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#### Abstract

H ere, we present the results of theoretical analysis of the de $H$ aas-van A lphen oscillations in quasitw o-dim ensional norm alm etals. W e had been studying e ects of the Ferm i surface (F S) shape on these oscillations. It was shown that the e ects could be revealed and well pronounced when the FS curvature becom es zero at cross-sections with extrem al cross-sectional areas. In this case both shape and am plitude of the oscillations could be signi cantly changed. A lso, we analyze the e ect of the F S local geom etry on the angular dependencies of the oscillation am plitudes when the $m$ agnetic eld is tilted aw ay from the FS sym m etry axis by the angle : W e show that a peak appears at

0 whose height could be of the sam e order as the $m$ axim um at the $Y$ am aji angle. This peak em erges when the F S includes zero curvature cross-sections of extrem al areas. Such maxim um was observed in experim ents on the (B E TS $)_{4} \mathrm{TIHg}(\mathrm{SeCN})_{4}$ : The obtained results could be applied to organic $m$ etals and other quasi-tw o-dim ensional com pounds.


PAC S num bers: 72.15.G d,71.18.+ y

## I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

M agnetic quantum oscillations are well known as a pow erful tool repeatedly used in studies of electronic properties of various conventional $m$ etals [1]. Theory of quantum oscillatory phenom ena such as de H aas-van A lphen oscillations in the $m$ agnetization and Shubnikovde H aas oscillations in the m agnetoresistivity of conventional three-dim ensionalm etals, was derived by Lifshitz and K osevich (LK) in their well-known work [2]. This theory w as succesfiully em ployed to extract valuable in for$m$ ations conœming electron band-structure param eters from experim entally $m$ easured $m$ agnetic quantum oscillations.

In the last two decades quasi-tw o-dim ensional (Q 2D ) m aterials w th m etallic-type conductivity (e.g. organic $m$ etals, intercalated com pounds and som e other) have attracted a substantial interest, and extensive e orts were applied to study their electron characteristics. M agnetic quantum oscillations are frequently used as a toolin these studies [3, 4]. A theory of $m$ agnetic oscillations in $Q 2 D$ $m$ aterials was proposed in several works (see e.g. Refs. [5, 6, 7, 8, $9,10,11,12])$. Signi cant progress is already $m$ ade in developing the theory but there still rem ain som e signi cant points not taken into account so far. The purpose of the present work is to contribute to the theory of de $H$ aas-van A phen oscillations in Q 2D conductors by analyzing one of these points, nam ely, the e ect of the Ferm isurface (FS) curvature on the am plitude and shape of the oscillations.

It is already show $n$ that local geom etrical features of the FS m ay strongly a ect quantum oscillations ofelastic constants in both conventional and Q 2D m etals [13, 14], so one has grounds to expect sim ilar $m$ anifestations in the $m$ agnetization oscillations. The e ect of the FS local geom etry on quantum oscillations in various observables
could be easily gi̇ven an explanation. In general, quantum oscillations are speci ed w ith contributions from vicinities of e ective cross-sections of the FS. Those are cross-sectionswith $m$ inim um and $m$ axim um sectionalareas. W hen the FS curvature becom es zero at an e ective cross-section, the num ber ofelectrons associated w ith the latter increases, and their response enhances. This may signi cantly strengthen the oscillations originating from such cross-section and change their shape and phase.

## II. THE M ODEL

The FSs of Q 2D m etals are known to be system $s$ of w eakly rippled cylinders. A ccordingly, the current theory adopts the tight-binding approxim ation to describe the energy-m om entum relation for the charge carriers. So, the energy spectrum could be w ritten out as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(p)=\sum_{n=0}^{X} E_{n}\left(p_{x} ; p_{y}\right) \cos \frac{n p_{z} d}{h}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $z$ axis is assum ed to be perpendicular to the conducting layers; $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ are the quasim om entum $\mathrm{p} \infty^{-}$ ordinates, and $d$ is the interlayer distance. The dependence of the energies $E_{n}$ of $p_{x} ; p_{y}$ is introduced in the Eq. (1) to take into account the anisotropies of the energy spectrum in the conducting layers planes. D ue to the weakness of the interlayer interactions in Q 2D con-
 the rem aining coe cients in the Fourier series in the Eq. (1). U sually, in studies ofm agnetic quantum oscillations in $Q 2 D$ conductors the general energy $m$ om entum relation (1) is sim pli ed. The anisotropy of the energy spectrum in the layers planes is neglected, and only the rst two perm in the sum over $\backslash \mathrm{n}$ " are kept. $T$ hen we arrive


F IG . 1: Schem atic plots of a Ferm isurface w ith a cosine wanping corresponding to the m odel (2) (left), and a Ferm isurface of a com plex pro le including cross-sections with maxim um areas where the FS curvature becom es zero (right).
at the follow ing sim ple m odel for a Q 2D Ferm i surface:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{p})=\frac{\mathrm{p}_{?}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}_{?}} \quad 2 \operatorname{tcos} \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~h}}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ ? is the quasim om entum projection on the layer plane, and $m$ ? is the ective $m$ ass corresponding to the m otion of quasiparticles in this plane. The param eter $t$ in this expression (2) is the interlayer transfer integralw hose value determ ines how much the FS is wanped. $W$ hen $t$ goes to zero the FS becom es perfectly cylindrical.

W thin this commonly used approxim ation the FS pro le is com pletely established. W hen a strong magnetic eld $B$ is applied along the norm al to the layers $B=(0 ; 0 ; B)$; the FS Gaussian curvature at the e ective cross-sections $K_{\text {ex }}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{e x}=\frac{1}{2 A_{e x}} \frac{d^{2} A}{d p_{z}^{2}}=\frac{2 t m ?}{A_{e x}} \frac{d^{2}}{h} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(d^{2} A=d p_{z}^{2}\right)_{\text {ex }} m$ eans that the second derivative is calculated at the e ective cross-section; $A$ ex is the crosssectional area. So, the curvature takes on values proportional to those of the interlayer transfer integral $t$; and it becom es zero only when the latter tums zero, and the FS passes into a perfect unw arped cylinder.

H ow ever, there are grounds to believe that the FSS of som e realistic Q 2D conductors possess m ore com plex
geom etries than those described by the Eq. (2). For instance in the experim ents on $m$ agnetic quantum oscillations in the layered perovskite oxide $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{R} \mathrm{uO}_{4}$ [15, 16], it $w$ as show $n$ that to adequately describe the FS of this $m$ aterial one $m$ ust take into consideration at least four term $s$ in the expansion (1). H ere, we consider the Ferm i cylinder corrugation of an arbitrary shape provided that the cylinder rem ains a surface of revolution. Separating out the rst term in the expansion (1) we may rew rite the energy m om entum relation in the form [17]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(p)=\frac{p_{?}^{2}}{2 m_{?}} \quad 2 t \quad \frac{p_{z} d}{h} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{z} d}{h}=x_{n=1}^{X^{\mathrm{E}}} n \cos \frac{n p_{z} d}{h} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $n=E_{n}=2 t$ : It follow $s$ from this expression that ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}$ ) is an even periodic function of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ whose period equals $2 \mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{d}$ : Om itting allterm $\mathrm{s} w$ th $\mathrm{n}>1$ and assum ing $E=2 t$; we $m$ ay reduce our energy $m$ om entum relation (4) to the simple from (2). By introducing this expression we get opportunities to describe Q 2D FSs of various pro les (see Fig. 1) and to analyze the in $u$ ence of their ne geom etrical features on the de H aasvan A lphen oscillations. A s show $n$ below, these studies bring som e nontrivialresults w hich could not be obtained w ithin the sim ple approxim ation (2).

## III. QUANTUM OSCILLATIONSIN MAGNETIZATION

W e start from the standard expression for the longitudinalm agnetization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j j}(B ; T ;) \quad M_{z}(B ; T ;)=\quad \frac{@}{@ B} \quad: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, the $m$ agnetization depends on the tem perature $T$ and the chem icalpotential : T he latter itself is a function ofthe $m$ agnetic eld and tem perature, and oscillates in strong m agnetic elds. T he expression for the them $\mathrm{o}-$ dynam ic potentialcould bew ritten out in a usualfashion:

$$
(B ; T ;)=\quad T^{\mathrm{X}} \ln ^{\mathrm{n}} 1+\exp \quad(\quad \mathrm{E})=\mathrm{T}^{\circ}
$$

$w$ here the sum $m$ ation is carried over all possible states of quasiparticles. W hen a strong $m$ agnetic eld is applied the quasiparticles have the Landau energy spectrum, so the expression (4) takes the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} ;\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\mathrm{h}!\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} g h!0 \quad 2 t \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~h}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, ! is the cyclotron frequency, ! $0=B$; is the Bohrm agneton, is the spin quantum num ber and $g$
is the spin splitting coe cient ( $g$-factor). A ccordingly, we rew rite Eq. (7) as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (B ; T ;)=\frac{T!}{(2 h)^{2}}{ }_{n} \quad{ }^{Z} \quad{ }_{h=d}^{h=d} \ln 1 \\
& +\exp \frac{E_{n} ;\left(p_{z}\right)}{T} \quad m_{?}{d p_{z}} \text { : } \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

In further consideration we assum e as usually, that the cyclotron quantum $h$ ! is sm allcom pared to the chem ical potential : Then we employ the Poisson sum $m$ ation form ula:

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{n=0}^{X^{2}} f\left(n+\frac{1}{2}=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} f(x) 1+2 R e^{X^{A}}(1)^{x}\right. \\
\exp (2 \mathrm{irx}) d x: \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

U sing this form ula, the expression for the them odynam ic potential could be presented as a sum of a m onotonous term 0 and an oscillating correction :

$$
\begin{equation*}
={\frac{i}{4{ }^{2} h^{2}}}^{X} X_{r=1}^{X} \frac{(1)^{Z}}{r}{ }_{0}^{Z} \frac{I(E) d E}{1+\exp [(E \quad)=T]}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $I(E)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(E \quad)=2 i \quad \exp \quad i r \frac{2}{h^{2}} A\left(E \quad ; p_{z}\right) d p_{z} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the $m$ agnetic length, and $A\left(E \quad ; p_{z}\right)$ is the cross-sectional area.

U ntil this point we followed LK theory in derivation of the expression for : A s a result we arrived at Eqs. (11),(12) which are valid for conventional 3D m etals as well as for Q 2D and perfectly 2D conductors. D iversities in the expressions for appear in the course of calculations of the function $I(\mathbb{E})$ : These calculations bring di erent results for di erent FS geom etries. In deriving the standard LK formula it is assum ed that the FS curvature is nonzero at the e ective cross-sections w ith the extrem alareas, and $I\left(E ; p_{z}\right)$ is approxim ated using the stationary phase $m$ ethod. For 2D $m$ etals the calculations of $I(E$ ) are trivialfor the $F S$ is a cylinder and the crosssectional area A does not depend of $p_{z}$ : O bviously, in this case the FS curvature is everyw here zero. T he oscillating part of the therm odynam ic potential for a 2D $m$ etal takes on the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=N h!\quad \frac{B}{F}{ }_{r=1}^{X} \frac{(1)^{x}}{(r)^{2}} R_{T}(r) R_{S}(r) \cos \frac{2 r F}{B}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $F=C A=2$ he; $N$ is the density of charge carriers, and $R_{T}(r) ; R_{S}(r)$ describe the e ects of tem perature, and spin splitting. A lso, the scattering of electrons deteriorates $m$ agnetic quantum oscillations for it causes energy levels broadening. The sim plest way to
account for the e ects of electron scattering on the oscillation amplitudes is to introduce an extra dam ping factor $R_{D}(r)$ ( $D$ ingle factor) into the expression (13). The usual approxim ation for the latter has the form $R_{D}(r)=\exp (2 r=$ ! $)[]$ where is the scattering tim e of electrons. In further calculations we adopt this simple form for $R_{D}(r)$ for $m$ ore sophisticated expressions are irrelevant to the $m$ ain point of our sub ject. A s a result we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =N h!\frac{B^{X}}{N^{X}} \frac{(1)^{r}}{(r)^{2}} \\
& R_{T}(r) R_{S}(r) R_{D}(r) \cos \frac{2 r F}{B} \\
& N h!\frac{B}{F} X_{r=1}^{X} \frac{(1)^{r}}{(r)^{2}} R(r) \cos \frac{2 r F}{B} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

C orrespondingly, we arrive at the follow ing result for the oscillating part of the longitudinalm agnetization [10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j j}=2 N{\frac{!}{!_{0}}}_{r=1}^{X} \frac{(1)^{x}}{r} R(r) \sin 2 r \frac{F}{B}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the $p_{z}$ depending term in the charge carriers energy spectrum within the approxim ation (2) one can expand the integrand in the Eq.(12) in Bessel functions and easily carry out integration over $p_{z}$ : T hen $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{ij}_{\mathrm{j}}$ takes the form [18]:
$M_{j j}=2 N{\frac{!}{!_{0}}}_{r=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{r}}{r} R(r) J_{0} \frac{4 r t}{h!} \sin 2 r \frac{F}{B}:$
$W$ hen the FS waping is negligible ( t ! ) this expression passes into the previous form ula (15) describing the $m$ agnetization of a 2D m etal. In the opposite lim it ( $t>h!$ ) one can use the corresponding asym ptotic for the B esselfunctions. A s a result the expression for $M \quad j$ is transform ed to the form sim ilar to the LK result for the conventional3D m etals:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{j j}=2 N{\frac{!}{!_{0}}}_{\frac{r}{2^{2} t}}^{r=1}{ }^{A} \frac{(1)^{2} R(r)}{r^{3=2}} \\
& \sin 2 r \frac{F}{B} \quad \cos \frac{4 r t}{h!} \quad- \\
& =N{\frac{!}{!_{0}}}_{{\frac{h!}{2}{ }^{2} t}_{r=1}^{X}}^{r^{A}} \frac{(1)^{r} R(r)}{r^{3=2}} \\
& \sin \frac{2 r F_{m a x}}{B} \frac{-}{4}+\sin \frac{2 r F_{m \text { in }}}{B}+\frac{-}{4} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{m a x}=F A_{m a x}=A ; F_{m \text { in }}=F A_{m \text { in }}=A ; A_{m a x} ; A_{m}$ in are the $m$ axim um and $m$ inim um cross-sectionalareas, respectively. A sbefore, A is the cross-sectionalarea of the
incorrugated cylindrical FS. Before we proceed we re$m$ ark again that the com $m$ only used approxim ation (2) is not suitable to analyze the e ects of the FS shape in Q 2D m etals. W thin this m odel, the resulting form ulas for $M$ are either reduced to the 2D lim it Eq. (15) or they describe only cosine warped pro les of the FS w ithout any variations Eqs. (16), (17). In both cases som e im portant features of the oscillations could be $m$ issed.

W emay expect the e ect of the FS curvature on the m agnetization oscillations to appeare when the FS wanping is distinct ( $\mathrm{l}>\mathrm{h}$ !): To analyze these e ects we retum back to our generalized energy m om entum relation (4). Then we assum e that the FS curvature becom es zero at the ective cross-section at $p_{z}=p: T$ hen, as follow $s$ from the expression for the FS curvature (3), $d^{2} A=d p_{z}^{2}$ equals zero at $p_{z}=p$; so we can write the following approxim ation for the cross-sectional area:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(p_{z}\right) \quad A_{e x} \quad \frac{1}{(2 l)!} \frac{d^{21} A}{d p_{z}^{21}} p_{z}=p \quad\left(p_{z} \quad p\right)^{21} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where l> 1:
Perform ing integration in parts in the expression (11) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
={\frac{h!}{2} h^{2}}_{r=1}^{X^{2}} \frac{(1)^{2}}{(r)^{2}} R(r)_{0}^{Z} \quad \cos \frac{r^{2}}{h^{2}} A\left(p_{z}\right) \quad d p_{z} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using the approxim ation (18), and applying the stationary phase $m$ ethod to com pute the integral over $p_{z}$ in the expression (19), we obtain the follow ing result for the contribution from the nearly cylindricalcrosssection to the oscillating part of :

$$
\begin{align*}
& =2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 1 \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{2 \mathrm{~F}} \quad \mathrm{~h}!\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{A}} \frac{(\mathrm{I})^{\mathrm{r}}}{(\mathrm{r})^{+1}} \mathrm{R}(r) \\
& \cos 2 \mathrm{r} \frac{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{ex}}}{\mathrm{~B}} \quad \overline{41}: \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $=1+1=21$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}=\frac{A_{e x}}{V_{0}} \quad \text { ( ) } \frac{(21) A_{e x}}{2 m_{?} t d^{21}=d p_{z}^{21}{ }_{p_{z}=p}}!_{1=21} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V_{0}$ is the FS volum e in a single B rillouin zone, and ( ) is the gam m a function. Basing on this expression (20) we get the corresponding term in the oscillating part of the $m$ agnetization:

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{j j}= & 2 N_{1} \frac{B^{1=21}}{F^{1}}{\frac{!}{!_{0}}}_{r=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{r}}{(r)} R(r) \\
& \sin 2 r \frac{F_{e x}}{B} \overline{41}_{41}^{t} \\
& 2 N_{1} \frac{h!}{1=21 X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{r}}{(r)} R(r) \\
& \sin 2 r \frac{F_{e x}}{B} \quad \overline{41}: \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}=\frac{A_{e x}}{V_{0}}() \quad{\frac{(21)!}{d^{21}=d_{z}^{21}}{ }_{p_{z}=p}}_{1=21} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To arrive at the com plete expression for $M$ jj we m ust sum up term soriginating from alle ective cross-sections of the FS.
$T$ heF $S$ shape near $p_{z}=p$ is determ ined by the shape param eter $l$ : $W$ hen $l=1$ the FS has a nonzero curvature at the considered cross-section. In this case Eq. (22) agrees w ith the well-known LK result. A ssum ing that there are tw o extrem alcross-sections ( $w$ ith the $m$ inim um and $m$ axim um cross-sectionalareas, respectively), and sum $m$ ing up the contribution from the both we can easily transform our result (22) to the form (17).
$W$ hen $1 \quad 1$ we may roughly estimate $d^{21}=d p_{z}^{21}{ }_{p}=p$ as $(21)^{21+1}=(21+1)$ and (21)! as $\exp [(21+2) \ln (21+2)]$ (see [19]). So, $\lim _{1!} 1 \quad 1=1=2$; and Eq. (22) passes into the expression (15) describing $m$ agnetization oscillations in 2D conductors $m$ ultiplied by 1=2: This extra factor appears because the expression (22) describes the contribution from a single nearly cylindrical cross-section of the FS. W hen the shape param eter for both e ective cross-sections goes to in nity, their contributions to the $m$ agnetization oscillations becom e identical, and putting them together we arrive at the expression (15). In general, one $m$ ay treat $l$ as a phenom enological param eter whose actual value could be found from experim ents. The greater is the value of this param eter the closer is the FS to a cylinder near $p_{z}=p$ :

O scillations in $m$ agnetization described by the expression (22) vary in $m$ agnitude, shape and phase depending on the value of the shape param eter 1 which determ ines the FS local geom etry near the e ective cross-section. $T$ his is illustrated in the $F$ ig. 2. As shown in this $g-$ ure, when there is the close proxim ty of the FS near $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{p}$ to a cylinder, the oscillations are saw toothed and resem ble those occuring in 2D m etals [7, 11] or originating from cylindrical segm ents of the FSs in conventional 3D m etals [20, 21]. W hen the FS curvature takes on nonzero value at $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{p} \quad(\mathrm{l}=1)$ the oscillations are sim ilar to those in conventional m etals. H ere, we em phasize the di erence between our result (22) and the expression (16). U sing the latter one could easily obtain saw toothed oscillations typical for 2D m etals but only for sm all values of the transfer integral $t$ ( $t h$ !) when the FS crim ping is negligible. T he present result (22) show s that the oscillation shape and phase $m$ ay be determ ined not by the value of $t$ itself but rather by the form of the function ( $p_{z} d=h$ ) specifying the FS pro le. The saw toothed oscillations in $m$ agnetization could occur at $t h!$; when the FS curvature becom es zero at an e ective cross-section. To ease the interpretation of this point onem ay im agine a F S shaped as a step-like cylinder. T he


F IG . 2: D e H aas-van A lphen oscillations described by the Eq. (22) for $l=4$ (left panel) and $l=1$ (right panel). C alculations are carried out for $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}}=0: 5 \mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{B}_{0}=10 \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{B}_{0}=$ 300; $T_{D}$ is the $D$ ingle tem perature, and $M_{0}=2 \mathrm{~N} \quad!=!_{0}$ :
curvature of such FS is everyw here zero, and oscillations from both kinds of the cross-sections ( w th m inim um and m axim um cross-sectional areas, respectively) should be sim ilar to those in 2D m etals. N evertheless, the di erence in the cross-sectionalareas (the FS crim ping) could be well pronounced, and a beat e ect could be manifested. O bviously, this e ect is absent when $t h$ ! and the FS w anping is negligible.

A lso, it $m$ ay happen that the FS curvature becom es zero at som ee ective cross-sections and rem ains nonzero at the rest of them. Then the contributions from zero curvature cross-sections (l> 1) would exceed in $m$ agnitude those originating from the oridinary cross-sections ( $1=1$ ): This follows from the expression (22) where the factor $(h!=t)^{1=21}(h!<1)$ is included. D epending on the value of the shape param eter 1 this factor takes on values between $(h!=t)^{1=2}(1=1)$ and $1(1!1)$ : So, when there is a close proxim ty of the FS to a pure cylinder at som e extrem al cross-sections, the contributions from these cross-sections would be predom inating, and they will determ ine the shape and am plitude of the m agnetization oscillations in whole.

## IV.THEEFFECTOFTHECHEMICAL POTENTIALOSCILLATIONS

It is known that chem ical potential oscillates in strong (quantizing) magnetic elds. These oscillations and the oscillations in the $m$ agnetization are closely related and described by sim ilar series. The chem ical potential is determ ined by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{@}{\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{B}}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen the quantizing $m$ agnetic eld is applied, the charge carriers density gets an oscillating correction $N()$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=N_{0}()+N() \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{0}$ is the charge carriers density at $B=0: P r o-$ vided that the charge carriers density is xed, this correction is to be balanced by an oscillating term which appears in the chem ical potencial due to the $m$ agnetic eld. T hese corrections are related to each other by the equation [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ \mathrm{~N}_{0}}{@}=\mathrm{N}() \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $@ N_{0}=@ \quad D_{0}$ is the charge carriers density of states at the FS in the absence of the $m$ agnetic eld. So, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(B)={\frac{1}{D_{0}}}_{@_{T ; B}}^{T} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing that the FS curvature becom es zero at the effective cross-section at $p_{z}=p$; using the expression (20) for ; and om 䜣ing sm aller contributions from the rem aining cross-sections of nonzero curvature we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad{ }_{1 h}!_{r=1}^{X^{A}} \frac{(1)^{r}}{(r)} R(r) \sin \quad 2 r \frac{F_{e x}}{B} \quad \overline{41}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $l_{1}=4 N \quad{ }_{1}(B=F)=h!D_{0}$; and $D_{0}$ is the electron density of states at the FS in the absence of the $m$ agnetic eld. The dim ensionless factor 1 takes on sm all values of the order of $\left(\mathrm{h}!=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{1=21}$ : This result (28) as well as the expression for the oscillating part ofm agnetization (22) do not contradict the corresponding results for 2D and 3D metals reported in earlier works [10, 22]. For a conventional 3D m etal whose FS has a nonzero curvature at the e ective cross-sections the oscillating correction is very sm all com pared to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad\left(\mathrm{h}!=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{3=2}$ : T herefore it does not bring noticeable changes in de H aas-van A lphen oscillations. H ow ever, in the case of 2 2D $m$ etals this correction could be $m$ ore im portant [10, 11]. To analyze the e ect of the quantum oscillations in chem icalpotential, onem ust take into account that the extrem al cross-sectional areas $A_{\text {ex }}$ include corrections proportional to ; nam ely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{e x}=A_{e x}(0)+2 m ? \quad \text { (B) } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\text {ex }}(0)$ is the cross-sectional area in the absence of the $m$ agnetic eld. C orrespondingly, the argum ent of the cosine function in the Eq. (20) m ust be wrilten in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 r \frac{F_{e x}}{B} \quad \overline{41}=2 r \frac{F_{0}^{e x}}{B}+\frac{}{h!} \quad \overline{41}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

To sim plify further analysis we keep only the rst term in the expansion (28). T hen we can em ploy the identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \text { ir }{ }_{1} R(1) \sin 2 \frac{F_{0}^{e x}}{B} \overline{41} \\
& =X_{n=1}^{X} \quad J_{n} r_{1} R(1) \exp \text { in } \frac{2 F_{0}^{e x}}{B} \overline{4 l} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{n} \quad r_{1} R(1)$ are the $B$ essel functions. Using this identity we can write the expression for M ${ }_{j j}$ as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{j j}=\quad & 2 N_{1} \quad \frac{B}{F} \quad \frac{!}{!_{0}} \\
& X^{1=21} \quad A_{s} \sin \\
& 2 s \frac{F_{0}^{e x}}{B}  \tag{32}\\
& B_{s} \cos 2 s \frac{F_{0}^{e x}}{B} \\
41 & \frac{s}{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the coe cients $A{ }_{s} ; B_{s}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{s}=X_{r=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{r} R(r)}{(r)} J_{r+s} r_{1} R(1) \cos \frac{(r+1)}{41} \\
& J_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{r}_{1} R(1) \cos \frac{(r \quad 1)}{41} \text {; }  \tag{33}\\
& B_{s}=X_{r=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{r} R(r)}{(r)} J_{r+s} r_{1} R(1) \sin \frac{(r+1)}{41} \\
& J_{\mathrm{s}} r_{1} R(1) \sin \frac{(r \quad 1)}{41}: \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

These form ulas (32) $\{$ (34) are generalizations of the results obtained for 2D m etals [11, 23, 24].

As follow sfrom Eqs. (32) \{ (34) the oscillating correction to the chem ical potential $m$ ay bring som e changes in the am plitude and shape of the de H aas-van A lphen oscillations in Q2D $m$ etals. K eeping in $m$ ind that the dam ping factor $R(r)$ takes on values less than unity, and reduces while $r$ increases, we can write explicit expressions for a few rst harm onics in the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}_{1}=2 \mathrm{~N}{ }_{1} \mathrm{R}(1) \quad \sin 2 \frac{\mathrm{~F}_{0}^{\mathrm{ex}}}{\mathrm{~B}} \quad \overline{41} ;  \tag{35}\\
& M_{2}=2 N \quad{ }_{1} R(2)(2) \quad \sin 4 \frac{\mathrm{~F}_{0}^{\mathrm{ex}}}{\mathrm{~B}} \quad \overline{41} \\
& +\frac{R^{2}(1)}{R(2)} 2^{1=21}{ }_{1} \sin 4 \frac{F_{0}^{e x}}{B} \quad \overline{21} ;  \tag{36}\\
& M_{3}=2 N \quad{ }_{1} R(3)(3) \quad \sin 6 \frac{\mathrm{~F}_{0}^{\mathrm{ex}}}{\mathrm{~B}} \quad \overline{41} \\
& +\frac{R(2) R(1)}{R(3)} \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad{ }_{1} \sin \quad 6 \frac{F_{0}^{e x}}{B} \quad \overline{21} \quad: \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

So we see that the chem ical potential oscillations do not a ect the fundam ental harm onic but they contribute to higher ham onics bringing changes to their am plitude and phase. Sim ilar conclusions were recently m ade analyzing thee ect ofchem icalpotentialoscillations on m agnetization in 2D m etals [11]. The e ect depends on the FS local geom etry. W hen the shape param eter 1 takes


FIG. 3: The e ect of the chem ical potential oscillations on the $m$ agnetization oscillations. T he curves are plotted taking into account the chem icalpotential oscillations according Eq. (32) (left), and neglecting the latter (right). For both curves $1=4(=1: 125)$ and the rem aining param eters coincide w ith those used in plotting $F$ ig. 2.
on greater values, the e ect becom es stronger. A s show $n$ in the Fig. 3, for a pronounced proxim ity of the FS to a cylinder near the e ective cross-section ( $1=4$ ); a noticeable di erence in the $m$ agnetization oscillations shape and $m$ agnitude arises due to the e ect of the chem icalpotential oscillations.

The correction from brings changes in the position of spin-splitting zeros in the harm onics of the de H aas-van A lphen oscillations. These changes were studied before $w$ ithin the simple approxim ation (2) for the energy spectrum [26]. It was shown that the spin-zero positions for the second and third harm onics are not com pletely determ ined w ith zeros in corresponding spinsplitting factors $R_{S}(2) ; R_{S}(3): T$ hey also depend on the values of $R(1) ; R(3)$ (which are dependent of tem perature and scattering), and on the magnetic eld. H ere, we show that the spin-zero positions also depend on the FS geom etry at the extrem alcross-sections. This follow s from the expressions (36), (37).

## V.ANGULAR DEPENDENCEOFTHE O SCILLATIONSAMPLITUDES

The ect of the FS curvature on the quantum oscillations in the $m$ agnetization is expected to be very sensitive to the geom etry of the experim ents. T he reason is that the e ective FS cross-sections (w th the m ini$\mathrm{mum} / \mathrm{m}$ axim um cross-sectionalareas) run along the lines of zero curvature (if any) only at certain directions of the $m$ agnetic eld. W hen the $m$ agnetic eld is tilted aw ay from such direction by the angle ; the extrem al crossection slips from the nearly cylindrical strip on the FS containing a zero curvature line. T his results in the decrease in the oscillations am plitude. The phase of the oscillations also changes. $T$ hese angular dependencies of the oscillations am plitudes and phases radically di er in
origin from the e ect rst described by Yam a $\ddot{i}$ [27].
The Yam a jie ect occurs due to the coincidence of the FS extrem alareas $A_{m}$ ax and $A_{m}$ in at certain angles of inclination of the $m$ agnetic eld $w$ ith respect to the FS sym $m$ etry axis. At such angles all the cross-sections on the FS have the same area, so the am plitude of the de H aas\{van A lphen oscillations increases. The Yam a $\ddot{j}$ effect originates from the periodicity of the $p_{z}$ dependent contribution to the charge carriers energy spectrum, and it is inrelated to the presence/absence of zero curvature lines on the relevant FS.A lso, there is a crucialdi erence in the $m$ anifestations of the two e ects. The angular dependence originating from the e ects of the FS curvature reveals itself at the very sm all values of ; whereas the rst maxim um due to the Yam a $\mathrm{i} e$ ect usually appears at $\quad 10^{\circ}$ or even greater. To further clarify the di erence betw een the two e ects we analyze the angular dependence of de H aas\{van A lphen oscillation am plitudes assum ing that the FS curvature becom es zero at an extrem al cross-section when the $m$ agnetic eld is directed along the FS axis of sym $m$ etry.

W e suppose that the m agnetic eld is inclined from the FS symmetry axis by the angle within the $x z$ plane, and we em ploy the coordinate system whose $z^{0}$ axis is directed along the $m$ agnetic eld. $W$ e use the energy $m$ om entum relation given by Eqs. (4), (5), and we rew rite them in term sofnew coordinates $p_{z}^{0} ; p_{y} ; p_{z}^{0} \quad\left(p_{x}^{0}=\right.$ $\left.p_{x} \cos +p_{z} \sin ; p_{z}^{0}=p_{z} \cos \quad p_{x} \sin \right):$ Changing variables in the Eqs. (4), (5) and keeping in $m$ ind that sin takes on very small values at sm all angles ; we $m$ ay present the energy $m$ om entum relation for a weakly corrugated Ferm icylinder ( $t$ ) in the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{0}^{2}= & p_{x}^{\infty} \cos ^{2}+p_{y}^{2} \quad 4 m_{?} t \\
& \quad x^{\lambda} \quad n \cos \frac{n d}{h}\left[p_{z}^{0} \cos +p_{x}^{0} \sin \right] \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{p} p_{0}$ is the radius of the inw anped Ferm i cylinder $\left(p_{0}=\bar{A}=\right)$ : Introducing polar coordinates in the cutting plane ( $p_{x}^{0}=\mathrm{pcos}^{\prime} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{p} \sin { }^{\prime}$ ) wemay calculate the FS cross-sectional area provided that the $m$ agnetic eld is tilted aw ay from the FS sym m etry axis:

$$
A\left(p_{z}^{0} ;\right)={ }_{0}^{Z_{2}} d_{0}^{Z} p p_{0}^{Z} p d p=A_{0}()+A\left(p_{z}^{0} ;\right):
$$

H ere,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}()=2 p_{0}^{2}{ }_{0}^{Z} \frac{d^{\prime}}{\cos ^{2} \cos ^{2 \prime}+\sin ^{2} \boldsymbol{r}}=\frac{A}{\cos } \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left(p_{z}^{0} ; \cos \right)= & 2 m_{?} t^{X^{A}}{ }^{n=1}{ }_{0}^{Z_{2}} \cos \frac{n p_{z}^{0} d}{h} \cos \\
& +\frac{n p_{0} d}{h} \tan \cos ^{\prime} \quad d^{\prime}: \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we can present the oscillating part of the longitudinalm agnetization in the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{j j}=2 N{\frac{!}{!_{0}}}_{r=1}^{X} \frac{(1)}{r} \\
& \sin \frac{2 r F()}{B}+r() \quad Y_{r}():  \tag{42}\\
& \text { Here, } Y_{r}()={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{C}_{r}^{2}()+S_{r}^{2}()} \text {; } \\
& =\arctan \left[S_{r}()=C_{r}()\right] ; F()=F=\cos \text {; } \\
& C_{r}()=\frac{d}{2 h \cos } \quad \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{d}) \cos \\
(\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{d}) \cos
\end{array} \cos \frac{r^{2}}{h^{2}} A\left(p_{z}^{0} ;\right) d p_{z}^{0} \text {; }  \tag{43}\\
& S_{r}()=\frac{d}{2 h \cos } \quad \begin{array}{c}
Z(h=d) \cos \\
(h=d) \cos
\end{array} \sin ^{r^{2}} h^{2} A\left(p_{z}^{0} ;\right) d p_{z}^{0} ; \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding the integrand in (41) in B essel functionswe can easily carry out the integration over ' : Then we get:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A\left(p_{z}^{0} ; \cos \right)=4 m ? t^{X^{A}}{ }_{n=1}^{n \cos } \frac{n p_{z}^{0}}{h} \cos \\
\text { Ш } \frac{n p_{0} d}{h} \tan : \tag{45}
\end{array}
$$

The rst term in this expansion coincides w the result given in the Yam a $\ddot{\mu}$ work. T he latter w as obtained assum ing the sim ple cosine warping of the FS described w ith the Eq. (2).

To analyze the e ect of the FS curvature we assum e that the curvature becom es zero at $p_{z}=h=d$ : Requiring that $d^{2} A=d p_{z}^{2} p_{z}=h=d=0$ (see Eq. (3)) and keeping only two rst term $s$ in the expansion (5) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~h}}=\cos \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~h}}+\frac{1}{4} \cos \frac{2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~h}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the cross-sectional area $A\left(p_{z}\right)$ near $p_{z}=$ $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{d}$ is approxim ated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(p_{z}\right)=A\left(p_{0}\right)+\frac{m_{?} t}{2} \frac{d}{h}^{4} p_{z} \quad \frac{h}{d}{ }^{4}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring this expression $w$ th the Eq. (18) we conclude that the shape param eter $l=2$ :

C orrespondingly, wem ust put $1=1$; $2=1=4 ;{ }_{n}=$ $0(n>2)$ into the generalexpression (46) for $A\left(p_{z}^{0} ;\right)$ : A s a result we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left(p_{z}^{0} ; \cos \right)= & 4 m ? t \cos \frac{p_{z}^{0} d}{h} \cos J_{0} \frac{p_{0} d}{h} \tan \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \cos \frac{2 p_{z}^{0} d}{h} \cos J_{0} \frac{2 p_{0} d}{h} \tan : \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 4: A ngular dependencies of the magnetization oscillations am plitudes. The curves are plotted assum ing that $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{h}!=0: 5 ; \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{h}=4$ : The shape param eter 1 takes on the values: $1=1$ (solid line); $l=2$ (dashed line); $l=3$ (dotted line). T he plotted curves are described by the Eqs. (42) \{ (44) (r=1).

To describe FSs possessing closer proxim ity to a perfect cylinder near the certain extrem al cross-section we m ust keep $m$ ore term $s$ in the expansion (5). For instance, assum ing $1=1 ; 2=2=5 ; \quad 3=1=15$; and $n=0(n>$ 3) we ensure that both $d^{2} A=d p_{z}^{2}$ and $d^{4} A=d p_{z}^{4}$ becom $e$ zero at $p_{z}=h=d$; which corresponds to $l=3$ : Sim ilarly, at $1=1 ; 2=1=2 ; 3=1=7 ; 4=1=56$; and $\mathrm{n}=0(\mathrm{n}>4)$ we obtain $\mathrm{l}=4$; and so forth. Substituting these num bers into the general expression (45) for $A\left(p_{z}^{0} ; \infty\right)$; and using the results to com pute the functions $C_{r}()$ and $S_{r}()$ given by the Eqs. (43), (44), wem ay nally calculate the factors $Y_{r}()$ : T he latter describe the desired angular dependence of the oscillations am plitudes.

H ere, w e carried out the calculations accepting $t=h$ ! = $0: 5$ and $p_{0} d=h=4$ and keeping only the rst term in the sum over $r$ in the Eq. (42). The resulting curves are presented in the Figure 4. The solid line in this gure is associated w th the energy spectrum of the form (2). The corresponding FS has a cosine warping, and possesses a nonzero curvature at the exprem al cross-sections. The high peak at $=0: 185\left(10: 6^{\circ}\right)$ corresponds to the rst Yam a $\ddot{\mu} \mathrm{m}$ axim um. The position of this peak is in agree$m$ ent $w$ th the equation ( $p_{0} d=h$ ) tan $=3=4$ (see 27]). Two preceding zeros originate from the beats. The rem aining curves represent FSS whose curvature becom es zero at their m inim um cross-sections at $=0: W$ e see that the closer the FS shape to a that of a perfect cylinder in the vicinities of these cross-sections (the greater is the value of l) the greater is the am plitude of the oscillations near $=0$ and the $s m$ aller is the Yam a $\ddot{j} m$ aximum . At $l=3$ the Yam a $\ddot{\mu} \mathrm{m}$ axim um is approxim ately 2 tim es higher than the maxim um at $=0$; whereas
at $l=1$ the ratio of the heights takes on the value close to 4: W em ay expect that at very close proxim ity of the FS to a cylinder near the extrem al cross-section (l 10); the am plitude $m$ axim um at $=0 \mathrm{w}$ ill exceed the Yam a $\ddot{i}$ peak. A lso, we see that the am plitudes of the oscillations associated w ith the FSs containing zero curvature extrem al cross-sections do not becom e zero at sm all angles : This is due to the fact that the considered FSs are warped cylinders whose curvature is zero at the cross-sections w the th inimum areas (such as $p_{z}=h=d$ ) but rem ains nonzero at the cross-sections $w$ ith the $m$ axim um areas (such as $p_{z}=0$ ): D i erence in the am plitudes of the oscillations originating from the FS local geom etry near its extrem al cross-sections prevents beats from being wellm anifested.
$T$ he angular dependence of the $m$ agnetization oscillations am plitude resem bling that presented in the Fig. 4 w as reported to be observed in experim ents on the Q 2D organic metal (BETS) $2_{2} \mathrm{THg}(\mathrm{SECN})_{4}$ [28]. In these experim ents a high peak in the am plitude was observed when the magnetic eld was directed along the axis of the corrugated cylinder which is the part of the FS. W hen the eld was tilted aw ay from this axis by the angle the am plitude was rapidly reducing, and it reached approxim ately one half of the initial value at $\quad 5^{\circ}$ : Further increase in the angle brought sm all variations in the am plitude until another peak w as reached at $18^{\circ}$ : Identifying this second peak w ith the rst Yam a ïm axim um we may conjecture that the higher peak at $=0$ arises due to the presence of the FS extrem al cross-sections of zero curvature. The relation betw een the heights of the peaks reported in [27] gives grounds to expect that the nearly cylindrical seg$m$ ents of the (BETS)$)_{2} \mathrm{THg}(\mathrm{SeCN})_{4}$ Ferm isurface (where the FS curvature becom es zero) are very close to perfect cylinders, so that the shape param eter $l$ takes on values signi cantly greater than unity.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In sum $m$ ary, the present work aim sto study the e ect of the FS shape on the de H aas-van A lphen oscillations in Q 2D conductors. Such analysis is im portant for there exists a great dealof interest in studies ofband-structure param eters and other electronic properties of these $m$ aterials. U sually, the sim plem odelfor the electron spectrum (2) is em ployed to extract the relevant inform ation from the experim ents. This approxim ation has its lim itations, so som e problem s arise in interpreting the experim ental data (see e.g. Refs. [15, 16, 28]). An im portant lim itation of the current theory is that the latter $m$ isses the e ects of the FS geom etry assum ing a sim ple cosine warping of the FS.H ere, we lift this restriction on the FS shape. W e show that the FS pro lem ay signi cantly affect the quantum oscillations in $m$ agnetization if the FS
curvature becom es zero at a cross-sectional area. A lso, we show that $m$ ain characteristics of the oscillations are determ ined by two di erent factors, nam ely by the FS curvature at the e ective cross-sections and the transfer integral, whereas existing theory takes into account only the latter. These two factors work sim ultaneously, and their e ects could be separated. A s discussed above the features typical for 2D conductors could be revealed when the FS is rippled provided that its curvature turns zero at the cross-sections w ith extrem al areas.

The presence of zero curvature e ective cross-sections notioeably a ects the angular dependencies of the oscillation am plitudes. A maxim um originating due to the FS local geom etry could em erge. The height of this peak could be com parable w th the height of the well known Yam aij maxim um and even exceed the latter. $T$ his agrees $w$ th the experim ental results observed on the Q 2D (BETS $)_{2}$ TH g (SeCH $)_{4}$ organic metal [28]. T he proposed approach could be usefulin analyzing experim ents on magnetization oscillations in Q 2D conductors, especially those where saw tooth features in the oscillations are well pronounced. It could help to extract im portant extra inform ations conceming ne geom etrical features of the FSs of such $m$ aterials.
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