Coarse graining the Cyclic Lotka-Volterra Model:SSA and local maximum likelihood estimation.

- C.P.Calderon¹, G.A.T sekouras^{2;3}, A.Provata², and I.G.K evrekidis^{1;4}
- ¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544-5263, USA
- ² Institute of Physical Chem istry, N ational R esearch Center \D em okritos", 15310 A thens, G reece
- ³ P hysics D epartm ent, U niversity of A thens, P anepistim ioupolis, 10679 A thens, G reece
- ⁴ Corresponding Author: yannis@arnold.Princeton.edu

Sum m ary. W hen the output of an atom istic simulation (such as the G illespie stochastic simulation algorithm, SSA) can be approximated as a di usion process, we may be interested in the dynamic features of the deterministic (drift) component of this di usion. We perform traditional scientic computing tasks (integration, steady state and closed orbit computation, and stability analysis) on such a drift component using a SSA simulation of the Cyclic Lotka-Volterna system as our illustrative example. The results of short bursts of appropriately initialized SSA simulations are used to t local di usion models using A t-Sahalia's transition density expansions [1, 2, 3] in a maximum likelihood framework. These estimates are then coupled with standard numerical algorithms (such as Newton-Raphson or numerical integration routines) to help design subsequent SSA experiments. A brief discussion of the validity of the local di usion approximation of the SSA simulation (a jump process) is included.

1 Introduction

Reactive particle dynam ic models arise in scientic elds ranging from physical and chem ical processes to system s biology [33, 34, 37, 41, 38, 19, 17]. Incorporating successive levels of detail in the modeling quickly leads to models that are analytically intractable, necessitating computational exploration. G illespie's Stochastic Simulation A lgorithm (SSA) and its variants [41, 20, 19] have gained popularity in recent years for modeling so-called mixed reacting system s; the approach provides a middle ground between detailed molecular dynam ics and lum ped, O rdinary D i erential Equation (ODE) descriptions of chem ical kinetics, incorporating uctuations. K nowing the kinetic schem e underlying such a simulation allows one to write, at the in nite particle limit, the corresponding kinetic ODE.At interm ediate particle numbers (N $_{\rm m\ ol}$), the SSA has been approximated with the continuous \chem ical Langevin equation" [19].

In what follows we will assume that the results of an SSA simulation can be successfully approxim ated through a continuous di usion process. Explicit know ledge of the drift and noise components of such a process allows one to easily analyze certain features of the overall behavior; one might, for example, be interested in the bifurcation behavior of the \underlying" drift component of the model, including the num ber and stability of its steady states and their param etric dependence. In our work we assume that the only available simulation tool is a \black box" SSA simulator, in which the mechanistic rules have been correctly incorporated, but which we, as users, do not know : we can only observe the SSA simulator output. We want to perform a quantitative com putational study of the underlying drift component. Since we cannot derive it in closed form (not knowing the evolution rules), we want to perform this study using the least possible simulation with the SSA code. The approach we use follows the so-called \equation-free" fram ework [28, 27]: in this fram ework traditional num erical algorithm s become protocols for designing short bursts of num erical experim ents with the SSA code. The quantities necessary for num erical computation with the unavailable model (tim e derivatives, the action of Jacobians) are estimated locally by processing the $\$ ne scale" SSA simulations. In this work we extract such numerical information via parametric local di usion m odels using the transition density expansions proposed by A t-Sahalia [2, 3]. The num erical procedures we illustrate can also be used, in principle, for di erent types of \ ne scale" m odels if their output happens to be well approxim ated by di usion processes.

The article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we describe our illustrative model system .We then quickly outline the basic ideas underlying equation-free num erics (Section 3), and discuss our estimation procedure (Section 4). Our computational results are presented in Section 5, and we conclude with a discussion including goodness-of-t issues.

2 The Lattice Lotka-Volterra M odel

Our Cyclic Lotka-Volterra [36, 14] illustrative example consists of a three-species (X, Y and S) nonlinear kinetic scheme of the following form [36]:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X &+ Y & \stackrel{k_1}{\cdot} & 2Y \\ Y &+ S & \stackrel{k_2}{\cdot} & 2S \\ S &+ X & \stackrel{k_3}{\cdot} & 2X \end{array}$$

In the remainder of the paper we will refer to it simply as LV. In the determ inistic limit, this kinetic scheme gives rise to a set of three coupled nonlinear ODEs for the evolution of the concentrations X; Y and S.

Coarse-graining SSA LLV models via local di usion models 3

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = k_1 X Y + k_3 X S$$
(1)

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = k_2 Y S + k_1 Y X$$

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = k_3 S X + k_2 S Y$$

The total concentration (X + Y + S) is constant over time; setting (without loss of generality) this constant to unity and eliminating S

$$X + Y + S = 1; =)$$
 $S = 1 X Y$

reduces the system to

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = X [k_3 \quad k_3 X \quad (k_1 + k_3)Y]$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = Y [k_2 + (k_1 + k_2)X + k_2Y]:$$
(2)

For every (positive) value of k_1 ; k_2 and k_3 four xed points exist: three trivial and one non-trivial steady state:

$$\begin{split} &X_{s} = 0; Y_{s} = 0; S = 1 \quad (\text{system invaded by } S) \\ &X_{s} = 1; Y_{s} = 0; S = 0 \quad (\text{system invaded by } X) \\ &X_{s} = 0; Y_{s} = 1; S = 0 \quad (\text{system invaded by } Y) \\ &X_{s} = \frac{k_{2}}{K}; Y_{s} = \frac{k_{3}}{K}; S_{s} = \frac{k_{1}}{K} \quad (\text{nontrivial xed point}) \end{split}$$

where

$$K = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 :$$
 (3)

An interesting feature of the phase space of the determ inistic model is the existence of a one-parameter family of closed orbits surrounding a \center" (see Figure 3). The neutral stability of these orbits a ects, as we will see below, the xed point algorithms used to converge on them. The system is simulated through both the ODEs (2) and through an SSA implementation of the kinetic scheme (1) using $k_1; k_2 = 0.5$ and $k_3 = 0.7$ throughout.

3 Equation Free C om putation

The basic premise underlying equation-free modeling and computation is that we have available a \black box" ne-scale dynamic simulator, and we believe

4 C.P.Calderon, G.A.T sekouras, A.P rovata, and I.G.K evrekidis

that an e ective evolution equation exists (closes) for some set of (coarsegrained) outputs or observables of the ne scale simulation. As discussed in more detail in [27, 23], one can num erically solve this (unavailable explicitly) equation through linking traditional numerical methods with the ne scale code; in particular, the classical continuum algorithm s become protocols for the design of short, appropriately initialized num erical experim ents with the ne scale code. The process starts by identifying the appropriate coarse-grained observables (som etim es also called order param eters); typically these variables are low -orderm om ents ofm icroscopically/stochastically evolving particle distributions (e.g. concentrations for chem ically reacting system s, like our example). In general, good coarse-grained observables are not known, and data analysis techniques to identify them from computational or experim ental observations are the subject of intense current research [39, 8, 12]. If the unavailable \e ective" equations are determ inistic and reasonably sm ooth, short runs of the ne-scale simulator are used to estimate time derivatives of the coarse-grained observables; initializing ne scale simulations consistent with nearby values of the coarse-grained observables gives estimates of directional derivatives (again assuming appropriate sm oothness), and can be linked with matrix-free iterative linear algebra techniques (e.g. [26]). When an explicit evolution equation is available, these quantities, necessary in num erical com putation, are obtained through function or Jacobian evaluations of the model form ulas; here, they are estimated on demand from short com putational experiments with the ne scale solver. If the underlying e ective equation is stochastic, e.g. a di usion, then the results of the short simulation bursts must be used to estim ate both the drift and the noise components of the e ective model - this is the case we study here. We will illustrate, using the SSA LV example, how certain types of computations can be accelerated by appealing to classical num erical methods.

4 Estimation Procedure

In what follows, we will assume that species concentrations are good observables, and that the true process (the LV SSA simulation) can be adequately approximated by a di usion process, that is, a stochastic di erential equation (SDE) of the form :

$$dX_{t} = (X_{t};)dt + (X_{t};)dW_{t}:$$
 (4)

Here X_t is a stochastic process which is meant to model the evolution of the observable(s), W_t represents a vector of standard B rownian motions, and the functions (X_t;) and (X_t;) are the drift and di usion coe cients of the process. In the classical parametric setup, one assumes that the parameterized function families to which the drift and di usion coe cient functions belong are known, and that the parameter vector is nite dimensional. In practice

one rarely knows a class of functions which can be used to describe the global dynam ics of the observables; in the equation free computations below, how - ever, we simulate the true process for only relatively short bursts of time. It therefore makes sense to (locally) consider the following SDE:

$$dX_{t} = A + B (X_{t} X_{o}) dt + C + D (X_{t} X_{o}) dW_{t}:$$
(5)

where $W_{t,X_{t},X_{o}}$, A and C 2 R^d and B and D 2 R^d d (the d B row nian motions are assumed independent; the vectorm ultiplying them, by slight abuse of notation, contains the nonzero elements of the diagonal matrix; extending to the correlated case is straightforward).

This simple model is based on the fact that we expect smooth evolution of moments of the observables, while at the same time taking into account the state dependence of the noise (neglecting this dependence can cause bias in the estimation of the drift). The parameters of this local linear model are estimated through techniques associated with maximum likelihood estimation (M LE). The motivation for using M LE techniques stems from the fact that under certain regularity conditions [42] such estimators are (asymptotically) e cient as regards the variance of the estimated parameter distribution. In addition, the asymptotic parameter distributions associated with M LE can som etimes be worked out analytically, or approximated through M onte C arlo simulations; this know ledge can guide the selection of the sample size necessary for a given desired accuracy in coarse-grained computations [11].

4.1 M axim um Likelihood Estimation for D iscretely Observed D i usions

We now recall a few basic facts about MLE estimation; standard references include, e.g. [21, 24, 42]. It is assumed throughout that the exact distribution associated with the parametric model admits a continuous density whose logarithm is well de ned almost everywhere and is three times continuously di erentiable with respect to the parameters [30].

M LE is based on maximizing the log-likelihood (L) with respect to the parameter vector (for our model [A;B;C;D]):

L log
$$f(x;)$$
: (6)

In the above equation, x corresponds to a matrix of observations 2 R^d ^M where d is the dimension of the state and M is the length of the time series; f(x;) corresponds to the probability of making observation x. For a single sample path of a discretely observed di usion known to be initialized at x_0 , f(x;) can be evaluated as [21]:

$$f(\mathbf{x};) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}^{M_{Y} \ 1} f(\mathbf{x}_{m} \ \mathbf{\dot{y}}_{m \ 1};):$$
(7)

5

In this equation f $(x_m \ jx_m \ _1;$) represents the conditional probability (transition density) of observing x_m given the observation x_m $_1$ for a given

and $_{x_0}$ is the D irac distribution. In our applications, we search for the param eter vector that is best over all observations (we have an ensemble of N paths of length M). In this case our expression for the log-likelihood (given the data and transition density) takes the form :

$$L := \log_{i=1}^{X^{i}} \log_{m} f(x_{m}^{i} \dot{x}_{m-1}^{i};) :$$
(8)

A ssum e the existence of an invertible sym m etric positive de nite \scaling m atrix " m atrix F $_{(M; i)}$ [31] associated with the estim ator; the subscripts are used to m ake the dependence of the scaling m atrix on M and explicit. For the \standard" case N = 1 in time series analysis, under some additional regularity assumptions [24, 42], one has the following limit for a correctly speci ed param etric m odel:

$$F_{(M; \hat{n})}^{\frac{1}{2}} (M_{M})^{P} N (0; I):$$
(9)

Here $\hat{}$ is the true parameter vector; M represents the parameters estimated with a nite time series of length M; $=\hat{}^{P_{n}}$ denotes convergence in distribution [42, 21] under P_n (the distribution associated with the density f(x; $\hat{}$)); N (0; I) denotes a normal distribution with mean zero and an identity matrix for the covariance. For a correctly specified model family, F_{(M; $\hat{}$) can be estimated in a variety of ways [44, 31]. The appeal of M LE lies in that, asymptotically in M, the variance of the estimated parameters is the smallest that can be achieved by an estimator that satis es the assumed regularity conditions [24, 42].}

4.2 Transition density expansions

Here we brie y outline the key features of the recent work of A t-Sahalia [2, 3] used in our coarse-grained computations below. The problem with using even a simple model like that given in equation 5 is that the transition density associated with the process is not known in closed form. In recent years, many attempts to approximate the transition density have appeared in the literature; some techniques depend on analytical approximations whereas others are simulation based (see, e.g. [1, 2, 5, 9, 18, 35]). We have used, with some success, the expansions found in [1, 3, 2]. High accuracy can be obtained using this method to approximate the transition density associated with a scalar process; the multivariate case is discussed in [3]. The basic idea behind the scalar case, presented in [1, 2], is as follow s: 0 ne instituants the process given in equation 4 into a new process [2]:

Coarse-graining SSA LLV models via local di usion models 7

$$dY_{t} = Y(Y_{t};)dt + dW_{t}$$
(10)
$$Z_{X} du$$

$$Y \quad (X;) = \frac{(u;)}{(u;)}$$

$$\frac{(\ ^{1}(Y;);)}{(\ ^{1}(Y;);)} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{0} \times (\ ^{1}(Y;);) \qquad (11)$$

An additional change of variables brings the transition density of the process closer to a standard norm all density $Z = \frac{1}{2} (Y = y_0)$ where is the time between observations. The transform ations introduced allow the use of a Herm ite basis set in order to approximate the transition density of the original process via the following series:

$$\begin{array}{c} {}^{(j)}_{z}(;y_{\circ};) \\ 1 \\ \frac{1}{j!} \\ 1 \\ \frac{1}{j!} \\ \frac{1}{j!} \\ E \\ H_{j} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (z)p_{z}(;z \\ y_{\circ};) \\ dz \\ z \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ Y_{t+} \\ y_{\circ}) \\ Y_{t} = y_{\circ}; \end{array} \right]$$
(13)

In the above, H_j represents the jth Herm ite polynom ial and () is the standard norm all density. The coe cients needed for the approximation are obtained through the conditionalm on ents of the process Y_t. A t-Sahalia outlines [2] a procedure which exploits the connection between the SDE and the associated K olm ogorov equations in order to develop a closed form expression for the $_{2}^{(j)}$ coe cients. The approximation is exact if K ! 1 and the coe cient functions satisfy the assumptions laid out in [2]. In numerical applications one must always deal with a nite K. Problem s may arise in the truncated expansion: the approximation of the density may not normalize to unity or, worse, it may become negative (see [3, 1, 5] for some possible remedies).

In the multivariate case, it becomes more dicult to introduce an analog of Y_t [3]. Nonetheless, it is still possible to construct a series motivated by the methodology used in the scalar case; however, one now needs to expand in space and time, whereas the Herm ite expansion yielded a series \in time only" [3]). A t-Sahalia [3] outlines an approach which makes use of a recursion for calculating the coe cients of the expansion in the multivariate case. We

8 C.P.Calderon, G.A.T sekouras, A.P rovata, and I.G.K evrekidis

have had success in using these expansions, even in cases where convergence of the in nite series is not guaranteed by the conditions given in [1, 2]. Notice, for example, that our local models may allow a value of zero for the di usion coe cient; using a di erent function class (made computationally feasible by the extension of Bakshi and Ju [5, 6]), such as sigm oidal functions for it, may help circum vent such problem s. O ther pathologies are discussed in [11]; estimates of the range of the parameters of interest [31, 42, 11] can enhance the algorithm performance. The comparison study [25] recommends the use of the expansions by A t-Sahalia for a wide class of di usion models. Beyond the estimation itself, these expansions can also be helpful in obtaining diagnostics that depend on know ledge of the transition density (such as goodness-of-t tests [22]) and asymptotic error analysis [31].

5 Illustrations of Equation-free C om putation

Having estim ated the param eters of a localm odel at a given state point opens the way to several computational possibilities. Such estimates, for example, can be used in an iterative search for zeroes of the (global, nonlinear) drift. A Newton-Raphson iteration for a (hopefully better) quess of this root involves the solution of set of linear equations for which both the matrix and the residual are available from the local linear drift. The resulting estimate of the root is then used to launch a new set of computational experiments with the \inner" SSA code, followed by a new estimation, linear equation solution, and so on to convergence. This illustrates the fundam ental underpinnings of equation-free computation. Many num erical algorithms (here, root nding through Newton iteration) do not really require good closed-form globalm odels: each iteration only requires local information (the rst very few terms of a Taylor series) in order to \design" the next iteration. Traditional continuum num ericalm ethods can thus be thought of as protocols for the design of a sequence of model evaluations (possibly model and Jacobian evaluations, occasionally even Hessian evaluations). In the absence of an explicit form ula for the model, the same protocol can be used to design appropriately initialized computational experiments with a model of the system at a dierent level (here, the SSA simulator). Processing the results of these appropriately initialized short bursts estim ate the quantities required for scienti c com putation, as opposed to evaluating them from a closed-form model. The so-called \coarse projective integration" is another example of the same principle. Traditional explicit integration routines require a call to a subroutine that evaluates the time-derivative of a dynam ic model at a particular state. In the absence of an explicit model, short bursts of simulations of a model of the system at a di erent level (again, here, SSA) can be used to estimate these time derivatives, and, through local linear models, extrapolate the state at a later time. The fundam ental assumption underpinning this entire computational fram ework, is that an explicit evolution equation exists, and closes, in

terms of the (known) coarse-grained observables of the ne-scale simulation (here, the concentrations of the SSA species). If this, unavailable in closedform, equation is determ inistic, then one only need to estimate a drift term from ne scale simulations; if, on the other hand, the coarse-grained equation is stochastic (uctuations are important), then both the local drift and diffusion terms must be estimated. Certain computational tasks for stochastic e ective, coarse-grained models require evaluations of both these terms (e.g. computations of stationary, equilibrium densities, or K ram ers' type computations of escape times for bistable systems, see for example [29, 23]). In this paper, we perform equation-free tasks for only the drift component of the model; som etimes it may be interesting to know whether the drift component dynam ics possess zeros or closed loops, as well as their parametric dependence. A lso, at in nite system size (practically, for su ciently large particle num bers) the SSA actually closes as a determ inistic ODE.

Coarse Newton-Raphson for the xed point of the drift.

In what follows we work at system sizes large enough that a di usion approximation of the SSA output is meaningful, and even more-the dynam ics of the drift component of the di usion are close to the kinetic ODE scheme dynam - ics. The neutral stability of the xed point and the closed loops of the kinetic ODE suggest comparable features for the estimated drift, which we set out to investigate. We not the nontrivial root of the estimated drift F (X;) = 0^1 through a coarse N ew ton-R aphson procedure as follows: A n ensemble of N path SSA simulations are initialized in a neighborhood of the current guess X₀ of the root. Each is evolved in time, and the simulations are sampled uniform by M times during a time interval of length . A local SDE model of the type (5) is estimated using the transition density expansions of A t-Sahalia in an M LE -type scheme; the resulting model parameters are used to update the root quess through

$$X_{n} = X_{n-1} - \frac{\partial F(X;)}{\partial X} \dot{X}_{n-1} F(X_{n-1};) X_{n-1} B^{-1}A:$$
 (14)

Figure 1 shows this procedure for two di erent values of N $_{\rm path}$ (other param eters are noted in the caption). New ton-Raphson type procedures for isolated roots are known to converge quickly given a good initial guess; furtherm ore, upon convergence, the eigenvalues of the linearization of the drift are contained in the matrix B. Estimates of these eigenvalues for di erent N $_{\rm path}$ are listed, upon convergence of the root nding procedure, in Table 1. The equation-free iterates approach the determ inistic ODE root (see inset); the latter is known to possess two pure in aginary eigenvalues. The estimated (from local models) eigenvalues are also characterized by a relatively sm all (0 (10²)) realpart.

 $^{^1}$ W e use F (;) to denote the right hand side of a general determ in stic ODE; here F (;) is the estim ated (;)



F ig. 1. Coarse NR to nd stationary points. Roots of F (X) $\frac{dX}{dt}$ using estimated (bcal) linear SDEs.Parameters: $N_{m ol} = 1 \ 10^4$, = 5:032928126 10^{-1} ; M = 300. N_{path} values are shown in the legend and the 1^2 distance of the current guess from the deterministic ODE root is shown in the inset. X (inset y-axis) represents the dimense between the current guess and the steady state of the ODE.

T ab le 1. Representative real and in aginary parts of the eigenvalues of the estimated drift upon convergence to the nontrivial xed point X (0.2941; 0.41176); the determ inistic ODE solution has a pair of pure in aginary eignenvalues.

	Re		Im	
$N_{path} = 100$	2 : 53	10 ²	3:01	10 1
$N_{path} = 600$	2:39	10 ²	2:63	10 ¹

Coarse Projective Integration for the drift

A variety of num erical integration algorithms can be implemented in our fram ework. Single step m ethods of the general form

$$X_n = X_{n-1} + (X_{n-1}; X_n; t):$$
 (15)

include the explicit and implicit Euleralgorithms, (for which is tF (X $_{n-1}$) and tF (X $_{n}$) respectively). Estimates of the drift at X $_{0}$ can be immediately used in a \coarse forward Euler", while the estimated B can be used in a root-nding procedure, along the lines illustrated above, in a \coarse backward Euler" scheme. Other schemes can be simply implemented. Here we only dem onstrate (explicit) coarse forward Euler; predictor-corrector schem es (m ore appropriate for sti problem s) are illustrated in [10]. Representative results for our LV problem are shown in Figure 2. The determ in istic ODE trajectory (dashed lines connecting points) is com pared to the projective integration of the drift component of an SDE estimated locally from SSA simulation ensembles. One clearly sees the evolution of the ensemble of SSA trajectories initialized at every num erical integration point; N path such trajectories were evolved and observed uniform by M times over a time interval . The results were processed through the estimation scheme and the value of the drift at the original point X $_0$ provided the forward Euler estimate of the \next" point through $X_1 = X_0 + tF(X_0)$. The procedure is then repeated.

Several algorithm ic param eters must be carefully selected in such com putations. In our case the \lifting" problem (the initialization of SSA simulations at a given value of the coarse observables) is straightforward because of the \mixed" nature of the SSA simulation; in general, the successful initialization of a ne scale code consistent with a few coarse observables can be a com plicated and di cult issue, requiring, for example, preparatory constrained dynam ic runs [4, 40].

Another in portant parameter is the length of the integrator \projective" step, t, which for determ inistic problems is set by stability and accuracy considerations. Stability discussions for projective integration can be found in [28]; here the issue is complicated by the fact that the model is estim ated rather than evaluated. Multiscale methods for SDEs, including error estimates, can be found in the work of [43, 16]. The total m icroscopic integration time" denoted by and the time between observations t = $\frac{1}{M}$ also require careful selection. If is too large, the simple linear model may break down as nonlinearities in the real system manifest them selves. If the assum ed di usion m odel is correct, there is no upper lim it on M; yet a di usion approxim ation of a di erent underlying process, such as the jump SSA here, will break down if the data is sampled too frequently. Sim ilar issues have been addressed in the control literature [15]. Later on we will outline a goodness-of-t test that can be used to guide the selection of such algorithm ic parameters. In this work, short SSA trajectories in each ensemble are initialized at the same base point X₀, or uniform ly in a small neighborhood around it; we have not yet explored optim al initialization.

Equation-Free C oarse V ariational C alculations

A slight extension of the above coarse integration procedure is the implementation of equation free integration of variational equations. The need for these



Fig. 2. Illustration of C oarse P rojective Integration.N_{path} = 600, N_{m ol} = 1 10^4 , t = :50329, = $\frac{t}{4}$.

arises naturally in our example when we attempt to construct an algorithm that searches for possible closed orbits in the dynam ics of the estim ated drift, and attempts to converge on them. Closed orbits that are limit cycles can be found as (isolated) xed points of an appropriate Poincare map. In the determ inistic LV problem, however, one has a one-parameter family of such orbits, and the xed points of the Poincare map are not isolated. A nticipating a family of such closed orbits for our estimated drift model, we isolate a single orbit from this one-parameter family by selecting its period (the Poincare return time).

For a determ inistic model, the initial value problem for the variational equations is

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = F(X;)$$

$$(16)$$

$$X(t = 0) = X^{IC}$$

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{@F(X;)}{@X} V$$

$$V (t = 0) = I:$$

If X 2 R^d then V 2 R^d d. We use the results of integrating such variational equations to locate closed orbits as zeroes of the equation G (X) X (X) where () represents the result of integration from the (determ inistic) initial condition X ^{IC} for time . To isolate the zeroes we seek, we select a Poincare plane through the value $X_P = 0.3$ of the rst coordinate, and the return time; we thus have one equation with one unknown, the Y coordinate of the intersection of our particular closed orbit with the chosen Poincare plane. For our coarse integration, the return time is typically too large to permit a single local di usion m odel to accurately describe the dynam ics; we therefore use the follow ing procedure:

Sin ulate N_{path} SSA trajectories starting at the current xed point guess; use the data as above to estimate the rst local linear model. Use its drift (and the matrix B) to obtain the next \base point" as well as to step the variational equations for time T_f^{macro} .

Repeat N_{grid} times (see Figure 3).

The output of this procedure gives us the residual of the xed point equation we wish to solve; the results of the variational integration at time (which, upon convergence, will give us an estimate of the monodrom y matrix) are then used to compute the Jacobian of the xed point scheme. One Newton-Raphson step for the Y coordinate of the xed point is taken, and the procedure is then repeated. Representative num erical results are shown in F igure 4.B ecause of the neutral dynam ics, the eigenvalues of the monodrom y matrix upon convergence are both equal to 1 (in the determ inistic ODE). Table 2 shows representative eigenvalue upon convergence for di erent N path (som etim es the eigenvalues are num erically found as com plex conjugates with a small imaginary part). C learly, in addition to the algorithm ic parameters involved in coarse projective integration, we should now also take into account the desired accuracy of the variational integration (quanti ed in part by the existence of an eigenvalue equal to unity upon convergence).

Table 2. Representative monodrom y matrix eigenvalues upon convergence of the xed point iteration for two distinct N $_{path}$ computations (see text).

N $_{\rm path}$	=	100	(0:9698;0:1707)	(0 : 9698 ;	:1707)
N $_{\rm path}$	=	400	(0:9159;0:0252)	(0 : 9159;	:0252)



F ig. 3. Coarse closed orbit computations for the Lotka Volterra model. The determ inistic model phase portrait contains an in nite number of closed orbits. Three such determ inistic orbits (obtained by Runge-Kutta integration) are plotted here. To nd the closed orbit with a speci ed period, we use the Poincare surface X $_{\rm P}$ = 0.3, shown as a solid line. The Jacobian of the coarse Newton-Raphson scheme is computed through variational integrations based on the estim ated drift from ensembles of SSA simulations initialized at the N $_{\rm grid}$ base points shown (see text).

6 D iscussion

We have illustrated the implementation of certain coarse-grained computations with the LV model; one focus was the coarse-grained integration of the variational equations for the SSA-based drift estimation, as well as the modi cations of the coarse Newton-Raphson iteration dictated by the neutral stability of the dynamics (the existence of in nitely many closed orbits in the ODE limit, which appears to approximately persist in our computations). The second focus was the use of A t-Sahalia's expansions to estimate local linear SDEs from short bursts of SSA data as an intermediate step. This naturally leads to some crucial questions about the goodness-of-t of the simple SDE models: (a) is the di usion approximation a \good" description of the dynam -



F ig. 4. Coarse Newton-Raphson for nding closed orbits of a speci ed period. The zeroes of G (Y) Y (Y) were calculated using a Jacobian evaluated from coarse variational integration based on SSA simulations. Parameters: $N_{mol} = 1 \quad 10^4$, = 2:0131712504 10^1 ; M = 300, $N_{grid} = 40$ (N_{path} given in the legend). The initial guess was Y = 0:53. For the determ inistic ODE model the xed point is Y ^{ODE} 0:518; the coarse xed point for $N_{path} = 400$ was calculated to be Y ^{SSA} 0:5075.

ics? (b) Is the linear approximation valid for the time series length chosen? and (c) How reliable is the model for making predictions/forecasts?

O ne should quantitatively know how large N $_{\rm m \ ol}$ needs to be, for a given sam pling frequency, for a di usion m odel to be a statistically m eaningful approxim ation [7, 19]. Sam pling too offen m ay be detrimental in m any di usion approximations (e.g. [15]). Local linear m odels (i.e. short truncations of Taylor series) are used extensively in scientic computations, but only for short time steps, whose length is determined by overall stability and accuracy considerations. Similar considerations arise in choosing the used for SSA data collection towards the estimation of the local linear SD E m odels used here; clearly, when the underlying drift is nonlinear, cannot be too large. A useful diagnostic tool for questions (a) and (b) applicable if one does have an accurate transition density approximation, is the probability integral transform



Fig. 5. Evolution of actual and m odel densities. The top gure shows the evolution of the SSA process, initialized as a D irac distribution (m arked in red); the bottom plot shows an ensemble of num erical simulations of the ideal di usion m odel using the parameters estimated from the SSA. Both distributions are plotted at $\frac{1}{4}$; $\frac{1}{2}$; $\frac{3}{4}$, and . Relevant parameters: N path = 5 10³; M = 300, N m ol = 1 10⁴, = 0:50329.

[13, 22]. U sing the data and the (assum ed known) exact transition density, one creates a new random variable which, for a correctly speci ed model, has a known distribution. The method is applicable to both stationary and non-stationary time series; furtherm ore it depends on integrations of the transition density approximation rather than dimensions. Given the data, one (appropriately) estimates model parameters and then constructs the random variables Z_n for each observation² (x_n). The construction below follows that in Section 3 of [13]:

$$Z_n := p(\mathbf{x}_n^0 \mathbf{j} \mathbf{x}_{n-1};) d\mathbf{x}_n^0$$

² The m ethod applies to both a vector and scalar process, how ever the construction is easiest to dem onstrate in the latter case. See [22] for the multivariate extension.

$$Z_{n} \quad q(Z_{n}) \quad \frac{dQ(Z_{n})}{dZ_{n}}$$
$$x_{n} \quad f(x_{n} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) \quad \frac{dF(x_{n} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{x}_{n-1})}{dx_{n}}$$

The symbol denotes that the random variable on the left of the symbol is distributed according to the density to the right. Under a correctly speci ed model, the Z_n 's are independent and uniform ly distributed on [0;1], independent of the transition density [13]. In [22] a comprehensive suite of statistical tests are reviewed which exploit know ledge of the transition density and the transform ation shown above. Figure 6 plots a kernel density estimate (see equation 6 on page 44 in [22]) which is based on the estimated parameters and the observed data. If the model is correctly speci ed, the in nite sam ple size density should be the product of two uniform densities. Test statistics can be created from this function (see [22] for details).

Inspection of the gures shows that, for a particular representative SSA ensemble run for N_{m ol} = 1 10⁴, and a particular sampling frequency, the di usion approximation is not acceptable; the situation appears better for N_{m ol} > 4 10⁵. It is interesting to notice that, while N_{m ol} = 1 10⁴ is not large enough for the conditions of F igure 5, visual inspection of the empirical and the SDE-based density evolution m ight suggest otherw ise. In traditional, continuum num erical algorithms issues of on-line error estimation, tim e-step and m esh adaptation are often built-in in m odern, validated software. There is a clear necessity for incorporating, in the same espirit, hypothesis testing techniques in codes in plem enting the type of com putations we described here; yet autom ating such processes appears to be a major challenge.

In our next application, we evolved an ensemble of trajectories starting from a Dirac initial distribution, and then recorded the Poincare map for each individual trajectory over a long simulation period. Figure 7 shows the evolution of the Y coordinate of these trajectories as function of the map iterate. For long times, di erent initial conditions in the ensemble approach some of the \extinction" xed points of the ODE vector eld (see the vertical lines in Fig. 7); once there, the system no longer changes over time. V isual inspection of the evolution of the ensemble suggests that one m ight try to coarse-grain the Poincare map evolution as a m odel SDE; the insets in the gure show the initial evolution of the mean and the variance of the Poincare

m ap iterates. The sm ooth line in the insets, a simple least squares t, seem s to suggest a system atic evolution towards \larger" oscillations, bringing the system closer to extinction. If this evolution could be well approxim ated locally by a di usion processes, approximations similar in spirit to the ones shown in this article might be used to explore features of the distribution of extinction times for the problem.

A cknow ledgem ents This work was partially supported by a Ford Foundation/NRC Fellow ship to (CC) and an NSF ITR grant (IK).



F ig. 6. Towards hypothesis testing. The function plotted corresponds to an empirical estimate of the two-dimensional density function described in [13, 22]. The data are obtained from the same ensemble of SSA simulations as in Fig 5; the top gure is for N_{m ol} = 1 10⁴ and the bottom for N_{m ol} = 4 10⁵. In the in nite sam ple limit and for a correctly speci ed model the density would be unity in the entire support ([0;1] [0;1]) of the function. The gure suggests that the observations of the larger system are closer to a di usion model.

References

- 1. Y.A t-Sahalia, Closed-form likelihood expansions for multivariate di usions, http://www.princeton.edu/~yacine/research.htm, (2001).
- 2. ____, Maximum -likelihood estimation of discretely-sampled di usions: A closed-form approximation approach, E conometrica, 70 (2002), pp. 223{262.
- 3. Y.A t-Sahalia and R.Kimmel, Estimating a nemultifactor term structure models using closed-form likelihood expansions, NBER Technical Working Papers 0286, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc, Dec. 2002. available at http://ideas.repec.org/p/nbr/nberte/0286.html.
- 4. A. Amadei, A. B. M. Linssen, and H. J.C. Berendsen, Essential dynamics of proteins, Proteins, 17 (1993), pp. 412 (425.



Fig. 7. SSA return map computations for the LV model. An ensemble of 200 trajectories initialized at X = (0.3; 0.53) are evolved, and the Y coordinate of their $X_P = 0.3$ Poincare map crossings in the negative X direction is recorded. The insets (see text) suggest a systematic upward drift, bringing the system closer to extinction (this event is indicated by vertical lines, see text).

- 5. G.Bakshi and N.Ju, A re nement to A t-Sahalia's (2002) \M axim um likelihood estimation of discretely sampled di usions: A closed-form approximation approach", Journal of Business, 78 (2005), pp.2037{2052.
- 6. G.Bakshi, and N.Ju, and H.Ou-Yang, Estimation of continuous-time models with an applications to equity volatility dynamics (working paper).
- 7. K.Ball, and T.Kurtz, and L.Popovic, and G.Rem pala, A sym ptotic analysis ofmultiscale approximations to reaction networks, http://www.citebase.org/ cgi-bin/citations?id=oai:arXiv.org:math/0508015, 2005.
- 8. M. Belkin, and P. Niyogi: Laplacian eigenmaps and spectral techniques for embedding and clustering, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, vol. 4, edited by S. Becker, and Z. Ghahramani (M IT Press, Cambridge, M A 2002)
- 9. B M. B ibby and M. S rensen, Martingale estimation functions for discretely observed di usion processes, Bernoulli, 1 (1995), pp.17{39.
- 10. C P.Calderon and I.G.K evrekidis, Estimation strategies in equation free numerical methods (in preparation).

- 20 C.P.Calderon, G.A.T sekouras, A.Provata, and I.G.K evrekidis
- 11. C P. Calderon, Fitting e ective di usion models to data associated with a \glassy potential": Estimation, classical inference procedures and some heuristics, http://www.citebase.org/cgi-bin/citations?id=oai:arXiv. org:cond-mat/0510521 (submitted to SIAM MMS), 2005.
- 12. R R. Coiffman, S. Lafon, A B. Lee, M. Magionni, B. Nadler, F. Wamer, and S.W. Zucker, Geometric di usions as a tool for harm onic analysis and structure de nition of data: Multiscale methods, PNAS, 21 (2005), pp. 7432{7437.
- 13. F X. Diebold, T. Gunther, and A. Tay, Evaluating density forecasts with applications to nancial risk management, International Economic Review, 39 (1998), pp. 863{883.
- 14. R.Durrett, Stochastic Spatial Models, SIAM Review, 41 (1999), pp. 677{718.
- 15. M. El-Ansary and H. Khalil, On the interplay of singular perturbations and wide-band stochastic uctuations, SIAM J. Control and Optimization, 24 (1986), pp.83{94.
- 16. W. E, D. Liu, and E. Vanden-Einden, Analysis of multiscale methods for stochastic di erential equations, Comm. on Pure and Applied M athematics, 58 (2005), pp.1544{1585.
- 17. M B.E low itz and S.Leibler, A synthetic oscillatory network of transcriptional regulators, N ature, 403 (2000), pp. 335{338.
- 18. A R.G allant and G.Tauchen, W hich m om ents to m atch?, E conom etric T heory, 12 (1996), pp.657(681.
- 19. D. Gillespie, The chemical Langevin equation, J. Chem. Phys, 113 (2000) pp.297{306.
- 20. D. T. G illespie and L. R. Petzold, Improved leap-size selection for accelerated stochastic simulation, J. Chem. Phys, 119 (2003), pp. 8229{8234.
- 21. J.D. Ham ilton, T im e Series Analysis, Princeton University Press, 1994.
- 22. Y. Hong and H. Li, Nonparametric speci cation testing for continuous-time models with applications to term structure of interest rates, The Review of Financial Studies, 18 (2005), pp. 37{84.
- 23. G.Hummer and I.G.Kevrekidis, Coarse molecular dynamics of a peptide fragment: Free energy, kinetics, and long-time dynamics computations, J.Chem. Phys, 118 (2003), pp.10762{10773.
- 24. P. Jeganathan, Some aspects of asymptotic theory with applications to time series models, E conom etric Theory, 11 (1995), pp. 818{887.
- 25. B. Jensen and R. Poulsen, Transition densities of di usion processes: Num erical comparison of approximation techniques, Journal of Derivatives, 9 (2002), pp.18{32.
- 26. C.T.K elley, Iterative M ethods for Linear and N on linear E quations, Society for Industrial and Applied M athem atics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1995.
- 27. I.G. Kevrekidis, C.W. Gear, and G. Hummer, Equation-free: The computeraided analysis of complex multiscale systems, AIChE Jounral, 50 (2004), pp.1346{1355.
- 28. I.G.Kevrekidis, C.W.Gear, J.M.Hyman, P.G.Kevrekidis, O.Runborg, and K.Theodoropoulos, Equation-free coarse-grained multiscale computation: enabling m icroscopic simulators to perform system-level tasks, Comm.Math. Sciences, 1 (2003), pp. 715{762.
- 29. D J. K opelevich, A Z. Panagiotopoulos, and I.G. K evrekidis, C oarse-grained kinetic computations for rare events: A pplication to m icelle form ation, J.Chem. Phys, 122 (2005), p. 044908 {044920.

- 30. S.Kullback and R A.Leibler, On information and su ciency, The Annals of M athematical Statistics, 22 (1951), pp. 79{86.
- 31. L.Le Cam and G.L.Yang, A symptotics in Statistics: Some Basic Concepts, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- 32. JD. Murray, M athem atical B iology I: An Introduction, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- 33. G .N icolis and I.P rigogine Self-organization in Non-equilibrium System s, W iley, New York (1977).
- 34. G. Nicolis Introduction to Non-linear Science, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).
- 35. A R.Pedersen, A new approach to maxim um likelihood estimation for stochastic di erential equations based on discrete observations, Scandinavian J. of Statistics, 22 (1995), pp. 55{71.
- 36. A. Provata, G. Nicolis and F. Baras, O cillatory D ynam ics in Low D im ensional Lattices: A Lattice Lotka-Volterra M odel, J. Chem. Phys, 110 (1999), pp. 8361 8368.
- 37. E. Schutz, N. Hartmann, Y. Kevrekidis, and R. Im bihl, Microchemical engineering of catalytic reactions, Catalysis Letters, 54 (1998), pp. 181{186.
- 38. J. Stelling, U. Sauer, Z. Szallasi, F. J. D oyle III, and J. D oyle., Robustness of cellular functions, Cell, 118 (2004), pp. 675{685.
- 39. J.B. Tenenbaum, V.D e Silva, and J.C. Langford, A globalgeom etric fram ework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction, Science, 290 (2000), pp.2319{2323.
- 40. G.M. Torrie and J.P. Valleau, Nonphysical sam pling distributions in Monte Carlo free-energy estimation: Umbrella sam pling, J.Comput. Phys, 23 (1977), pp.187{199.
- 41. J.J. Tyson, A.C sikasz-N agy, and N ovak B., The dynamics of cell cycle regulation, B ioE ssays, 24 (2002), pp. 1095 (1109.
- 42. A . van der Vaart, A sym ptotic Statistics, C am bridge U niversity P ress, 1998.
- 43. E. Vanden-Eijnden, Num erical techniques for multi-scale dynam ical systems with stochastic e ects, Comm. Math. Sciences, 1 (2003) pp. 385{391.
- 44. H.W hite, Maximum likelihood estimation of misspecied models, E conometrica, 50 (1982), pp.1{25.