

HOPF BIFURCATION WITHIN THERMODYNAMIC REPRESENTATION

A.I. Olenko, I.A. Shuda

March 23, 2024

Sumy State University
Rimskii-Korsakov St. 2, 40007 Sumy, Ukraine

On base of Hamiltonian formalism, we show that Hopf bifurcation arrives, in the course of the system evolution, at creation of revolving region of the phase plane being bounded by limit cycle. A revolving phase plane with a set of limit cycles is presented in analogy with revolving vessel containing superfluid He^4 . Within such a representation, fast varying angle is shown to be reduced to phase of complex order parameter whose module squared plays a role of action. Respectively, vector potential of conjugate field is reduced to relative velocity of movement of the limit cycle interior with respect to its exterior.

Key words: Fast and slow variables; Limit cycle; Gauge field; Order parameter.

PACS numbers: 05.45.-a, 05.65.+b

1 Introduction

It is not gross exaggeration to assert that conception of the phase transition is one of fundamental ideas of contemporary physics. Related picture is based on the Landau scheme, according to which a thermodynamic system, driven by slow and monotonic variations of state parameters of heat bath, rebuilds its macroscopic state if thermodynamic potential gets one or more additional minima in a state space [1]. From mathematical point of view, such a phase transition represents the simplest bifurcation that results in doubling thermodynamic steady states.

As is known, thermodynamic phase transition is a special case of self-organization process in the course of which three principle parameters, being order parameter, its conjugate field and control parameter, vary in self-consistent manner [2]. Roughly speaking, a generalization of thermodynamic picture due to passage to synergetic one is stipulated by extension of set of state parameters from single parameter to three ones, being above pointed out. It might hope that such a generalization allows one to

E-mail: alex@ufn.ru

describe not only the simplest Landau-like bifurcation, but much more complicated Hopf one, when a limit cycle is created to be continuous manifold instead of discrete one [3].

Our considerations of this problem have shown [4] that using the whole set of universal deformations within standard synergetic scheme does not arrive at stable limit cycle, whereas running out of the standard scheme of self-organization has allowed us to obtain the limit cycle shown in Fig.1 [5]. In this connection, the




Figure 1: The limit cycle related to non-standard self-organization equations $\dot{x} = x[y - (1 + rx) - (A - 1)(1 + x)]$, $\dot{y} = A - y(1 + x)$ with $A = 14$, $r = 5$, $\beta = 2$ [5].

question arises: what is the physical reason that self-organization scheme may not represent the Hopf bifurcation?

This paper is devoted to the answering above question. It is appeared, main reason is that a description of a limit cycle demands using both potential and force of a field conjugated to an order parameter, whereas standard synergetic scheme uses an force of this field only. Following [6], we show in Section 2 that fast revolving of the state point in the phase plane induces a gauge field, whose potential is reduced to relative velocity of movement of interior domain of the limit cycle with respect to the exterior. Such a picture allows us to study, in Section 3, a revolving phase plane with a set of limit cycles, using an analogy with revolving vessel containing superfluid He^4 [7]. Section 4 concludes our consideration.

2 Hopf bifurcation within canonical representation

We consider Hamiltonian dynamics determined by the equations of motion

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}; \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}; \quad f_{q_i g} = q_i Q; \quad f_{p_i g} = p_i P \quad (1)$$

for both fast and slow coordinates q, Q and conjugate momenta p, P , respectively (hereafter, the dot over a symbol denotes time derivation). Related Hamiltonian

$$H(q; p; Q; P) = H_s(Q; P) + H_f(q; p; Q) \quad (2)$$

is splitted into the slow term $H_s(Q; P)$ and the fast one $H_f(p; q; Q)$, latter of which depends on the slow coordinate also.

In accordance with standard scheme [8] it is naturally to pass from the fast variables q, p to canonical ones, being fast alternating angle θ and slow varying action J . This passage keeps invariant the first term of the Hamiltonian (2) and transforms the second one according to relation

$$H_f^0(\theta; J; Q) = H_f(q; p; Q) + Q \frac{\partial (q; p; Q)}{\partial Q} \quad (3)$$

whose explicit form is determined with generating function $(q; p; Q)$ to be defined by the following constraints:

$$\frac{\partial (q; p; Q)}{\partial q} = p; \quad \frac{\partial (q; p; Q)}{\partial Q} = P; \quad \frac{\partial (q; p; Q)}{\partial J} = \theta; \quad (4)$$

Due to fast variations of the angle θ , it is naturally to consider the term (3)

$$H^0(\theta; J; Q) = \langle H_f^0(\theta; J; Q) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H_f^0(\theta; J; Q) d\theta; \quad (5)$$

being averaged over this variations.

To find related Hamiltonian one has to use one-valued generating function

$$(\theta; J; Q) = q(\theta; J; Q); \quad J; \quad Q; \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi \quad (6)$$

instead of many-valued one, $(q; p; Q)$. Then, the last factor in Eq. (3) is determined by the relation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Q} = \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} + p \frac{\partial q}{\partial Q} \quad (7)$$

that expresses the chain rule. Its using gives the averaged term (5) in the following form:

$$H^0(\theta; J; Q) = H(\theta; J; Q) + Q \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} \left(p \frac{\partial q}{\partial Q} \right); \quad H(\theta; J; Q) = \langle H_f(q; p; Q) \rangle; \quad (8)$$

As a result, usage of the canonical angle-action representation derives to the transformation of the averaged Hamiltonian (2):

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ef}(\theta; J; P) &= \langle H^0(\theta; J; P; Q) \rangle = \langle H(\theta; J; P) + Q \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} \left(p \frac{\partial q}{\partial Q} \right) \rangle \\ &= H(\theta; J; P) + Q \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} \left(p \frac{\partial q}{\partial Q} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the first term

$$H(\theta; J; P) = H(\theta; J) + H_s(Q; P) \quad (10)$$

depends on slow varying values only.

To find equations of motions for above slow variables one has to issue from the extremum condition for effective action [8]

$$S_{\text{eff}}[Q(t); P(t); \dot{Q}(t)] = \int_{t_{\text{in}}}^{t_{\text{f}}} H_{\text{eff}}(t; Q(t); P(t)) dt \quad (11)$$

whose form is determined by the Hamiltonian (9); $t_{\text{in}}, t_{\text{f}}$ are initial and final points of the time. Variation of this expression with respect to the momentum derives to the equation of motion

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \quad (12)$$

keeping initial form (1) (hereafter, we suppose that slow variables are vector quantities with components Q, P). On the other hand, variation of the action (11) over the slow coordinate arrives at the equation

$$P = \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} + F \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \quad (13)$$

that is prolonged due to an effective field with the force given by antisymmetric tensor

$$F = \frac{\partial A}{\partial Q} \frac{\partial A}{\partial Q} \quad (14)$$

and vector potential

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial q}{\partial Q} : \quad (15)$$

As usually, we use the Einstein summation rule over repeated index.

Above relations (13)-(15) arrive at the conclusion of fundamental importance: fast variations of coordinates whose values depend on slow variables induces an effective gauge field [6]. For case of the Hopf bifurcation, it means that such a bifurcation, in the course of the system evolution, arrives at the revolving not only of the configuration point, but of the whole domain of the phase plane that is bounded by the limit cycle. In other words, the physical picture of the Hopf bifurcation means the phase plane behaves as a real object, but not a mathematical one.

3 Physical picture of limit cycles

We consider a round phase plane that is spanned on the axes of both coordinate q and momentum p and revolves with the angle velocity $\dot{\varphi}_0$ and a moment of inertia I . From the physical point of view, the value $\dot{\varphi}_0$ determines the frequency of external influence, whereas the quantity I is reduced to total action of the system under consideration. If the phase circle revolves as a solid plane, a phase point with coordinate r has the linear velocity $v_n = [\dot{\varphi}_0; r]$.

According to above consideration, the Hopf bifurcation results in the limit cycle creation that induces a gauge field with the vector potential (15) and the strength (14), which are reduced to linear and angle velocities, w and $\dot{\varphi}$, respectively. These are not equal to normal values v_n and $\dot{\varphi}_0$ because a region bounded by the limit

cycle revolves with different velocities due to the gauge field effect. Indeed, if one represents the limit cycle creation as an ordering with a complex parameter $\psi = e^{i\phi}$, then the phase gradient $v_s = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s}$, where $r = \partial \phi / \partial r$, s being an elementary action, affects in such a manner to compensate a rotation within a domain bounded by the limit cycle: $w = v_n - v_s$. In this way, the relative velocity w appears as a gradient prolongation: $r = \partial \phi / \partial r = (i/s)w$, being caused by the gauge field w . In opposition to the case of the solid plane revolving, ordering arrives at non-linear relation $\phi = (1/2) \text{rot } w$ between the angular and linear components of the revolving velocity.

Well-known example of such type behaviour represents the case of revolving superfluid He^2 [7]. Along this line, an effective potential density of the revolving phase plane, including a set of limit cycles, has the following form [9]

$$E = E(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} (\text{grad } \phi - w)^2 + \frac{I}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 \quad (16)$$

Within the phenomenological scheme, the density of the potential variation due to limit cycle creation is given by the Landau expansion

$$E(\phi) = A \phi^2 + \frac{B}{2} \phi^4 \quad (17)$$

whose form is fixed by parameters A, B . The second term of Eq.(16) determines heterogeneity energy with the gradient, being prolonged by the vector potential w of the gauge field. The last term is the kinetic energy of the revolving phase plane.

Under an external influence with frequency $\dot{\phi}_0$, the system behaviour is defined by the effective potential density

$$E^e = E(\phi) + (I\dot{\phi}_0 + M) \dot{\phi}_0 \quad (18)$$

whose value is determined with respect to the revolving plane that is characterized with the angular momentum $M = I(\dot{\phi} - \dot{\phi}_0)$. Steady state distributions of the order parameter $\psi(r)$ and the relative velocity $w(r)$ are given by extremum condition of the total value of the effective potential

$$E^e[\psi(r); w(r)] = \int E^e[\psi(r); w(r)] dr \quad (19)$$

where integration is fulfilled over the whole area of the phase plane. In this way, the boundary conditions are as follows:

out of a limit cycle

$$\psi = 0; \quad r = 0; \quad w = [\dot{\phi}_0; r]; \quad \dot{\phi} = \dot{\phi}_0 \quad (20)$$

within a limit cycle

$$\psi = 0; \quad r = 0; \quad w = 0; \quad \dot{\phi} = 0 \quad (21)$$

on a limit cycle itself

$$\text{grad}(\text{grad } \phi - w) = 0 \quad (22)$$

Here, \mathbf{n} is the unit vector being perpendicular to the limit cycle, $\phi_0 = \frac{q}{A=B}$ is the stationary value of the order parameter to be determined by the minimum condition of the expression (17).

According to above expressions, a disordered phase related to exterior of the limit cycle is characterized with the effective energy density $\mathcal{E}(0) = \frac{(I=2)\phi_0^2}{4}$, whereas an ordered phase being bounded by this cycle relates to the value $\mathcal{E}(\phi_0) = \frac{(A \neq 2)\phi_0^2}{4}$. As a result, the condition $\mathcal{E}(\phi_0) = \mathcal{E}(0)$ of the phase equilibrium gives a characteristic value of the revolving velocity

$$\phi_c = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{IB}{4A^2}}}{I} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{A^2}{IB}}}{IB} \quad (23)$$

to determine an energy scale $E_c = \frac{I^2}{4} = \frac{A^2}{IB}$. Moreover, it is useful to introduce two lengths λ , and their ratio $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_v}{\lambda_t}$ to be determined by the following relations:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\frac{IB}{4A^2}}}{4A^2}; \quad \frac{\sqrt{\frac{A^2}{IB}}}{2A^2}; \quad \frac{\sqrt{\frac{I}{I_0}}}{I_0}; \quad I_0 = \frac{2s^2}{B}; \quad (24)$$

Then, measuring the energy density \mathcal{E} in units E_c , the order parameter ϕ in ϕ_0 , the angle velocity $\dot{\phi}$ in $\dot{\phi}_0$, the linear velocities v_n, w in $\sqrt{\frac{2}{I}} \dot{\phi}_0$, the angular momentum M in $\sqrt{2I} \dot{\phi}_0$, and the distance r in λ , one reduces the energy density (18) to the simplest form

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{4} r^2 + \frac{1}{2} w^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2; \quad (25)$$

Inserting this equality into the total energy (19) and varying the functional obtained, one finds the following equations of motion:

$$r^2 = 1 - w^2 + \dot{\phi}^2; \quad (26)$$

$$\text{rot rot } w = \dot{\phi}^2; \quad (27)$$

As is known [7], the form of solutions of these equations is fixed with the parameter given by two last Eqs.(24). In usual case, the phase plane is so small to be realized the condition $\dot{\phi}^2 \leq 1$, and a single limit cycle (type of shown in Fig.1) can be created with the form and size determined by the external frequency $\dot{\phi}_0$. Much more rich situation is realized in the case of the so large phase plane that inverted condition $\dot{\phi}^2 > 1$ is fulfilled. Then, within the interval $\dot{\phi}_{c1} < \dot{\phi}_0 < \dot{\phi}_{c2}$, bounded with the limit velocities

$$\dot{\phi}_{c1} = \frac{\ln \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4s}} \dot{\phi}_0 = \frac{A^2}{4s} \frac{I}{I_0} \ln \frac{I}{I_0}; \quad (28)$$

$$\dot{\phi}_{c2} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_0 = \frac{A^2}{2s}; \quad (29)$$

the mixed state is realized to be a set of round limit cycles periodically distributed over surface of the revolving phase plane. Each of these cycles has elementary action 2π to reach the maximum value $N_{max} = 1 = \frac{1}{2}$ of the cycle density per unit

of area at $\omega_0 = \omega_{c2}$. With falling down external velocity near the upper boundary ($0 < \omega_{c2} < \omega_0 < \omega_{c2}$) the limit cycle density decreases according to the equality

$$\frac{N}{N_{max}} = \frac{\omega_0}{2} \frac{\overline{\omega^2}}{2} \quad (30)$$

where average over the phase plane $\overline{\omega^2}$ is connected with the revolving velocity ω_0 by the equality

$$\overline{\omega^2} = \frac{2}{(2^2 - 1)} (\omega_0); \quad \overline{\omega^4} = (\overline{\omega^2})^2 = 0.1596: \quad (31)$$

The average value

$$\overline{\omega} = \omega_0 \overline{\omega^2} = \omega_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{\omega_0}{2} \quad (32)$$

is smaller than external value ω_0 in a quantity being equal to the average of the plane polarization

$$\overline{M} = \overline{\omega^2} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 = \frac{\omega_0^2}{2} \quad (33)$$

The maximum value of a revolving velocity is reached in cores of limit cycles, and the minimum one $\omega_{min} = \omega_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{\omega_0}{2}$ in the centers of triangles formed by cycles. The average variation of effective energy (19) caused by the phase plane revolving

$$\overline{E} = I \omega_c^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \overline{\omega^2} \right) = I \omega_c^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \overline{\omega^2} \right) \frac{(\overline{\omega})^2}{1 + (\overline{\omega^2} - 1)} \quad (34)$$

is the function of the average velocity $\overline{\omega}$, differentiation with respect to which results in Eq.(32).

Near the lower critical value ω_{c1} , the limit cycle density $N = (2^2 - 1)\overline{\omega}$ is not so large and these cycles can be treated independently. Taking into account that $w(r)$ varies at distances $r \ll 1$ and $\omega(r)$ does at $r \ll 1$, the relative velocity are determined by Eq.(27) with $\omega^2 \ll 1$ and $\omega \ll 1$:

$$w = K_1(r) \quad (35)$$

where $K_1(r)$ is the Hankel function of imaginary argument. Respectively, the order parameter is determined by Eq.(26) with $w = 1 = r$:

$$\omega^2 = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{at} \quad r = 1; \quad (36)$$

where c is positive constant. According to Eq.(35) one has $w = 1 = r$ at $r = 1$ and $w = \frac{1}{2} r^{1/2} e^{-r}$ at $r \ll 1$. The dependence $\overline{\omega}(\omega_0)$ is of steadily increasing nature: at $\omega_0 = \omega_{c1}$ it has the vertical tangent and with ω_0 growth it asymptotically approaches to the straight line $\overline{\omega} = \omega_0$. Effective energy per one limit cycle is $(2^2 - 1) \ln 2$, the ω value in a cycle center is twice as large as ω_{c1} .

4 Conclusions

Within Hamiltonian formalism, combined consideration of both fast and slow sets of dynamical variables shows that averaging over the angle of the canonical pair angle-action induces an effective gauge field if fast coordinates depend on slow ones. For case of the Hopf bifurcation, it means that such a bifurcation, in the course of the system evolution, arrives at the revolving not only of the configuration point, but the whole region of the phase plane being bounded by the limit cycle. In other words, the physical picture of the Hopf bifurcation means the phase plane behaves as a real object, but not mathematical one.

Along this line, a revolving phase plane with a set of limit cycles can be presented in analogy with revolving vessel containing superfluid He^4 . Within framework of such a representation, fast varying angle is reduced to the phase φ of the complex order parameter $\psi = e^{i\varphi}$ whose module squared $|\psi|^2$ plays a role of the action. In this way, a role of the vector potential of the gauge field plays the relative velocity w of movement of interior region of the limit cycle with respect to its exterior, whereas the field force is reduced to the related angle velocity $\dot{\varphi} = (1/2)\text{rot } w$. By this, slow variables are reduced to the parameters A, B of the Landau expansion (17).

Finally, we focus upon the physical interpretation of above picture of a phase plane with one or more limit cycles. The usual case, when canonical pair of coordinate and momentum can be varied within the whole area of the phase plane, relates to the parameter $\beta = 1$, where the Hopf bifurcation arrives at creation of single limit cycle, which presents periodical variations in the self-organized system. In opposite case, when a domain of the coordinate and momentum variations within a limit cycle is much less than the phase plane area, the principle parameter is $\beta = 0$. If the self-organized system is subjected to an external periodical influence whose frequencies are bounded within domain $\omega_{c1} \leq \omega \leq \omega_{c2}$ given by Eqs. (28), (29), a set of non-trivial resonances is appeared. Mean values of the coordinate and momentum variations within these resonances relate to the centers of the limit cycles, whereas variations amplitude is determined by the correlation length ξ given by the second of definitions (24). The first of such resonances appears at external frequency $\omega_0 = \omega_{c1}$ to arrive at growth of its number to maximum value $N_{max} = \frac{\omega_{c2} - \omega_{c1}}{\Delta\omega}$ at the upper frequency $\omega_0 = \omega_{c2}$. In this way, the resonances number varies in accordance with Eq.(30), whereas average resonance frequency is defined by Eq.(32) which shows its monotonic increase with external frequency.

References

- [1] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, Part 1 (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1980).
- [2] A.I. Olemskoi, A.A. Katsnelson, Synergetics of Condensed Matter (Editorial URSS, Moscow, 2003) (in Russian).
- [3] B.D. Hassard, N.D. Kazarino, Y.-H. Wan, Theory and Applications of Hopf Bifurcation (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981).
- [4] A.I. Olemskoi, I.A. Shuda, V.O. Kharченко, Ukr. J. Phys., 51, 311 (2006).

- [5] I.A. Shuda, Ukr. J. Phys., 49, 766 (2004).
- [6] E. Gozzy, W. D. Thacher, Phys. Rev D, 35, 2398 (1987).
- [7] D. R. Tilley, J. T. Tilley, Superfluidity and superconductivity (Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, N.Y. etc., 1974).
- [8] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, Mechanics (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1976).
- [9] A. I. Olemskoi, Fizika-Izvestiya, No 5, 75 (1984) (in Russian).