dim ensional T B Ss so that for broader ranges of bath param eters no sim ple description seem $s$ to be possible. H ow ever, it is surprising that in other dom ains com prising stronger dissipation and low er tem peratures, $M$ arkovian $m$ aster equations can still be found to work quite well, at least qualitatively. T hus, M arkovian m aster equations together w ith num erically exact P $\mathbb{I M} C$ sim ulations, which often provide the solem ean to check for their validity in a certain scenario, provide a pow erfulm eans to study dynam ical properties over the full tim e range. In particular, for the correlated $m$ any-body dynam ics in presence ofdissipation this $m$ ay open the door to exam ine the intim ate interplay betw een C oulom b interaction, particle statistics, and phonon baths, e.g. for the charge transport in $m$ olecular and $m$ esoscopic structures.
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