F in ite-tem perature properties of quasi-2D Bose-E instein condensates

K wangsik Nho and D.P. Landau Center for Simulational Physics, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602 (Dated: March 23, 2024)

U sing the nite-tem perature path integral M onte C arlo m ethod, we investigate dilute, trapped B ose gases in a quasi-two dimensional geometry. The quantum particles have short-range, s-wave interactions described by a hard-sphere potential whose core radius equals its corresponding scattering length. The elect of both the tem perature and the interparticle interaction on the equilibrium properties such as the total energy, the density prole, and the super uid fraction is discussed. We compare our accurate results with both the semi-classical approximation and the exact results of an ideal Bose gas. Our results show that for repulsive interactions, (i) the minimum value of the aspect ratio, where the system starts to behave quasi-two dimensionally, increases as the two-body interaction strength increases, (ii) the super uid fraction for a quasi-2D Bose gas is distinctly different from that for both a quasi-1D Bose gas and a true 3D system, i.e., the super uid fraction for a quasi-2D Bose gas decreases faster than that for a quasi-1D system and a true 3D system with increasing temperature, and shows a stronger dependence on the interaction strength, (iii) the super uid fraction for a quasi-2D Bose gas lies well below the values calculated from the semi-classical approximation, and (iv) the K osterlitz-T houless transition temperature decreases as the strength of the interaction increases.

PACS num bers: 03.75 Hh, 03.75 Nt, 05.30 Jp, 02.70 Ss

The study of the e ects of reduced dimensionality has attracted considerable interest in condensed matterphysics and statistical physics, for example, quantum In s of super uid ⁴He on surfaces, super uid ⁴He clusters, and super u id 4 H e con n ed in restricted geom etries. Recently, the experimental realization of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in dilute, trapped, and supercooled atom ic vapors [1] and the additional ability to produce a low dimensional atom ic gas trapped in an optical lattice have stimulated the investigation in a low dimensional system both theoretically [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] and experim entally [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21,22]. Dim ensionally reduced systems have very dierent properties from their three dim ensional (3D) counterparts [23, 24] due to the enhanced importance of phase uctuations. For example, in a spatially homogeneous in nite system a one-dimensional (1D) Bose gas does not exhibit BEC, even at zero tem perature; and in two dim ensions (2D) BEC exists only at zero tem perature. Nonetheless, a dilute two-dimensional Bose gas undergoes a super uid phase transition at a nite critical tem perature. Below the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition tem perature [25], the gas is super uid, and the super uid phase is characterized by the presence of a quasicondensate, i.e., a condensate with only local phase coherence, since long wavelength phase uctuations destroy longrange order.

Especially intriguing are two-dimensional Bose gases [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 36], and recently, experiments have entered regimes of BEC in 2D [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. In a spatially hom ogeneous two-dimensional system Safonov et al. [7] observed the rst experimental evidence for a quasicondensate in 2D atom ic hydrogen gas adsorbed on a super uid ⁴He surface. Furthermore, BEC in trapped gases is qualitatively dierent from BEC in a homogeneous

potential. Lower dimensional trapped Bose gases have been realized with strong quantum con nement in one or more directions [30] (i) by gradually reducing atoms from a highly anisotropic trap to decrease the interaction energy [9, 10]; (ii) by gradually increasing the trap anisotropy while keeping the number of atom s xed [11, 12]; and (iii) by using the periodic potential of a one-dim ensional optical lattice [13, 14]. When the system is in a harmonic trap, the e ect of the trap becomes more dramatic with lowering of dimensionality of the system; the external trapping potential lim its the size of the atom ic gas, and the density of states is m odi ed. As a result, Bagnato and Kleppner [37] showed that an ideal 2D gas in a harm on ic potential does exhibit a BEC phase at a nite temperature. Petrov et al. [38] showed that well below Tc the equilibrium state is a true condensate, whereas at intermediate temperatures a quasicondensate forms when local two-body interactions are included.

Theoretically, the ground-state properties of a trapped Bose gas in two dimensions have been studied recently using the Gross-Pitaevskii mean-eld theory [34, 35], the leading quantum corrections to the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [27], and a variational model based on a Gaussian-parabolic trial wave function [30]. For the nite-temperature properties of a dilute Bose gas conned in a harmonic trap, the semiclassical approximation [39], a microscopic mean eld theory that includes both density and phase uctuations of the Bose gas [6], the Hartre-Fock-Bogoliubov formalism [26, 28, 29], and the scaling structure within diagrammatic perturbation theory [33] have been used.

However, many properties have yet to be investigated both experimentally and theoretically. Needless to say, a more thorough theoretical understanding is clearly desirable. At nite temperatures among the main problems

to be answered are the density pro le as a function of tem perature and the strength of the interparticle interaction, under what conditions the con nement gives a system with dimensionality 2, the super uidity, and the e ect of interactions on the critical tem perature. In addition, as the role of correlations and of quantum uctuations is enhanced in quasi-2D geometries, an appropriate theoretical description requires them ore accurate many-body approach beyond them ean—eld approximation for a more systematic investigation in a wider range of tem—perature and values of the scattering length.

In this paper we use a nite-tem perature path-integral Monte Carlo (PIMC) method [40] to investigate the crossover from 3D to 2D for N particles, where the interparticle interaction is a purely repulsive hard-sphere potential of radius as, the s-wave scattering length. PIM C allows one to calculate accurate quantum mechanical expectation values of m any-body system, the only input being them any-body potential. In particular, we dem onstrate the in uence of temperature T and interparticle interaction as on the equilibrium properties of ultracold atom ic gases to calculate their energetics and structural properties in a highly anisotropic trap. Furtherm ore, we com pare our accurate P IM C results with both the sem iclassical approximation and the exact values for an ideal Bose gas, and we provide detailed predictions for com parison with future experim ents.

W e consider the following H am iltonian for a system of N hard spheres $\,$

$$H = H_{0} + \sum_{\substack{i>j\\ j}}^{X^{N}} v(\mathbf{r}_{ij})$$

$$H_{0} = \frac{h^{2}}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} r_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} (!_{x}^{2}x_{i}^{2} + !_{y}^{2}y_{i}^{2} + !_{z}^{2}z_{i}^{2});$$
(1)

where H $_{\rm 0}$ is the H am iltonian for trapped idealB ose gases and v(r) is a two-body, sym m etric hard-sphere potential de ned by

$$v(r) = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & (r < a_s) \\ 0 & (r > a_s). \end{pmatrix}$$

In order to investigate the crossover from 3D to 2D, we consider B ose gases under a cylindrically harm onic connem ent (!x = !y = ! and !z = !), where is the aspect ratio. Here we shall always be concerned with the properties of trapped B ose gases at nite temperatures with the number of particles N, the scattering length $a_{\rm S}$, of the two-body interaction potential, and two characteristic lengths $a_{\rm Z} = h = m!_z$ and $a = h = m!_z$, describing the oscillation lengths in the transverse and the longitudinal directions, respectively. We gradually vary the axial trap frequency to increase the trap anisotropy and to enter the quasi-two-dimensional regime, where the particles obey 2D statistics but interact in the same way as in a three-dimensional system. As a result, the gas is conned by an extreme, pancake-shaped potential and

FIG. 1: The calculated density prolesn (), normalized such that $_0^-$ n() d = 1 and = $\frac{1}{x^2+y^2}$, at T = 0.4 T $_c$ for two dierent aspect ratios, i.e., = 10 and = 100. We used three dierent scattering lengths $a_{\rm s}=0$ (solid lines), $a_{\rm s}=a_{\rm R\,b}$ (dotted lines), and $a_{\rm s}=10a_{\rm R\,b}$ (dashed lines). The inset shows the expectation value of in unit of a as a function of for $a_{\rm s}=0$ (triangles), $a_{\rm s}=a_{\rm R\,b}$ (diam onds), and $a_{\rm s}=10a_{\rm R\,b}$ (circles). In all gures, when statistical errors cannot be seen on the scale of the gure, the error bars are smaller than the symbol sizes. The expectation value of clearly depends on both the scattering length $a_{\rm s}$ and the aspect ratio . The dependence on $a_{\rm s}$ becomes increasingly large as increases.

has quasi-two-dim ensional properties. A long the tightly con ned axial direction the motion is frozen out, so that the condensate is in the harm onic oscillator ground state of an ideal gas, and the condensate width equals the harmonic oscillator length. The tight con ning direction is characterized by the frequency $!_{\,\rm z}$ and two weak con ning directions by ! .

In this study, we typically use N = 27 hard spheres because the permutation sampling in PIMC is e cient if $N = L^3$, where L is an integer [41], and the next largest num ber that satis es this criterion would have required an excessive amount of coutine. There is a nite-size e ect in the density pro le and the total energy; however, the super uid fraction shows no dependence upon N within the statistical error of the data. Experim entally, in an optical lattice, one can control and reduce the num ber of particle in each BEC [14, 42]. Consequently, it will be possible to compare the e ects predicted here for sm all num bers of atom swith experim ental data directly. W e also use several trap aspect ratios 1 300 and a wide tem perature range, i.e., 0.1 $T=T_c$ 1.4, wheret T_c is the transition temperature for an idealBose gas of N atom s in a trap (see, e.g., Eg. (19) of Ref. [2]). Due to the nite-size corrections, the critical temperature T_c depends on both the number of atom s N and trapping frequencies,! and!z.For a repulsively interacting trapped Bose gas, Tc is lowered compared to the trapped

FIG. 2: The calculated density pro les n () at the aspect ratio = 100 for two di erent tem peratures, i.e., $T=T_{\rm c}=0.2$ and $T=T_{\rm c}=1.2$ using three di erent scattering lengths $a_{\rm s}=0$, $a_{\rm s}=a_{\rm R\,b}$, and $a_{\rm s}=10a_{\rm R\,b}$. The inset shows the dependence of the expectation values of on the tem perature $T=T_{\rm c}$. The arrow on the y-axis indicates the expectation value of at T = 0, < >= 0.886 a . As the tem perature increases, the density pro les n () expand along the axis and the e ect of the interaction strength decreases.

idealBose gas as a result of interaction, in contrast to an uniform Bose gas in the dilute range, where the critical temperature is increased above the idealBose gas value by interaction. Here, the length unit is a , where a = $\frac{1}{1+m} = \frac{1}{1+m} \cdot \frac{1}{$

In addition, we assume that the s-wave scattering length $a_{\rm R\,b}$ of $^{87}{\rm R\,b}$ is 100 times the Bohr radius, i.e., $a_{\rm R\,b}=0.00433$ a . PIM C procedure used here is based on methods described in our previous work [43].

We rst discuss the density pro les of N = 27 hard spheres at $T = 0.4 T_c$ as a function of , 1 a function of , normalized such that $\binom{n_1}{0}$ n() d = 1 = $\frac{p}{x^2 + y^2}$, for two di erent aspect ratios, i.e., = 10 and = 100. To study the e ect of the interaction strength, we used three di erent scattering lengths $a_s = 0$ (solid lines), $a_s = a_{Rb}$ (dotted lines), and $a_s =$ $10a_{Rb}$ (dashed lines). Clearly, the total density prole along the coordinate spreads out in the trap as both as and increases, i.e., the density at the center of the trap decreases with increasing and as as expected. In particular, the density pro len() depends strongly on the interaction strength as for 10 and changes dramatically as a function of the aspect ratio . However, for = 1 (a spherically sym m etric harm onic trap), the density pro le n () for $a_s = a_{Rb}$ approaches that of the non-interacting gas (see the inset of Fig. 1).

The inset of Fig. 1 shows the expectation value of in unit of a as a function of for $a_s=0$ (triangles), a_s

= $a_{R\,b}$ (diam onds), and a_s = $10a_{R\,b}$ (circles). In all gures, when statistical errors cannot be seen on the scale of the gure, the error bars are smaller than the symbol sizes. The expectation value of clearly depends on both the scattering length a_s and the aspect ratio . The dependence on a_s becomes increasingly large as increases, which suggests that the repulsion between the atoms spreads the atoms in the trap as a result of interaction.

Next, we further exam ine the condition to achieve a quasi-2D Bose gas using the density pro les n(z) along the axial direction as a function of z, normalized such that $^{\Gamma_1}_{}$ n(z)dz = 1, and the expectation value of jzj. In the quasi-2D regime, along the z direction the gas at nite temperatures has the characteristics of an ideal non-interacting gas at T = 0. Thus, the density pro lend (z) becomes identical to that of an ideal gas,

$$n(z) = \frac{1}{a_z} \exp[(z=a_z)^2];$$
 (2)

From Eq. (2), the expectation value of jzj is $0.564 \, a_z$ for an ideal Bose gas at T = 0.

Our calculated expectation values of jcjfor $a_s = a_{R\,b}$ at $T=0.4T_c$ approach the ground state value (T=0) as the aspect ratio increases. Our calculated density pro le n(z) for $a_s=a_{R\,b}$ at $T=0.4T_c$ and that for an ideal gas (Eq. (2)) are indistinguishable for =40. This suggests that the motion along the z coordinate is indeed frozen out as approaches large values since the energy of the axial excitations increases with increasing and it is not easy for particles to go to the excited states for large values of . For repulsive interactions, the minimum value of , where the system starts to enter a quasi-2D regime, increases as the interaction strength increases.

To see the e ect of the temperature T, we also calculated the density pro les n() and n(z) and the expectation values of and jrjas a function of the scaled temperature $T=T_c$ for the aspect ratio = 100 and N = 27.

Figure 2 shows the calculated density pro les n () as a function of , for two di erent tem peratures, i.e., $T=T_{\rm c}=0.2$ and $T=T_{\rm c}=1.2$ using three di erent scattering lengths $a_{\rm s}=0$, $a_{\rm s}=a_{\rm R\,b}$, and $a_{\rm s}=10a_{\rm R\,b}$ (using the sam e sym bols as in Fig.1). A sthetem perature increases, the density pro les n () expand along the axis and the e ect of the interaction decreases.

The inset of Fig. 2 shows the dependence of the expectation values of on the tem perature $T=T_{\rm c}$. At very low tem peratures, our calculated expectation values of for $a_{\rm s}=0$ approach the ground state value, i.e., h i = 0.886 a (see the arrow on the y-axis). As the tem perature increases, the expectation values of increase, but the e ect of the interaction decreases. The expectation values of for $a_{\rm s}=0$ and $a_{\rm s}=a_{\rm R\,b}$ are the sam e within errorbars at high tem peratures. However, the expectation values of for $a_{\rm s}=10a_{\rm R\,b}$ are still larger than those for $a_{\rm s}=0$ even at high tem peratures.

FIG .3: The calculated PIM C total energies per particle E =N in units of h! as a function of the scaled tem perature $T=T_{\rm c}$ for N = 27 and = 100. We use three di erent interaction strengths $a_{\rm S}=0$, $a_{\rm S}=a_{\rm R\,b}$, and $a_{\rm S}=10a_{\rm R\,b}$. In the gure, we subtract E $_0^z=h!_z/2=50\,h!$, the ground energy of an ideal B ose gas in the tight con nem ent direction, from our PIM C total energy. The arrow on the y-axis indicates the ideal gas energy per particle in the direction, h!, at T = 0.

FIG. 4: The super uid fraction along the axis of rotation z for $T=T_c=0.4$ and N=27 as a function of the aspect ratio calculated using the PIMC method. In this calculation we have used three dierent interaction strengths $a_s=0$, $a_s=a_{R\,b}$, and $a_s=10a_{R\,b}$. At the aspect ratios < 100, the super uid fraction decreases as the aspect ratio increases. However, the super uid fractions do not depend on the aspect ratio, to within error bars, for aspect ratios 100.

In contrast to the expectation value of , the expectation value of jrj for $\,=\,100$ is nearly constant for T $1.4T_{\rm c}$, the whole tem perature range used here, and is the same as that for the ground state of an ideal B ose gas. Thus, the motion in the axial direction is largely frozen out and the system behaves quasi-two dimensionally at those temperatures. This demonstrates clearly that for the axial axis the system at nite temperatures behaves like an ideal gas at T $\,=\,0$.

Figure 3 shows our calculated P IM C total energies per particle E =N in units of h! as a function of the scaled tem perature $T=T_c$ for N=27 and =100. We used three di erent interaction strengths $a_s=0$, $a_s=a_{R\,b}$, and $a_s=10a_{R\,b}$. For large anisotropies and small atom numbers, the total energy per particle tends towards h! $_z/2$, the ground energy of an ideal B ose gas in the tight connem ent direction. As shown above, the motion along the z direction is indeed frozen out at =100 for all temperature range used in this calculation 0.1 $T=T_c$ 1.4, i.e., the total energy per particle in the tight connem ent direction equals that for an ideal B ose gas in one dimension, h! $_z/2=50$ h! In the gure, we subtracted $E_0^z=h!_z/2=50$ h! from our P IM C total energy per particle $E_0^z=h!_z/2=50$ h! from our P IM C total energy per particle $E_0^z=h!_z/2=50$ h! from our P IM C total energy per particle $E_0^z=h!_z/2=50$ h! from our P IM C total energy per particle $E_0^z=h!_z/2=50$ h! from our P IM C total energy per particle $E_0^z=h!_z/2=50$ h! interpret our results.

Our calculated P IM C energies per particle for a = 0 in the direction, E = N - E $_0^{\rm z}$, only approach the ideal gas energy per particle in the direction, h!, with decreasing temperature as expected (see the arrow on the y-axis). Above T_c the total energies per particle for a = 0 increase linearly with increasing temperature. How-

ever, below Tc the total energy per particle decreases non-linearly with decreasing temperature, as expected theoretically [2]. The speci c heat can be calculated by di erentiating the total energy per particle with respect to the tem perature to locate the critical tem perature T_c , where the speci c heat has a peak in plotting the values of the speci c heat as a function of tem perature. In the present calculation, however, it is not easy to see a peak due to the nite size of our system and large error bars. The scaled energies for $a = 10a_{Rb}$ lie well above the non-interacting curve both below and above T_c . The di erence in the total energy per particle between for an ideal Bose gas and for a hard-sphere gas is visible at the low tem peratures, and the clear di erence increases as T decreases because of the short-range structure of the hard-sphere potential, i.e., the comparison between the total energy for an ideal Bose gas and that for a hardsphere gas shows that the scaled energies per particle clearly shows the e ect of the interaction strength.

Finally, we calculated the super uid fraction from P \mathbb{M} C data using the projected area [43], not using the winding number because we used open boundary conditions along all three axies. The super uid fraction depends on the rotation axis for the anisotropic trap. In particular, we calculated the super uid fraction with respect to the symmetry axis, i.e., the z axis. Figure 4 shows the super uid fraction as a function of the aspect ratio calculated using the P \mathbb{M} C method. In this calculation we used $T=T_c=0.4$, N=27, and three dierent interaction strengths $a_s=0$, $a_s=a_{R\,b}$, and $a_s=10a_{R\,b}$

FIG. 5: The calculated super uid fractions along the z axis at the aspect ratio =100 and N =27 for three di erent interaction strengths $a_{\rm S}=0$ (circles), $a_{\rm S}=a_{\rm R\,b}$ (diam onds), and $a_{\rm S}=10a_{\rm R\,b}$ (triangles) as a function of the scaled temperature T=Tc. The inset shows the super uid fraction along the z axis for a quasi-1D Bose gas (using the same symbols as in Fig. 3). Solid lines show the theoretical super uid fraction along the z axis for an ideal Bose gas calculated from Eq. (3).

(using the sam e sym bols as in Fig. 3). For aspect ratios < 100, the super uid fraction decreases as the aspect ratio increases. However, the super uid fractions do not depend on the aspect ratio within error bars for aspect ratios 100. Figure 4 also shows that the super uid fraction decreases gradually as both the two-body interaction strength and the aspect ratio increase.

In Fig. 5 we present our calculated super uid fractions for the aspect ratio $\,=100$ as a function of the scaled tem perature $T=T_c$. In the calculation, we used N = 27 and three di erent interacting strengths $a_s=0$, $a_s=a_{R\,b}$, and $a_s=10a_{R\,b}$ (using the same symbols as in Fig. 3). The super uid fraction decreases from unity at very low tem peratures and becomes zero above the critical tem perature T_c as the tem perature increases. The super uid fraction has a small value at the same tem perature for an interacting Bose gas compared to a non-interacting Bose gas, which shows that the critical tem perature for a repulsively interacting Bose gas is lowered compared to the ideal Bose gas as a result of interaction. The inset of Fig. 5 shows the super uid fraction for a quasi-1D Bose gas [44].

The super uid fraction for a quasi-1D Bose gas decreases much more slow by than that for a quasi-2D Bose gas with increasing temperature. For example, at the scaled temperature, $T=T_{\rm c}=1$, the super uid fraction for a quasi-1D system is signicantly larger, about 0.65, compared to that for a quasi-2D gas, about 0.01. However, the super uid fraction for a quasi-2D gas shows a clear dependence on the interaction strength whereas a

quasi-1D gas shows no noticeable dependence.

In order to compare our calculated P IM C data for the super uid fraction with the theoretical value of an ideal gas [39], we calculated the theoretical super uid fraction for an ideal Bose gas using Eq. (14) of Ref. [39]. The super uid fraction N $_{\rm S}$ =N is 1 $^{-}$ = $_{\rm rig}$ [40], where is the quantum mechanical moment of inertia and $_{\rm rig}$ is the classical moment of inertia. In our case, we used the T $_{\rm C}$ with the rst nite-size correction term (see, e.g., Eq. (19) of Ref. [2]). For this, we modified Eq. (14) of Ref. [39] by simply rewriting Eq. (14) of Ref. [39] using Eqs. (8) and (9) of Ref. [39] to yield [44]

$$\frac{N_{s}}{N} = \frac{1 \quad (T = T_{c})^{3}}{1 \quad (T = T_{c})^{3} + 1.801 (T = T_{c})^{4} (k_{B} T_{c} = h!)} : (3)$$

In order to derive Eq. (14) of Ref. [39], Stringariused the sem iclassical approximation in the so-called macroscopic limit. The solid lines in Fig. 5 and in the inset of Fig. 5 show the resulting approximate super uid fraction. For a quasi-1D Bose gas, the theoretical values agree well with PIMC data below $T=T_{\rm c}=0.6$ and after $T=T_{\rm c}=0.6$ the dierence between the theoretical values and PIMC data gets larger as the scaled temperature increases. However, for a quasi-2D system, the values calculated from Eq. (3) lie well above our calculated PIMC data below $T_{\rm c}$.

In sum mary, using a nite-tem perature path integral M onte Carlo technique we have investigated a trapped Bose gas in quasi-two dimensions interacting via a hard sphere potential whose core radius equals its corresponding scattering length. In order to enter a quasi-2D regim e, we have changed the axial trapping frequency ! z . W e have presented and analyzed our accurate P IM C results such as the total energy, the density pro le, and the super uid fraction as a function of tem perature $T=T_c$ at various values of the strength of the interaction and the aspect ratio . We have compared our results with both the sem i-classical approximation and the ideal Bose gas. We not that for repulsive interactions, the minimum value of the aspect ratio, where the system start to behave quasi-two dim ensionally, increases as the interaction strength increases. In addition, for N = 27 and = 100, the motion along the axial direction is indeed frozen out for all tem perature range used in this paper 0.1 $T=T_c$ 1.4 and the density pro len (z) becomes identicalto that of an idealgas. Specically, we have calculated the super uid fraction using the projected area and have compared the super uid fraction for a quasi-2D system to that for a quasi-1D Bose gas. The super uid fraction for a quasi-2D Bose gas is distinctly dierent from that for both a quasi-1D Bose gas and a true 3D system, i.e., the super uid fraction for a quasi-2D Bose gas decreases faster than that for a quasi-1D system and a true 3D system with increasing temperature, and shows a stronger dependence on the interaction strength. In addition, the super uid fraction for a quasi-2D Bose gas lie well below the values calculated from the sem i-classical approximation and the K osterlitz-T houless transition temperature decreases as the strength of the interaction increases.

nating com m ents. This work was partially supported by NASA grant No. NNC 04GB 24G.

A cknow ledgm ents

We are greatly indebted to P. Stancil and C.D. Fertig for their critical reading of the manuscript and illumi-

- [L] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Comell, Science 269, 198 (1995); K. B. Davis, M. -O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kum, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3969 (1995); C. C. Bradley, C. A. Sackett, and R. G. Hulet, ibid. 78, 985 (1997).
- [2] For a general review of BEC, see F.D alfovo, S.G iorgini, L.P.P itaevskii, and S.Stringari, Rev.M od.Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [3] G. E. Astrakharchik, D. Blume, S. Giorgini, and B.E.Granger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 030402 (2004).
- [4] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, and J. Yngvason, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 150401 (2003).
- [5] P. Ohberg and L. Santos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 240402 (2004).
- [6] J.O. Andersen, U. Alk hawaja, and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 070407 (2002).
- [7] A.I.Safonov, S.A. Vasilyev, I.S. Yasnikov, I.I.Lukashevich, and S. Jaakkola, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4545 (1998).
- [8] F. Schreck, L. Khaykovich, K. L. Corwin, G. Ferrari, T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, and C. Salomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 080403 (2001).
- [9] A. Gorlitz, J. M. Vogels, A. E. Leanhardt, C. Raman, T. L. Gustavson, J. R. Abo-Shaeer, A. P. Chikkatur, S. Gupta, S. Inouye, T. Rosenband, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 130402 (2001).
- [10] D. Rychtarik, B. Engeser, H.-C. Nagerl, and R. Grimm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 173003 (2004).
- [11] T.P.Meyrath, F.Schreck, J.L.Hanssen, C.-S.Chuu, and M.G.Raizen, Phys. Rev. A 71, 041604 (R) 2005.
- [12] N.L.Smith, W.H.Heathcote, G.Hechenblaikner, E.Nugent, and C.J.Foot, J.Phys. B 38, 223 (2005).
- [13] S. Stock, Z. Hadzibabic, B. Battelier, M. Cheneau, and J.D alibard, cond-mat/0506559; Z. Hadzibabic, S. Stock, B. Battelier, V. Bretin, and J. Dalibard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 180403 (2004).
- [14] I.B. Spielm an, P.R. Johnson, J.H. Huckans, C.D. Fertig, S.L. Rolston, W.D. Phillips, and J.V. Porto, condmat/0509079.
- [15] C.D.Fertig, K.M.O'Hara, J.H.Huckans, S.L.Rolston, W.D.Phillips, and J.V.Porto, Phys.Rev.Lett. 94, 120403 (2005).
- [16] C. Schori, T. Stoferle, H. Moritz, M. Kohl, and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 240402 (2004).
- [17] Z. Hadzibabic, S. Stock, B. Battelier, V. Bretin, and J.D alibard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 180403 (2004).
- [18] B.L.Tolra, K.M. O'Hara, J.H. Huckans, W. D. Phillips, S. L. Rolston, and J. V. Porto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 190401 (2004).
- [19] T. Stoferle, H. Moritz, C. Schori, M. Kohl, and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 130403 (2004).

- [20] H. Moritz, T. Stoferle, M. Kohl, and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 250402 (2003).
- [21] B. Parades, A. Widera, V. Murg, O. Mandel, S. Folling, I. Cirac, G. V. Shlyapnikov, T. W. Hansch, and I. Bloch, Nature (London) 429, 277 (2004).
- [22] T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, Science 305, 1125 (2004).
- [23] N.D.M erm in and H.W agner, Phys.Rev.Lett.22, 1133
 (1966).
- [24] P.C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. 158, 383 (1967).
- [25] V. L. Berezinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 32, 493 (1971); 34, 610 (1972); J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C 6, 1181 (1973); J. M. Kosterlitz, J. Phys. C 7, 1047 (1974).
- [26] J.P. Ternandez and W.J.Mullin, cond-mat/0203175.
- [27] J. O. Andersen and H. Haugerud, Phys. Rev. A 65, 033615 (2002).
- [28] C. Gies, B. P. van Zyl, S. A. Morgan, and D.A.W. Hutchinson, Phys. Rev. A 69, 023616 (2004).
- [29] C. Gies and D. A. W. Hutchinson, Phys. Rev. A 70, 043606 (2004).
- [30] G . Hechenblaikner, J.M .K rueger, and C .J. Foot, Phys. Rev.A 71, 013604 (2005).
- [31] F. Mazzanti, A. Polls, and A. Fabrocini, Phys. Rev. A 71,033615 (2005).
- [32] W . Purwanto and S. Zhang, cond-m at/0506219.
- [33] M. Holzmann, G. Baym, J. P. Blaizot, and F. Laloe, cond-m at/0508131.
- [34] T. Haugset and H. Haugerud, Phys. Rev. A 57, 3809 (1998).
- [35] T.H. Hansson, J.M. Leinaas, and S.V iefers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2930 (2001).
- [36] For a general review of BEC in 2D, see A. Posazhennikova, cond-m at/0506034.
- [37] V. Bagnato and D. Kleppner, Phys. Rev. A 44, 7439 (1991).
- [38] D. S. Petrov, M. Holzmann, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2551 (2000).
- [39] S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1405 (1996).
- [40] D.M. Ceperley, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67, 279 (1995).
- [41] The cyclic perm utation of L atom s is accomplished via a random walk through perm utation space. It is more ecient to use L < N; however, it is not easy to determ ine how big L should be, although our experience suggests that L= (N) $^{1-3}$ works well.
- [42] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hansch, and I. Bloch, Nature (London) 415, 39 (2002).
- [43] K. Nho and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. A 70, 053614 (2004); K. Nho and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. A 72, 023615 (2005).
- [44] K. Nho and D. Blume, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 193601

(2005).









