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#### Abstract

In this paper we analyze atom lasers based on the spatial m odulation of the scattering length of a B ose E instein $C$ ondensate. W e dem onstrate, through num erical sim ulations and approxim ate analytical $m$ ethods, the controllable em ission of $m$ atter-w ave bursts and study the dependence of the process on the spatial shape of the scattering length along the axis of em ission. W e also study the role of an additionalm odulation of the scattering length in tim e.


PACS num bers: $42.65 \mathrm{Jx}, 42.65 . \mathrm{Tg}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

A tom lasers are sources of coherent $m$ atter $w$ aves that use an ultra-cold gas of trapped alkaliiatom $s$ as a reservoir from which coherent pulses of thousands of atom $s$ are extracted. Since the experim ental achievem ent of B ose $E$ instein $C$ ondensation (BEC) in gases [1], several $m$ ethods have been proposed to deliver atom $s$ from their con nem ent. The rst of these devices used short radiofrequency pulses as outcoupling $m$ echanism, ipping the spins of some of the atom $s$ to release them from the trap [2]. Later, other atom lasers were built leading to pulsed, sem i-continuous or single-atom coherent souroes [3, 4, $5,6,6]$.

The quality of an atom laser is given by the am ount of atom s that can be delivered from the trap and by the purity of the em ission process. C onceming this point, it has been recently show $n$ [8] that spin- ipping techniques present serious lim itations in the num ber of atom $s$ that can be em itted. This e ect is related with the uctuations at high ux due to the fact that the output-coupling $m$ echanism populates all acoessible Zeem an states. This constitutes a strong draw back for practical applications of atom lasers in high precision $m$ easurem ents like $m$ atter w ave gyroscopes [9].

On the other hand, an atom ic soliton laser using the $m$ echanism of $m$ odulational instability $w$ as proposed in Ref. [10]. In this case, the em ission is obtained by the com bination ofpurely nonlineare ects in the atom cloud and the relaxation of the trap, it being necessary that the total num ber of particles in the cloud exceeds a critical threshold. This system has the advantage over standard atom lasers of producing $m$ atter $w$ ave pulses in the form of solitons [11, 12, 13], a kind of nonlinear waves generated by a perfect balance betw een dispersive and nonlinear e ects, yielding to robust wave packets that propagate w ithout shape distortion [14]. O ther types of atom laser based on nonlineare ects have been proposed [15].

However, although one could extract a few coherent solitons from a B ose E instein C ondensate by the $m$ echa-
nism ofm odulational instability, the nal output would be very lim ited since the num ber of atom s per pulse generated by this phenom enon is only a sm all fraction of the initial num ber of atom $s$ in the condensate due to collapse processes. M oreover, the num ber of solitons generated is not large and half of them would be directed backw ards. Finally, the trap $m$ ust be destroyed for outcoupling and the pulses travel at di erent speeds once the trap is rem oved. Thus, it is im portant to discuss new outcoupling m echanism s for atom lasers. T his is specially interesting since the techniques for generating BEC sw ith large num bers of particles and their physical properties are now adays well established and the current challenges in the eld face the design of practical devioes [16].
In a recent work [17] a novel outcoupling $m$ echanism for an atom laser has been proposed. The $m$ ethod is based on the fact that a spatial variation of the scattering length (a) (see Fig. 11) can be used to extract a controllable train ofup to severalhundreds of atom ic solitons from a BEC w ithout altering the trap properties. In Ref. [17], a sim ple $m$ odel for the spatial variation of the scattering length (a step function) was used in order to introduce the basic ideas and ilhustrate the phenom enon. H ow ever, for the practical realization of such devige, a deeper analysism ust be done, including studying the role of $m$ ore physical distributions of the spatial dependence of a, and the tem poral control of the output by the considering an additional tim e dependence of the scattering length. In this paper we consider these aspects of the soliton em ission process which are relevant for the theoretical understanding and experim ental dem onstration of this new type of atom laser.

The structure of this paper is as follow s: in Section Il we present the con guration of the system and them athem aticalm odelto be used for the theoreticalanalysis. In Section $I$ we study the $m$ echanism of em ission of single solitons. To do so we rst study a sim pli ed model for which an analytical study can be done. W e also analyze theoretically a m ore realistic schem e by $m$ eans of variationalm ethods and num erical sim ulations, for $G$ aussian-
shaped spatial variations of the scattering length. Finally, in Section $\mathbb{I V}$ we study the e ect of tem poral variations of the scattering length induced by pulsed beam s.

## II. SYSTEM CONFIGURATION AND THEORETICALMODEL

As in Ref. [17], we assum e a BEC which is strongly con ned in the transverse directions ( $x ; y$ ) and weakly along the longitudinal one ( $z$ ) leading to a cigar-shaped con guration. W ew illconsider thee ect of a spatialvariation of the scattering length along z from positive (or zero) to negative values. In principle this can be done by m agnetic [18] or optical [19] m eans, for instance by using an appropriate laser beam that shines one of the edges of the condensate as in the sketch of the system plotted in F ig 1 . In this case the region ofnegative scattering length can be varied and displaced along the condensate by sim ply $m$ oving the laser beam. T he variation of a can also be controlled in tim e by using pulsed laser beam s. Sim ilar argum ents apply for $m$ agnetically controlled scattering length, although the control of laser allow s for faster and easier $m$ anipulation of the spatial variations of the scattering length. In any case what it is im portant is that we will depart from the $m$ odel of step-like spatial variation of the scattering of $R$ ef. [17] to $m$ ore realistically achievable sm oother dependences.
$W$ hen the zone in which the scattering length is $m$ anaged to negative values overlaps $w$ ith the $w$ ings of the atom cloud, as in Fig 1 , the BEC feels a repulsive force whose strength depends on the num ber of atom $s$ in the condensate. W hen this e ect is large enough, the trap is overcom e and part of the cloud is delivered and em itted outwards. W hen the condensate re lls the gap left out by the outgoing pulse the process starts again and a new soliton is em itted. This process would continue while there is a large enough rem nant of atom $s$ in the trap and would lead to a soliton burst escaping from the BEC.

Therefore, we will consider that the cloud of $N$ equal bosons of $m$ ass $m$ is tightly trapped in ( $x ; y$ ) by a harm onic potential $V_{\text {? }}$ of frequency ? and weakly con ned along $z$ by the e ect of an optical dipole trap $V_{z}$ [20, 21] that can be produced by a laser beam of a given width along $z$ (see Fig. (1). Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
V(x)= & V_{?}+V_{z}= \\
& \frac{\mathrm{m}^{2} ?}{2} x^{2}+y^{2}+V_{0} \quad 1 \quad \exp \quad \frac{z^{2}}{L^{2}} ; \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{0}$ is the depth of the shallow optical dipole potential and L its characteristic w idth along $z$. The choice of a shallow G aussian trap is very im portant, since the goal is to obtain outcoupling of solitons along the $z$ axis. $T$ his can be achieved w ith a potentialbarrier that can be overcom eby the self-interaction e ects. The dynam ics of the previous system in the $m$ ean eld lim it is described


F IG. 1: [C olor online] Sketch of the system we w ill study in th is paper for the case of optically controlled scattering length show ing the BEC in the optical dipole trap, the transvense $m$ agnetic con nem ent and the laser beam used to $m$ anage the scattering length region. For a critical num ber of atom $s$ or equivalently below a critical value of the scattering length, a burst of $m$ atter-w ave solitons is em itted along the weakly con ning axis.
by a G ross $P$ itaevskiiequation of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \sim \frac{@}{@ t}=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+V(x)+U(z) j j^{2} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherer is the condensate wavefunction, and its nom $N=j j^{2} d^{3} r$ gives the num ber of particles. T he coe cient $U(z)=4 \sim{ }^{2} a(z)=m$ depends on the scattering length $a$, which characterizes the 2 -body interactions betw een atom s. A s com m ented previously, we w ill consider a to be a function of $z w$ ith a localized region in which it becom es negative.

W e will concentrate on situations in which the spatial size of the ground state of the optical dipole trap is much larger than the ground state of the transverse harm onic potential leading to e ectively one-dim ensional dynam ics. In this situation we can describe the dynam ics of the condensate in the quasione dim ensional lim it as given by a factorized wavefunction of the form [11]
$(r ; t)=0(x ; y) \quad(z ; t)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@}=\frac{r_{?}^{2}}{2} \frac{@^{2}}{@ z^{2}}+f(z)+g j \frac{2}{J} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\text {? }}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\sim=m \quad \text { ? }}$ is the transverse size of the cloud, f()$=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}=(\sim$ ? $),=$ ? t is the tim e m easured in units of the inverse of the radialtrapping frequency and $g(z)=$ $2 r_{?}^{2} a(z)$ is the e ective interaction coe cient.

A s an exam ple we w illpresent speci c num bers in this paper corresponding to ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li}$, using the experim ental param eters ofR ef. [12] $\mathrm{V}_{0}=\sim$ ? $=2$, ? $=1 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{Hzr} \mathrm{L}=4 r_{\text {? }}$, $\mathrm{N}=310, \mathrm{w}=5: 4 r_{\text {? }} \mathrm{a}=1: 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ and tim es ranging from $t=0$ to $t=1 \mathrm{~s}$. H ow ever, our results hold for different atom ic species like ${ }^{85} \mathrm{Rb}$ and ${ }^{133} \mathrm{C}$ s, w ith adequate param eters.


F IG . 2: [C olor online] D ependence of the num ber of particles in the condensate $N$ on the chem icalpotential for the sim ple case of square-shaped potential. The values are nom alized by $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in and m in which correspond to the m in $\dot{m}$ um of the curve. For the experim ental values considered in the text for ${ }^{7}$ Liwe $n d N_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=2 \quad 10^{4}$ and m in $=\sim$ ? $=2$ for $\mathrm{a}=0: 3$ nm . The dotted part of the curve $(\mathbb{N})$ corresponds to an unstable region. The insets show the eigenm ode pro les for di erent values of , the dotted lines indicating the boundaries of the trap. The region of negative scattering length is the shaded one.
III. SINGLE SOLITON EM ISSION
A. Exact theory for square potentials

A s we have pointed before, we w ill consider a situation in which the scattering length is changed on a localized region near the edge of the opticaltrap. In that case, it is possible to understand the basics of the phenom enon of the em ission of a single soliton using a sim ple model. We consider a square trapping potentialofw idth $L$ and depth $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ and a step-like scattering length, as it is ilhustrated in Fig. 2. M athem atically

$$
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{z})=\begin{array}{cc}
8 & \mathrm{z}<\mathrm{L} \\
\gtrless 0 & \mathrm{~L}<\mathrm{z}<\mathrm{L}  \tag{4a}\\
\mathrm{~V}_{0}<0 & \mathrm{z}>\mathrm{L}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
a(z)=\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
z<L \\
0 \\
a<0 \\
z>L
\end{array}\right. \tag{4b}
\end{align*}
$$

A though thism odel is a rough sim pli cation of the m ore realistic situations to be described in detail later on, it captures the big picture of the process. In fact, thism odel can be solved analytically, by sim ply calculating the solution of the Schrodinger equation in the three zones. De ning $1=2=r_{?} \sim$ ? $\quad 2=2\left(\quad V_{0}\right)=r_{\text {? }} \sim$ ? and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3=2=r \text { ? } \sim \text { ? , we get } \\
& 8 \\
& \text { } \mathrm{A}_{1} \exp (\quad 1 \mathrm{z}) \quad \mathrm{z}<\mathrm{L} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

A s it can be appreciated in the insets ofF ig. 2, the shape of the wavefunction depends on a . Thus, a potential connected to a region where atom -atom interactions are activated, displays a continuum of stationary fundam ental states. For values of a close to zero, (or equivalently a low num ber of atom $s \mathrm{~N}$ in the BEC), the cloud is located at the center of the trap. A s the product Na is increased, the center of the cloud is displaced tow ards the boundary betw een zones tw o and three. For higher values of N a , the cloud is com pletely located in the region w ith $a<0$ and takes the form of a soliton $w$ th a hyperbolic secant pro le. The continuity of the w avefiunction of the condensate and its derivatives at the boundaries betw een the three regions yields to the follow ing relationships:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{z}_{2}=\quad \mathrm{L}+\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{1} \frac{1}{2}  \tag{6a}\\
& z_{3}=L \quad \frac{1}{3} \tanh ^{1} \frac{2}{3} \tan \left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & \left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
L & z_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right.  \tag{6b}\\
& A_{1}=A_{3} \operatorname{sech}\left[3\left(\begin{array}{ll}
L & z_{3}
\end{array}\right)\right] \sec \left[2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
L & z_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right]  \tag{6c}\\
& A_{2}=A_{1} \cos \left[{ }_{V}\left(L+z_{2}\right)\right] e^{1 L}  \tag{6d}\\
& A_{3}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{sech} z} \frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{u}}}{\frac{2 \operatorname{sech}^{2} z \frac{2}{r_{?}^{2} \sim_{?}}+1}{\mathrm{p}_{\bar{\prime}} r_{?} a}}: \tag{6e}
\end{align*}
$$

The number of atom $s N$ in the stationary state can be easily calculated by integrating j f over $z$,

$$
\begin{align*}
N=\frac{A_{2}^{2}}{21} & \exp \left(2_{1} L\right)+\frac{A_{3}^{2}}{3} f 1 \tanh \left[{ }_{3}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
L & z_{3}
\end{array}\right)\right] g \\
& +\frac{A_{1}^{2}}{2} 2 L+\frac{\sin \left(22_{2} L\right) \cos \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right)}{2}: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The previous equation provides the dependence of the chem ical potential of the ground state on the num ber of atom s . A s it can be seen in F ig. 2 the curve N vs for all the stationary ground states has one $m$ axim um and one $m$ inim um, and displays a negative slope betw een them. It is well known from the theory ofnonlinear Schrodinger equations [22,23] that the stationary states corresponding to the zone $w$ ith $d N=d<0$ are unstable, what is known as the Vakhitov-K olokolov's criterium of stability. This fact im plies that any sm all perturbation will a ect dram atically the eigenstates in this zone, that are basically nonlinear surface waves located in the boundary betw een the linear potential and the nonlinear region. As a consequence of this instability, the surface wave is re ected by the boundary and em itted to the nonlinear zone.

## B . A pproxim ate theory for realistic potentials

T he analysis of the previous section, although exact, is only a crude representation of the real potentials which can be used in experim ental scenarios. To study more physical spatial distributions of a we will com bine the use ofnum ericalm ethodsw ith approxim ate averaged Lagrangian form alism s [24] consisting on $m$ inim izing the Lagrangian density over a fam ily of trial wavefunctions which we will choose as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ;)=A \exp \frac{\left(z z_{0}()\right)^{2}}{2 \mathrm{w}^{2}} \exp [i v() z] ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This ansatz leaves a single free degree of freedom for the atom cloud: its center $z_{0}()$, which $m$ oves $w$ th speed proportionalto $v()$.

To study an scenario close to a possible experim ental setup in which the scattering length is optically $m$ anaged, we w ill analyze the soliton em ission when the laser beam used to $m$ anage the scattering length takes the form

$$
a(z)=\begin{array}{lll}
\quad{ }^{\quad h} \exp ^{\frac{z D}{w_{g}}}{ }^{m i} ; & z<D+w_{g}  \tag{9}\\
a ; & & z>D+w_{g} ;
\end{array}
$$

i. e. an hypergaussian ram $p$ connected at its $m$ axim um to an in nite plateau. This choice allows us to cover $m$ any cases betw een the two lim its $m=2$ corresponding to a G aussian distribution and $m$ ! 1 representing a
step function. The standard calculations taking a $(z)$ as given by Eq. (9) lead to a $N$ ew ton-type equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dz}} \tag{10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(z_{0}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z_{0}\right)=r_{?}^{2} 4 \frac{V_{0}}{\sim} @_{\text {? }}^{0} \quad e^{\frac{e_{0}^{2}}{w^{2}+\mathrm{L}^{2}}}{ }_{1+\frac{\mathrm{w}^{2}}{L^{2}}} \mathrm{~A}+ \\
& +\frac{N}{2}_{2 w^{2}}^{Z_{1}} \exp \frac{2\left(z^{2} z_{0}\right)}{w^{2}} \text { a(z)dz; (10b) }
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eqs. (10al) we see that the center of gravity of the cloud ( $z_{0}$ ) behaves like a classical particle under the e ect of a potential ( $z_{0}$ ). This provides a qualitative understanding of the soliton em ission: for the linear case ( $a=0$ ) the center of the cloud is located at the bottom of the G aussian trap and approxim ates its fundam ental eigenstate $\mathbb{E}$ ig. 2 (a)]; as a takes $m$ ore negative values the e ective trapping of the cloud is deform ed and the $m$ inim um of the equivalent potentialm oves to the region with z>0.
$T$ he calculations, in the particular cases of $m=2$ \{a G aussian beam $\{$ and $m$ ! 1 \{a step function $\{$, lead us to the e ective potentials:
where $g$ and $s$ refer respectively to the step and $G$ aussian ramps. The functions erfc (u) and erf(u) $=$ $p^{2}={ }_{0}^{2} \exp \left(v^{2}\right) d v=1$ erfc are the com plem entary error and the error functions, respectively. $F$ ig. 3 show $s$ the equivalent potentials given by Eq. (11a) and Eq. (11b) for di erent values of a. As the scattering length becom es $m$ ore negative, it reaches a lim iting value $a_{c r}$ for which the potential ( 0 ) = (1), thus if the atom cloud is in itially placed at $z_{0}=0$ it $w$ ill oscillate around the $m$ inim um and escape $z_{0}()!1$ for ! 1 , a phenom enon which is called soliton em ission [25]. The critical value of a that corresponds to the threshold for soliton em ission can
be obtained within our form alism from the condition, $(0)=(1)$ which leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 20 \begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 139 & 31
\end{array} \tag{12a}
\end{align*}
$$

In both cases, it is possible to nd approxim ate expressions that hold for $D \quad w$ and $V_{0}=\sim$ ? w th $<1$, which provides a shallow trap. Thus, it is possible to w rite:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{N} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{cr}}^{\mathrm{g}} & 2: 655 \mathrm{wL} \frac{\mathrm{wL}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}+\mathrm{w}^{2}} ; \\
\mathrm{N} \text { acr } & 2: 565 \mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{wL}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}+\mathrm{w}^{2}} \tag{13b}
\end{array}
$$

W e have found a good agreem ent betw een the exact nu$m$ erical value and the prediction from Eq. (13a). The variational m odel is m ore accurate for G aussian potentials. The $m$ ain discrepancy we have found after a w ide pum erical exploration of the param eter space is a factor
$\overline{2}$ that is $m$ ainly due to the choice of the ansatz.


F IG . 3: [C olor online] E ective potentials obtained for two di erent shapes of a $(z)$ : a step function and a G aussian+ step distribution. T he potentials correspond to $a=a_{c r}$ for each form of the scattering length. The continuous line show s the e ective potential for $a=0$ (the linear trap). The fram e at the left correspond to the em ission of a single soliton in the case of a $G$ aussian+ step $m$ odulation of a (dotted curve). T he shaded zone indicates the region of negative scattering length in the step m odel.


F IG . 4: [C olor online] Sam e as Fig. 3 for the $G$ aussian + step distribution. The e ective potentials correspond to di erent values of the penetration param eter D.

## IV . PARTIALOUTCOUPLINGAND M U LT ISOLITON EM ISSION

The distance $D$ betw een the edge of the region in which the scattering length varies and the center of the trap plays an im portant role in the em ission process as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 4. A s it can be seen in the plots, there is a critical overlapping betw een the a < 0 region and the trap, for which the e ective potential show $s$ a central maxi$\mathrm{m} u m$ betw een two adjacent m inim a . In this case, part of the cloud is re ected backwards by the interm ediate barrier and thus, it is not possible to extract the whole cloud (see inset of F ig. (4). Thus, the em ission will be of higher quality in traps of width below a certain critical threshold, which can be num erically calculated from the conditions $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{dz}=0$ and $\mathrm{d}^{2}=\mathrm{dz}^{2}>0$.

A deeper num erical exploration based on Eq. (3) reveals interesting e ects beyond those contained in the averaged Lagrangian description. An exam ple is shown in F ig. [5. A $s$ a becom es $m$ ore negative we obtain the em ission of an integer num ber of solitons.

In this section and to approxim ate our analysis to re-
alistic scenarios we have considered the scattering length to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(z)=a \exp \quad \frac{z \quad D}{m}_{w_{g}}^{m} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., a supergaussian of nite width.

In $F$ ig. 5 we ilhustrate the dependence of the em ission for di erent shapes of the function $a(z)$. W e begin $w$ ith a pro le close to the step form $(m=100)$ and then we relax the shape by dim inishing the value ofm until reach the G aussian function. W e have also observed that for nite a < 0 regions, once the em itted solitons reach the opposite edge of the zone w ith negative scattering length, they are re ected backw ards and thus rem ain trapped in the vicinity of the BEC reservoir [see Figs. 5(d) and 6 (a)]. This e ect $m$ ay have applications in the control of the em itted solitons once outcoupled and in the design of practical devioes like laser tw eezers for atom s [26].

A nother interesting consideration in order to construct experim entally w ide regions ofnegative scattering length, is to use the supenposition of severalm utually incoherent laser beam $s$ as it show $n$ in $F$ ig. 6. In $F$ ig. 6 (a) the region of negative scattering length is generated by a single outcoupling beam as in Fig 5 C ). A s a result of the
nite width of the outcoupling beam, the atom ic soliton rebounds at the boundary as is redirected backw ards to the reservoir. In Fig. 6 (b) three equal G aussian beam s separated by its widths are used to generate a wider region of negative scattering length. In the pictures, vertical axis is time form $t=0$ to $t=1000$ ? ${ }^{1}$. The other param eters are the sam e as in Fig. (5 c).

W e have also observed in the num erical sim ulations that the soliton em ission ism ore e cient if the scattering length varies abruptly in space. M oreover, for avoiding the retum of the solitons once they have been em itted, it is desirable that the shape of the outcoupling beam be asym $m$ etric. In the case in which laser beam s are used to control the scattering length th is could be achieved by partially overlapping parallel lasers. In order to generate a extended negative scattering length region, $m$ ore lasers can be added or the outcoupling beam can be displaced follow ing the $m$ otion of the em itted soliton.

## V.EFFECTOFTEMPORALMODULATION OF THEOUTPUT

Finally, we have also studied the tem poral control of the em ission process by sim ulating the dynam ics of the solitons outcoupled w ith pulsed beam s. W e have run calculations for di erent tem poral sizes of the beam s. T he results are shown in Fig. 7. In these simulations we have used tem poralpro les m ade w ith a G aussian ram p connected to a at top of variable size $t_{p}$. T he spatial pro les are step functions. A s it can be seen in the pictures, depending on the duration of the pulse (i.e.: the size of the at top), the quality of the outcoupling varies, yielding to a broadening in the pro le of the solitons as


F IG . 5: [C olor on line] Em ission of atom ic solitons for di erent outcoupling laser beam $s$ (shaded region in the top plots) of supergaussian shapes w ith di erent values of the param eter $\mathrm{m}:$ a) $\mathrm{m}=100, \mathrm{~b}$ ) $\mathrm{m}=8$, c) and d) $\mathrm{m}=2$ (G aussian distributions of di erent widths). T he sm all fram es in the top of each picture display the pro le of the solitons at $t=$ 600 ? ${ }^{1}$. Vertical axis in bottom pictures is tim e from $t=0$ to $t=600$ ? ${ }^{1}$. Horizontal axis is 60 tim es the $w$ idth $L$ of the gaussain trap. In all cases the product N a is 8 tim es the value of Fig . 4. The rest of the param eters are the sam e as in F ig. 3 .
the nonlinearity vanishes. In som e cases the solitons can fise after the em ission. By varying the tim e that a is sw itched to a negative value, it is possible to obtain a periodic reconstruction of the individual solitons. The results are sum $m$ arized in $F$ ig [7(c). In this sim ulation, the spatialpro le of the outcoupling beam is a step function that is modulated in time with a Gaussian ram p connected at its maxim um to a at top of variable size that ends w th a nal Gaussian decay. The captions correspond to di erent sizes of the plateau $t_{p}$ and separation betw een applied pulses $t_{s}$. In all cases tim e goes from $t=0$ to $t=500{ }^{1}$. a) corresponds to a continuous beam (i.e.: no m odulation), in b) and c) we applied three pulses w ith $t_{p}=100 ?^{1}$ in both cases and $t_{s}=5 ?^{1}$ and $t_{s}=15{ }^{1}$, respectively. $T$ he rest of the param eters are the sam e as in 5a).

## V I. CONCLUSIONS

In sum $m$ ary, we have analyzed in detail the recently proposed $m$ echanism for outcoupling coherent $m$ atter wave pulses from a Bose E instein C ondensate. By using this technique it could be possible to obtain a regular and controllable em ission of atom ic soliton bursts that


F IG . 6: [C olor online] Em ission obtained w ith the superposition of parallel G aussian beam s. In (a) the region of negative scattering length is generated by a single outcoupling beam as in $F$ ig 5 c). In (b) three equalG aussian beam s separated by its w idths are used to generate a w ider region of negative scattering length. Top plots display the output at $t=1000$ ? ${ }^{1}$. In the pictures, vertical axis is tim eform $t=0$ to $t=1000$ ? ${ }^{1}$. $T$ he other param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig. 5c).


F IG . 7: [C olor online] E ect of a tem poralm odulation in the em ission process. The spatialpro le of the outcoupling beam is a step function that is modulated in time with a G aussian ram $p$ connected at its $m$ axim um to a at top of variable size that ends w ith a nalG aussian decay. $T$ he captions correspond to di erent sizes of the plateau $t_{p}$ and separation betw een applied pulses $t_{5}$. In all cases tim e goes from $t=0$ to $t=500$ ? ${ }^{1}$. a) corresponds to a continuous beam (i.e.: no m odulation), in b) and c) we applied three pulses w ith $t_{p}=100 ?^{1}$ in both cases and $t_{s}=5 ?^{1}$ and $t_{5}=15{ }^{1}$, respectively. The rest of the param eters are the sam e as in 5 a).
are easily extracted by an adequate choige of the control param eters.

T he particular shape of the spatial dependence of the scattering length used for outcoupling the solitons does not a ect essentially the em ission process although it is of higher purity for shanp variations of the scattering length and for regions of negative scattering length of nite extent the soliton is re ected back when it reaches the boundaries. T he tem poral control of the solitons can be easily im plem ented $w$ ith pulsed beam $s$.

W e must stress that our results hold for di erent atom ic species like ${ }^{85} \mathrm{Rb}$ and ${ }^{133} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{s}$, w ith adequate param eters. For the case of ${ }^{133} \mathrm{C}$ s the scattering length can be controlled w ith high precision and can be done negative and large. This could provide a system in which a very high num ber of solitons could be generated.
$U$ sing the $m$ echanism proposed in this paper a train of even several hundred of solitons could be coherently outcoupled from a condensate. A s the techniques for coherently feeding the rem aining condensate progress our idea could provide an outcoupling m echanism for a continuous atom ic soliton laser.
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