arXiv:cond-mat/0604595v3 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 10 Oct 2008

Localization in a strongly disordered system : A perturbation approach

M arco Frasca Via Erasmo Gattamelata, 3 00176 Roma (Italy) (Dated: March 23, 2024)

We prove that a strongly disordered two-dimensional system localizes with a localization length given analytically. We get a scaling law with a critical exponent is = 1 in agreement with the C hayes criterion 1. The case we are considering is for o -diagonal disorder. The method we use is a perturbation approach holding in the lim it of an in nitely large perturbation as recently devised and the Anderson model is considered with a Gaussian distribution of disorder. The localization length diverges when energy goes to zero with a scaling law in agreement to numerical and theoretical expectations.

PACS num bers: 72.15 Rn, 72.20 Ht, 71.30.+ h

Since the discovery of the existence of a metal-insulator transition by Anderson¹ originating by a signi cant presence of disorder in a sample of material, a large part of activity in condensed matter physics pertained the deep understanding of this e ect originating from the localization of the conduction electrons².

Several breakthroughs as the scaling theory³ appeared that improved the understanding of the metal-insulator transition. Besides, weak localization theory made clear the electron of higher order corrections to the scaling theory itself⁴. More recently, the interplay between disorder and electron-electron interaction has been claried⁵.

As in all phase transitions, also this case depends critically on the dimensionality of the system. Indeed, it is well-known that for d 2 the system always localizes and so it is always in an insulating phase. This result has been challenged by recent experimental ndings^{6,7} showing a 2D metal-insulator transition. This e ect cannot be explained by the localization theory of Anderson and the scaling theory. A satisfactory explanation has been recently found numerically⁸ through the e ect of electron-electron interaction becoming increasingly in portant as the density of the two-dimensional electron gas is lowered producing a rich phase diagram in this limit.

Notwithstanding the increasing relevance that electron-electron interaction has assumed in recent years, there are a lot of situations for disordered crystals where the Anderson model proves to be a satisfactory description of the physics while leaving opened several problems. Current studies point toward a proper understanding of the scaling of the localization length with some speci c parameters of the crystal as could be the energy. This scaling is characterized by a critical exponent that has been obtained both by experiments^{9,10,11} and numerically^{2,12,13,14} giving results for ranging from 1 to 1.6 for 3D systems.

As it is clear from the above discussion, the lack of an analytical approach prevented an analysis of the Anderson model in the regime of strong disorder. This is a rather general situation in physics. Our aim in this paper is to apply a recent devised perturbation method conceived to treat this kind of problems. This method has been initially applied to quantum mechanics^{15,16,17} and recently to quantum eld theory¹⁸. Applications in mesoscopic physics were also devised^{19,20,21}.

The m ethod relies on the duality principle in perturbation theory¹⁷ that, using the arbitrariness in the choice of a perturbation, yields a dual series to the weak perturbation one. The dual series should be intended as the one holding for the developm ent parameter going to in nity. As we showed recently, for a time-independent quantum mechanical problem, the dual perturbation series is given by the W igner-K inkwood expansion²². We will use this latter result to obtain the localization length for a strongly disordered two-dimensional system in a closed form.

The result we obtain is that the localization length scales as 1=E being E the energy and then we have = 1 at the leading order for o -diagonal disorder. B oth the localization length and the propagator are obtained analytically assuming G aussian the distribution of the disorder. It is interesting to note the relevance that the W igner-K irkwood expansion can attain beyond the already known applications in statistical mechanics. We assume this result to hold in two dimension. Recent numerical and theoretical results^{23,24,25} point out a scaling law with a divergence at E = 0 but with ranging from 0.3 to 0.6. We note anyhow that our leading order is an Anderson m odel with pure disorder, due to the fact that we are taking a very strongly disordered system, and this di erence should be expected. To exploit this point we compute the rst order correction. In any case it should be emphasized that our result agrees with the Chayes criterion as it should be 1^{26} .

In order to make this paper self-contained we work out the duality principle in perturbation theory directly on the model of a single electron in a disordered material. We consider the Ham iltonian for a two dimensional electron gas

$$H = d^{2}x \frac{h^{2}}{2m}r^{y}(x)r(x) + y(x)V(x) (x)$$
(1)

being (x) the eld of the electron, while we take for the random potential V (x) a Gaussian distributed potential having

$$h V (x_i) i = 0 \tag{2}$$

and

$$hV(x_i)V(x_j)i = u_0^2_{ij}$$
 (3)

having the potential de ned on di erent lattice sites. We give explicitly the probability distribution de ned as

Z (V) = N e
$$\frac{\frac{V(x)^2}{2u_0^2}}{2u_0^2}$$
 (4)

that is de ned on the sites. N is a norm alization constant. This means that a functional integral for computing averages turns into an ordinary multiple integral due to the lattice. This model can be easily reduced to the Anderson model with o -diagonal disorder giving the same physics.

W e can give explicitly u_0 by the properties of the electron gas in the material by the relation²

$$u_0^2 = \frac{h}{2 n (E)}$$
 (5)

being the mean free time and n (E) the density of states that in our two dimensional case is n (E) = $mA = h^2$ being A the area and m the electron mass.

W eak perturbation theory is straightforwardly applied to this H am iltonian²⁷ giving weak localization⁴. This can be obtained by writing down the Schrodinger equation for the above H am iltonian as

$$ih\frac{\theta}{\theta t} = \frac{h^2}{2m} _2 + V (x)$$
(6)

and applying the D yson series assuming the random potential as a weak perturbation giving straightforwardly for the unitary evolution operator

$$U(t;t_{0}) = U_{0}(t;t_{0}) I \frac{i}{h} \int_{t_{0}}^{Z_{t}} dt^{0} U_{0}^{1}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0}) \qquad (7)$$

$$\frac{1}{h^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{Z_{t}} dt^{0} dt^{0} U_{0}^{1}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})U_{0}^{1}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0};t_{0})V(x)U_{0}(t^{0$$

being U₀ the solution of the equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial U_0}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} _2 U_0$$
(8)

given by

$$U_{0}(t;t_{0}) = \frac{m}{2 \text{ in } (t - t_{0})} e^{i\frac{m}{2 \ln (t - t_{0})^{2}}} e^{i(t - t_{0})^{2}}$$
(9)

W e recognize here the so called interaction picture. On the basis of the duality principle in perturbation theory as given in^{17} , one could ask what series is obtained when the kinetic term is form ally interchanged with the random potential in the D yson series. Indeed, this will give the series

$$K (t;t_{0}) = K_{0}(t;t_{0}) I + \frac{i}{h} \int_{t_{0}}^{Z_{t}} dt^{0} K_{0}^{1} (t^{0};t_{0}) \frac{h^{2}}{2m} {}_{2}K_{0} (t^{0};t_{0})$$

$$\frac{1}{h^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{Z_{t}} dt^{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{Z_{t^{0}}} dt^{0} K_{0}^{1} (t^{0};t_{0}) \frac{h^{2}}{2m} {}_{2}K_{0} (t^{0};t_{0}) K_{0}^{1} (t^{0};t_{0}) \frac{h^{2}}{2m} {}_{2}K_{0} (t^{0};t_{0}) + :::$$
(10)

being now K $_{\rm 0}$ the solution of the equation

$$ih\frac{\mathcal{Q}K_{0}}{\mathcal{Q}t} = V(x)K_{0}$$
(11)

being

$$K_{0}(t;t_{0}) = e^{\frac{1}{h}V(x)(t t_{0})};$$
(12)

This series is dual to the Dyson series¹⁷ and as shown in Ref.^{22} it is a sem iclassical series, the W igner-K irkwood expansion, that holds as a strong coupling expansion. This series, as we showed in Ref.^{22} , has the same eigenvalue expansion as the W KB series as it should be. We just showed that it is dual to the Dyson series for this case.

O ur aim will be to evaluate the leading and the rst orders of this series in order to have the analytical expression for the localization length and its rst correction. Besides the physical result, our aim is also to point out another approach to cope with problem s in the strong coupling lim it that are quite common in condensed matter physics. So, let us give explicitly the W igner-K inkwood expansion till rst order, one has

$$K (t; 0) = K_0 (t; 0) I \frac{it^3}{6m h} (r V)^2 t^2 \frac{1}{4m} {}_2V + \frac{i}{2m h} r V p + \frac{i}{h} \frac{p^2}{2m} t + \dots$$
(13)

Firstly, let us consider the leading order. We take the average on the random potential to obtain

$$hK_{0}(t;0)i = he^{\frac{i}{h}V(x)t}i$$
(14)

that has the well-known result

 $hK_{0}(t;0)i = e^{\frac{t^{2}}{2}}$ (15)

being

$$= \frac{p}{4 h n (E)}$$
(16)

giving a Gaussian decay in time with a time scale de ned by that can be seen as a coherence time. So, we can Fourier transform the unitary evolution operator to give

$$hK_{0}(E)i = \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} e^{i\frac{E}{h}t} hK_{0}(t;0)idt = P - e^{\frac{E^{2}}{4h^{2}}}$$
(17)

showing that the energy is strongly localized on a scale h = . So, we can get im mediately the localization length by the relation, in complete analogy with the 1D case²,

$$\frac{1}{(E)^2} = \lim_{A \le 1} \frac{1}{2A} \ln \frac{1}{2K} (E) i \frac{1}{2}$$
(18)

giving nally

$$(E) = \frac{h^3}{m} \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{E} :$$
 (19)

This is the most important result of the paper showing a scaling law of the localization length with the energy similarly to numerical and theoretical expectations^{23,24,25} and with a critical exponent = 1. It is important to note the conomic mation of the singularity for E = 0 while the critical exponent in the scaling law may show dimensions that we are going to exploit computing the next order correction as at the leading order we have an Anderson model with pure disorder. We note anyhow that agreement with the Chayes criterion 1 is essential in our case of a very large disorder. We emphasize that these results apply for o -diagonal disorder. In this case the singular behavior of the state at E = 0 should be expected.

The next step is to compute the storder correction to this result through our W igner-K irkwood expansion. In order to obtain this we use the fact that the random potential is de ned on a lattice and so the derivatives must be properly discretized. Then, we can compute the averages. So, we consider the series (13) and discretize taking for the derivative

$$rV = \frac{V(x_{k}; y_{k}) \quad V(x_{k-1}; y_{k})}{a}; \frac{V(x_{k}; y_{k}) \quad V(x_{k}; y_{k-1})}{a} :$$
(20)

being a the inter-particle spacing given by $a = 1 = \frac{p}{n}$ with n the density of the two dimensional electron gas. By noting that the only term s surviving in the averages are those in the same site, one gets the following

$$h \mathbb{V} \mathbb{K}_{0}(t; 0) \mathbf{i} = e^{\frac{t^{2}}{2}} \mathbf{i} \frac{\mathbf{u}_{0}^{2}}{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{t}$$

$$h(\mathbf{r} \mathbb{V})^{2} \mathbb{K}_{0}(t; 0) \mathbf{i} = e^{\frac{t^{2}}{2}} \frac{2\mathbf{u}_{0}^{2}}{\mathbf{a}^{2}} \frac{2\mathbf{u}_{0}^{4} \mathbf{t}^{2}}{\mathbf{a}^{2}\mathbf{h}^{2}}$$

$$h_{2} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{K}_{0}(t; 0) \mathbf{i} = e^{\frac{t^{2}}{2}} \frac{4\mathbf{i}\mathbf{u}_{0}^{2} \mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{a}^{2}\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{i}$$
(21)

The momentum operator can be managed by a proper choice of the initial electron eld granting the substitution of the operator itself with the eigenvalue for the successive computations. In any case we are considering the kinetic term everywhere negligible even if its taking into account may displace the critical point from E = 0 after Fourier transform to $p^2=2m$. Finally, the averaged propagator can be written down as

hK (t;0)i =
$$e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}$$
 1 + u_0^2 $\frac{p_x + p_y}{2m ah^2}$ + $\frac{2i}{3m a^2 h}$ t^3 + $u_0^4 \frac{i}{3m a^2 h^3} t^5$ + ... (22)

that we can rewrite, introducing the Ferm it is $F = m a^2 = h$, as

hK (t;0)i = e
$$\frac{t^2}{2}$$
 1 + $\frac{p_x + p_y}{h}a + \frac{4}{3}i \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{4}{3}i \frac{t^5}{5} + \dots$ (23)

We recognize two relevant aspects of this rst order correction. One has a polynom ial dependence on time and then, at this stage, the scaling law we have obtained gets no corrections. Besides, we recognize a development parameter given by $=_{\rm F}$ that gives a working condition on the mean free time for our expansion to hold. It critically depends on the inter-particle spacing.

In order to verify our conclusion, we take the series (23) and put the momentum to zero (pure disorder). Then, we take the Fourier transform obtaining

where we have put

$$P_1(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{7}{2}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^3 + \mathbf{x}^5;$$
(25)

The polynom ial character of this correction changes to a logarithm ic correction to the localization length that in the lim it A ! 1 goes to zero preserving our initial result. It is interesting to point out that what we have obtained is also a perturbation series in the developm ent parameter $E_F = u_0$, being E_F the Ferm ienergy, and this is an asymptotic series in the strength of the disorder going to in nity as promised.

In conclusion we have applied the duality principle in perturbation theory to a two dimensional gas in presence of a strong disorder. This has shown an application of the sem iclassical W igner-K irkwood expansion to a strongly perturbed system. We have obtained a series in the development parameter $E_F = u_0$ in agreement with expectations for a strongly disordered system. The localization length has been given explicitly with a power law scaling as 1=E. This gives a critical exponent = 1 in agreement with the Chayes criterion 1. The method we applied is rather general and could be extensively applied to other problems in condensed matter physics.

e-m ailm arcofrasca@ m clink.it

¹ P.W .Anderson, Phys. Rev. 109, 1492 (1958).

² B.Kramer and A.MacKinnon, Rep. Prog. Phys. 56, 1469 (1993).

³ E.Abraham s, P.W. Anderson, D.C. Licciardello and T.V. Ram akrishnan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 673 (1979).

⁴ B. L. Altshuler and A. G. Aronov, in Modern Problems in Condensed Sciences, edited by A. L. Efros and M. Pollak (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985), p. 1.

⁵ A.Punnoose and A.M.Finkel'stein, Science 310, 289 (2005).

- ⁶ S.V.K ravchenko, G.V.K ravchenko, J.E.Furneaux, V.M.Pudalov and M.D'Iorio, Phys. Rev. B 50, 8039 (1994).
- 7 S.V.K ravchenko and M.P.Sarachik, Rep.Prog.Phys. 67,1 (2004).
- ⁸ C.Attaccalite, S.Moroni, P.Gori-Giorgi, G.B.Bachelet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 256601 (2002); Erratum : ibid. 91, 109902 (2003).
- ⁹ M.A.Paalanen and G.A.Thomas, Helv.Phys.Acta 56, 27 (1983).
- ¹⁰ G.A.Thomas, Phil.Mag.B 52, 479 (1985).
- ¹¹ S.W a enschmidt, C.P eiderer, and H.v.Lohneysen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3005 (1999).
- ¹² K.Slevin anfT.Ohtsuki, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82, 382 (1999).
- ¹³ F.M ilde, R.A.Rom er and M.Schreiber, Phys. Rev. B 61, 6028 (2000).
- ¹⁴ F.M ilde, R.A.Romer, M.Schreiber and V.Uski, Eur.Phys.J.B 15, 685 (2000).
- ¹⁵ M .Frasca, Phys. Rev. A 45, 43 (1992).
- ¹⁶ M.Frasca, Phys. Rev. A 47, 2374 (1993).
- ¹⁷ M.Frasca, Phys. Rev. A 58, 3439 (1998).
- ¹⁸ M. Frasca, Phys. Rev. D 73, 027701 (2006); Erratum : ibid., 049902 (2006).
- ¹⁹ M.Frasca, Phys. Rev. B 68, 165315 (2003).
- ²⁰ M .Frasca, Phys. Rev. B 71, 073301 (2005).
- ²¹ M. Frasca, Phys. Rev. B 71, 174513 (2005).
- ²² M. Frasca, Proc. R. Soc. A 463, 2195 (2007).
- ²³ A.Eilmes, R.A.Romer and M.Schreiber, Physica B 296, 46 (2001).
- 24 A.Eilmes and R.A.Romer, Phys.Stat.Sol. (b) 241,2079 (2004).
- ²⁵ M .Fabrizio and C.Castellani, Nucl.Phys.B 583, 542 (2000).
- ²⁶ J.T. Chayes, L. Chayes, D:S.Fisher and T. Spencer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2999 (1986).
- ²⁷ C.DiCastro and R.Raim ondi, The electron liquid paradigm in condensed matter physics : Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Ferm i" : Varenna, Italy, 29 July-8 August 2003, edited by G F.G iuliani and G.Vignale, (Societa' italiana di sica, Bologna, 2004), p.259-333 [cond-m at/0402203].