## Detecting Dichroism in Angle Resolved Photoemission

#### C.M. Varma

Department of Physics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

### Abstract

Recently, the time-reversal violation predicted for the pseudogap phase of the cuprates, which was observed by dichroism experiments using Angle-Resolved Photoem ission has also been observed by polarized neutron di raction. Earlier derivation of dichroism in angle resolved photoem ission due to time-reversal violation relied on existence of mirror planes in the crystal. Here the theory of the ect is generalized to the case that mirror plane symmetry is weakly violated due to perturbing potentials such as a superstructure.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A theory for the pseudogap phenom ena in the Cuprates suggests that it is a phase with broken time-reversal symmetry due to an ordered array of current loops, without change in translational symmetry [1, 2]. Such an elusive phase can be detected by dichroism in circularly polarized angle resolved photoem ission [3, 5]. Such experiments were done and found the e ect [4]. Recently the broken symmetry deduced in such experiments has been directly observed by polarized neutron direction experiments [6, 7].

I rst sum marize the proposal for the dichroic experiment \$]: First, one must take note of the geometric dichroice ect which exists with and without any time-reversal breaking. This is the dierence in intensity of outgoing photo-current for incident right circular polarized photons and left circular polarized photons if the momentum of the initial electronic state for photoem ission is not in the mirror plane of the crystal while the photon is incident in the mirror plane. This elect is null when the momentum of the initial state lies in the mirror plane and it is odd about variations of momentum perpendicular to it. In addition to this, if the crystal is in a phase which breaks time-reversal symmetry accompanied by rejection symmetry breaking about this mirror plane, the dierence is non-zero at this mirror plane and is even for variations perpendicular to it. So on cooling from high temperatures where time-reversal is preserved to below a temperature at which time-reversal symmetry is broken, the momentum for which the dichroice ect is zero moves away from the mirror plane. This is the dichroice ect to be looked for to show time-reversal violation as well as to provide the detailed specification of the state through discovery of the crystalline mirror planes about which the reference is non-zero.

It is in portant to realize (i) that both time-reversal and (some) mirror plane symmetry must be lost to see the elect and (ii) the dichroice ect is observed because it is linear in the time-reversal violating wavefunction; experiments sensitive only to the jwavefunction for (such as ordinary charge diffraction experiments or ARPES with linear polarization) are insensitive to the time-reversal violation as well as the accompanying loss of relection symmetry of the wave-function. The latter kind of experiment is of-course sensitive to loss of relection symmetry arising from perturbations in atomic positions or potentials which lower the symmetry of the crystal. Proving time-reversal violation below a certain temperature therefore requires the occurrence of the dichroice ect below that temperature unaccompanions.

nied by a loss of sym metry in the latter type of experiment.

A legitim ate question can be raised about the dichroism experiments performed: the theory of the e ect, as sum marized above relies on the existence of mirror planes in the crystal. But due to the superstructure modulation, a buckling of the BiO layer, no mirror plane strictly exists on the surface of the BISCCO crystals on which the ARPES experiments are performed. How then to interpret the observed results? It ought to be mentioned that since the surface reconstruction is observed not to be temperature dependent and the dichroic e ect is zero above a certain temperature and changes its magnitude below it, prima facie, the dichroism cannot be due to this loss of symmetry. However, it is worth investigating how the dichroism e ect may be modiled due to loss of mirror symmetry. This is the aim of this addenda.

The conclusion is that provided the loss of mirror plane symmetry is due to a small perturbation 1 on the electronic states studied in ARPES, the zero of the dichroice ect shifts linearly in , while a nite e ect due to time-reversal violation occurs at the position where the geometrice ect is zero and is even about it. So, the point of zero of the dichroic ect moves on breaking time-reversal symmetry just as it does when there is a crystalline mirror plane. This conclusion is derived below.

# II. GEOMETRIC AND TIME-REVERSAL INDUCED DICHROISM IN THE AB-SENCE OF A MIRROR PLANE

Suppose a beam of circularly polarized photons of energy! shone on a crystal in the direction  $\hat{n}$  produces free-electons with momentum p and energy  $E_p$  at the detector. Let  $\hat{k}$  is denote the states of the crystal. Here k is the wave-vector in the rst Brillouin zone. A lso k = p, modulo the reciprocal vectors, because the photon is assumed to have negligible momenta compared to the electrons. Quite generally,

$$\dot{p}i = _{m}\dot{p}; ei + _{m}\dot{p}; oi; \tag{1}$$

$$ki = {}_{m}k;ei + {}_{m}k;oi:$$
 (2)

The division of the wavefunctions into two parts is made such that in reection on any plane the part labeled e does not change sign and the part labeled o does:

$$<_{m} \dot{p}i = _{m} \dot{p}; ei _{m} \dot{p}; oi;$$
 (3)

$$<_m ki = _m k;ei _ m k;oi:$$
 (4)

If the reflection were on a mirror plane and p; k were in the mirror plane,  $_{m} = _{m} = 0$ . This was the case treated in (5). Suppose we consider a plane m which looses the symmetry of a mirror plane weakly due to a perturbing potential. Then for p; k in m,  $_{m}$  and  $_{m}$  are of 0 () 1. We will also consider further small deviations, also of 0 () from p; k in m.

The matrix element for photem ission is

$$hp \mathcal{M}_{i} ki = \frac{ie}{2mc} hp \mathcal{A}_{i} x ki;$$
 (5)

where the subscript i refers to circular polarization, either right (r) or left (l). For simplicity I will consider the situation when the direction fi of the incident photon is normal to the crystal surface. Then

$$<_{m}^{1} (A \cdot x) <_{m} = (A_{r}x)$$
 (6)

continues to hold.

As in Ref.([5]), the difference in the outgoing current  $J_p$  for the two polarizations is calculated from the difference in the (squared) matrix element  $D_m$ . For ;  $^0$  1, so that  $_m$ ;  $_m$  1, we need only keep the first and the third term s of Eq.(8) of Ref.(5), so that

Now, unlike in Ref.([5]),  $D_m \notin 0$ , but of O ( ), when p;k are in m . However, suppose p;k are m oved by  $p_?$ ;  $k_?$  orthogonal to m so that they lie in a plane m  $^0$ . Then there is an additional contribution to  $D_m$ , which is proportional to  $p_?$  and  $k_?$ , because this part of the wavefunction must change sign for (  $p_?$ ;  $k_?$ )! (  $p_?$ ;  $k_?$ ). (Due to loss of m irror plane, this statement is true only to O ( ); this makes the conclusions arrived at here violated to O (  $^2$ )). It therefore follows that by adjusting the magnitude of  $p_?$  =p and  $k_?$  =k to O ( ) of one or the other sign, the geometric electric electric brought to O.

Now, let us consider the e ect of time-reversal violation on  $D_m$ . The experiment to look for the dichroism e ect due to time-reversal violation is done after locating  $m^0$  as described

above. Due to time-reversal violation and attendant extra loss of m irror plane symmetry, the coecients  $_{\rm m}$ ;  $_{\rm m}$  acquire extra amplitudes proportional to the time-reversal breaking order parameter for p; k in m  $^{\rm 0}$ . Further, again to 0 (), this elect is even for further small further variations of  $p_{\rm 2}^{\rm 0}$ ;  $k_{\rm 2}^{\rm 0}$  about either side of m  $^{\rm 0}$ .

To sum marize: The conditions for the experiment if there are perturbations leading to a weak loss of mirror sym metry are to and incident k in  $m^0$  at which the geometrice ect is zero and such that for small perpendicular variations about about such a k, the e ect is odd. This e ect should be temperature independent and always present. Then cool below the sym metry breaking temperature and observe a temperature dependent e ect which is even for small perpendicular variations of k about  $m^0$ .

#### III. EXPERIMENTS

A ctually, the experiment which saw the e ect  $\{1\}$  was done precisely in the manner specise dabove. A geometric e ect was found above a certain temperature  $\{T\}$  in each sample (within the uncertainties of the experiments, it coincided with the temperature at which the pseudogap begins to be observed in resistivity and thermodynamic experiments). The zero of the geometric e ect, which is independent of  $\{T\}$  for  $\{T\}$  was located. Below  $\{T\}$ , the dichroic e ect was observed in that the zero of dichroism moved as a function of temperature.

Thus in the experiments, the considerations given here to account for any small loss of crystalline m irror plane symmetry were (unwittingly) taken care of. In reality the elect of the super-structure perturbation is so weak that that their elect is invisible in any loss of symmetry in linearly polarized ARPES from states from which the dichroic elect is observed. It was estimated [9] that the elect must be less than 0:1%, while the dichroic elect observed is 1 to 2%. Besides, even this small superstructure is not known to have sensitivity to temperature in crystallographic measurements.

Thus there are two dierent ways that the experiment done is free of the criticizms made on the basis of the superstructure [8, 10, 11]. First as already noted [9], the elect of superstructure is too small and is temperature independent. Second, even if it were significant (but still small), the slightly generalized theory of the elect presented above shows that the procedure followed in the experiment allows deduction of dichroism. Recent

direct observation [6,7] through polarized neutron di raction further settle the issue of the broken symmetry in underdoped cuprates.

The calculation presented here may be useful in situations in which crystalline mirror plane symmetry is broken on the same scale as the expected dichroice ect.

- [1] C M Narma, Phys. Rev. B 55, 14554 (1997); C M Narma, Phys. Rev. Letters 83, 3538 (1999)
- [2] C M .Varm a, arX iv.org/cond-m at/; subm itted to Phys.Rev.B
- [3] C M Nama, PhysRev.B 61, R-3804 (2000)
- [4] A K am inski, et al. Nature, 416, 610 (2002).
- [5] M E Sim on and C M . Varm a, Phys. Rev. Letters, 89, 247003-1 (2002).
- [6] B Fauque et al., arX iv.org/cond-m at/0509210
- [7] H.Mook (private communication)
- [8] N.P.Arm itage and J.Hu, arX iv.org/cond-m at/0303186.
- [9] A.Kam inskiet al, arX iv.org/cond-m at/0306140
- [10] S.V. Borisenko et al., Nature-online doi:10.1038/nature02931 (2004) kam in
- [11] A.M ans et al., arX iv.org/cond-m at/0508127.