Switching via quantum activation: A param etrically modulated oscillator

M. Marthaler and M. I. Dykman

Department of Physics and Astronom y, M ichigan State University, East Lansing, M I 48824, USA

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

We study switching between period-two states of an underdam ped quantum oscillator modulated at nearly twice its natural frequency. For all tem peratures and parameter values switching occurs via quantum activation: it is determined by di usion over oscillator quasienergy, provided the relaxation rate exceeds the rate of interstate tunneling. The di usion has quantum origin and accompanies relaxation to the stable state. We nd the semiclassical distribution over quasienergy. For T = 0, where the system has detailed balance, this distribution di ers from the distribution for T ! 0; the T = 0 distribution is also destroyed by sm all dephasing of the oscillator. The characteristic quantum activation energy of switching displays a typical dependence on tem perature and scaling behavior near the bifurcation point where period doubling occurs.

PACS num bers: 03.65.Y z, 05.60.G g, 05.70 Ln, 74.50.+ r

I. IN TRODUCTION

Switching between coexisting stable states underlies many phenomena in physics, from diusion in solids to protein folding. For classical systems in thermal equilibrium switching is often described by the activation law, with the switching probability being $W / \exp(U=kT)$, where U is the activation energy. As tem perature is decreased, quantum uctuations become more and more important, and below a certain crossover tem perature switching occurs via tunneling [1, 2, 3]. The behavior of systems away from thermalequilibrium is farm ore complicated. Still, for classical system s switching is often described by an activation type law, with the tem perature replaced by the characteristic intensity of the noise that leads to uctuations [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Quantum nonequilibrium system s can also switch via tunneling between classically accessible regions of their phase space [13, 14, 15, 16].

In addition to classical activation and quantum tunneling, nonequilibrium systems have another som ew hat counterintuitive mechanism of transitions between stable states. We call this mechanism quantum activation and study it in the present paper. It describes escape from a metastable state due to quantum uctuations that accompany relaxation of the system [17]. These uctuations lead to di usion away from the metastable state and, ultimately, to transitions over the classical "barrier", that is, the boundary of the basin of attraction to the metastable state.

We study quantum activation for periodically modulated systems. Switching mechanisms for such systems are shown schematically in Fig. 1, where g(Q) is the elective potential of the system in the rotating frame. This gure describes, in particular, a nonlinear oscillator studied in the present paper, which displays period doubling when its frequency is periodically modulated systems is not conserved. Instead they are characterized by quasienergy . It gives the change of the wave function

(t) when time is incremented by the modulation pe-

riod $_{\rm F}$, $(t + _{\rm F}) = \exp(i_{\rm F} = ~)$ (t), and is de ned modulo 2 $\sim = _{\rm F}$ fur for.

C oupling to a therm al reservoir leads to transitions between the states of the system . For T = 0 the transitions are accompanied by the creation of excitations in the therm al reservoir. The energy of the system decreases in each transition. However, the quasienergy may decrease or increase, albeit with di erent probabilities W " and W #. This e ect has quantum origin. It is due to the functions being superpositions of the eigenfunctions N i of the energy operator of the system in the absence of modulation. Therefore bath-induced transitions down in energy N i ! N 1 i lead to transitions ! o



FIG. 1: (Color online) The e ective double-well potential g(Q) of a param etrically m odulated oscillator. Sketched are scaled period-two quasienergy levels (see Sec. II) in the neglect of interwell tunneling. The m inim a of g correspond to classically stable states of period two m otion. The arrows indicate relaxation, di usion over quasienergy away from the m inim a, and interwell tunneling. The e ective H am iltonian g(P;Q) is de ned by Eq. (6), and g(Q) = 0;Q; the gure refers to = 0 in Eq. (6).

with 0 7 . The values of W_{*}, W_# for the latter transitions are determ ined by the appropriate overlap integrals and depend on ; 0 .

More probable transitions determine in which direction, with respect to quasienergy, the system will most likely move. Such motion corresponds to relaxation over quasienergy. Fig. 1 refers to the case $W_{\#} > W_{\#}$. In this case relaxation corresponds to quasienergy decrease. The minim a ofg (Q) are the classical stable states. How ever, quantum transitions in which quasienergy increases have a nonzero probability even for T = 0. Because this probability is less than $W_{\#}$, such transitions lead to diusion over quasienergy away from the minima of g(Q). In turn, the di usion leads to a nite-width distribution over and ultimately to activated-type overbarrier transitions between the wells in Fig. 1. In fact, discussed in this paper and sketched in Fig. 1 are periodtwo quasienergy states, with quasienergy de ned by the condition \sim (t + 2 $_{\rm F}$) = exp(2i $_{\rm F}$ = \sim) \sim (t). They are m ore convenient for the present problem ; their relation to the standard quasienergy states is explained in Sec. II.

Of interest for the problem of switching is the sem iclassical situation where the basins of attraction to the m etastable states (the wells in Fig. 1) have a large num ber N of localized states. In this case the rate of tunneling decay is exponentially small. The activation rate is also exponentially sm all since it is determ ined by the ratio of transition probabilities $W_{\#} = W_{\#}$ raised to the power N. Both the tunneling and activation exponents are / N for the situation sketched in Fig. 1. Indeed, the tunneling exponent is given by the action, in the units of ~, for classicalm otion in the inverted e ective potential g(Q)from one maximum of g(Q) to the other. It is easy to see that this action is of order N, unless g (Q) has a special form . Therefore for N 1 the activation exponent is either much larger or much smaller than the tunneling exponent.

In this paper we develop a theory of the statistical distribution and consider switching of a param etrically m odulated quantum oscillator. We show that, irrespective of tem perature, the activation exponent is sm aller than the tunneling exponent. Therefore switching always occurs via activation, not tunneling, as long as the relaxation rate exceeds the tunneling rate.

We study a nonlinear oscillator with frequency modulated at nearly twice the natural frequency $!_0$. When the modulation is su ciently strong, the oscillator has two stable vibrational states with periods $2_F = 2 = !_0$. These states di er in phase by but otherwise are identical [18]. They correspond to the minim a of the elective potential in Fig. 1. The low est quantized states in Fig. 1 are squeezed. Squeezing in a parametric oscillator has attracted interest in many areas, from quantum optics [19, 20, 21] to phonons [22], microcantilevers [23] and electrons and ions in Penning traps [24]. Recent progress in system s based on Josephson junctions and nancelectrom echanical system s [25, 26, 27, 28] makes it possible to study squeezed states in a well controlled and versatile



FIG.2: (Color online) The scaled inverse temperature R^0 dR =dg of the distribution over scaled period-two quasienergy g of a parametrically modulated oscillator for di erent oscillator P lanck numbers n in the limit of a large number of intrawell quasienergy states. The gure refers to = 0:3.

environment. Both classical and quantum uctuations can be investigated and the nature of switching between the states can be explored. The results can be further used in quantum measurements for quantum computing, as in the case of switching between coexisting states of a resonantly driven oscillator [25].

The probability distribution and interstate transitions of a param etrically modulated oscillator have attracted considerable attention. Much theoretical work has been done for models where uctuations satisfy the detailed balance condition either in the classical limit [29] or for T = 0 [30, 31, 32, 33, 34]. Generally this condition does not hold in systems away from equilibrium. In particular a classical nonlinear param etric oscillator does not have detailed balance. Switching of such an oscillator was studied experimentally for electrons in Penning traps [35, 36]. The measured switching rate [36] agreed quantitatively with the theory [37].

A quantum parametric oscillator also does not have detailed balance in the general case. The results presented below show that breaking the special condition where detailed balance holds leads to a sharp change of the statistical distribution and the switching rate. This change occurs already for an in nitesim ally sm all deviation from detailed balance, in the sem iclassical lim it. The fragility of the detailed balance solution is previewed in Fig. 2. This gure shows the elective inverse temperature of the intrawell distribution over the scaled period-¹R (g) is the expotwo quasienergy g. The function ${}^{1}R$ (q_n) = nent of the distribution ln _n, where _n is the population of an n-th state and / 1=N is the scaled Planck constant de ned in Eq. (4) below. The effective inverse tem perature 1 dR = dg depends on g and diers from the inverse tem perature of the bath T $^{-1}$. The



FIG.3: (Color online) Q uantum activation energy of a transition between the stable states of period two vibrations of a modulated oscillator (a phase- ip transition). The transition probability is W $_{SW}$ / exp($R_A =$), where , Eq. (4), is the e ective P lanck constant. The scaled switching exponent R_A is plotted as a function of the single scaled parameter that controls oscillator dynamics, Eq. (8), for di erent values of the oscillator P lanck number n.

T = n = 0 result for dR =dg is obtained from the solution with detailed balance (here $n = [exp(~!_0=kT) 1]^1$ is the Planck number of the oscillator). It is seen from Fig. 2 that the T ! 0 (n ! 0) limit of the solution without detailed balance does not go over into the T = 0 result.

The e ective quantum activation energy R_A

 $\log W_{sw}$, which gives the exponent of the switching rate W_{sw} , is shown in Fig. 3. It is equal to $R_A =$ $R(0) = R(g_{h in})$, where g = 0 and $g = g_{m in}$ are, respectively, the values of g(Q) at the top and the m inim um of the wells in Fig. 1. The n = 0 detailed balance value for R_A strongly di ers from then ! 0 value in a broad range of the control parameter that characterizes the detuning of the modulation frequency from $2!_0$, see Eq. (8) below. It is also seen from Fig. 3 that the quantum activation energy R_A decreases with increasing tem perature. Ultim ately when the P lanck number of the oscillator becom es large, n = 1, we have R_A / T^{-1} , the law of therm al activation, and the results coincide with the results [37] obtained by a di erent m ethod.

In Sec. II we obtain an elective H am iltonian that describes the oscillator dynam ics in the rotating frame. We describe the classical dynam ics and calculate sem iclassicalm atrix elements of the coordinate and momentum. In Sec. III we derive the balance equation for the distribution over quasienergy levels. This distribution is found in the eikonal approximation in Sec. IV. Its explicit form is obtained in several physically in portant limiting cases in Sec. V. In Sec. V I the probability of interstate switching due to quantum activation is analyzed. In Sec.VII it is shown that the distribution obtained for T = 0, where the system has detailed balance, is fragile: in the lim it of a large number of intrawell states it di ers from the distribution for T ! 0. It is also dram atically changed by even weak dephasing due to external noise. In Sec.VIII we discuss tunneling between the coexisting states of period two vibrations and show that interstate *sw* itching occurs via quantum activation rather than tunneling, if the relaxation rate exceeds the tunneling rate. In Sec.IX we sum marize the model, the approxim ations, and the m a pr results.

II. DYNAM ICS OF THE PARAMETRIC OSC ILLATOR

A. The H am iltonian in the rotating fram e

We will study quantum uctuations and interstate switching using an important model of a bistable system, a parametric oscillator. The Ham iltonian of the oscillator has a simple form

$$H_{0} = \frac{1}{2}p^{2} + \frac{1}{2}q^{2} + p^{2} + F \cos(!_{F}t) + \frac{1}{4}q^{4}:$$
 (1)

W ewillassum e that the modulation frequency $!_F$ is close to twice the frequency of sm all am plitude vibrations $!_0$, and that the driving force F is not large, so that the oscillator nonlinearity remains sm all,

$$! = \frac{1}{2}!_{F} !_{0}; j!j !_{0};$$
(2)
F ! $_{0}^{2}; j!r_{1}^{2}i !_{0}^{2}:$

Here, $hq^2 i$ is the mean squared oscillator displacement; in what follows for concreteness we set > 0.

We will change to the rotating frame using the canonical transformation U (t) = exp $i\mathscr{A}^{a}!_{F}t=2$, where \mathscr{A}^{y} and \mathscr{A} are the raising and lowering operators, $\mathscr{A} = (\sim!_{F})^{1=2}$ (ip + $!_{F}q=2$). It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless coordinate Q and momentum P,

$$U^{Y}(t)qU(t) = C \mathbb{P} \cos(!_{F}t=2) \quad Q \sin(!_{F}t=2)]; (3)$$
$$U^{Y}(t)pU(t) = C \frac{!_{F}}{2} \mathbb{P} \sin(!_{F}t=2) + Q \cos(!_{F}t=2)];$$

where $C = (2F=3)^{1=2}$. The commutation relation between P and Q has the form

$$P;Q = i; = 3 \sim F : (4)$$

The dimensionless parameter $\,$ will play the role of \sim in the quantum dynamics in the rotating frame. This dynamics is determined by the Ham iltonian

$$H_{0}^{*} = U^{y}H U \quad i \sim U^{y}U \quad \frac{F^{2}}{6} \hat{g};$$
 (5)

with

$$\hat{g} = \frac{1}{4} P^{2} + Q^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - P^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (1 + Q^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - Q^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (1 + Q^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - Q^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (1$$

Here we used the rotating wave approximation and disregarded fast oscillating terms / exp(in $!_F t$); n 1. As a result H_0 is independent of time.

In the "slow" dimensionless time

$$= t_{\rm F} = 2!_{\rm F} \tag{7}$$

the Schrodinger equation has the form id =d = \hat{g} . The elective H am iltonian \hat{g} , Eq. (6), depends on one parameter

$$= 2!_{\rm F} !={\rm F} :$$
 (8)

For > 1, the function g(P;Q) has two m inima. They are located at $P = 0, Q = (+1)^{j=2}$, and $g_{m in} =$

 $(+ 1)^2 = 4$. For 1 the m inim a are separated by a saddle at P = Q = 0, as shown in Fig. 4. When friction is taken into account, the m inim a become stable states of the parametrically excited vibrations in the classical limit [18, 37] [we note that the function G in Ref. 37 is similar to g(P;Q), but has opposite sign].



FIG. 4: (Color online) The scaled e ective Ham iltonian of the oscillator in the rotating frame g(P;Q), Eq. (6), for = 0.2. The minim a of g(P;Q) correspond to the stable states of period two vibrations.

The function g(P;Q) is symmetric, g(P;Q) = g(P;Q). This is a consequence of the time translation symmetry. The sign change (P;Q)! (P; Q) corresponds to the shift in time by the modulation period t ! t + 2 =!_F, see Eq. (3). In contrast to the standard Ham iltonian of a nonrelativistic particle, the function g(P;Q) does not have the form of a sum of kinetic and potential energies, and moreover, it is quartic in momentum P.This structure results from switching to the rotating frame. It leads to a signi cant modi cation of quantum dynamics, in particular tunneling, com pared to the conventional case of a particle in a static potential.

B. Quasienergy spectrum and classicalm otion

1. Period-two quasienergy states

The quasienergies of the parametric oscillator m are determined by the eigenvalues g_m of the operator $\hat{g} = g(\mathcal{P}; Q)$, with an accuracy to small corrections

 $F=!_F^2$. They can be found from the Schrodinger equation $\hat{g}jn\;i^{(0)}=g_m\;jn\;i^{(0)}$. Because of the symmetry of \hat{g} the exact eigenfunctions $jn\;i^{(0)}$ are either symmetric or antisymmetric in Q.

The eigenstates $jn i^{(0)}$ give the F loquet states of the parametric oscillator $(t + _F) = exp(i_F = -)$ (t). From the form of the unitary operator U(t) = exp(idfa!_F t=2) it is clear that U(_F) jn i^{(0)} = jn i^{(0)}, with \+ " for symmetric and \ " for antisymmetric states jn i^{(0)}. Therefore we have $_m = (F^2=6) g_n$ for symmetric states.

It is convenient to de ne period-two quasienergy by the condition ~ $(t + 2_F) = \exp(2i_F = ~)~(t)$. In this case the relation $_m = (F^2=6)g_n$ holds for both symmetric and antisymmetric states. At the same time, there is simple one to one correspondence with the standard quasienergy states. Period-two quasienergy states are particularly convenient for describing intrawell states jni for small tunneling between the wells of g(P;Q). Such states are combinations of symmetric and antisym – metric states jni i⁽⁰⁾. For this reason we use period-two quasienergies throughout the paper.

2. Classical intrawell motion

W e will study the intrawell states jni in the sem iclassical approximation. The classical equations of motion

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}} = \mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{g}; \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}} = -\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{g} \tag{9}$$

can be explicitly solved in terms of the Jacobi elliptic functions. The solution is given in Appendix A. There are two classical trajectories for each g < 0, one per well of g(P;Q) in Fig. 4. They are inversely symmetrical in phase space and double periodic in time,

$$Q(+ p) = Q(); P(+ p) = P();$$
 (10)

with one real period $p^{(1)}$ and one complex period $p^{(2)}$. This means that $p = n_1 p^{(1)} + n_2 p^{(2)}$, where $n_{1;2} = 0$; 1;::;, and

$$\sum_{p}^{(1)} = 2^{1-2} j j^{1-4} K (m_J); \qquad (11)$$

$$\sum_{p}^{(2)} = i 2^{1-2} j j^{1-4} K^{0} (m_J):$$

Here K (m_J) is the complete elliptic integral of the rst kind, K⁰(m_J) = K (1 m_J), and m_J m_J (g),

$$m_{J}(g) = \frac{+1 2\dot{g}\dot{g}^{h=2} 1 + 2\dot{g}\dot{g}^{h=2}}{8\dot{g}\dot{g}^{h=2}} \quad i0 \quad (12)$$

is the parameter [38]. The real part of m_J can be positive or negative; for Rem_J < 0, the period $p^{(2)}$ has not only im aginary, but also a nonzero real part.

The vibration frequency is $!(g) = 2 = p^{(1)}$ $2 = p^{(1)}(g)$. It monotonically decreases with increasing g in the range where g(P;Q) has two wells, $(+1)^2 = 4 < g < 0$, and !(g) ! 0 for g ! 0, i.e. for g approaching the saddle-point value. The periodicity of Q (), P () in imaginary time turns out to be instrumental in calculating matrix elements of the operators P, Q on the intraw ell wave functions jni as discussed in the next section.

C. The sem iclassical matrix elements

O f central interest to us will be the case where the number of states with $g_h < 0$, that is the number of states inside the wells of g(P;Q) in Fig. 4, is large. Formally, this case corresponds to the limit of a smalle ective P lanck constant . For 1 the wave function jni in the classically accessible region can be written in the form

$$jni = C^{0}(@_{P} g)^{1=2} \exp [iS_{n} (Q) =] + cx;;$$
 (13)
$$S_{n} (Q) = P(Q^{0};g_{n})dQ^{0}:$$

Here, C⁰ is the norm alization constant, P ($Q;g_n$) is the momentum for a given Q as determined by the equation $g(P;Q) = g_n$, Q_n is the classical turning point, P ($Q_n;g_n$) = 0, and the derivative $@_P g$ is calculated for $P = P(Q;g_n)$.

W e param eterize the classical trajectories (9) by their phase = ! (g) , which we count (modulo 2) from its value at Q_n. W ith this param etrization we have

$$S_{n+m}(Q) = S_n(Q) m ;$$
 (14)

for jn j $^{1};$ on a classical trajectory, Q is an even and P is an odd function of .

W ith Eqs. (13) and (14), we can write the sem iclassical matrix element of the lowering operator $a = (2)^{1=2} (P \quad iQ)$ as a Fourier component of a (;g) = (2)^{1=2} P(;g) \quad iQ(;g)] over the phase = !(g) [39],

$$n + m j a jn i = a_{m} (g_{n});$$
(15)
$$a_{m} (g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} d \exp(im) a(;g);$$

to lowest order in . As we discuss below, these matrix elements determ ine relaxation of the oscillator.

W e will be interested in calculating a_m (g) for g < 0. Then if we neglect interwell tunneling, we have two sets of wave functions jni, one for each well. The interwell matrix elements of the operator \hat{a} are exponentially small. The intrawell matrix elements are the same for the both



FIG. 5: (Color online) The contours of integration in the complex phase plane for calculating Fourier components of the oscillator coordinate Q and momentum P. The left and right panels refer, respectively, to the negative and positive real part of the parameter of the elliptic functions m_J , Eq. (12) [the imaginary part of m_J is in nitesimally small]. Both Q and P havepoles at = ; , but a (;g) has a pole only for = . The increment of phase by $_0$ leads to a transition between the trajectories with a given g in dimension of g(P;Q).

wells except for the overall sign, because Q and P in different wells have opposite signs on the trajectories with the sam e.g. W e will consider the matrix elements a_m (g_n) for the states in the right well Q > 0.

The integral (15) can be evaluated using the double periodicity of the functions Q, P. It is clear that a (+ 2;g) = a(;g) for any complex . In addition, from Eq. (A3) for g < 0 we have $a(+ _0;g) = _a(;g)$, where $_0 = (1 + _p^{(2)} = _p^{(1)})$ is a complex number, which is determined by the ratio of the periods of Q and P. The shift in time by $_0=!$ (g) corresponds to a transition from Q();P() in one well to Q();P() in the other well. We can now write the matrix element a_m (g) as

$$a_{m}(g) = \frac{1}{2} 1 + e^{im_{0}} \int_{c}^{1} de^{im} a(;g);$$
 (16)

where integration is done along the contour C in Fig. 5. It is explained in Appendix A that each of the functions Q (=! (g)), P (=! (g)) has two poles inside the contour C [38]. Therefore it is easy to calculate the contour integral (16). The result has a simple form

$$a_{m}(g) = i(2)^{1=2}!(g) \frac{\exp(im)}{1 + \exp(im)};$$
 (17)

with given by the equation

$$\operatorname{cn}(2K =) = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{gr}^{\frac{1}{2}=2}}{1 + 2 \operatorname{gr}^{\frac{1}{2}=2}}; \quad (18)$$

$$\operatorname{Im} < \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \int_{p}^{h} \operatorname{Im} \int_{p}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Im} \int_{p}^{1} \operatorname{Im} \int_{p}^{$$

An important feature of the matrix elements a_m (g) is their exponential decay for large jm j. From Eqs. (17) and (18)

$$a_{m}(g) / exp[mIm(_{0})]; m 1;$$
 (19)
 $a_{m}(g) / exp[jnjIm]; m 1:$

W e note that the decay is asymmetric with respect to the sign of m. This leads to important features of the probability distribution of the oscillator.

III. BALANCE EQUATION

C oupling of the oscillator to a therm al reservoir leads to its relaxation. We will rst consider the simplest type of relaxation. It arises from coupling linear in the oscillator coordinate q and corresponds to decay processes in which the oscillator makes transitions between neighboring energy levels, with energy $\sim !_0$ transferred to or absorbed from the reservoir. We will assume that the oscillator nonlinearity is not strong and that the detuning

! of the modulation frequency is small, whereas the density of states of the reservoir weighted with interaction is smooth near $!_0$. Then the quantum kinetic equation for the oscillator density matrix in the rotating frame has the form

$$\frac{\hat{e}}{\hat{e}} = i^{-1} [;g]^{-1}; \qquad (20)$$

$$^{-} = (n+1)(\hat{a}^{y}\hat{a} \quad 2\hat{a} \quad \hat{a}^{t} + \hat{a}^{y}\hat{a}) \\ + n(\hat{a}\hat{a}^{y} \quad 2\hat{a}^{t} \quad \hat{a} + \hat{a}\hat{a}^{y});$$

where is the dimensionless relaxation constant and $n = [exp(~!_0=kT) \ 1]^1$ is the P lanck number.

W e will assume that relaxation is slow so that the broadening of quasienergy levels is much smaller than the distance between them, !(g). Then o -diagonal matrix elements of on the states jni are small. W e note that, at the same time, o -diagonal matrix elements of on the Fock states of the oscillator jN i do not have to

To the low est order in =! (g) relaxation of the diagonal matrix elements $_{n} = \ln j$ jni, is described by the balance equation

$$\frac{\mathfrak{e}_{n}}{\mathfrak{e}} = 2 \sum_{n^{\circ}}^{X} (W_{nn^{\circ} n} \quad W_{n^{\circ}n \quad n^{\circ}}); \quad (21)$$

with dimensionless transition probabilities

be sm all.

$$W_{nn^{0}} = (n + 1) m^{0} \dot{\pi} \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} + n m \dot{\pi} \dot{\eta}^{0} \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}$$
 (22)

It follows from Eqs. (17) and (22) that, even for T=0, the oscillator can make transitions to states with both higher and lowerg, with probabilities W_{nn⁰} with n⁰ > n and n⁰ < n, respectively. This is in spite the fact that transitions between the Fock states of the oscillator for T=0 are only of the type \Re i! \Re 1i.

The explicit expression for the matrix elements (17) makes it possible to show that the probability of a transition to a lower level of \hat{g} is larger than the probability of a transition to a higher level, that is, $W_{n^0n} > W_{nn^0}$ for $n^0 > n$. Therefore the oscillator is more likely to move down to the bottom of the initially occupied well in Fig. 4. This agrees with the classical limit in which the stable states of an underdam ped parametric oscillator are at the minim a of g(P;Q). However, along with the drift down in the scaled period-two quasienergy g, even for T = 0 there is also di usion over quasienergy away from the minim a of g(P;Q), due to nonzero transition probabilities W_{nn^0} with $n^0 > n$.

Equation (21) m ust be slightly m odi ed in the presence of tunneling between the states with $g_n < 0$. The m odi cation is standard. One has to take into account that the m atrix elements of depend not only on the num ber n of the period-two quasienergy level inside the well, but also on the index which takes on two values = 1, that specify the wells of g (P;Q). These values can be associated with the eigenvalues of the pseudospin operator $_z$. The m atrix elements of the operator ^ on the wave functions of di errent wells are exponentially sm all and can be disregarded. The operator describing interwell tunneling can be written in the pseudospin representation as i(2) 1T (g) [x;], where T (g) is the tunneling splitting of the states in di errent wells.

W e assume that the tunneling splitting is much smaller than . Then after a transient time 1 , there is formed a quasistationary distribution over the states juinside each of the wells of g(P;Q) in Fig.4. This distribution can be found from Eq. (21) using the wave function juicalculated in the neglect of tunneling. Interwell transitions occur over much longer time.

IV. DISTRIBUTION OVER INTRAW ELL STATES

The stationary intrawell probability distribution can be easily found from Eqs. (21) and (22) if the number of levels with $g_n < 0$ is small. M uch more interesting is the situation where this number is large. It corresponds to the lim it of small . We will be interested primarily in the quasistationary distribution over the states in the well in which the system was initially prepared. It is form ed over time 1 and is determined by setting the right hand side of Eq. (21) equal to zero. The resulting equation describes also the stationary distribution in both wells, which is form ed over a much longer time given by the reciprocal rate of interwell transitions.

For 1 we can use the W entzel-K ram ers-B rillouin (W K B) approximation both to calculate the matrix elements in W $_{nn^0}$ (22) and to solve the balance equation. The solution should be sought in the eikonal form

$$n = \exp [R_n =]; R_n = R(g_n):$$
 (23)

It follows from Eq. (19) that the transition probabilities

 $W_{n+m n}$ rapidly decay for large jn j. Therefore in the balance equation (21) we can set

$$n+m$$
 $n \exp [m!(g_n)R^{0}(g_n)];$ (24)
 $R^{0}(g) = dR = dg:$

The corrections to the exponent in Eq. (24) of order $m^2 ! {}^2R^{00}$, $m^2 ! ! {}^0R^{0}$ are small for 1 (prime indicates di erentiation over g). We note that ! (g)R⁰(g) is not small in the quantum regime and the exponential in (24) will not be expanded in a series in R⁰.

From Eq. (21), the function $R^{0}(g)$ is determ ined by the polynom ial equation

Here the sum goes over positive and negative m. In obtaining Eq. (25) we have used the relation $W_{n+mn} = W_{nnm}$ for jmj n, which is the consequence of the W KB approximation for the matrix elements: ! (g) d ln [a_m (g)]=dg 1 for 1.

Equation (25) has a trivial solution = 1, which is unphysical. Because all coe cients W $_{n+m n}$ are positive and W $_{n+m n} > W_n _{m n}$ for m > 0, one can show that the polynom ial in the left hand side of Eq. (25) has one extrem um in the interval 0 < 1. Since its derivative for = 1 is negative and it goes to 1 for ! 0, it has one root in this interval. This root gives the value R⁰(g_n). Since < 1, we have R⁰(g) > 0. In turn R⁰(g) gives R (g) and thus the distribution $_n$. In obtaining R (g) from R⁰(g), in the spirit of the eikonal approximation, one should set R ($g_{m in}$) = 0, where $g_{m in} = (+1)^2 = 4$ is the minim al quasienergy.

It is seen from Eq. (23) that ${}^{1}R^{0}(g)$ has the meaning of the electric inverse temperature of the distribution



FIG.6: (Color online) The scaled inverse tem perature R⁰ of the distribution over scaled period-two quasienergy g for two values of the control parameter and for di erent oscillator P lanck numbers n. For n & 0:1 the function R⁰ only weakly depends on g inside the well, $g_{m \ in} < g < 0$.

over period-two quasienergy. The function $R^{0}(g)$ can be calculated for di erent values of the control param eter and di erent oscillator P lanck num bers n by solving Eq. (25) num erically. The num erical calculation is sim plied by the exponential decay of the coe cients $W_{n+m\,n}$ with jm j. The results are shown in Fig. 6. The function $R^{0}(g) = m$ oothly varies with g in the whole range $g_{m\,in} = g < 0$ of intrawell values of g, except for very sm all n.

Numerical results on the logarithm of the distribution R (g_n) = \ln_n obtained from Eq. (25) for n = 0:1 are compared in Fig 7 (a) with the results of the full numerical solution of the balance equation (21). In this latter calculation we did not use the W KB approximation to nd the transition probabilities W $_{n n^0}$. Instead they were obtained by solving numerically the Schrodinger equation $g_{ni} = g_n n$ i and by calculating W $_{nn^0}$ as the appropriately weighted matrix elements $\ln j a_{n^0}^{i^2}$, Eq. (22).

In the calculation we took into account that, for sm all , the levels of \hat{g} form tunnel-split doublets. The tunneling splitting is sm all compared to the distance between intrawell states ! (g). The exact eigenfunctions of the operator \hat{g} are well approximated by symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of the intrawell wave functions. This allows one to restore the intrawell wave functions from the full numerical solution and to calculate the matrix elements $W_{n\,n^0}$. By construction, such numerical approach gives R (g) only for the values of g that correspond to quasienergy levels g_n . It is seen from Fig. 7 (a) that the two methods give extrem ely close results for sm all (see also below).



FIG.7: (Coloronline) Comparison of the results of the eikonal approximation for the scaled logarithm of the probability distribution R over period-two quasienergy g (solid lines) with the results obtained by direct calculation of the transition probabilities followed by numerical solution of the balance equation (squares).

V. THE DISTRIBUTION IN LIMITING CASES

The elective inverse temperature R⁰(g) = and the distribution $_{n}$ can be found in several limiting cases. We start with the vicinity of the bottom of the wells of g(P;Q). Here classical vibrations of P, Q are nearly harmonic. To leading order in g = g g_{in} we have $\ln + m jaj_{in} / j_{n} j_{i1} g^{1=2}$ for $m \in 0$, and therefore the transition probabilities $W_{n+m n} / j_{m ji1}$. Then Eq. (25) becomes a quadratic equation for , giving

$$R^{0}(g_{m in}) = \frac{1}{2}(+1)^{1=2}$$
(26)
$$\ln \frac{(+2)(2n+1)+2^{p}+1}{(+2)(2n+1)-2^{p}+1}:$$

The inverse e ective tem perature R $^0(g_{m\ in})$ as given by Eq. (26) monotonically decreases with the increasing P lanck number n, i.e., with increasing bath tem perature T. W e note that R $^0(g_{m\ in})$ smoothly varies with n for low tem peratures n 1, except for small j j. W e have ${\tt QR}^0(g_{m\ in})={\tt Qn}=2^{2=3}\,(\ +\ 1)=\ {\tt forn\ l}$ 0. For $=\ 0,$ on the other hand, we have R $^0(g_{m\ in})$ $~~?!_F=4kT$, i.e. the e ective inverse tem perature for g = $g_{m\ in}$ is simply / T 1 .

We now consider the parameter ranges where R $^{0}(g)$ can be found for all g.

A. Classical lim it

For n 1 the transition probabilities become nearly symmetric, $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{W}_{n+m\,n} = \mathbf{W}_{n\,m\,n} \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{W}_{n+m\,n}$. As a result, the elective inverse temperature R^0 becomes small, and the ratio $_{n+m} = _n$ can be expanded in $R^0(g_n) =$. This gives

$$R^{0}(g) = 2! {}^{1}(g) {}^{X}_{m} m W_{n+m n} = {}^{X}_{m} m^{2} W_{n+m n} : (27)$$

It is shown in Appendix B that Eq. (27) can be written in the simple form

$$R^{0}(g) = \frac{2}{2n + \frac{1}{2Z}} M(g) = N(g); \qquad (28)$$

$$M(g) = dQ dP;$$

$$R^{0}(g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{A(g)}^{ZZ} dQ dP (\theta_{Q}^{2}g + \theta_{P}^{2}g);$$

where the integration is perform ed over the area A (g) of the phase plane (Q ,P) encircled by the classical trajectory Q (), P () with given g.

From Eq. (28), the elective inverse temperature R^{0} is / [(2n + 1)]¹. In the high-temperature lim it (2n + 1) 2kT=~!_0. Therefore R^{0} is / T¹ and does not contain ~, as expected. Eq. (28) in this lim it co-incides with the expression for the distribution obtained in Ref. 37 using a completely dilement method.

B. V icinity of the bifurcation point

The function R⁰(g) m ay be expected to have a simple form for close to the bifurcation value $_{\rm B}$ = 1 where the two stable states of the oscillator m erge together and g(P;Q) becomes single well. This is a consequence of the universality that characterizes the dynam ics near bifurcation points and is related to the slowing down of motion and the onset of a soft mode. The situation we are considering here is not the standard situation of the classical theory where the problem is reduced to uctuations of the soft mode. The oscillator is not too close to the bifurcation point, its motion is not overdam ped and the interlevel distance exceeds the level broadening. Still as we show R (g) has a simple form.

The motion slowing down for approaching 1 leads to the decrease of the vibration frequencies. From Eq. (11),

$$(g) = 2 = \frac{(1)}{p} / \frac{1}{2g}^{\frac{1}{2}=4} [(+1)=2]^{\frac{1}{2}=2}$$

for +1 1. Therefore one may expect that ! (g)R⁰(g) becomes small, and we can again expand $_{n+m} = _n$ in ! (g_n)R⁰(g_n), as in Eq. (27). One can justify this expansion more formally by noticing that the transition probabilities $W_{n+m,n}$ are nearly symmetric near the bifurcation point j($W_{n+m,n}$ $W_{n-m,n}$) j $W_{n-m,n}$. In the present case the latter inequality is a consequence of the relation Im ($_0$ 2) 1 in Eq. (17), which leads to ja m (g) j jam (g) j jam (g) j. In turn, the above relation between $_0$ and can be obtained from Eqs. (12) and (18), which show that the right-hand side of Eq. (18) is $jn_J = (1 m_J) j^{1=2}$ [38], we have from Eqs. (11) and (18) Im Im $p^{(2)} = 2 p^{(1)} =$ Im $_0 = 2$.

It follows from the above arguments that near the bifurcation point R⁰(g) is given by Eq. (28) for arbitrary P lanck number n, i.e., for arbitrary temperature. Since $\theta_Q^2 g + \theta_P^2 g$ 2 for + 1 1, we obtain from Eq. (28) a simple explicit expression

$$R^{0}(q) = (2n + 1);$$
 (29)

Eq. (29) agrees with Eq. (26) near $g_{m \text{ in}}$ in the limit + 1 1. It shows that the inverse e ective temperature R^{0} is independent of g. It monotonically decreases with increasing temperature T.

C. Zero tem perature: detailed balance

The function R⁰(g) can be also obtained for T = n = 0. This is a consequence of detailed balance that emerges in this case [34]. The detailed balance condition is usually a consequence of time reversibility, which does not characterize the dynamics of a periodically modulated oscillator. So, in the present case detailed balance com es from a special relation between the parameters for T = 0. D etailed balance m eans that transitions back and forth between any two states are balanced. It is m et if the ratio of the probabilities of direct transitions between two states is equal to the ratio of probabilities of transitions via an interm ediate state

$$\frac{W_{n n^{\circ}}}{W_{n^{\circ}n}} = \frac{W_{n n^{\circ}} W_{n^{\circ}} W_{n^{\circ}}}{W_{n^{\circ}} W_{n^{\circ}} W_{n^{\circ}}};$$
(30)

O ne can see from Eqs. (17) and (22) that the condition (30) is indeed m et for n = 0. Therefore the balance equation has a solution $_{n} = _{n^{0}} = W_{n^{0}n} = W_{n n^{0}}$, which im m ediately gives

$$R^{0}(g) = 2! {}^{1}(g) Im ({}_{0} 2):$$
 (31)

The function R⁰(g) is plotted in Fig. 8 for two values of the controlparam eter . It displays di erent behavior depending on the sign of . For < 0 the param eter of the elliptic function m_J, Eq. (12), is negative for all g < 0. Therefore the periods $p^{(1;2)}(g)$ of P (), Q (), Eq. (11), are smooth functions of g, except near $g_{m in} = \frac{1}{4}(+ 1)^2$, where Im $p^{(2)}(1 +)^{1=2}$ jn (g $g_{h in}$)j. This divergence of Im $p^{(2)}$ is seen in Fig.8. The function R⁰(g) remains nite near $g_{m in}$, Eq. (26).



FIG. 8: (Color online) The scaled inverse temperature R⁰ and the imaginary part of the period $_{\rm p}^{(2)}$ of the oscillator coordinate and momentum Q (;g);P (;g) for T = 0. For > 0 both R⁰ and Im $_{\rm p}^{(2)}$ have a logarithm ic singularity.

For > 0, on the other hand, the function R⁰(g) has a singularity. Its location g_d is determined by the condition $m_J = 0$, which gives $g_d = (1 \quad \hat{j}=4$. For small $\hat{j}g_d$ j we have Im $_0 / \text{Im }_p^{(2)} / \hat{j}h$ ($\hat{j}g \quad g_d$) \hat{j} whereas , Eq. (18) remains nite for $g = g_d$. Therefore R⁰(g) diverges logarithm ically at g_d , as seen from Fig. 8 (b).

Physically the divergence of the inverse temperature is related to the structure of the transition probabilities $W_{n n^0}$ for n = 0. For g ! g_d we have $W_{n n+m} / (g_n - g_i)^{2m}$ for m > 0, see Eqs. (17) and (22). This means that, for g_n close to g_d , di usion towards larger

g slows down. The slowing down leads to a logarithmic singularity of R⁰(g). The eikonal approximation is inapplicable for g close to g_d. However, the width of the range of g where this happens is small, g , as follows from the discussion below Eq. (24). In addition, there are corrections to the balance equation due to o -diagonal terms in the full kinetic equation. These corrections give extra terms / $^2=!^2$ (g_d) in the transition probabilities W _{n n⁰}. The analysis of these corrections as well as features of R that are not described by the eikonal approximation is beyond the scope of this paper because, as we show, these features are fragile.

The function R (g_n) = In $_n$ obtained by integrating Eq. (31) is compared in Fig. 7 (b) with the result of the num erical solution of the balance equation (21) with num merically calculated transition probabilities W $_{n n^0}$. The sem iclassical and num erical results are in excellent agreement. We checked that the agreement persists for dierent values of the control parameter and for alm ost all

1, except for a few extrem ely narrow resonant bands of $% \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$.

VI. SW ITCHING EXPONENT

Q uantum di usion over quasienergy described by Eq. (21) leads to switching between the classically stable states of the oscillator at the minim a of g(P;Q) in Fig.4. The switching rate W_{sw} is determined by the probability to reach the top of the barrier of g(P;Q), that is by the distribution $_n$ for such n that $g_n = 0$. To logarithm ic accuracy

$$W_{SW} = C_{SW} \exp(R_A =); \quad R_A = R^0(g) dg; \quad (32)$$

where $R^{0}(g)$ is given by Eq. (25). The parameter C_{sw} is of the order of the relaxation rate due to coupling to a therm albath.

The quantity R_A plays the role of the activation energy of escape. The activation is due to quantum uctuations that accompany relaxation of the oscillator, and we call it quantum activation energy. A swe show in Sec. V III, R_A is smaller than the tunneling exponent for tunneling between the minim a of (P; Q). Therefore if the relaxation rate exceeds the tunneling rate, switching between the stable states occurs via quantum activation.

Q uantum activation energy R_A obtained by solving Eq. (25) numerically for R^0 is plotted in Fig. 3. It depends on the control parameter and the P lanck number n, and it monotonically increases with increasing and decreasing n. C lose to the bifurcation point $_B = 1$, i.e., for $_B = 1$, it displays scaling behavior with $_B$. From Eq. (29)

$$R_{A} = \frac{1}{2} (2n + 1)^{-1} (B_{B}); = 2:$$
 (33)

The scaling exponent = 2 coincides with the scaling exponent near the bifurcation point of a classical para-



FIG.9: (Coloronline) Quantum activation energy of switching between the states of parametrically excited vibrations for di erent oscillator Planck numbers n as a function of the scaled frequency detuning . The transition rate is W_{sw} / exp($R_A =$). W ith increasing n, the value of R_A multiplied by 2n + 1 quickly approaches the classical limit n 1 shown by the dashed line. In this limit the ratio $R_A =$ is / T¹ and does not contain ~.

m etric oscillator where the oscillator m otion is still underdam ped in the rotating frame, i.e., $_{\rm B}$ is not to sm all [37]. It can be seen from the results of Refs. 40, 41 that the exponent = 2 also describes scaling of the activation energy of escape due to classical uctuations closer to the pitch fork bifurcation point where the m otion is necessarily overdam ped.

In the classical lim it 2n + 1 1 we have from Eq. (28) R_A / (2n + 1) / T⁻¹, i.e., the switching rate W_{sw} / exp [($R_A k_B T =$)= $k_B T$], with temperature independent $R_A k_B T =$ being the standard activation energy. The quantity R_A (2n + 1) in the classical lim it as obtained from Eq. (28), is shown with the dashed line in Fig. 9. It is seen that R_A quickly approaches the classical lim it with increasing P lanck num bern, so that even forn = 0:1 the di erence between R_A (2n + 1) and its classical lim it is . 15% . E ectively it means that, for small n 1, the exponent in the probability of quantum activation can be approxim ated by the classical exponent for activated switching in which one should replace

$$k_{\rm B} T ! ~!_0$$
: (34)

VII. FRAGILITY OF THE DETAILED BALANCE SOLUTION

It turns out that the expression for the distribution (23) and (31) found from the detailed balance condition

for T = 0 does not generally apply even for in nitesim ally small but nonzero temperature, in the sem iclassical lim it. This is a consequence of this solution being of singular nature, in som e sense. A periodically modulated oscillator should not have detailed balance, because the underlying time reversibility is broken; the detailed balance condition (30) is satisfied just for one value of n and when other relaxation mechanisms are disregarded.

Form ally, in a broad range of the correction / n to the T = 0 solution diverges. The divergence can be seen from Eqs. (19), (22), (31). The transition probabilities $W_{n\,n+m}$ have term s / n which vary with m as exp [2m Im] for m 1. At the same time, the T = 0 solution (31) gives ^m = exp [n ! (g)R⁰(g)] = exp [2m Im ($_0$ 2)]. Therefore for the series (25) with the term / n in $W_{n\,n+m}$ to converge we have to have

$$Im (_0 3) < 0:$$
 (35)

The condition (35) is met at the bottom of the wells of q(P;Q) and also close to the bifurcation values of the control param eter, 1. We found that, в with increasing , the condition (35) is broken rst for g approaching the barrier top, g ! 0. In this region / ln jgj¹. A som ew hat tedious calcu-Im ₀;Im lation based on the properties of the elliptic functions shows that the condition (35) is violated when >1=2, and for = 1=2 we have Im $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$! 0 for g ! 0. The increase in leads to an increase of the range of g where Eq. (35) does not apply. The detailed balance distribution is inapplicable for T ! 0 in this range.

To nd the distribution for small P lanck number n in the range where Im ($_0$ 3) > 0 we seek the solution of the balance equation (25) in the form

$$R^{0}(g) = 2!^{1}(g) [Im (g)]; 1: (36)$$

This solution does not give diverging terms for n > 0. The terms W_{nn+m} exp[n!(g)R⁰(g)] in Eq. (25) are / n exp(2m) form 1, and their sum overm is / n= . U sing the explicit expression for the transition probabilities we obtain that, to leading order in n

$$= n \exp [n \operatorname{Im} (_{0} 3)] \sinh (m \operatorname{Im}) = j\cos (m \operatorname{Im} _{0} = 2) j^{2} :$$
 (37)

Here again, the sum goes overpositive and negativem . In obtaining Eq. (37) we used the general expression (17) for the coe cients a_m (g) in W_{n+m} . In fact, the asymptotic expressions (19) for a_m (g) allow one to calculate the sum over m in Eq. (37) explicitly and the result provides a very good approximation for \cdot .

Equations (36) and (37) give the e ective inverse tem – perature R^{0} and therefore the distribution $_{n}$ as a whole for n 1. It is clear that the n ! 0 limit is completely di erent from the detailed balance solution (31) for n = 0, that is, the transition to the T = n = 0 regime is nonanalytic. The di erence between the n = 0 and the n ! 0 solution is seen in Figs. 2 and 3.

It follows from Eq. (37) that the perturbation theory diverges for the value of g where Im ($_0$ 3) = 0. At such g the n! 0 solution (36) coincides with the n = 0 solution (31). For sm aller g the condition (35) is satisfied and the T = 0 solution for R⁰(g) applies; the corrections to this solution are / n 1. The derivative of the elective inverse temperature R⁰(g)= over g is discontinuous at the crossover between n! 0 and n = 0 solutions, as seen in Fig. 2. Figure 2 illustrates also the sm earing of the singularity of R⁰(g) due to term s / n in W_{nn⁰}, which is described by the num erical solution of the balance equation (25). A way from the crossover the analytical solutions provide a good approximation to num erical results.

A. B reaking of detailed balance solution by dephasing

Dephasing plays an important role in the dynamics of quantum systems. It comes from uctuations of the transition frequency due to external noise or to coupling to a thermal reservoir. A simple mechanism is quasielastic scattering of excitations of the reservoir o the quantum system. Since the scattering am plitude depends on the state of the system, the scattering leads to di usion of the phase di erence of di erent states.

For an oscillator, dephasing has been carefully studied, both microscopically and phenomenologically, see Refs. 42, 43, 44 and papers cited therein. It leads to an extra term ph in the quantum kinetic equation (20), with

$$\wedge^{\text{ph}} = {}^{\text{ph}} \hat{a}^{\text{y}} \hat{a}; \hat{a}^{\text{y}} \hat{a}; ; \qquad (38)$$

where ^{ph} is the dimensionless dephasing rate.

If both $_{\rm ph}$ and $_{\rm are}$ sm all compared to ! (g), populations of the steady states $_{\rm n}$ are described by the balance equation (21) in which one should replace $W_{\rm n^0n}$!

$$W_{n^{\circ}n} + {}^{ph}W_{n^{\circ}n}^{(ph)}, w \text{ ith}$$
$$W_{n^{\circ}n}^{(ph)} = hn ja^{y} a jn^{\circ} i^{2} : \qquad (39)$$

It follows from Eq. (19) that, for large jn^0 n j we have $W_{n^0n}^{(\mathrm{ph})}$ / exp [$2jn^0$ n jm], that is, the transition probability exponentially decays with increasing jn^0 n j, and the exponent is determined by Im .

Even slow dephasing is su cient for making the detailed balance condition inapplicable. Mathematically, the e ect of slow dephasing is similar to the e ect of nonzero temperature. If the condition (35) is violated, the sum $W_{n+m n}^{(\text{ph})} \exp(m!(g_i)R^0(g_n))$ with $R^0(g)$ given by the detailed balance solution (31) diverges. The correct distribution for the appropriate g and is given by Eq. (36). The parameter (g) is given by Eq. (37) in which n is replaced,

n ! n + C^{ph ph} = ; C^{ph} = !²(g)=2

$$X^{i}$$
 2
 $\exp (2im) = [\exp (im_{0}) + 1]$: (40)
m = 0

It is seen from Eq. (40) and Figs. 2 and 3 that, for low tem peratures, even weak dephasing, $^{\rm ph}=$ 1, leads to a very strong change of the probability distribution.

The fragility of the detailed balance solution discussed in this section is a sem iclassical e ect. It occurs if the number of states in each well N / ¹ is large. Form ally we need exp[2dN Im ($_0$ 3)]& 1, with c = c(g) 1. In other words, the detailed balance solution is fragile provided is su ciently small. A full numerical solution of the balance equation con rm sthat, when is no longer sm all, the distribution for sm all n; ^{ph} = is close to that described by the n = 0; ^{ph} = = 0.

VIII. TUNNELING

The oscillator localized initially in one of the wells of the e ective H am iltonian g(P;Q) can switch to another well via tunneling. For small tunneling can be described in the W K B approximation. We will rst nd the tunneling exponent assuming that the oscillator is in the lowest intrawell state and show that it exceeds the quantum activation exponent $R_A = .$ We will then use standard arguments to show that this is true independent of the initially occupied intrawell state, for all tem peratures.

If we now go back to the original problem of switching between stable states of a periodically modulated oscillator, we see that switching via tunneling can be observed only where the relaxation rate is smaller than the tunneling rate, that is the prefactor in the rate of quantum activation is very small. Such experiment requires preparing the system in one of the wells. Tunneling between period two states of a strongly modulated strongly nonlinear system has been dem onstrated in atom ic optics [45, 46, 47]. For a weakly nonlinear oscillator, tunneling splitting between the lowest states of the H am iltonian \hat{g} for = 0 was found in Ref. [48].

The elective H am iltonian g(P;Q) is quartic in the momentum P. Therefore the sem iclassical momentum P (Q;g) as given by the equation g(P;Q) = g has 4 rather than 2 branches. This leads to new features of tunneling compared to the standard picture for one-dimensional system s with a time-independent H am iltonian quadratic in P.

For concreteness, we will consider tunneling from the left well, which is located at Q = Q $_{10}$ = $(1 + i)^{j=2}$; P = 0. The rate of tunneling from the bottom of the well of g(P;Q) in Fig. 4 is determined by the W KB wave function with g = $g_{m \ in}$ = $(1 + i)^2 = 4$. This wave function is particularly simple for < 0. In the region Q $_{10}$ < Q and not too close to Q $_{10}$ it has the form

$$j_{1}i = C (@_{P} g)^{1=2} \exp [iS_{0} (Q) =];$$

$$S_{0} (Q) = P (Q^{0}) dQ^{0}; \qquad (41)$$

where

P (Q) =
$$i 1 Q^2$$
 $^{1=2}$: (42)

For < 0 the wave function j _1im onotonically decays with increasing Q. The exponent for interwell tunneling is S_{tun} = , with S_{tun} = Im S_0 (Q_{10}) (we use that Q_{10} is the position of the right well),

$$S_{tun} = (1 +)^{1=2} + \log \frac{1 + (1 +)^{1=2}}{j + 2}$$
: (43)

A more interesting situation arises in the case > 0. Here, inside the classically forbidden region $^{1=2} < Q < ^{1=2}$ decay of the wave function is accompanied by oscillations. We leave the analysis of this behavior for a separate paper. Here we only note that the tunneling exponent is still given by Eq. (43).

If the level splitting due to tunneling is sm all com pared to their broadening due to relaxation, the tunneling probability is quadratic in the tunneling am plitude,

$$W_{tun} / \exp(2S_{tun}=)$$
: (44)

The action $2S_{tun}$ as a function of is plotted in Fig.10. It is seen from this gure that the tunneling exponent exceeds the quantum activation exponent $R_A = for$ all values of the control parameter . This indicates that, as mentioned above, it is exponentially more probable to switch between the classically stable states of the oscillator via activation than via tunneling from the ground intrawell state, for not too small relaxation rate.



FIG.10: (Color online) The scaled exponent $2S_{tun}$ in the tunneling probability as a function of the parameter = $2!_F$ (solid line). A loo shown for comparison is the quantum activation energy R_A for n = 0 and n ! 0.

In the same lim it where the relaxation rate exceeds the tunneling rate, we can consider the e ect of tunneling from excited intrawell states of the H am iltonian \hat{g} . The analysis is sim ilar to that for systems in thermal equilibrium [1, 2, 3]. Over the relaxation time there is formed a quasiequilibrium distribution over the states inside the initially occupied well of g(P;Q). As before, we assume that relaxational broadening of the levels g_n is sm all compared to the level spacing. The probability of tunneling from a given state n is determined by its occupation $_n$. The overall switching probability is given by a sum of tunneling probabilities from individual intrawell states

$$W_{sw} = C_{n} e^{2S_{n} =} _{n};$$
 (45)

where $C_{\,n}\,$ is a prefactor that sm oothly depends on n, and

$$S_n = Im P (Q;g_n)dQ$$

is the imaginary part of the action of a classical particle with H am iltonian g(P;Q) and energy g_n , which m oves in complex time from the turning point P=0 in one well of g(P;Q) to the turning point in the other well. It follows from the analysis in Appendix A and Eq. (A 3), that the duration of such motion is $(p^{(1)} + p^{(2)})=2$. Therefore $\varrho S_n = \varrho g_n = Im p^{(2)}=2$.

Taking into account that $_n = \exp(R_n =)$, we see that the derivative of the overall exponent in Eq. (45) over g_n is Im $_p^{(2)} = R^0(g_n)$. It was shown in Secs. IV and V that this derivative is always positive, cf. Fig. 8. Therefore the exponent monotonically increases (decreases in absolute value) with increasing g. This shows that, with

overwhelm ing probability, switching occurs via overbarrier transitions, i.e., the switching mechanism is quantum activation. We emphasize that this result is independent of the bath tem perature.

IX. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we studied sw itching between the states of period two vibrations of a param etrically modulated nonlinear oscillator and the distribution over period-two quasienergy levels. We considered a sem iclassical case where the wells of the scaled oscillator H am iltonian in the rotating fram e g(P;Q), Fig. 4, contain m any levels. The distance between the levels is small compared to \sim !_F but much larger than the tunneling splitting. We assumed that the oscillator is underdam ped, so that the interlevel distance exceeds their width. At the same time, of prim ary interest was the case where this width exceeds the tunneling splitting.

W e considered relaxation due to coupling to a therm al bath, which is linear in the oscillator coordinate, as well as dephasing from random noise that modulates the oscillator frequency. The problem of the distribution over intrawell states was reduced to a balance equation. The coe cients in this equation were obtained explicitly in the W K B approximation, using the analytical properties of the solution of the classical equations of motion. The balance equation was then solved in the eikonal approximation. The eikonal solution was con rm ed by a full numerical solution of the balance equation, which did not use the W K B approximation for transition matrix elements.

We found that the distribution over period-two quasienergy has a form of the Boltzmann distribution with e ective temperature that depends on the quasienergy. This temperature remains nonzero even where the temperature of the thermal bath T ! 0. It is determined by di usion over quasienergy, which accompanies relaxation and has quantum origin: it is due to the F loquet wave functions being combinations of the Fock wave functions of the oscillator.

U nexpectedly, we found that the quasienergy distribution for T = 0, where the system has detailed balance, is fragile. It diers signicantly from the solution for T ! 0, for a large number of intrawell states. The T = 0solution is also destroyed by even small dephasing.

The probability of sw itching between period two states W $_{\rm SW}$ is determined by the occupation of the states near the barrier top of the elective H am iltonian g(P;Q). We calculated the elective quantum activation energy R_A which gives W $_{\rm SW}$ / exp($R_{\rm A}$ =). Both $R_{\rm A}$ = and the exponent of the tunneling probability are proportional to the reciprocal scaled P lanck constant $^{-1}$. How ever, for all parameter values and all bath tem peratures, $R_{\rm A}$ = is sm aller than the tunneling exponent. Therefore in the case where intrawell relaxation is faster than interwell tunneling, sw itching occurs via quantum activation.

In the limit where uctuations of the oscillator are classical, kT \sim !_F, we have R_A / (kT) ¹, and W_{sw} is described by the standard activation law. Down to small Planck numbers n & 0:1 the quantum activation energy R_A is reasonably well described by the classical expression even for sm all kT =~ ! F provided kT is replaced by $\sim !_{\rm F}$ (2n+1)=4, with n being the P lanck num ber of the oscillator. The inapplicability of this description for small n indicates, how ever, that classical and quantum uctuations do not simply add up. The replacem ent kT ! $\sim!_{F}$ (2n + 1)=4 becomes exact for all n close to the bifurcational value of the control parameter = B where the period-two states rst emerge. In this range R_A scales with the distance to the bifurcation point as $R_A / ($ _в)².

The results on switching rate are accessible to direct experimental studies in currently studied nano- and microsystems, in particular in systems based on Josephson junctions, including those used for highly sensitive quantum measurements.

W e gratefully acknowledge a discussion with M .Devoret. This work was partly supported by the NSF through grant No. IT R-0085922.

APPENDIX A:CLASSICAL MOTION OF THE PARAMETRIC OSCILLATOR

The Ham iltonian g(P;Q) (6) is quartic in P and Q. This makes it possible to solve classical equations of motion (9). Trajectories with given g lie on the cross-section g(P;Q) = g of the surface g(P;Q) in Fig. 4. We will be interested only in the intrawell trajectories, in which case g = 0. The trajectories in di erent wells are inversely symmetrical and can be obtained by the transformation Q ! Q, P ! P. Their time dependence can be expressed in terms of the Jacobi elliptic functions [38]. For trajectories in the right well in Fig. 4, where Q > 0, we have

$$Q() = \frac{2^{3-2} j g^{\frac{3}{2}-2} dn^{-0}}{+ + cn^{-0}}; \qquad (A1)$$

$$P() = \frac{+ j g^{\frac{3}{2}-4} sn^{-0}}{+ + cn^{-0}}:$$

Here

=
$$1 + 2jg^{\frac{1}{2}=2}$$
; $0 = 2^{3=2}jg^{\frac{1}{2}=4}$: (A 2)

The parameter of the elliptic functions $m_J = m_J (g)$ is given by Eq. (12). For < 0 the function $m_J (g)$ monotonically decreases with increasing g from $m_J = 0$ for $g = g_{m in} = (+1)^2 = 4 \text{ to } m_J ! 1 \text{ for } g ! 0$. For > 0 the function $m_J (g)$ becomes nonmonotonic. It rst increases from $m_J = 0$ with increasing g, but than decreases, goes trough $m_J = 0$ for $g = (1 \quad \hat{j} = 4, \text{ and} goes \text{ to } 1 \text{ for } g ! 0$. The Jacobi functions sn $(^0j_m_J)$, cn $(^0j_m_J)$ and dn $(^0j_m_J)$ for $m_J < 0$ are equal to

 $(1 m_J)^{1=2} \text{sd} (\sim^0 \text{jr}_J), \text{cd} (\sim^0 \text{jr}_J), \text{and nd} (\sim^0 \text{jr}_J) \text{ with} m_J = m_J = (1 m_J) \text{ and } \sim^0 = (1 m_J)^{1=2} 0$ [38].

The double periodicity of the functions Q (), P () discussed in Sec. IIB is a consequence of the double periodicity of elliptic functions. The expressions for the periods $p_p^{(1;2)}$, Eq. (11), follow from Eq. (A1). The trajectories in the left well of g (P;Q) in Fig. 4, where Q < 0, can be written in the form

$$Q_1() = Q + {(1) + p \choose p} = 2$$
; (A3)
 $P_1() = P + {(1) + p \choose p} = 2$:

This expression shows how to make a transition from one well to another by moving in complex time, which simpli es the analysis of oscillator tunneling.

We note that the function cn 0 in the expressions for Q;P (A1) has periods $_{p}^{(1)}$, $_{p}^{(1)}$ + $_{p}^{(2)}$ =2 [38] as a function of . It's period parallelogram is shown in Fig. 5. In this parallelogram cn 0 takes on any value twice. Therefore both Q () and P () have two poles located at = ; . The values of ; are given by the equation

cn
$$(2^{3-2} \dot{p} J^{1-4}) = + = ;$$
 (A 4)
= $\frac{1}{2} 3 p^{(1)} + p^{(2)}$:

The positions of the poles are shown in Fig.5 form $_{\rm J} > 0$ and m $_{\rm J} < 0$, respectively, with $= 2 = {p \choose p}^{(1)}$; $= 2 = {p \choose p}^{(2)}$. For concreteness we choose

$$Im < Im_{p}^{(2)}=4:$$
 (A5)

U sing the relations between the Jacobi elliptic functions [38] we nd that, near the pole at = ,

$$P i Q / ()^{1}; \quad (A 6)$$

whereas P iQ is not singular at = . Eq. (A 6) was used to obtain the explicit form of the matrix element of the operator $\hat{a} = (2)^{1=2}$ (P iQ) in Sec. II C.

APPENDIX B:THE CLASSICAL LIM IT

In this A ppendix we calculate the e ective inverse tem – perature R $^0(\!g)\,$ in the lim it of large oscillator P lanck num –

ber n 1. The explicit form of the coe cients in Eq. (27) for R⁰ follows from the general expression (22) for the transition rates W _{nn⁰},

$$X \qquad X^{i} \qquad m \ \mathbb{W}_{n+m \ n} = \qquad m \ \mathbf{j}_{a \ m} \ (g_{n}) \ \mathbf{j}^{2}; \ (B \ 1)$$

$$m \qquad m = 1$$

$$X \qquad X^{i} \qquad X^{i} \qquad M^{2} \ \mathbb{W}_{n+m \ n} = (2n + 1) \qquad m^{2} \ \mathbf{j}_{a \ m} \ (g_{n}) \ \mathbf{j}^{2}:$$

The sem iclassical matrix elements a $_m$ are given by Eq. (15). They are Fourier components of the function a (;g) on the classical trajectory with given $g = g_n$.

with $a(;g) = (2)^{1=2} P(;g)$ iQ (;g) and = !(g). The integrals over can be written as contour integrals over dP, dQ along the trajectories with given g. The contour integrals can be further simplied using the Stokes theorem. This gives

where the functions M (g) and N (g) are given by Eq. (28).

- [1] I.A eck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 388 (1981).
- [2] H. Grabert and U. W eiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 1787 (1984).
- [3] A.I.Larkin and Y.N.Ovchinnikov, J.Stat. Phys. 41, 425 (1985).
- [4] R.Landauer, J.Appl. Phys. 33, 2209 (1962).
- [5] A.D. Ventcel' and M.I.Freidlin, Usp.M at. Nauk 25, 3 (1970).
- [6] M.I.D ykm an and M.A.K rivoglaz, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 77, 60 (1979).
- [7] R.Graham and T.Tel, J.Stat. Phys. 35, 729 (1984).
- [8] A.J.Bray and A.J.M cK ane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 493

(1989).

- [9] M.I.Dykman, Phys. Rev. A 42, 2020 (1990).
- [10] R.S.M aier and D.L.Stein, Phys. Rev. E 48, 931 (1993).
- [11] R.L.Kautz, Rep. Prog. Phys. 59, 935 (1996).
- [12] O.A. Tretiakov, T.Gram espacher, and K.A.M atveev, Phys. Rev. B 67, 073303 (2003).
- [13] V .N .Sazonov and V .I.Finkelstein, D oklady A kad.N auk SSSR 231,78 (1976).
- [14] M.J.Davis and E.J.Heller, J.Chem. Phys. 75, 246 (1981).
- [15] A.P.Dm itriev and M.I.Dyakonov, Zh.Eksper.Teor. Fiz. 90, 1430 (1986).
- [16] E.J.Heller, J.Phys.Chem.A 103, 10433 (1999).
- [17] M. I. Dykm an and V. N. Smelyansky, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 94, 61 (1988).
- [18] L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, Mechanics, 3rd ed. (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004)
- [19] R.E.Slusher, L.W. Hollberg, B.Yurke, J.C.Mertz, and J.F.Valley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2409 (1985).
- [20] L.-A.Wu, H.J.Kimble, J.L.Hall, and H.Wu, Phys. Rev.Lett. 57, 2520 (1986).
- [21] R.Loudon and P.L.K night, J.M od.Opt.34, 709 (1987).
- [22] G.A.Garrett, A.G.Rojo, A.K. Sood, J.F.W hitaker, and R.Merlin, Science 275, 1638 (1997).
- [23] D.Rugar and P.G rutter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 699 (1991).
- [24] V.Natarajan, F.Di lippo, and D.E.Pritchard, Phys. Rev.Lett. 74, 2855 (1995).
- [25] I.Siddiqi, R.Vijay, F.Pierre, C.M.Wilson, M.M. etcalfe, C.Rigetti, L.Frunzio, and M.H.Devoret, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 207002 (2004).
- [26] J.C. Laudon, F.B. Balestro, F.W. J.H. ekking, and O.Buisson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 187003 (2004).
- [27] M.Blencowe, Phys.Rep.395, 159 (2004).
- [28] J. S. A klridge and A. N. C kland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156403 (2005).
- [29] J.W .F.W oo and R.Landauer, IEEE J.Quant. Electr. Q E 7, 435 (1971).
- [30] P.D.D rum m ond, K.J.M cN eil, and D.F.W alls, Optica A cta 28, 211 (1981).

- [31] M .W olinsky and H .J.Cam ichael, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1836 (1988).
- [32] P.D.D rum m ond and P.K insler, Phys. Rev. A 40, 4813 (1989).
- [33] P.K insler and P.D.D rum m ond, Phys. Rev. A 43, 6194 (1991).
- [34] G.Y.Kryuchkyan and K.V.Kheruntsyan, Opt.Commun.127, 230 (1996).
- [35] J. Tan and G. Gabrielse, Phys. Rev. A 48, 3105 (1993).
- [36] L.J. Lapidus, D. Enzer, and G.G abrielse, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 899 (1999).
- [37] M. I. Dykman, C. M. Maloney, V. N. Smelyanskiy, and M. Silverstein, Phys. Rev. E 57, 5202 (1998).
- [38] M. Abram ow itz and I. A. Stegun, eds., Handbook of M athem atical Functions with Formulas, G raphs, and M athem atical Table (D over, O xford, 1974).
- [39] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Quantum mechanics. Non-relativistic theory, 3rd ed. (Butterworth-Heinem ann, Oxford, 1981)
- [40] E.Knobloch and K.A.W iesenfeld, J. Stat. Phys. 33, 611 (1983).
- [41] R.Graham and T.Tel, Phys.Rev.A 35, 1328 (1987).
- [42] M. A. Ivanov, L. B. Kvashnina, and M. A. Krivoglaz, Sov. Phys. Solid State, User 7, 1652 (1966).
- [43] A.S.Barker and A.J.Sievers, Rev. M od. Phys. 47, S1 (1975).
- [44] M. I. Dykm an and M. A. K rivoglaz, Soviet Physics Reviews (Harwood, New York, 1984), vol. 5, pp. 265{441.
- [45] W.K.Hensinger, H.Ha er, A.Browaeys, N.R.Heckenberg, K.Helmerson, C.McKenzie, G.J.Milburn, W.D. Phillips, S.L.Rolston, H.Rubinsztein-Dunlop, et al., Nature 412, 52 (2001).
- [46] D.A. Steck, W.H.Oskay, and M.G.Raizen, Science 293, 274 (2001).
- [47] W.K.Hensinger, N.R.Heckenberg, G.J.M ilburn, and H.Rubinsztein-Dunlop, J.Opt.B 5, R83 (2003).
- [48] B.W ielinga and G.J.M ilbum, Phys. Rev. A 48, 2494 (1993).