

Spin waves in quasi-equilibrium spin systems.

Kevin S. Bedell and Hari P. Dahal

Department of Physics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, 02467

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

Using the Landau Fermi liquid theory we have discovered a new regime for the propagation of spin waves in a quasi-equilibrium spin system. We have determined the dispersion relation for the transverse spin waves and found that one of the modes is gapless. The gapless mode corresponds to the precessional mode of the magnetization in a paramagnetic system in the absence of an external magnetic field. One of the other modes is gapped which is associated with the precession of the spin current around the internal field. The gapless mode has a quadratic dispersion leading to some interesting thermodynamic properties including a $T^{3/2}$ contribution to the specific heat. We also show that these modes make significant contributions to the dynamic structure function.

PACS numbers:

There has been much renewed interest in studying non-equilibrium (NEQ) spin polarized paramagnetic Fermi liquids and gases. The NEQ spin system can be defined as a polarized spin system which can be obtained by maintaining an unequal population of different spin species to create an off-balanced Fermi level in the absence of an external field. This is both an old subject [1, 2], and a rather new one [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The NEQ spin systems have been created in a wide variety of materials using many novel techniques. An example of the most recent one would be the creation of steady state spin polarization in a system of cold and ultra-cold Fermi gases of alkali metals. In these systems one uses optical trapping and atomic manipulation to get unequal populations of the fermions in two different states [3, 4, 5]. The NEQ spin systems have also been created in many materials which comprise the study of one of the most promising areas of physics, namely spintronics [6, 8, 9, 10]. In this area of study a couple of methods are in practice to achieve the NEQ state of these spin systems.

Widely used methods for achieving NEQ states are optical pumping and polarized spin injection. In the optical pumping method [6], electron orbital momentum is directly oriented by a strongly circularly polarized laser light and through the spin-orbit interaction the electron spin is polarized. In the polarized spin injection [6] method one connects a magnetic electrode to a normal metal sample and drives a polarized current using external biasing which creates unequal population of spins in the normal metal. In such a ferromagnet-metal bilayer structure, it has been suggested that if the direction of the magnetization in the ferromagnet is made to precess (by applying an external magnetic field on the ferromagnet) we can inject polarized spin into the normal metal [10]. It has also been suggested in the literature that we can use the interaction of the electron spin with the strain-induced potential to create the NEQ system in a nano-mechanical system [9]. The NEQ system of polarized liquid helium is one example of an old but still interesting subject [11]. The rapid melting method suggested by Castaing and Nozières [12, 13], and the optical pumping [1, 2, 11] were widely used to create the NEQ

systems of liquid helium.

In this Letter we are interested in studying the thermodynamics and the spin dynamics of the NEQ systems in the limit of small spin polarization. We know that for a paramagnetic spin system of free fermions in the presence of an external magnetic field, the magnetization, m , precesses around the applied magnetic field at the Larmor frequency. For a small transverse perturbation $\vec{B} = B_x \hat{x} + B_y \hat{y}$, and defining $B = B_x - iB_y$ and $m = m^x - im^y$, the evolution of m for non-interacting Fermi system can be written as [14],

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = 2im_0 B \quad (1)$$

where $m = m_0 e^{i\phi_0 t}$ and $\phi_0 = 2B$ is the Larmor frequency and B is the external magnetic field. The fact that the magnetization precesses around the external field with the Larmor frequency also holds true for a system of interacting fermions, with spin conserving interactions. Now, for the NEQ systems, since the external field is zero, the precessional mode should be gapless. To understand the details of the dispersion of the gapless mode we need to study the thermodynamics and the dynamics of a Fermi liquid away from equilibrium.

The general theory of Fermi liquids and gases far from equilibrium is beyond our current understanding. We will develop here the theory for weakly spin polarized paramagnetic Fermi liquids with $B = 0$. In this limit we can argue that the Fermi liquid will be in a quasi-equilibrium (QEQ) state. It is well known that the polarization dependent chemical potential has the form $\mu(m) = \mu(0) + O(m^2)$ [15], hence for weak polarization, i.e. for $\frac{m}{n} \ll 1$ where n is the particle density, $\mu(m) \approx \mu(0)$. This suggests that for small m the system will be in a QEQ phase and we can study the dynamics of the spin system around this phase. We know that the variation of the energy per-unit volume due to a variation in the distribution function from the ground state is written as [15, 16, 17]:

$$\delta E = \sum_p \delta f_p^0 \epsilon_p + \sum_{p,p'} \delta f_{pp'}^0 \epsilon_{pp'} + \dots \quad (2)$$

So the quasi-particle energy can be written as:

$$\epsilon_p^0 = \epsilon_p^0 + \sum_{p^0} f_{pp^0}^0 n_{p^0} + \dots \quad (3)$$

where for a spin conserving interaction with $\frac{m}{n} \ll 1$,

$$f_{pp^0}^0 = f_{pp^0}^s + f_{pp^0}^a \quad (4)$$

Using Eq.(3) and expanding $f_{pp^0}^{s;a}$ in Legendre polynomials we can derive that the chemical potential of the up and the down spins will have the forms $\mu_F^0 = \epsilon_F^0 + \frac{F_0^a m}{N(0)} + O(m^2)$ and $\mu_F^0 = \epsilon_F^0 + \frac{F_0^a m}{N(0)} + O(m^2)$, where $N(0) = \frac{k_F m}{2}$ is the $m = 0$ density of states of the quasi-particles at the Fermi level. So in leading order in m , $\mu_F^0 = \epsilon_F^0 + \frac{2F_0^a m}{N(0)}$. For the EQ system, $\mu_F^0 = \epsilon_F^0 + B + \frac{F_0^a m}{N(0)} + O(m^2)$ and $\mu_F^0 = \epsilon_F^0 + B + \frac{F_0^a m}{N(0)} + O(m^2)$. Again in leading order in m , $\mu_F^0 = \epsilon_F^0 + \frac{2F_0^a m}{N(0)} = \frac{2m}{N(0)}$. Contrary to the EQ system, we see that the change in the Fermi energy of the up spin and the down spin fermions of the QEQ system depends explicitly on the Fermi liquid parameter F_0^a . For the QEQ and EQ systems with a majority of up spins, we have shown, in Fig.1a, the change in the Fermi energy of the up spin (ϵ_F^0) and the down spin (ϵ_F^0) fermions, and in Fig.1b, the energy spectrum of these spin species. Here B_{eff} is the effective field. For the QEQ system $B_{eff} = \frac{m F_0^a}{N(0)}$ and for the EQ system $B_{eff} = \frac{m}{N(0)} = \frac{B}{1+F_0^a}$. For a QEQ system, Fig.1a corresponds to a steady state with constant number density which can be obtained, for example, either by taking out some down spins and putting in equal number of up spins or by flipping the down spin over. To maintain such steady states we need to continuously supply energy to the system (e.g., continuously shining light in the system in the optical pumping method) against the loss in the polarization due to spin flip scattering mechanism. In what follows we want to fix the number density of the Fermi ions to avoid any complication that would be introduced due to the coupling between the charge density fluctuations and the spin density fluctuations.

To study the collective excitations of the QEQ system we investigate the oscillations of the transverse component of the magnetization (m_p^1). The linearized kinetic equations for the evolution of m_p^1 can be written as [14, 16, 17]:

$$\frac{\partial m_p^1}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (m_p^1) = \frac{\partial n_p^0}{\partial \epsilon_p^0} \frac{\partial h_p^1}{\partial t} = 2(m_p^1 \dot{h}_p^1 + m_p^1 \dot{h}_p^1) + I[m_p^1] \quad (5)$$

where $\dot{h}_p^1 = \frac{1}{B} + \frac{P}{p^0} f_{pp^0}^0 m_{p^0}^1$ is the fluctuation in the effective magnetic field and $I[m_p^1]$ is the collision integral. The equilibrium field $h_p^0 = B + \frac{F_0^a m_{p^0}}{p^0}$. For the QEQ system $B = 0$. We can use the relaxation time approximation for the collision integral




FIG. 1: For the QEQ and EQ systems with a majority of up spins: (a) the change in the Fermi energy, and (b) the energy spectrum of the up and the down spin fermions. $B_{eff} = \frac{m F_0^a}{N(0)}$ for the QEQ system and $B_{eff} = \frac{m}{N(0)} = \frac{B}{1+F_0^a}$ for the EQ system.

ie. $I[m_p^1] = \langle \frac{m_p^1 m}{D} \rangle$ where D is the spin diffusion lifetime where in a Fermi liquid it varies as T^{-2} with the temperature. Here we investigate the collective modes of the QEQ system at $T \ll 0K$ for which we can set $I[m_p^1]$ to be zero. We will discuss briefly the effect of the finite temperature on the modes later in this paper but the details of the calculation will be shown elsewhere. We have also assumed that nuclear relaxation time, T_1 , is sufficiently long so that we can maintain the QEQ state for time much longer than any diffusion time. The effect of the finite T_1 is being studied currently.

Defining $m_p = \frac{\partial n_p^0}{\partial \epsilon_p^0} \frac{m}{N(0)}$, and $m_p = \frac{\partial n_p^0}{\partial \epsilon_p^0} p$ [16, 17] and using $p(r;t) = \int d^3 q d! p(q;t) e^{i(q \cdot r - t)}$ for the Fourier transformation of Eq.(5), we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{q}{2} - \frac{2F_0^a m}{N(0)} \right) p = \left(\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{q}{N(0)} - \frac{2m}{N(0)} \right) B \quad (6)$$

To derive dispersion relations we set $B \neq 0$. We substitute p by its expansion in Legendre polynomials: $p = \sum_{l=1} P_l(p)$ and project out the $l = 0, l = 1, l = 2$ and $l = 3$ components of Eq.(6). Because of the \cos term in $\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{q}{N(0)}$ one sees that every moment couples to one higher moment and one lower moment. Solving the equation for $l = 3$ moment we find that $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{q F_0^a}{2m F_0^a}$ which can be negligible for low q . We also find that the exclusion of the $l = 2$ moment also does not change the important aspect of the dispersion. To see the main features of the modes we will first work with the projection of the $l = 0$, and $l = 1$ moments and truncate the distortions of the Fermi surface, p_1 , and the Landau parameters, F_1^a , for $l \geq 2$.

For the $l = 0$ moment, we get,

$$0 = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{F_1^a}{3} \right) q F_{p-1} = 0 \quad (7)$$

which gives the net magnetization conservation law.

For the $l = 1$ moment, which gives the motion of the magnetization current, we get,

$$(1 + \frac{1}{3}) p_1 = q F (1 + F_0^a) p_0 = 0 \quad (8)$$




FIG. 2: Normalized ω for the collective modes and the p-h continuum as a function of normalized q for the QEQ spin system.

where $\omega_1 = \frac{2m}{N(0)} (F_0^a - \frac{F_1^a}{3})$ is related to the internal field due to the interacting fermions.

Solving the Eqs.(7,8), we get,

$$(\omega_1 + \omega_0) \omega_1^2 = 0 \quad (9)$$

where $\omega_0^2 = \frac{1}{3} (1 + F_0^a) (1 + \frac{F_1^a}{3})^2 F_1^2$. We solve Eq.(9) for ω . The solutions are:

$$\omega_0(q) = \frac{\omega_0^2 q^2}{\omega_1} \quad (10)$$

$$\omega_1(q) = \omega_1 + \frac{\omega_0^2 q^2}{\omega_1} \quad (11)$$

If we take F_1^a and $\omega_1 = 0$ for $l = 3$ and include the projection of $l = 2$, Eq.(9) will be modified to,

$$(\omega_1 + \omega_0) \omega_1^2 (\omega_1 + \omega_2) \omega_2^2 = 0 \quad (12)$$

where $\omega_{s1}^2 = \frac{1}{3} (1 + \frac{F_1^a}{3}) (1 + \frac{F_2^a}{3})^2 F_1^2$. From Eq.(12) we can show that the contribution of order q^2 to the dispersion relations will be only to $\omega_1(q)$, which now reads as,

$$\omega_1(q) = \omega_1 + \frac{\omega_0^2 q^2}{\omega_1} \frac{4N(0)}{30m} (\frac{3}{F_1^a} + 1) q^2 \quad (13)$$

For the EQ system, Eq.(6) will have the form

$$(\omega_1 - \omega_0) \omega_1 \frac{d}{dq} \left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0} \right) - \frac{2m}{4} \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0} \omega_0^2 = \left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0} - \frac{2m}{N(0)} \right) B \quad (14)$$

which modifies the Eqs.(10) and (11) as follows:

$$\omega_0(q) = \omega_0 + \frac{\omega_0^2 q^2}{\omega_1} \quad (15)$$




FIG. 3: Normalized ω for the collective modes and the p-h continuum as a function of normalized q in the EQ spin system.

$$\omega_1(q) = \omega_0 + \omega_1 + \frac{\omega_0^2 q^2}{\omega_1} \quad (16)$$

where $m = \frac{N(0)}{1 + F_0^a} B$. We mention here, for the purpose of later use, that the particle-hole (p-h) continuum for the QEQ and the EQ systems are given by $\omega_{ph} = 2m \omega_0^2 + \frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dq}$ and $\omega_{ph} = \omega_0 - 2m \omega_0^2 + \frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dq}$, respectively.

We evaluated the dispersion relations of the collective modes and the p-h continuum for 10% polarized QEQ and EQ spin systems using the Fermi liquid parameters suitable for a polarized liquid helium at zero pressure [18]. For the EQ system $\frac{1}{N(0)} = 0.04$ corresponds to 10% polarization. The results have been presented in Figs.(2,3).

In Fig 2, we see that the QEQ system has one gapless and one gapped collective mode. In principle, we will have 1 gapped modes if we include up to l^{th} term of the expansion of $f_{pp^0}^{s;a}$ and ω_p in the Landau kinetic equation. We have shown one of those gapped modes in Fig. 2. The gapless mode has a dispersion relation similar to that of the Goldstone mode of a ferromagnetic system [19]. The gapless mode is related to the precessional mode of the magnetization in the absence of an external field. This fact can be understood by setting $q = 0$ in Eq.(7), which gives $\omega_0 = 0$, and for $\omega_0 \neq 0$ we get $\omega = 0$ and hence a gapless mode. The gapped mode originates from the oscillation of the spin current, j^s / ω_1 . From Eq.(8) with $q = 0$ we have $(\omega_1 + \omega_0) \omega_1 = 0$, and for $\omega_1 \neq 0$ we find $\omega = \omega_1$. Thus the gapped mode is related to the precession of the spin current around the internal field due to the interacting fermions. We also see that these collective modes are well separated from the p-h continuum at low q and hence will be propagating modes. If we did not include f_1^a in the kinetic equation, the current mode would lie inside the p-h continuum, and hence would be Landau damped. This shows the importance of including f_1^a in the kinetic equation. In Fig 3, we see

that both the collective modes of the EQ system are also propagating. But contrary to the QEQ system, none of the modes are gapless. The uniform mode in the EQ system corresponds to the precession of the magnetization around the external field at the Larmor frequency, ω_0 . The current mode corresponds to the precession of the spin current and is gapped with $\omega_0 + \omega_1$.

The presence of the f_1^a in the kinetic equation has an interesting consequence in the dynamical structure function, $S(q; \omega)$. From Eq.(6), we find an expression for the dynamical spin susceptibility using $\chi(q; \omega) = \frac{N(0)\mu_B}{B}$ [16, 17] and used it to obtain

$$S(q; \omega) = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Im}[\chi(q; \omega)] - \text{Im}[\chi(q; -\omega)]) \quad (17)$$

to study the frequency dependence of $S(q; \omega)$ and the f-sum rule. For experimental purpose $S(q; \omega)$ is defined in relation to the scattering cross section as $\frac{d^2}{d\omega d\Omega} = \omega_0 S(q; \omega)$ [20] where ω_0 contains all the elements related to the probe particle interaction and $S(q; \omega)$ characterizes the many-body system. The f-sum rule states that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(q; \omega) d\omega = (1 + \frac{F_1^a}{3}) \frac{nq^2}{2m}$ [21], where n is the total density, and m is the effective mass. For the QEQ system we see that the gapless and the gapped collective modes exhaust the sum rule if we include the f_1^a in the kinetic equation. In this case the p-h contribution to the sum will be proportional to q^4 . But if we did not include f_1^a the p-h continuum would also have a contribution proportional to q^2 . The gapped mode, which comes out of the p-h continuum due to the inclusion of f_1^a in the kinetic equation, takes out most of the spectral weight of the p-h continuum contribution to the sum rule. We observe a similar behavior for the EQ phase, as was found earlier in the ferromagnetic system [19].

In addition to the dynamical consequences of the gapless mode there may be interesting thermodynamic properties that can be observed in the QEQ system. Perhaps the most dramatic would be a $T^{3/2}$ contribution to the specific heat. Whereas, for the EQ system, because all of the transverse collective modes are gapped, the specific heat contribution would decrease exponentially with the decrease in temperature.

As mentioned earlier, to study the effect of the temperature on the collective modes, we solved the Landau kinetic equation using the relaxation-time approximation for the collision integral. We find that the real part of the dispersion relations remains dominant at low temperature. The dispersion relation shows a transition of the spin system from the collisionless region to a hydrodynamic region as has been discussed in the literature [16].

In summary using the Landau Fermi liquid theory we explored the thermodynamics and the dynamics of a paramagnetic spin system which is out of equilibrium. We report for the first time the prediction of a gapless collective mode in such systems. We discuss the difference in the nature of the collective modes in the QEQ and the EQ systems. We point out the importance of including the f_1^a Landau parameter in the kinetic equation. We believe that some of the qualitative features of the collective modes, in particular the gapless mode, will also be seen in systems far from equilibrium. We want to emphasize that this study is applicable in a wide variety of interesting materials.

This work is done with the support of grant DOE/DEFG 0297ER 45636. One of the authors KSB, would like to thank the Aspen Center for Physics and the KITP in Santa Barbara for their hospitality. We would also like to thank Dr. Krastan Blagoev and Raul Chura for valuable discussion and advice.

-
- [1] M. Bouchiat, T. Carver, and C. Vamum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 5, 373 (1960).
 - [2] F. Colegrove, L. Scheerer, and G. W. alters, Phys. Rev. 132, 2561 (1963).
 - [3] A. J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 307 (2001).
 - [4] W. Ketterle, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 1131 (2002).
 - [5] M. W. Zwierlein, A. Schirotzek, C. H. Schunck, and W. Ketterle, cond-mat/0511197 and the references therein (2005).
 - [6] I. Zutic, J. Fabian, and S. D. Samra, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 323, and the references therein (2004).
 - [7] A. Cho, Science 310, 1892 (2005).
 - [8] J. Fernandez-Rossier, C. Piermarocchi, P. Chen, A. MacDonald, and L. Sham, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 127201 (2004).
 - [9] A. M. Alshukhov, C. Tang, C. Chu, and K. Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 107203 (2005).
 - [10] Y. Tserkovnyak, A. B. Rataas, and G. E. W. Bauer, Phys. Rev. B 66, 224403 (2000).
 - [11] D. Candela, M. Hayden, and P. J. Nacher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2587, and the references therein (1994).
 - [12] B. Castaing and P. Nozieres, J. Phys. (Paris) 40, 257 (1979).
 - [13] M. Chapellier, G. Fossati, and F. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 904 (1979).
 - [14] K. Bedell, in Modern Perspectives in Many-Body Physics: Proceedings of the Sixth Physics Summer School, 1993, edited by M. P. Das and J. Mahanty, The Australian National University (World Scientific, 1994), pp. 119{154.
 - [15] C. R. Sanchez-Castro, K. S. Bedell, and S. A. J. Weigers, Phys. Rev. B 40, 437 (1989).
 - [16] G. Baym and C. Pathick, Landau Fermi-liquid Theory (New York, Wiley, 1991).
 - [17] D. Pines and P. Nozieres, The theory of quantum liquids, vol. I (Advanced Book Classics, 1966).
 - [18] D. S. Greynwall, Phys. Rev. B 27, 2747 (1982).
 - [19] K. B. Blagoev and K. S. Bedell, Phil. Mag. Lett. 81, 511 (2001).
 - [20] P. Nozieres, Theory of Interacting Fermi System (Advanced Book Classics, 1997).
 - [21] A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. 147, 119 (1966).