## Temperature effects in a spin-orbital model for manganites

M. Daghofer<sup>\*1,2</sup>, D. R. Neuber<sup>1</sup>, Andrzej M. Oleś<sup>2,3</sup>, and Wolfgang von der Linden<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Theoretical and Computational Physics, Graz University of Technology,

Petersgasse 16, A-8010 Graz, Austria

<sup>2</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Heisenbergstrasse 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>3</sup> M. Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, Reymonta 4, PL-30059 Kraków, Poland

Received, revised, accepted Published online

**Key words** manganites, superexchange, spin-orbital model, magnetic order, orbital order. **PACS** 75.30.Et, 75.47.Lx, 71.27.+a

We study a two-dimensional effective orbital superexchange model derived for strongly correlated  $e_g$  electrons coupled to  $t_{2g}$  core spins in layered manganites. One finds that the ferromagnetic (FM) and antiferromagnetic (AF) correlations closely compete, and small changes of parameters can switch the type of magnetic order. For the same reason, spin order is easily destroyed with rising temperature, while alternating orbital correlations can persist to temperatures where FM order has already melted. A scenario for the AF phase observed in LaSrMnO<sub>4</sub> is presented.

Published in phys. stat. sol. (b) 243, 277 (2006)

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

**1** Introduction Manganites are characterized by a complex interplay of charge, spin, orbital and lattice degrees of freedom. Undoped and weakly doped single layer manganites  $La_{1-x}Sr_{1+x}MnO_4$  show antiferromagnetic (AF) order [1], in contrast to three-dimensional (3D) cubic  $La_{1-x}Sr_xMnO_3$  compounds [2], where ferromagnetic (FM) *ab* planes are stacked antiferromagnetically in the undoped case (one electron per site, x = 0). As for their 3D counterparts, the properties of the layered systems are strongly influenced by the orbital degrees of freedom of  $e_g$  electrons which couple to the spins and thus influence the magnetic order.

For x = 0, the hopping of  $e_g$  electrons is blocked by large Coulomb interaction U, and charge fluctuations are quenched. They may be treated by second order perturbation theory which leads to virtual  $d_i^4 d_j^4 \rightleftharpoons d_i^5 d_j^3$  excitations by either  $e_g$  or  $t_{2g}$  electrons, generating the spin-orbital superexchange [3]. In the present paper we intend to focus on the role played by the orbital degrees of freedom of  $e_g$  electrons in stabilizing various types of magnetic order in the undoped single layer manganites, and the changes of spin and orbital correlations with increasing temperature.

2 Effective spin-orbital model at finite temperature We study an effective spin-orbital superexchange model derived for the one-dimensional (1D) chain [4], generalized here to two-dimensional (2D) planes of layered manganites. The model depends on spin configuration S and takes the form,

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}) = H_{J'} + H_J + H_z. \tag{1}$$

It consists of: (i) the superexchange  $H_{J'}$  for the core spins formed by  $t_{2g}$  electrons, (ii) the orbital superexchange  $H_J$  for the  $e_g$  electrons, and (iii) a crystal field term  $H_z$ . In the limit of large Hund's exchange interaction, we restrict the  $e_g$  electron configurations to the subspace with their spins parallel to the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: e-mail: daghofer@itp.tu-graz.ac.at

core spins at each site, and thus arrive at spinless fermions with an orbital flavor  $\alpha = x, z$ , standing for  $|x\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|x^2 - y^2\rangle$  and  $|z\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}|3z^2 - r^2\rangle$  orbitals, respectively. Electrons with antiparallel spins are treated in second order perturbation theory in the same way as double occupancies.

We start the discussion with the  $t_{2g}$  core spin superexchange term  $H_{J'}$ . In reality it depends on the total number of d electrons occupying two interacting Mn ions [5]. However, in the undoped systems one finds  $d^4$  configuration at each site and one may replace this part of the superexchange by a Heisenberg Hamiltonian with a properly chosen exchange constant J' > 0 favoring AF order:

$$H_{J'} = J' \sum_{i} \left( \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} - S^2 \right).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

For convenience we use classical core spins  $\vec{S}_i$  of unit length, and compensate their physical value S = 3/2 by a proper increase of J'. For classical spins  $\vec{S}_i$  parameterized by polar angles  $\{\vartheta_i, \phi_i\}$ , the spin product is given by  $\langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \rangle = S^2 (2|u_{ij}|^2 - 1)$ , where the spin orientation enters via

$$u_{ij} = \cos(\vartheta_i/2)\cos(\vartheta_j/2) + \sin(\vartheta_i/2)\sin(\vartheta_j/2)e^{i(\phi_j - \phi_i)} = \cos(\theta_{ij}/2)e^{i\chi_{ij}},$$
(3)

depending on the angle  $\theta_{ij}$  between the spins and on the phase  $\chi_{ij}$ , which cancels at x = 0.

The superexchange term  $H_J$  describes  $e_g$  orbital interactions, as derived recently for a 1D chain [4] from the full coupled spin-orbital dynamics by replacing the spin scalar products by the actual averages  $u_{ij}$ . The orbital superexchange  $H_J$  depends then on spin correlations via the factors  $\{u_{ij}\}$  in Eq. (3),

$$H_{J} = \frac{1}{5}J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \|ab} \left( 2|u_{ij}|^{2} + 3 \right) \left( 2T_{i}^{\zeta}T_{j}^{\zeta} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{n}_{i}\tilde{n}_{j} \right) - \frac{9}{10}J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \|ab} \left( 1 - |u_{ij}|^{2} \right) \tilde{n}_{i\zeta}\tilde{n}_{j\zeta}.$$
 (4)

Here, it is most convenient to use directional orbitals along the *a* and *b* axes, with  $\tilde{c}_{i\zeta}^{\dagger} = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{c}_{iz}^{\dagger}\pm\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\tilde{c}_{ix}^{\dagger}$ . The density operators:  $\tilde{n}_{i\zeta} = \tilde{c}_{i\zeta}^{\dagger}\tilde{c}_{i\zeta}$  and  $\tilde{n}_i = \tilde{n}_{i\zeta} + \tilde{n}_{i\xi}$ , where  $\langle \zeta | \xi \rangle = 0$ , are restricted to single occupancies, and  $T_i^{\zeta} = -\frac{1}{2}T_i^z \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_i^x$  also depend on the direction of the bond  $\langle ij \rangle$ . The pseudospin  $T = \frac{1}{2}$  orbital operators are given by

$$T_i^z = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^z = \frac{1}{2}(n_{ix} - n_{iz}), \qquad T_i^x = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^x = \frac{1}{2}(c_{ix}^{\dagger}c_{iz} + c_{iz}^{\dagger}c_{ix}).$$
(5)

Finally, the superexchange constant  $J = t^2/\varepsilon({}^6A_1)$  is given by the high-spin excitation energy  $\varepsilon({}^6A_1)$ , see Ref. [3]. The first term of Eq.(4) favors FM spin and alternating orbital (AO) order, while the second one is optimized by AF spin and ferro orbital (FO) order — both have similar strength and therefore closely compete.

The last term of the Hamiltonian is a crystal field splitting of  $e_q$  orbitals,

$$H_{z} = E_{z} \sum_{i} T_{i}^{z} = \frac{1}{2} E_{z} \sum_{i} (\tilde{n}_{ix} - \tilde{n}_{iz}), \tag{6}$$

with the orbital splitting  $E_z$ . We consider only  $E_z > 0$  with out-of-plane  $|z\rangle$  orbitals favored, which is suggested by the elongated octahedra in LaSrMnO<sub>4</sub> along c axis [1].

In order to treat this model, we employ a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm for the classical core spins (see, e.g. Ref. [6]) combined with exact diagonalization for the electron degrees of freedom [4, 7]. In this method, the many-particle Hamiltonian for each spin configuration S determined by  $\{u_{ij}\}$  is solved by exact diagonalization. The lowest states are then used to evaluate the trace over the fermionic degrees of freedom,  $\operatorname{Tr}_c e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}(S)} =: w(S)$ , which gives the statistical weight for a given spin configuration S, and which is sampled by the MCMC. Autocorrelation analysis was used in order to verify that enough configurations were skipped between measurements. The Boltzmann factors of the Lanczos eigenstates are measured in order to ensure that only negligible weight is lost when the weight is calculated from the lowest eigenstates and we found that at most one or two percent are missed for  $\beta t > 25$ , where  $\beta = 1/k_BT$  is inverse temperature.



Fig. 1 Spin correlations along (0, 1)-direction depending on distance r at various temperatures, as obtained with  $\sqrt{8} \times \sqrt{8}$  clusters in ab plane for: (a) J' = 0, (b) J' = 0.02t, and (c) J' = 0.05t. Parameters: J/t = 1/8, and  $E_z = 0$ .



Fig. 2 Orbital correlation along (0, 1)-direction depending on distance r at various temperatures, as obtained with  $\sqrt{8} \times \sqrt{8}$  clusters in ab plane. Parameters and temperatures as Fig. 1.

3 Numerical results and discussion Spin correlations  $S(r) = \langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+r} \rangle$  for the undoped system along the (0, 1)-direction are gradually weakened when temperature increases (Fig. 1). By increasing J'one identifies two distinctly different cases: for J' = 0 the system is FM at low temperature  $\beta t = 100$ [Fig. 1(a)], while AF spin correlations arise for J' = 0.02t and J' = 0.05t, leading to zig-zag lines in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). Already a small value J' = 0.02t suffices to switch the spin order from FM to AF, because FM and AF terms strongly compete in Eq. (4). For the same reason, increasing temperature can easily destroy the magnetic order and both FM and AF correlations decrease rapidly around  $\beta t \sim 50$ , except for the stronger AF superexchange J' = 0.05t, with more robust AF correlations.

Next we investigate orbital correlations  $T(r) = \langle T_i T_{i+r} \rangle$  shown in Fig. 2, which exhibit complementary behavior to the spin sector. Here we selected  $T_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_i^z + T_i^x)$  operators which correspond to the expected AO order with  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x\rangle \pm |z\rangle)$  orbital states in the 2D *ab* plane. One finds that the orbital correlations alternate for the FM spin order, while this alternation is suppressed for increasing J', as almost only orbitals within the plane are then occupied (FO order) which promotes the AF spin order. For J' = 0, see Fig. 2(a), one notes that the AO order remains robust with rising temperature although the spin correlations vanish, see Fig. 1(a). Remarkably, in the AF case one observes the gradual development of AO order as the AF spin correlations become weaker. For J' = 0.02t this crossover happens at fairly low temperature  $\beta t = 50$ , while it moves to higher temperature for J' = 0.05t.

As the magnetic order is so closely related to the orbital order in ab planes, one expects that changing orbital occupation will modify the magnetic order. Therefore, we investigated the electron density within the out-of-plane  $|z\rangle$  orbitals,  $n_z = \langle \tilde{n}_{iz} \rangle$ . Indeed,  $n_z \simeq 0.5$  in the FM phase at low temperature (J' = 0),



Fig. 3 Temperature dependence of: (a) density in the out-of-plane  $|z\rangle$  orbitals, as well as average  $e_g$  superexchange terms: (b)  $J_{AF}$  and (c)  $J_{FM}$ . Remaining parameters as Fig. 1(c).

consistent with AO order, while FO order with  $|x\rangle$  orbitals  $(n_z \sim 0)$  takes over for the strongly AF case (J' = 0.05t). With rising temperature,  $|z\rangle$  orbitals are slightly depleted in the ferromagnet as orbital alternation becomes weaker. On the contrary, their occupation grows in the AF case, when such alternation develops. This happens quite soon for J' = 0.02t, but takes much longer for J' = 0.05t, where AF spin order also persists to higher temperature, see Fig. 2(c). Further, if one adds a crystal field splitting  $E_z = 0.2t$  in the AF phase with J' = 0.05t, this forces almost all electrons into the out-of-plane  $|z\rangle$  orbitals, the situation encountered in LaSrMnO<sub>4</sub>. With rising temperature, however, electrons redistribute and in-plane  $|x\rangle$  orbitals gradually fill in, see Fig. 3(a), which was also observed in experiment [1].

The average AF and FM terms in  $e_g$  superexchange can be derived from Ref. [3] and are given by:  $J_{AF} = \frac{9}{20}J\langle \tilde{n}_{i\zeta}\tilde{n}_{j\zeta}\rangle - \frac{1}{5}J\langle 2T_i^{\zeta}T_j^{\zeta} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{n}_i\tilde{n}_j\rangle$ , and  $J_{FM} = \frac{2}{5}J\langle 2T_i^{\zeta}T_j^{\zeta} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{n}_i\tilde{n}_j\rangle$ . Although  $J_{FM}$  becomes slightly smaller at higher temperature in the FM phase at J' = 0 [Fig. 3(b)], the changes are not very marked, because AO order persists. The FM coupling is therefore still almost the same as at low temperature, even if the magnetic order is lost because of thermal fluctuations. In the AF systems (J' = 0.02t, 0.05t), the FM terms are first quenched by FO order, but become more important with rising temperature while the AF ones are reduced and, as before, this happens much sooner for J' = 0.02t than for J' = 0.05t. Interestingly, the AF  $e_g$  couplings are then changed into FM ones with rising temperature [Fig. 3(c)].

Summarizing, at finite crystal field  $E_z \sim 0.2t$ , as in LaSrMnO<sub>4</sub>, one observes that both  $J_{\rm FM}$  and  $J_{\rm AF}$  are weaker than for  $E_z = 0$ . The reason is that pairs of alternating orbitals are missing for FO order with  $|z\rangle$  orbitals in *ab* plane (small  $J_{\rm FM}$ ), and the overlap between  $|z\rangle$  orbitals is much smaller than between  $|x\rangle$  orbitals (small  $J_{\rm AF}$ ). Therefore, the AF order observed in LaSrMnO<sub>4</sub> is promoted mainly by J'.

**Acknowledgements** This work has been supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Project No. P15834-PHY, and by the Polish State Committee of Scientific Research (KBN), Project No. 1 P03B 068 26.

## References

- [1] D. Senff et al., Phys. Rev. B 71, 024425 (2005).
- [2] E. Dagotto, T. Hotta, and A. Moreo, Phys. Rep. 344, 1 (2001).
- [3] L. F. Feiner and A. M. Oleś, Phys. Rev. B 59, 3295 (1999).
- [4] M. Daghofer, A. M. Oleś, and W. von der Linden, Phys. Rev. B 70, 184430 (2004).
- [5] A. M. Oleś and L. F. Feiner, Phys. Rev. B 65, 052414 (2002).
- [6] S. Yunoki et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 845 (1998); E. Dagotto et al., Phys. Rev. B 58, 6414 (1998).
- [7] W. Koller, A. Prüll, H. G. Evertz, and W. von der Linden, Phys. Rev. B 67, 104432 (2003).