Decoherence and Quantum W alks: anom alous di usion and ballistic tails

N.V. Prokoffev^{1,2} and P.C.E. Stam $p^{3,4}$

¹Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

²BEC-INFM, D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Trento, V ia Som marive 14, I-38050 Povo, Italy ³D epartm ent of Physics & Astronom y, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V 6T 1Z1 ⁴Paci c Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V 6T 1Z1

The common perception is that strong coupling to the environment will always render the evolution of the system density matrix quasi-classical (in fact, di usive) in the long time limit. We present here a counter-example, in which a particle makes quantum transitions between the sites of a d-dimensional hypercubic lattice whilst strongly coupled to a bath of two-level system s which 'record' the transitions. The long-time evolution of an initial wave packet is found to be most unusual: the mean square displacement n² of the particle density matrix shows long-range ballitic behaviour, with $\ln^2 i = t$, but simultaneously a kind of weakly-localised behaviour near the origin. This result may have important implications for the design of quantum computing algorithms, since it describes a class of quantum walks.

PACS numbers: 05.40 Fb, 03.65.Yz, 03.67.-a

One can think of the trajectory of a quantum particle hopping between 2 nodes A and B on some lattice or 'graph', as a 'quantum walk', in which the amplitude to go from A to B is given by summing over all possible paths (or 'walks') between them . Amusingly, such walks can also describe the time evolution of quantum algorithms, including the Grover search algorithm and Shor's algorithm. One can nd explicit mappings between the H am iltonian of a quantum com puter built from spin-1=2 'qubits' and gates, and that for a quantum particle moving on som e graph [1, 2]. Each graph node represents a state in the system H ilbert space, and the system then walks in 'information space'. This mapping is most transparent for spatial search algorithm s with the local structure of the database. Am ongst the graphs so far studied are 'decision trees' [1, 3, 4] and hypercubes [5, 6]; quantum walks on other graphs, and their connection to algorithm s, were recently reviewed [2].

The quantum dynam ics between two sites A and B on a given graph is often much faster (som etim es exponentially faster) than for a classical walk on the same graph [4, 7, 8]. It has been argued that quantum walks may generate new kinds of quantum algorithm, which have proved very hard to nd. Several recent papers have also considered experim ental in plem entations of quantum walks for quantum information processing [9, 10]; some involve walks in real space, whereas others are purely computational (eg., a walk in the H ilbert space of a quantum register [10]). Many experiments over the years, particularly in solid-state physics, have also been im plicitly testing features of quantum walks.

A salways, the main problem confronting any quantum algorithm is environm entaldecoherence - the gradualentanglem ent of the system with the 'environm ent' m eans that phase interference e ects are gradually lost, in m easurem ents perform ed on the system alone. It is generally assumed that the system dynamics will then show classicaldi usion at long tim es[11], at least if the environm ent is at or near equilibrium [12]. This 'folk theorem ' is supported by results on m any m odels[13] (except for certain very unusual 1-dim ensional system s[14]). Recent investigations of decoherence e ects on quantum walks[15]-[19] give similar results, although in one investigation of random walks driven by coin-tosses [17], non-classical behavior was found. In these recent investigations, the decoherence mechanism was either (i) an external noise source (ii) a coupling to a set of tossing 'coins'; or (iii) a coupling of the coins to a heat bath. In solid-state and atom ic qubits systems, the heat bath is modelled either by a set of oscillators (representing delocalised modes like phonons, photons, or electrons), or by a set of '2level system s', or 'T LS' (representing localised m odes like defects, topological disorder, or nuclear and param agnetic spins). Both are in portant in experiment; TLS are particularly important for decoherence in magnetic [20], superconducting [21], and conducting [22] qubit system s, and tend to dom inate at low tem perature.

In this paper we consider a class of quantum walk models having a very unusual dynam ics-not only is the longtim e behaviour not classically di usive, but a part of the single-particle reduced density matrix always continues to show coherent dynam ics. These models are very relevant to solid-state quantum information processing systems, since they involve a TLS bath-we couple a quantum particle moving on a graph to a bath described by a set f $_k$ g of TLS, written as spin-1/2 Pauli spins (with k = 1;2;::N). We rst describe the dynam ics of these models, and then their physical interpretation.

Q uantum W alker: For de niteness we choose a ddimensional hypercubic graph for the walking particle (our main conclusions do not depend on this assum ption), with the 'bare' Ham iltonian

$$H_{\circ} = \bigvee_{\substack{o \\ < ij>}}^{X} (c_i^y c_j + h c;)$$
(1)

Here c_i^{γ} creates the particle on site i, and < ij > restricts the dynam ics to nearest neighbor hopping. The particle

m oves in a B loch band with dispersion relation $_{\circ}(k) = 2 \circ _{a_{-1}}^{b_{-1}} \cos(k a_{\circ})$ and bandwidth $W_{\circ} = 4d \circ$. Here a_{\circ} is the lattice constant, and k the d-dimensional momentum. Henceforth we measure all distances in units of a_{\circ} , and label lattice sites by a lattice vector n.

For this quantum walker, the solution of Schrödinger's equation is standard. Thus a particle initially localized at the origin, with wave-function $_{n}(t = 0) = _{n0}$ at t = 0, evolves to $_{n}(t) = L^{d} _{k} e^{i[k n} e^{i(k)]t}$ at a later time, where L is the linear system size. The probability distribution $P_{n0}^{\circ}(t) = j_{n}(t)f$ is then

$$P_{n0}^{o}(t) = \int_{-1}^{Y^{d}} J_{n}^{2}(z); \quad z = 2_{o}t; \quad (2)$$

where $J_n(z)$ is the n-th order Bessel function. The continuum-space limit is recovered by considering a broad Gaussian initial wave-packet, initially centred at the origin, of form $_n(t = 0) = (1 - R)^{d=2} e^{n^{-2} - 2R^2}$ with R 1. Then for later times

$$P_{n0}^{o}(t) = \frac{R^2}{(R^4 + z^2)} e^{n^2 R^2 = (R^4 + z^2)}$$
 (3)

As expected, a purely quantum -m echanical evolution gives P_{00}^{o} (t) / 1=t^d and $hn^{2}i/t^{2}$ at long times.

Environm ental decoherence: Coupling the quantum walker to an environm ent is supposed to change the long-time evolution to classical di usion, characterized at long times by $P_{00}^{(cl)}$ (t) / $1=t^{d=2}$ and $\ln^2_{cl}i$ / t. We certainly expect this form odels in which the particle coordinate is coupled to an 0 hm ic oscillator bath, but we now exam ine the e ect of a coupling between the particle and a TLS bath. On its own, this bath has a H am iltonian $_{kk^{0}}V_{kk^{0}}$, $_{k}$, where the fh $_{k}g$ _k h_k _k + $H_{TLS} =$ are elds acting on each TLS, and the V_{kk^0} describe interactions between them. Typically the V_{kk0} are very small, and lead only to a very slow dynam ics of the TLS bath, so we shalldrop them [25]. Various couplings of the bath to the walker are possible, but we are interested in those which monitor transitions of the walker, ie., those triggered when the particle hops between nodes. We can then distinguish 2 important limiting cases:

(i) the TLS bath is acted on by only weak external elds, which we then neglect. Now assume that each time the quantum walkerhops it can ip the k-th TLS k with amplitude k. We can write the elective Ham iltonian as

$$H = {}_{o} {}_{(ij)}^{y} C_{i} C_{j} C_{j} C_{k} C_{$$

In what follows we will assume that the individual $_k$ are spallbut that the number N of TLS is so large that $= \frac{2}{k} \frac{2}{k}$ 1, i.e. hopping events are accomplished by simultaneous transitions in a large number of TLS. In other words, we look at the case of strong decoherence.

(ii) The TLS bath is polarised by strong external eld $h_k = h$. De ning the unit vector fźg along the axis of this eld, and the total polarisation $M = \sum_{k=k}^{z} c_k^{2}$ of the TLS bath with respect to this axis, we see that in this strong eld limit, only bath transitions which conserve M are allowed. In this case one has an eld ective H am iltonian

$$H_{M} = \int_{o}^{X} C_{j}^{v} C_{j} \mathbb{P}_{M} e^{i \kappa \kappa \kappa k} P_{M}] + H \kappa: (5)$$

where P_M projects the state of the TLS bath onto the subspace with polarization M. We have dropped the large Zeem an term $_k$ h $_k$ from this Ham iltonian, since it is now just an M-dependent constant.

We now proceed with the solution for the probability distribution P_{n0} (t). We shall look in detail at the rst model (4) above, and then comment on the second one. The form of (4) is a simple generalisation of a Ham iltonian $H = {}_{o} f_{x} \cos[{}_{k} {}_{k} {}_{k}] + H \exp$, which describes one limiting case of the interaction of a single qubit with a spin bath. The density matrix of this model is given exactly as a phase average over the propagator of the 'bare' qubit [23, 24], and one can use precisely the same technique to write the solution for (4). Thus, for the initially localised state $_{n}$ (t = 0) = $_{n0}$, assuming the strong decoherence limit described above, one nds the solution at time t as

$$P_{n0}(t) = \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \frac{d'}{2} \int_{-1}^{Y^{d}} J_{n}^{2} (z \cos'); \qquad (6)$$

and sim ilarly for the initially broad wave-packet one gets

$$P_{n0}(t) = \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \frac{d'}{2} \frac{R^{d} e^{n^{-2}R^{2} = (R^{4} + z^{2} \cos^{2} \prime)}}{(R^{4} + z^{2} \cos^{2} \prime)^{d=2}} : (7)$$

We will rederive this result using a rather dierent method at the end of the paper. Given the strong coupling to the TLS environment, one intuitively expects classical di usive dynamics at long times. Surprisingly, the actual evolution is radically dierent. Consider rst the probability at long times of noting a particle back at the origin, P_{00} (z ! 1), in Eq. (6). The asymptotic expansion for the Bessel function J_0 (z cos')

$$P_{00}(z ! 1) \frac{1}{1} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} d' J_{0}^{2d}(z') = \frac{A_{d}}{\sigma t}; \quad (8)$$

where $A_d = (2)^1 \frac{R_1}{1} dx J_0^{2d}(x)$ is a constant (in d = 1 there is an additional ln (2 ot) factor). This result is already rather peculiar since in d > 2 the decay of P_{00} (t) is integrable both in the classical di usion, $P_{00}^{(cl)} / t^{d=2}$, and in the ideal, orballistic, quantum propagation, P_{00}^{0} /

$$P_{00}(z ! 1) \frac{A_d R^{2d}}{o^t};$$
 (9)

and $A_d = 2$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1+d=2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} dx = (1+x^2)^{d=2}$. The dependence on the initial wave-packet spread in the long time-lim it is another unusual feature of the solution.

From the divergence of the total time spent at the origin $= {R_{t!} \atop 0} {d P_0() / o^1 n(ot)! 1}$ one's rst suspicion is that the strong environmental decoherence is causing som e kind of quasi-localization of the particle, analogous to weak localisation in solid-state physics. It then comes as an astonishing paradox that a calculation of the mean-square displacement from (6) gives

<
$$((n (t) n (0))^{2} > = 12 \sum_{n}^{X} n^{2} P_{n0} (z) = \frac{d}{2} (_{0} t)^{2};$$

(10)

which is only a factor of two smaller then the coherent quantum evolution! Thus the solution shows quasi-local sation near the origin, coexisting with coherent ballistic dynamics at large distances. $_{\rm P}$

Having both $P_{00}(t) / 1=t$ and ${n \choose n} n^2 P_{n0}(t) / t^2$ at the same time is obviously inconsistent with the simple scaling form $t^d f(n^2=t^2)$. The solution to the paradox requires a more complex shape for the distribution function, which we show in Fig. 1 and derive here for the Gaussian initial state. We introduce new variables r = n=R and $u = z=R^2$ to simplify the integral in (7) to:

$$P_{r0}(u) = \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{0}^{d=2} \frac{d'}{2} \frac{e^{r^2 = (1+u^2 \cos^2 r')}}{[1+u^2 \cos^2 r']^{d=2}} : (11)$$

It is straightforward at this point, by considering the long-time limit u 1, to derive the following relations for the intermediate

$$P_{n0}$$
 (t) $\frac{\frac{d1}{2}}{2^{d=2+1}} \frac{R}{_{o}tn^{d1}}$; (R n $_{o}t=R$);
(12)

and large (n ! 1) length scales

$$P_{n0}(t) = \frac{1}{(d+1)=2n} = \frac{R}{2ot} e^{n^2(R=2ot)^2}$$
: (13)

A sexpected from (9), one has an increased probability of nding a walker at the origin. Since the power-law decay $1=n^{d \ 1}$ is not integrable, the normalization integral and hn^2i are still determ ined by the parameter z, but the probability of being at the origin is enhanced over that at a distance ot=R by a factor (ot=R)^{d 1}.

Not surprisingly, the thermodynamics of this system is also peculiar. The partition function $Z = \frac{R_2}{_0}$ (d'=2) I_0^d (2 $_{\circ} \cos'$ =T) is leading to a free energy in the low-temperature limit T $_{\circ}$ given by F (T) T (d=2 + 1=2) ln T + const.

 $4\pi n^2 P_{no}$ In(Pno) -15 0.004 -20 -250 0.003 200 400 0.002 interacting free propagation 0.001 400 200 n

FIG.1: (Coloronline). Form of 4 $n^2 P_{n0}$ (t) after timet such that z = 2 ot R^2 , calculated from Eq.(11) with z = 2000 and R = 10 for the three-dimensional walker. The inset for $\ln P_{n0}$ shows the asymptotic decay.

Finally, let us note that the strong-eld H am iltonian (5) gives similar behaviour. For strong decoherence we nd that an initially localized state at the origin propagates as

$$P_{n0}(z) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dy e^{y} P_{n0}^{0}(zJ_{M}(2^{p} - y)) : \quad (14)$$

and analysis of this shows the same long-time features as above.

Physical interpretation: A path integral analysis provides som e insight here. The anom alous shortdistance behaviour arises because the e ective interaction between the 2 paths of the density matrix, generated by interactions with the spin bath environm ent, has long-timem em ory e ects in it - this is because the bath has a degenerate energy spectrum (this is rem iniscent of weak localisation [26]). But then how can we explain the long-range ballistic tail? U sually even very weak interaction with a bath gives classical di usion at long ranges, because the environm ent 'm easures' the position of the particle as it travels along a given path [27]. For this the environm ent does not have to record all possible trajectories of the particle - it only needs to track a 'coarsegrained' trajectory [28]. The same is true if the environm ent couples to the particle velocity, from m easurem ents of which one can also reconstruct its trajectory.

The answer to the paradox is interesting. Notice that in (4) and (5) the environm ental coupling does not distinguish di erent particle positions in the space of the graph (ie., between di erent graph nodes), nor the direction of transition between them; it only records that transitions between them have occurred. This leaves room for the constructive interference of many very large paths on the graph.

To gain more insight into the problem we rewrite the Hamiltonian (4) in the momentum representation for the

walker and a rotated basis for the TLS spins (rotating $k = \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{k}$). Fin this basis the Ham iltonian is diagonal; writing $k = \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{k}$, and given som eTLS spin distribution f $k = \frac{1}{k}$ with a given , then H acts on the eigenstates k; f $k = \frac{1}{k}$ according to

$$H_{k};f_{k}^{z}gi = \cos'_{0}(k)_{k};f_{k}^{z}gi; \quad (15)$$

If we now start from the initially localized state for the $\int_{k} j_{k}^{z} i$ state for the environment walker and arbitrary (in the original, unrotated basis) the system is an equalweight superposition of all eigenstates. W e im m ediately see that states with the same ' evolve coherently with a renorm alized hopping amplitude $_{\circ}\cos'$, and in the strong coupling lim it all values of ' on the [0;2] interval are equally represented (thus we rederive the result given above in eqtns. (6) and (7)). The ballistic long-time behaviour com es from those portions of this mixture with jcos' j 1. The anom alous 'sub-di usive' long-tim e behavior at the graph origin, on the other hand, com es from a sm all fraction 1=z of states having very smalle ective $_{\circ}\cos' < 1=t$, which cannot propagate anywhere at all!

- [1] E.Farhiand S.Gutmann, Phys. Rev. A 58, 915 (1998).
- [2] J.Kempe, Contemp.Phys. 44, 307 (2003).
- [3] A. M. Childs, E. Farhi, and S. Gutmann, Quant. Inf. Proc. 1, 35 (2002).
- [4] A.M. Childs, R.Cleve, E.Deotto, E.Farhi, S.Gutmann, and D.A. Spielman, Proc. 35th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC 2003), pp. 59-68
- [5] S.Aronson, A.Ambainis, quant-ph/0303041; A.Ambainis, J.Kempe, and A.Rivosh, quant-ph/0402107.
- [6] A.M. Childs and J.Goldstone, Phys. Rev. A 70, 022314 (2004); ibid Phys. Rev. A 70, 042312 (2004).
- [7] N.Shenvi, J.Kempe and K.B.W haley, Phys. Rev. A 67, 052307 (2003).
- [8] J.Kempe, quant-ph/0205083.
- [9] B. C. Travaglione and G. M ilburn, Phys. Rev. A 65, 032310 (2002); W. Dur, R. Raussendorf, V. M. Kendon, and H-J. Briegel, Phys Rev A 66, 052319 (2002); K. Eckert, J. M om part, G. Birkland M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev A 72, 012327 (2005).
- [10] S. Fujiwara, H. Osaki, I. M. Buluta, and S. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. A 72, 032329 (2005).
- [11] See, eg., A.O.Caldeira and A.J.Leggett, Physica A 121, 587 (1983)
- [12] We assume the 'quantum walker + environment' to be a closed system, ie., we exclude external driving elds.
- [13] U.W eiss, "Q uantum D issipative System s"; we need other references here as well.
- [14] X.Zotos and P.Prelovsek, condm at/0304630.
- [15] D. Shapira, O. Biham, A. J. Bracken, and M. Hackett, Phys. Rev. A 68, 062315 (2003).
- [16] V.Kendon and B.Treganna, Phys. Rev. A 67, 042315 (2003).
- [17] T.A.Brun, H.A.Carteret, and A.Am bainis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 130602 (2003); ibid A 67, 052317 (2003).

In quantum inform ation processing system s, where the walk can occur in di erent kinds of information space, no general principle forces the environm ental couplings to distinguish either the di erent graph nodes, or the direction of transition between them . Thus we see that in the design of quantum computers and certain search algorithm s, it becomes of considerable interest to look at quantum walkers for which environmental decoherence m ay even be strong, provided it is not projecting particle states onto either the 'position' or 'm om entum ' bases in the information space de ned by the graph on which the walk takes place. M ore generally, we see that there is an interesting class of system s for which the long-time behaviour is very far from di usive, even in the strong decoherence lim it-instead, it com bines a short-range 'subdi usive' behaviour with long-range coherent dynam ics.

NP thanks the BEC-INFM center at the University of Trento for hospitality and support, and PCES thanks NSERC, PITP, and the CIAR for support. We also thank A Hines, G M ilburn, and particularly AJ Leggett, for very illum inating discussions of these results.

- [18] T.A.Brun, H.A.Carteret and A.Ambainis, Phys. Rev. A 67, 032304 (2003).
- [19] D. Solenov and L. Fedichkin, quant-ph/059078; L. Fedichkin, D. Solenov, and C. Tam on, Quant. Inf. Comm. 6, 263 (2006).
- [20] W .W emsdorfer, Adv. Chem . Phys. 118, 99 (2001); H. M. Ronnow et al., Science 308, 389 (2005)
- [21] R. W. Simmonds et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 077003 (2004)
- [22] Y. Im ry, H. Fukuyam a, P. Schwab, Europhys. Lett. 47, 608 (1999)
- [23] N.V. Prokof'ev and P.C.E. Stamp, Rep. Prog. Phys. 63, 669 (2000).
- [24] N. V. Prokof'ev, P. C. E. Stam p, J. Phys. CM 5, L663 (1993)
- [25] Interactions between TLS in solids are usually dipolar and very weak compared to other energy scales (eg., in m agnets, the interaction even between between nearestneighbour nuclear spins is 10 ⁸K, compared to typical m agnetic energy scales 10 1000K).
- [26] E. Abraham s, P. W. Anderson, D. C. Licciardello, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 673 (1979).
- [27] A. Stem, Y. A haronov, Y. Im ry, Phys. Rev. A 41, 3436 (1990)
- [28] The number of walks N_W between 2 sites a distance n apart is exponential in n. Suppose a set of N_M n different devices with H ilbert space dimension D_H makes position measurements on the walker, recording up to $D_{H}^{N_{M}}$ possible coarse-grained paths (and destroying interference between them). If D_H is not exponential in n=N_M (a typical coarse-grained position measuring environment would have N_M / n , with < 1), then $D_{H}^{N_{M}}$ N_W for su ciently large n.