HEP/123-qed

It inerant U 5f band states in the layered compound UFeG a_5 observed by soft X -ray angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy Shin-ichi Fujim ori¹, Kota Terai¹, Yukiharu Takeda¹, Tetsuo Okane¹, Yuji Saitoh¹, Yasuji M uram atsu¹, Atsushi Fujim ori^{1,2}, H iroshi Yam agam i^{1,3}, Yoshifum i Tokiwa⁴, Shuqo Ikeda⁵, Tatsum a D.M atsuda⁵, Yoshinori Haga⁵, Etsuji Yamamoto⁵, and Yoshichika Onuki^{5,6} ¹Synchrotron Radiation Research Unit, Japan Atom ic Energy Agency, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5148, Japan ²Department of Complexity Science and Engineering, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561, Japan ³Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan ⁴Los A lam os National Laboratory, Condensed Matter and Therm al Physics, MST-10, MS K764, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA ⁵Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atom ic Energy Agency, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan ⁶G raduate School of Science, O saka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan (Dated: March 23, 2024)

Abstract

We have performed angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments on paramagnetic UFeG a_5 using soft X-ray synchrotron radiation (h = 500 eV) and derived the bulk- and U 5f-sensitive electronic structure of UFeG a_5 . A lthough the agreement between the experimental band structure and the LDA calculation treating U 5f electrons as being itinerant is qualitative, the morphology of the Ferm i surface is well explained by the calculation, suggesting that the U 5f states can be essentially understood within the itinerant-electron model.

PACS num bers: 79.60.-i, 71.27.+a, 71.18.+y

I. IN TRODUCTION

Uranium compounds exhibit a rich variety of electrical and magnetic properties, such as heavy Ferm ion (HF) behaviors, a variety of superconductivity and magnetic ordering, due to their peculiar character of the U 5f states. The U 5f electrons are located at the boundary between localized and delocalized states, and should be very sensitive to small changes in their environment. The most fundamental question is how U 5f states are involved in their band structure and Ferm i surface (FS), and how they can be described theoretically. A number of photoem ission studies on various uranium compounds have been made so far to clarify this issue. Especially, angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments have been made on uranium compounds since the observation of energy dispersions in U 5f-derived band is the most direct exam ination of the U 5f states. Denlinger et al. have perform ed ARPES study on HF superconductor URu₂Siz in the photon energy range of h = 85 156 eV, including 5d-5f resonance (h = 108 and 112 eV) [1]. The obtained band structure and FS were not well explained by the local density approximation (LDA) calculation. Instead, they have observed the narrow f-derived band just below the Ferm i level (E_F) , which hybridize with the dispersive non f-band. These features can be understood within the fram ework of Anderson lattice model treatments involving renormalized hybridization of a renormalized f level to underlying non f states in the vicinity of a crossing of non-f bands [2]. Therefore, for URu₂Si₂, the renorm alized band theory rather than conventional LDA is more suitable for the description of the U 5f states. On the other hands, ARPES studies on UAsSe and USb₂ in the photon energy range of h 17.6-60 eV have performed by Los A lam os group, and the good agreement between the experimental results and the LDA calculation was concluded [3, 4]. They have claim ed the itinerant band-like nature of U 5f states in these uranium com pounds. However, in these studies, the ARPES spectra were obtained in very limited part of Brillouin zone, and the agreement with the LDA calculation was not so clear. Thus, the validity of the LDA calculation for U 5f states in uranium compounds is not still understood, and further investigations are need to clarify this issue.

M eanwhile, it has recently been recognized that there exist one critical problem in these ARPES measurements. In these works, the experiments were done with low photon energies $(h = 20 \quad 120 \text{ eV})$. The photoem ission experiments performed in this photon energy range

are the most surface-sensitive, and may be di-cult to relate the observed f band structure to their bulk properties [5]. Especially, U 5f states should be very sensitive to the surface e ects [6]. Another problem in the ARPES measurements with low-h photons, especially He discharge lamp light, is the very low photoem ission cross section of U 5f states compared with those of ligand s, p, and d states. The photoem ission cross sections of p- and d- states are m ore than one order larger than those of f-states. Therefore the obtained energy band dispersions represent contributionsm ostly from ligand s, p, and d states, and those from U 5f states were not clearly distinguished [7, 8, 9]. In recent years, ARPES experiments in soft X ray region (SX -ARPES) have been applied to some strongly-correlated electron system s [10, 11]. O ne of the advantages of this technique is that the spectra are bulk-sensitive com pared with conventional ARPES experiments with low-h photons. For uranium com pounds, a further advantage is the large photoem ission cross section of U 5f states com pared with other ligand s, p, and d states in the soft X-ray region. Therefore, by applying this m ethod for uranium com pounds, bulk- and U 5f state-sensitive band dispersions and Ferm i surface (FS) can be obtained.

In the present study, we have performed ARPES experiments on UFeG a_5 using soft X-ray synchrotron radiation (h = 500 eV) from SP ring-8. We have found that the bulk U 5f electronic structure of UFeG a_5 can be obtained by SX-ARPES, demonstrating the usefulness of SX-ARPES experiments for the direct test of LDA calculation for uranium compounds. Recently, a series of quasi-two-dimensional (2D) f-electron compounds with the HoC oG a_5 -type tetragonal crystal structure have attracted much attention. Ce-based compounds of this series, CeT In_5 (T = Rh, Ir, Co) shows interesting competition between magnetism and superconductivity. For 5f compounds, Sarrao et al. have discovered that one of this series compound PuCoG a_5 undergoes a superconducting transition at T_c as high as 18 K [13]. Also, uranium compounds having this structure show a variety of m agnetic properties which are related to the U 5f orbital degree of freedom [14]. UFeG a_5 is a param agnetic compound am ong this series. dHvA measurem ent was perform ed for this com pound, and som e FS branches were observed [15]. Their topologies were well explained by the LDA band structure calculation including itinerant U 5f states, and the validity of the LDA calculation for 5f states was demonstrated. The mass enhancem ent factor is less than 2, suggesting that this compound belongs to one of the most itinerant uranium compounds. On the other hand, however, it is pointed out that the good agreement

between the dH vA signal and the LDA calculation in f-based compounds can be viewed as somewhat fortuitous. In renormalized band theory, mixing of renormalized f band with the non-f bands mainly changes the f⁰ FS by pushing its E_F crossing to accommodate the f-electron [1]. This is actually the case of CeIrIn₅, where the dH vA signals were well explained by LDA calculation while the ARPES spectra can be interpreted by renormalized band theory [16]. Thus, it is very important to observed not only the topology of FS but also entire band structure to probe the appropriateness of the LDA calculation for f-based compounds. In addition, it is interesting to see whether the 5f spectra of UFeG a₅ show renormalized f-band character as in the case of 4f spectra in CeIrIn₅, delocalized character as predicted by the LDA calculation, or intermediate between them.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Photoem ission experiments were performed at the soft X-rays beam line BL23SU of SP ring-8 [17]. The overall energy resolution was about 100 meV at h = 500 eV. The sample temperature was kept at 25 K during the course of the measurements. The position of the Ferm i level (E_F) was carefully determined by measurements of the evaporated gold lm. Single crystals of UFeG a, were grown by the self- ux method as described in Ref. [15]. C lean sample surfaces were obtained by in situ cleaving the sample with the surface parallel to the a-b plane. Relativistic-linear-augmented-plane-wave (RLAPW) band structure calculations[18] within the LDA [19] were performed to compare the band structure with the ARPES spectra.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, we present the angle-integrated photoem ission (A PES) spectra of UFeG a_5 . Figure 1 shows the A PES spectra of UFeG a_5 , taken at h = 400, 500, and 800 eV. There are two prom inent features as designated by arrows. One is located just below E_F , and the other is located at about 0.4 eV. As the photon energy increases, the intensity of the feature just below E_F increases, and that of the peak at 0.4 eV decreases. The relative photoionization cross-section of U 5f states to Fe 3d states is 0.6, 1.0 and 2.5 for h = 400, 500, and 800 eV.

respectively [20]. Contributions from G a 4p states are considered to be negligible in these spectra because its cross-section is one order of magnitude smaller than those of U 5f and Fe 3d states in this photon energy range. Therefore, the feature located at just below E_F can be attributed mainly to U 5f states, while the peak structure located at $E_B = 0.4 \text{ eV}$ is considered to be mainly from the Fe 3d states. The important point to note is that the spectral intensity in the relatively wide energy region (0.4 eV to E_F) increases as the incident photon energy increases. This indicates that the U 5f states are distributed in an energy range of at least 0.4 eV in the occupied side of E_F . This behavior is dimensity in a narrow er energy region (0.2 eV to E_F).

To observe the momentum dependence of the spectra, we have measured the SX-ARPES spectra of UFeG a_5 at h = 500 eV. This photon energy was chosen because the absolute photoem ission cross section of the U 5f states has the maximum around this photon energy according to the calculation [20]. In this photon energy range, the momentum resolution is estimated to be about $k = 0.02 \text{ A}^{-1}$. Because the size of the Brillouin zone of UFeG a_5 is $=a -0.74\text{A}^{-1}$, about twenty individual k points along one direction in the Brillouin zone can be resolved in the present experiments. Before we show the ARPES spectra, we evaluate the position of the present ARPES scan in the Brillouin zone. In this photon energy range, the momentum of the incident photon is not negligible, and the momentum of the electron perpendicular to the surface with a free-electron - nal state model are given by

$$k_{?} = \frac{2m}{2m} (E_{kin} \cos^{2} + V_{0}) \quad k_{? \, photon};$$
(1)

where E_{kin} is the kinetic energy of the photoelectron, V_0 is the inner potential, is the emission angle of the photoelectron relative to the surface normal and $k_{2 photon}$ are the m on entum of incident photon perpendicular to the surface respectively. To determ ine the k_2 value, we assume that the inner potential is 12 eV, which is a typical value for HF compounds [1]. We have evaluated the momentum of incident photon to be $k_{2 photon} = 0.18A^{-1}$ in the present experimental setup. The position of the APRES cut as a function of k for the h100i and the h110i directions is shown as solid line in Fig. 2 (a). Because the escape depth of the photoelectron in the present experiment is about 10 15 A, the momentum broadening for the k_2 direction should be $k_2 = 0.06 = 0.1A^{-1}$. This broadening width ($k_2 = -0.05 A^{-1}$) is also shown as shaded area in Fig. 2 (a). The present ARPES scans trace around the high-symmetry Z-R-A plane with nite k_2 , and therefore we assume that the spectra probe the high-symmetry Z-R-A plane for k_2 direction.

Figure 2 (b) and (c) show the energy distribution curves (EDC's) of UFeG a_5 m easured along (b) the Z-R (c) the Z-A directions. Som e complicated dispersive features were clearly observed in the spectra for both directions. For the Z-R direction, som e prominent dispersive features were clearly observed. E specially, in the energy region from 0.6 eV to E_F , there exist strongly dispersive features centered at the R point. C lear energy dispersions are observed in the Z-A direction also, and som e of them seem to be crossing E_F . For example, near the middle of the Z-A direction, one energy band disappears as it approaches to E_F , and again it appears as a further increase of the momentum, suggesting the existence of the hole-pocket FS along this direction.

To see these features more clearly and to compare them with the results of the band structure calculations, we have derived the \in age" plot of the band structure by taking the second derivatives of the ARPES spectra. O verall, the second derivatives of the spectra have been used to reveal positions of the band structure. In the present procedure, we have further added two processes for this method. First, we have divided the spectra by the Ferm i-D irac function convoluted with the G aussian function before making the second derivatives. This procedure has been used to reveal the structure around E_F . Second, we have added the second derivatives of EDC's and MDC's with an appropriate weight to make the band in ages. The form er is sensitive to weakly dispersive bands while the later is sensitive to rapidly dispersive bands. By adding these two contributions, one can make the in age plot which releases both of at bands and dispersive bands. We did these procedures very carefully, checking every step so that they do not create spurious structures or wipe out the original weak structures in the ARPES spectra.

Figure 3 (a) and (b) shows the experimental band structures for the Z-R and the Z-A directions derived using this method, respectively. The bright part in the image plot corresponds to the experimental peak position. For the Z-R direction [Fig. 3 (a)], a prominent band is observed in the energy region of 0.6 eV to E_F . From its energy position, the feature has the strong contributions from the U 5f states in shallower binding energy sides (0.4 eV to E_F), and should has the contributions from the Fe 3d states also in higher binding energy sides (0.6 eV to 0.3 eV). This band form shole-like FS around the Z point. The existence of this strongly dispersive energy band suggests that the U 5f states are strongly mixed

with the ligand states. For the Z-A direction [Fig. 3(b)], form ation of few FS's are observed. Particularly, near the middle of the Z-A line, a form ation of the hole-pocket, as is seen in the raw ARPES spectra in Fig. 2(c), is well resolved. These suggest that the U 5f states have large energy dispersions, and form FS in this compound.

W e com pare these results with the energy band structure calculation. Figure 3 (c) and (d) shows the results of the energy band calculation for the Z-R and Z-A directions, in which the U 5f electrons are treated as being itinerant. Contribution from the U 5f and Fe 3d states in each band are also indicated on the color scale. The contribution from the U 5f states is m ainly distributed in the energy region from 0.5 eV to $E_{\rm F}$, consistent with the experimental results of A IPES spectra where the contribution from the U 5f states is distributed in the same energy range. A Ithough the overall agreem ent between experim ent and calculation is not so complete, som e calculated bands have a correspondence to the experim ental bands. For the Z-R direction, there exist two or three bands around the Z point in the experim ental band structure. In the band structure calculation, there are few bands in this energy region, and bands 13-15 seem to correspond to the experim ental bands am ong them . A round the R point, the parabolic band dispersion was observed in the experiment, but it does not exist in the calculation. Instead, there exist bands 13-15 in the calculation, and the experim ental band seems to correspond to the trace of these calculated bands. These calculated bands have large contributions from U 5f states, suggesting that this experimental bands also should have large contribution from U 5f states. For the Z-A direction, while the positions of E_F-crossing are dierent between the calculation and experiment, the experimental band near E_F shows a good correspondence to the calculations. At the Z point, a hole-like FS is observed in both experim ent and calculation. In addition, the hole-pocket, which is observed in the middle of the Z-A line, also exists in the calculated energy band dispersion.

On the other hand, in renorm alized band model, renorm alized f bands located near E_F are hybridized with non-f bands, and the essential band structure except for the renorm alied f bands and the topology of the FS's are very close to those of non-f material. To exam ine the validity of renorm alized band model for UFeG a_5 , we have also shown the result of LDA calculation for ThFeG a_5 as dotted lines in Fig. 4 (c) and (d). The essential band structures of UFeG a_5 and ThFeG a_5 below 0.6 eV, where the contribution from Fe 3d states is dom inant, are very sim ilar each other. However, in the energy range of 0.6 eV to E_F , there exist strong contributions from U 5f states in the calculated band structure of UFeG a_5 , and therefore

the band structures of the U - and Th-com pounds are very di erent. In the calculated band structure of UFeG a_5 , there exist three bands labeled as bands 13-15, which have a large contribution from U 5f states. M eanwhile, there are few bands in this energy range in the calculated band structure of ThFeG a_5 also, but their structures, especially near E_F part, are very di erent. In particular, although the band 15 shows very good correspondence between the experiment and calculation, the corresponding bands in the calculation on ThFeG a_5 cannot reproduce this experiment al band. C on paring these two calculations, it is clear that the agreement with experiment is better for UFeG a_5 can reproduce the characteristic features of the experimental band structure of UFeG a_5 , suggesting the itinerant nature of U 5f states in this com pound. In the case of UR u_2Si_2 , there is no good correspondence between the F_F [1]. In other HF compounds also, the renorm alized f-band behaviors have been observed [8, 9]. Therefore, the present result is quite di erent from the results on other HF uranium compounds.

To obtain more information about the FS's, we have made a 2D mapping of the photoem ission intensity at E_F . Figure 4(a) shows the mapping as a function of k_x and k_y . The two-dimensional scan was done for the rectangular region including part of the rst and the second Brillouin zones. This area contains the some points with the same symmetry, and therefore they are averaged. We constructed a mapping of the small triangular region surrounded by the Z-R-A line by this method, and it was eight-fold sym metrize. The energy window was chosen to be E_F 50 m eV. The spectra were divided by the FD function before this procedure to enhance the contribution from just above E_F . Bright part corresponds to higher intensity and dark part to lower intensity. The most prom inent feature in this in age is the large round-shaped high intensity part centered at the A point, corresponding to the E_F crossing in the Z-A direction [Fig. 3(b)]. Its inner and outer boundaries correspond to the hole-like and electron-like FS centered at the A point respectively. The calculated FS's of UFeG a_5 for the Z-R-A plane are also shown in Fig. 4 (b) as solid lines. In the band structure calculation, there exist two large quasi-2D cylindrical FS's centered at the A-M line as indicated in Fig. 4 (c). These cylindrical FS's have large contributions from U 5f states. Here we note that the shape of the calculated FS is di erent from the one used in Ref. [15]. In the present calculation, this cylindrical FS is connected to the cross-shaped

FS while it is not in Ref. [15]. This connection disappears if the Ferm i level is raised by 1-2 m R yd. Therefore, this feature is very sensitive to the position of the Ferm i level, and the di erence between these two calculations is subtle. The com parison of the experim ental FS with the calculation shows that although their sizes and shapes are di erent between the experiment and the calculation, these FS's exist in the experiment also. Hence, we have obtained a qualitative agreem ent between experimental FS and the result of the LDA calculation. We have shown the calculated FS's of ThFeG a_5 in Fig. 4(b) as dotted lines. The two cylindrical FS's centered at A exist in the calculated FS's of ThFeG a5 also, but the calculation predicts m ore complex FS structure. M oreover, the hole-like FS centered at the Z point does not exists in the calculation on ThFeG a5. Therefore, we conclude that the topology of the experim ental FS's is reproduced by the LDA calculation on UFeG a5 rather than ThFeG a₅. This again argues the itinerant nature of U 5f states in this compound. M eanwhile, an important point to note is that the high intensity part persists from the outer hole-like FS to the inner electron FS as indicated in Fig. 3(b). This indicates the renorm alization of bands around E_F. This result shows an existence of electron correlation e ect in this compound, and is consistent with the fact that the cyclotron mass of these bands are about two times larger than those of the LDA calculation [15].

A coordingly, we conclude that although the agreem ent between the calculation and experiment is not complete, the essential band structure and the morphology of FS of UFeG a_5 are explained by the LDA calculation. At the same time, we have observed that the bands near E_F are renormalized, suggesting the importance of electron correlation elects even in delocalized uranium compounds. For the uranium compounds in which the band structures and FS's were studied by ARPES measurements so far, the renormalized band picture has been appropriate for the description of U 5f states rather than the conventional LDA calculation [1, 7, 8, 9]. We believe that UFeG a_5 is the rest case in f-based compounds, where the both of the essential band structure and FS's are well explained by LDA calculation.

Finally, we discuss the comparison with 4f-electron compounds. In the low-h ARPES study of CeT In₅ (T=Rh and Ir), sim ilar 2D cylindrical FS's were observed [12]. However, in these Ce-based compounds, these FS's are formed by ligand s or p states, and the contribution from f states is negligible. In the case of UFeG a_5 , contributions from U 5f states are dominant in these FS's, and this is a striking di erence between CeT In₅ and UFeG a_5 . This is due to the more delocalized nature of the 5f states compared with the 4f states.

We observed quite dierent behavior of felectrons in Ce-and U-based compounds, and therefore dierent theoretical models are appropriate for their descriptions.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we could successfully observe the bulk-and U 5f-sensitive ARPES spectra of UFeG a_5 , which can be related to the bulk electronic structure of the compound. We have found the good correspondences of the experimental band structure and FS with the LDA calculation on UFeG a_5 , but not with that of ThFeG a_5 . This suggests that the LDA is a good starting point for the description of U 5f states in this compound.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to adknow ledge A.Yokoya and M.Takeyam a for the improvement of the optical performance of the beam line. The present work was nancially supported by a Grant-in-A id for Scienti c Research from the M inistry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology Japan under contact No.15740226, and a REIMEIR essarch Resources from Japan Atom ic Energy Research Institute.

- [1] JD.Denlinger, G.H.Gweon, JW.Allen, CG.Olson, MB.Maple, JL.Sarrao, PE.Amstrong, Z.Fisk, and H.Yamagami, J.Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 117–118, 347 (2001).
- [2] G.Zwicknagl, Adv. Phys. 41, 203 (1992).
- [3] A.J. Arko, J.J. Joyce, D.P. Moorea, J.L. Sarraoa, L. Moralesa, T. Durakiewicza, Z. Fisk,
 D.D. Koelling, C.G. Olson J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom .117–118, 323 (2001).
- [4] E.Guziewicz, T.Durakiewicz, M.T.Butter eld, C.G.Olson, J.J.Joyce, A.J.Arko, J.L.Sarrao, D.P.Moore, and L.Morales, Phys. Rev. B 69, 045102 (2004).
- [5] A. Sekiyam a, T. Iwasaki, K. Matsuda, Y. Saitoh, Y. O nuki and S. Suga, Nature (London) 403, 396 (2000).

- [6] S.I. Fujim ori, Y. Saito, M. Seki, K. Tamura, M. Mizuta, K.I. Yamaki, K. Sato, A. Tanaka, N. Sato, S. Suzuki, S. Sato, T. Okane, T. Komatsubara, Y. Tezuka, S. Shin and T. Ishii, Phys. Rev. B 59, 10469 (1999).
- [7] H.Kum igashira, T.Ito, A.Ashihara, H.D.Kim, H.Aoki, T.Suzuki, H.Yam agami, T.Takahashi, and A.Ochiai, Phys. Rev. B 61, 15707 (2000).
- [8] T. Ito, H. Kum igashira, H.-D. Kim, T. Takahashi, N. Kimura, Y. Haga, E. Yam amoto,
 Y. Onuki, and H. Harima, Phys. Rev. B 59, 8923 (1999).
- [9] T. Ito, H. Kum igashira, T. Takahashi, Y. Haga, E. Yam am oto, T. Honma, H. Ohkuni, and Y. Onuki, Phys. Rev. B 60, 13390 (1999).
- [10] A. Sekiyama, S.Kasai, M. Tsunekawa, Y. Ishida, M. Sing, A. Irizawa, A. Yamasaki, S. Imada, T.Muro, Y. Saitoh, Y.Onuki, T.Kimura, Y. Tokura, and S. Suga, Phys. Rev. B 70, 060506 (R) (2004).
- [11] S. Suga, A. Shigem oto, A. Sekiyam a, S. Im ada, A. Yam asaki, A. Irizawa, S. Kasai, Y. Saitoh, T. Muro, N. Tom ita, K. Nasu, H. Eisaki, and Y. Ueda, Phys. Rev. B 70, 155106 (2000).
- [12] S.-I. Fujim ori, T. Okane, J. Okam oto, K. Mamiya, Y. Muram atsu, A. Fujim ori, H. Harima, D. Aoki, S. Ikeda, H. Shishido, Y. Tokiwa, Y. Haga, and Y. Onuki, Phys. Rev. B 67, 144507 (2003).
- [13] JL. Sarrao, LA. Morales, JD. Thom pson, BL. Scott, GR. Stewart, F. Wastin, J. Rebizant, P. Boulet, E. Colineau, and G. H. Lander, Nature (London) 420 297.
- [14] K.Kaneko, N.Metoki, N.Bernhoeft, G.H.Lander, Y.Ishii, S.Ikeda, Y.Tokiwa, Y.Haga, and Y.Onuki, Phys. Rev. B 68, 214419 (2003).
- [15] Y. Tokiwa, T. Maehira, S. Ikeda, Y. Haga, E. Yamamoto, A. Nakamura, Y. Onuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 2982 (2001).
- [16] S.-I. Fujimori, A. Fujimori, K. Shimada, T. Narimura, K. Kobayashi, H. Namatame, M. Taniguchi, H. Harima, H. Shishido, S. Ikeda, D. Aoki, Y. Tokiwa, Y. Haga, and Y. Onuk, cond-m at/0602296.
- [17] A. Yokoya, T. Sekiguchi, Y. Saitoh, T. Okane, T. Nakatani, T. Shimada, H. Kobayashi,
 M. Takao, Y. Teraoka, Y. Hayashi, S. Sasaki, Y. Miyahara, T. Harami and T.A. Sasaki, J.
 Synchrotron Rad. 5, 10 (1998).
- [18] H.Yam agam i, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 67, 3176 (1998).
- [19] U.von Barth and L.Hedin, J.Phys.C5, 1629 (1972).

[20] J.J.Yeh and I.Lindau, Atom ic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 32 (1985).

FIG.1: (Online color) Valence-band spectra of UFeG a_5 m easured with h = 400, 500, and 800 eV.

FIG.2: (Online color) (a) Position of ARPES cuts in k space. ARPES spectra of UFeG a_5 m easured along (b) the Z-R and (c) the Z-A directions. The Brillouin zone is also indicated.

FIG.3: (C olor)B and structure of UFeG a_5 m easured along (a) the Z-R and (b) the Z-A directions. C alculated energy band dispersions to be compared with the experiment along (c) the Z-R and (d) the Z-A directions are shown.

FIG. 4: (Color) (a) Experimental Ferm i surface of UFeG a_5 (b) Calculated Ferm i surface of UFeG a_5 (solid lines.) and ThFeG a_5 (dotted lines) in the Z-R-A plane. (c) Calculated three-dimensional Ferm i surface of UFeG a_5 .

This figure "Figure1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "Figure2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "Figure3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "Figure4.png" is available in "png" format from: