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#### Abstract

W e study the electric quadnupole (E 2) contribution to resonant x-ray scattering (R X S).U nder the assum ption that the rotationalinvariance is preserved in the H am iltonian describing the interm ediate state of scattering, we derive a useful expression for the RXS am plitude. O ne of the advantages the derived expression possesses is the full in form ation of the energy dependence, lacking in all the previous studies using the fast collision approxim ation. The expression is also helpful to classify the spectra into $\mathrm{m} u$ ltipole order param eters which are brought about. The expression is suitable to investigate the RXS spectra in the localized $f$ electron system $s . W$ e dem onstrate the usefulness of the form ula by calculating the RXS spectra at the $C e L_{2 ; 3}$ edges in $C_{1} e_{1} L_{x} \mathrm{~B}_{6}$ on the basis of the form ula. We obtain the spectra as a function of energy in agreem ent $w$ th the experim ent of $C e_{0}: 7 \mathrm{La}_{0}: 3 \mathrm{~B}_{6}$. A nalyzing the azim uthal angle dependence, we nd the sixfold sym $m$ etry in the - 0 channeland the threefold one in the - ${ }^{0}$ channel not only in the antiferrooctupole (AFO) ordering phase but also in the antiferroquadrupole (AFQ) ordering phase, which behavior depends strongly on the dom ain distribution. The sixfold sym $m$ etry in the AFQ phase arises from the sim ultaneously induced hexadecapole order. A though the AFO order is plausible for phase IV in $\mathrm{Ce}_{1} \times \mathrm{La}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{B}_{6}$, the possibility of the AFQ orderm ay not be ruled out on the basis of azim uthalangle dependence alone.


PACS num bers: $78.70 . \mathrm{Ck}, 75.25 .+\mathrm{z}, 75.10 . \mathrm{b}, 78.20 \mathrm{Bh}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

Resonant x-ray scattering (RXS) has recently attracted much attention, since strong x-ray intensities have becom e available from the synchrotron radiation. It is described by a second-order process that a core electron is excited into unoccupied states by absorbing incident $x$-rays and that electron is recom bined $w$ th the core hole by em itting $x$-rays. The RX S has been recognized as a usefiul probe to investigate spatially varying $m$ ultipole orderings, which the conventional neutron scattering is usually di cult to detect.

For probing the spacial variation of order param eters, $x$-ray $w$ avelengths need to be order of the variation period. In transition $m$ etals, the $K$ edges in the dipole (E 1) transition are just tting for this purpose. A ctually, by using the $K$ edge, the possibility of the orbital ordering has-already been explored in transition-m etal com pounds $\mathbb{L}_{\sqrt{2}}^{2} T$ he RXS intensities are observed at superlattice $B$ ragg spots, which are interpreted as originating from the $m$ odulation in the 4 p band, since the process involves the excitation of a 1s electron to unoccupied $4 p$ states.

Because the ordering pattem is usually controlled by electrons in the 3d band, them echanism which causes the m odulation is not necessarily trivial. A ctually, for m ost of transition-m etal com pounds, both experim ental studies and theoretical studies based on electronic structure calculations have revealed that the RXS intensities are brought about by the hybridization betw een the 4 p band and the 2 p band of the neighboring anions rather than
the direct C oulom b interaction betw een thp electron in the $4 p$ band and electrons in the 3 d band $\mathrm{L}^{3,4,4} \mathrm{~T}$ his result is reasonable because of the extended nature of the $4 p$ state.
$O n$ rare earth $m$ etal compounds such as $C e B{ }_{6}$, $D \mathrm{yB}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$, the $\mathrm{L}_{2 ; 3}$ edges in the E 1 transition are used because of the requirem ent for $x$-ray wavelength 5 $T$ he RXS spectra in the E 1 transition from the antiferroquadrupole. ( $\mathrm{A} F Q$ ) phase of $\mathrm{CeB}, 6$, were studied both experim entally $x^{5 / 5}$ and theoretically ${ }^{101}$ perim ents and the theory give su ciently consistent results, the relation to the multipole orderings which 4 f electrons $m$ ainly involve is rather indirect, since the resonance is caused by the excitation of a $2 p$ electron to $5 d$ states. This shortcom ing $m$ ay be overcom e by using the quadrupole ( $E 2$ ) transition at the $L_{2 ; 3}$ edges, where a $2 p$ electron is prom oted to partially led 4 f states. U sing the E 2 transition has anotherm erit that octupole and hexadecapole orderings are directly detectable. This contrasts w th the E 1 transition, where only dipole and quadrupole orderings are detectable. O f course, intensities in the E 2 transition are usually much sm aller than those in the E 1 transition.

In this paper, we derive a general form ula of the RXS am plitudes in the localized electron picture, in which the electronic structure at each atom is assum ed to be well described by an atom ic wavefunction under the crystal electric eld (CEF). H istorically, the research in such a direction was started by using the-fram ew ork borrowed from resonant ray scattering 111. Starting from

the form of the, $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{S}$, am plitude had been investigated in severalw orks ${ }^{141} 1512$ $m$ arized into an elegant form by using vector spherical harm onics. Unfortunately, it has little practical usage because it is di cult to deduce $m$ eaningful inform ation when there is no restriction on the interm ediate state of the scattering process. A w idely-adopted approxim ation for practical use is the so-called "fast collision (FC) approxim ation". T his replaces the interm ediate state energy in the energy denom inator of the RXS am plitude by an averaged valua, -alaw ing the denom inator out of the
 of the interm ediate state is neglected, leading to an assum ed form (usually a Lorentzian form) for the energy prole.

H ow ever, recent experim ents show, deviation from the Lorentzian form in severalm aterials ${ }^{18119} \mathrm{~W}$ e im prove the situation by taking the energy dependence of the interm ediate state under the assum ption that the interm ediate H am iltonian describing the scattering process preserves spherical sym $m$ etry. This assum ption is justi ed when the CEF energy and the intersite interaction are much sm aller than the m ultiplet energy in the interm ediate state as is expected in $m$ any localized electron $m$ aterials. W e have already reported the form ula for the E 1 transition, having successfully applied to the analysis of the EIRXS spectra in URU $\mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NPO} \mathrm{N}_{2} 20,21_{2}^{1,22} \mathrm{~T}$ his paper is an extension of those works to the $E 2$ transition. The obtained form ula $m$ akes it possible to analyze the energy pro les of the spectra in contrast w ith the FC approxim ation. In addition, the form ula is suitable to discuss the relation, $\theta$ f, the, RXS spectra to multipole or-
 $m$ eans of the expectation values of the multipole order param eters.

W e dem onstrate the usefiulness of the form ula by calculating the RXS spectra in $m$ ultipole ordering phases of $C e_{1}{ }_{x} \operatorname{La}_{x} \mathrm{~B}_{6}$. First, we investigate the E 2 RXS spectra at the $\mathrm{CeL}_{2 ; 3}$ edges from the AFQ ordering phase (phase II) in the non-dihuted $m$ aterial $\mathrm{CeB}_{6}$. A nalysis utilizing our form ula reveals that the E 2 RXS spectra in phase II consist of a mixture of the quadrupole and hexadecapole energy pro les. T he calculated intensities suggest the possibility that he E 2 signal at the $\mathrm{Ce}_{2 ; 3}$ edges can be detectable in this $m$ aterial.

For the interm ediate doping range $\mathrm{x} \quad 0: 3 \quad 0: 5$, $\mathrm{Ce}_{1}{ }_{x} \mathrm{La}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{B}_{6}$ falls into a new phase (phase IV) whose prim ary order param eter is not well established yet?"․ Recently, the E 2 RXS signals at the $C-L_{2}$ edge have been detected for an $x=0: 3$ sam ple 25: From the azim uthal angle ( ) dependence of the peak intensity, it was claim ed that the antiferrooctupole (AFO) ordering phase is the $m$ ost probable candidate because the sym $m$ etry of the -dependence, sixfold and threefold in the - ${ }^{0}$ and - ${ }^{0}$ channels respectively, is deduced framm the theory in good agreem entw ith the experim ent $2^{291} \mathrm{~T}^{28} \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, the relative intensity betw een tw o channels depends strongly on the dom ain distribution, and deviates about
factor two from the experim ental one if the contribution from four dom ains are added with equal weight. T he origin of this discrepancy is still unansw ered. It will be pointed out that the RXS peak intensity from the AFQ phase concom itant w ith the induced hexadecapole contribution also gives rise to the sam e sym m etry of the -dependence as obtained from the AFO phase. Thus, although the A FO order is plausible in $m$ any respects, it seem s di cult to rule out the AFQ order on the basis of the azim uthal angle dependence alone. In addition, we calculate the energy dependence of the RXS spectra at the $\mathrm{CeL}_{2 ; 3}$ edges. A ssum ing both the AFO and AFQ orders, we obtain the spectral shapes at the $L_{2}$ edge, which agree w the experim ental one for $\mathrm{C} e_{0: 7} \mathrm{La}_{0}: 3 \mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{VL}^{51} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{n}$ the other hand, the spectral shapes at the $L_{3}$ edge are found slightly di erent betw een two phases, w ith intensities the sam e order ofm agnitude of the reported one at the $L_{2}$ edge.

The present paper is organized as follow s. A general expression for RXS am plitudes is obtained in Sec. II. A nalysis of RXS spectra in $C e_{1} \quad x \operatorname{La}_{x} B_{6}$ are presented in Sec. 'IIIT. Section 'IN', is devoted to concluding rem arks. In A ppendix, we show severalexpressions required to obtain the RXS am plitude form ula.
II. THEORETICALFRAMEWORKOFRXS

A . a second-order optical process

The RXS is described by a second-order optical process, where a core electron is excited to unoccupied states by absorbing $x$-rays and that electron is recom bined $w$ ith the core hole by em ilting $x$-rays. Since the w avefunction of core electron is well localized, the R X S am plitude m ay be given by a sum of contributions from individual ions. $U$ sing a geom etrical arrangem ent shown in $F$ ig. 1 express the RXS amplitude $f\left(;{ }^{0} ; k ; k^{0} ;!\right)$ for the incident x-ray w th $m$ om entum $k$, polarization , and the scattered $x$-ray $w$ th $m$ om entum $k^{0}$, polarization ${ }^{0}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(;{ }^{0} ; k ; k^{0} ;!\right)= X_{n=1} f^{(n)}\left(;{ }^{0} ; k ; k^{0} ;!\right) ;(2.1) \\
& f^{(n)}\left(;{ }^{0} ; k ; k^{0} ;!\right) / P_{\bar{N}}^{1} e^{j} e^{i G} f \\
& M_{j}^{(n)}\left(;{ }^{0} ; k ; k^{0} ;!\right) ; \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG.1: Geom etry of the RXS experim ent. P hoton with polarization or is scattered into the state of polarization ${ }^{0}$ or ${ }^{0}$ at the B ragg angle. The azim uthalangle describes the rotation of the sam ple around the scattering vector $G$. The (11 $\overline{2}$ ) surface is in the scattering plane at $=0$.
w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{j}^{(2)}\left(;{ }^{0} ; \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0} ;!\right)={\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{9}}_{; 0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{q}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) \mathrm{q} \circ(\hat{\mathrm{k}} ;)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G\left(=k^{0} \quad k\right)$ is the scattering vector, and $N$ is the number of sites j's. The j oi represents the ground state w ith energy $E_{0}$, while $j$ i represents the inter$m$ ediate state $w$ ith energy $E$. The describes the life-tim e broadening width of the core hole. Equation (2.3) describes the E 1 transition, where the dipole operators $x_{; j}$ 's are de ned as $x_{1 ; j}=x_{j}, x_{2 ; j}=y_{j}$, and $x_{3 ; j}=z_{j}$ in the coordinate frame $x e d$ to the crystal axes $w$ th the origin located at the center of site $j$. Equation (2. 4 ) describes the E 2 transition, where the quadrupole operators are de ned by $z_{1 ; j}=\frac{P_{\overline{3}}}{\beta}\left(x_{j}^{2} \quad y_{j}^{2}\right)$, $z_{2 ; j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(3 z_{j}^{2} \quad r_{j}^{2}\right), z_{3 ; j}={ }^{2} \overline{3}_{y_{j}} z_{j}, z_{4 ; j}={ }^{\beta} \overline{3} z_{j} x_{j}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{5 ; j}={ }^{2} \mathrm{P} \overline{3} \mathrm{x}_{j} \mathrm{Y}_{j}$. Factors $\mathrm{q}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}\right.$; ) and $\mathrm{q}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)$ with $\hat{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}$ jand $\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}=\mathrm{k}^{0}=\mathrm{k}^{0}$ jare de ned as a second-rank tensor,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{p}_{3}}{1^{2}}\left(A_{x} B_{x} \quad A_{Y} B_{y}\right) \text { for }=1 ; \\
\frac{1}{B}\left(3 A_{z} B_{z} \quad A \quad B\right) \text { for }=2 ;
\end{array} \\
& \text { q (A;B) } \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the quadrupole operators $z ; j$ are expressed as $z_{i j}=q\left(r_{j} ; r_{j}\right)$.

## B. Energy pro les

In localized electron system s , the ground state and the interm ediate state are described in term $s$ of the eigenfunctions of the angular $m$ om entum operator, $j$; m i, at each site. At the ground state, the CEF and the intersite interaction usually lift the degeneracy $w$ ith respect to $m$. W e w rite the ground state at site $j$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \circ i_{j}={ }^{X} \quad c_{j}(m) j J ; m i: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the interm ediate state, how ever, the CEF and the intersite interaction $m$ ay be neglected in a good approxim ation, since their $m$ agnitudes of energy are $m$ uch sm aller than those of the intra-atom ic C oulom b interaction and the spin-orbit interaction (SO I) which give rise to the $\mathrm{m} u l t i p l e t ~ s t r u c t u r e$. T hus the H am iltonian describing the interm ediate state is approxim ated as preserving the spherical sym $m$ etry. In such a circum stance, the interm ediate states are characterized by the total angularm om entum at the core-hole site, that is, $j i=j{ }^{0} ; \mathrm{M}$; ii w ith the m agnitude $\mathrm{J}^{0}$ and the m agnetic quantum num ber M. The corresponding energy is denoted by $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{Jo}_{\mathrm{j} \text {; }}$, where we introduce the index $i$ in order to distinguish m ultiplets having the sam $e \mathrm{~J}^{0}$ value but having di erent energy.

In the follow ing, we discuss only on the E 2 transition (Eq. (2. 4.4$)$ ), because the E 1 transition has been fully analyzed in our previous papert ${ }^{211}$ F irst, we rew rite E q. (2. 2 ) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{j}^{(2)}\left(;{ }^{0} ; k ; k^{0} ;!\right)=\frac{k^{2}}{9} \underset{;}{\mathrm{X}} \underset{0}{ }\left(\hat{k}^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) q \circ(\hat{k} ;) M^{(2)}(!; j) ; \\
& \text { X } \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.E_{i}\left(!; J^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\sim!} \quad E_{J^{0} ; \mathrm{i}} \quad E_{0}\right)+i= \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, inserting Eq. $(2.0)$ for the ground state into Eq. (2.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{(2)}(j ;!)=\sum_{m, m^{0}}^{X} C_{j}(m) C_{j}\left(m^{0}\right) M_{0}^{(2) m, m^{0}}(!) ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{J}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

W e have suppressed the index j specifying the core-hole site. The num ber of the $m$ ultiplets having the value $J^{0}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{J}} \circ$. The selection rule for the E 2 transition con nes the range of the summation over $J^{0}$ to $J^{0}=$ J;J 1; J 2.

Now we analyze the matrix elem ent of the type $\mathrm{hJ} ; \mathrm{m} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}^{0}$; M iby utilizing, the W igner Ec ckart ( W E) theorem for a tensor operatort ${ }^{29}$ -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{hJ} ; \mathrm{m} \text { jं } \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{M} \text { i }=(1)^{\mathrm{J}^{0}+\mathrm{m}} \quad 2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 \mathrm{~J}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $s_{2}=\left(z_{1} \quad i z_{5}\right)={ }^{p} \overline{2}, s_{1}=\quad\left(z_{4} \quad i z_{3}\right)={ }^{p} \overline{2}$ and $S_{0}=z_{2}$. The symbol $\left(J \mathrm{Jj}_{2} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{J}^{0}\right)$ denotes the reduced $m$ atrix elem ent of the set of irreducible tensor operator of the second rank. B ecause of the nature of the quadrupole operators, a condition jn $\mathrm{m}^{0} \mathrm{j} \quad 4$ has to be satis ed for non-vanishing $M^{(2) \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m}^{0}}$ (!). A fter a straightforw ard but tedious calculation w ith the help of the W E theorem, we obtain non-zero $M^{(2) m ; m^{0}}(!)$ 's. Then, we perform the sum $m$ ation over $m$ and $m^{0}$ in Eq. ( $\left(21^{\prime}\right)$. The result is sum $m$ arized by introducing the expectation values of the com ponents of the multipole operators as follow s:
where the th component of rank tensor $z^{(1)}$ in real basis ( $1 \quad 2+1$ ) is de ned in Table in. The $z^{(1)}$ is constructed from the irreducible spherical tensor $T^{(n)}$ through the unitary transform ation $U$ ( ). The de nitions of $T_{n}^{()}$and $U^{()}$as wellas the energy pro le ${ }_{\text {E }}^{2}$ (!) are
 expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.M^{()}\right] ; 0=\frac{()}{(2 j \Gamma \Gamma} j^{j} 2\right) \quad \frac{r}{\frac{2}{5}} \quad X^{2} \quad X^{2} \quad U^{(2)}{ }^{(2)} \\
& \text { X } \\
& \left.\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}\left(\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}\right] \cdots 0\right) \mathrm{U}^{(2) \mathrm{y}}\right] \times 00 \\
& \mathrm{n}= \\
& =()^{p} \frac{X^{2}+1}{X^{2} \quad X^{2}}()^{\wedge}{ }^{\bullet 0}{ }^{(2)} \\
& \left.\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)} \quad \begin{array}{l}
2 \\
10 \\
\mathrm{n}
\end{array} \quad 2, \quad \mathrm{U}^{(2) \mathrm{y}}\right] 100 \text {; } \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

TABLE I: De nition of the operator equivalence of the $m$ ultipole order com ponents. The overline denotes the sym $m$ etrization, for instance, $\overline{X^{2} Y}=X^{2} Y+X Y X+Y X{ }^{2}$.

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{z}_{1}^{(1)}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \mathrm{z}_{2}^{(1)}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ & \mathrm{z}_{3}^{(1)}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & z_{1}^{(2)}=O_{x^{2}} y^{2}=\frac{\overline{3}}{2}\left[J_{x}^{2} \quad J_{y}^{2}\right] \\ & z_{2}^{(2)}=O_{3 z^{2}} r_{p}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[3 J_{z}^{2} \quad J(J+1)\right] \\ & z_{3}^{(2)}=O_{y z}=\frac{3}{p^{2} \frac{3}{3}}\left[J_{y} J_{z}+J_{z} J_{y}\right] \\ & z_{4}^{(2)}=O_{z x}=\frac{J_{x}}{p^{2} \frac{3}{2}}\left[J_{x} J_{z}\right] \\ & \left.z_{5}^{(2)}=O_{x y}=\frac{J_{y}}{2}+J_{y} J_{x}\right] \end{aligned}$ |
|  |
|  |

 Eq. (2.14), we obtain the nal expression,

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{j}^{(2)}\left(;^{0} ; k ; k^{0} ;!\right) \\
= & {\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{9}}_{=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{4}}{ }_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(1)}(!)_{=1}^{2 X^{+1}} \mathrm{P}^{()}\left(;{ }^{0} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}\right) \mathrm{h} 0 \dot{\mathrm{z}}^{()} j 0 i ; \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P^{(1)}$ 's are the geom etrical factors de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{()}\left(;{ }^{0} ; \hat{k} ; \hat{k}^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{n}\left({ }^{0} ; \hat{k}^{0}\right) g_{n}(; \hat{k}): \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Those for $=0 ; 1$ and 2 are expressed as relatively
sim ple form s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.P_{1}^{(0)}\left(;{ }^{0} ; k ; k^{0}\right)=P_{\frac{1}{5}}^{h}\left(\hat{k}^{0} \hat{k}\right)\left({ }^{0}\right)+\hat{k}^{0}\right)(\hat{k})^{i} ;  \tag{2.18}\\
& P^{(1)}\left(;{ }^{0} ; \hat{k} ; \hat{k}^{0}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{10}^{h}\left(0 \quad \hat{k}^{0} \hat{k}\right)+\left(\hat{k}^{0} \hat{k}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
i
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(k^{0}\right) \rho \hat{k}\right)+(0 \hat{k})\left(\hat{k}^{0}\right) i^{i} ;  \tag{2.19}\\
& P^{(2)}\left(; \quad ; \hat{k} ; \hat{k}^{0}\right)=\frac{3}{2} p^{\frac{1}{14}}\left(^{h}\right) q\left(\hat{k} ; \hat{k}^{0}\right) \\
& +\left(\hat{k}^{0} \hat{k}\right) q\left(;{ }^{0}\right)+q\left(\hat{k}^{0} \hat{k} ;{ }^{0}\right)^{i}: \tag{2,20}
\end{align*}
$$

For $=1$, indiøes $=1 ; 2$ and 3 serve as the $C$ artesian com ponents $x ; y$ and $z$, respectively. The corresponding expression of ${ }^{(1)}$ 'sfor $=3 ; 4$ have complicated form s , $w$ hich are sum $m$ arized in A ppendix B.

A $n$ expression sim ilarto $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{g}-\left(\overline{-1} \overline{\mathrm{i}} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right)$ has been derived by the FC approxim ation has to put by hand the energy dependence. T he present theory gives an explicit expression of the energy dependence, which is separated from the factor relating to the order param eter. Thus, the choice of the CEF param eters in the ground state does not a ect the shape of energy pro les ( ) (!).

## III. APPLICATION TOM ULTPOLE

 ORDERING PHASES IN C $e_{1} x_{x} L a_{x} B_{6}$In this section, we dem onstrate the usefiulness of Eq. $(\underline{2} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ by analyzing the RXS spectra in the E 2 transition at the $\mathrm{CeL}_{2 ; 3}$ edges from $\mathrm{Ce}_{1} \times \mathrm{La}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{B}_{6}$.

$$
\text { A. P hase II in } C \text { eB } 6
$$

The parent $m$ aterial $C e B_{6}$ experiences tw o-step phase transitions. It undergoes the rst transition from param agnetic (phase I) to an AFQ state (phase II) at $T_{Q}=3: 2$ $K$ and the second transition to an antiferrom agnetic (AFM) state (phase III) at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}=2: 4 \mathrm{~K}$ under no extemalm agnetic eld. The AFQ order is known to be a N eel-type w th a propagating vector $\mathrm{Q}_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$.

These phase transitions have been theoretically studied in a localized electron schem e , where each Ce ion is assum ed to be trivalent in the $4 f^{1}$ con guration. Its ground multiplet is a 8 quartet con ned within the $J=\frac{5}{2}$ subspace under the cubic sym $m$ etry. U sing states $f j J_{z}=m$ ig, the four bases $j$; i ( = "; \#) may be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
j+; " i & =\frac{r}{\frac{5}{6}}+\frac{5}{2}+\frac{r}{\frac{1}{6}} \frac{3}{2} ;  \tag{3.1}\\
j ; " i & =+\frac{1}{2} ; \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and $j$;\#i by replacing in i with $j \mathrm{~m}$ i. The intersite interaction $m$ ay lift the fourfold degeneracy, leading to m ultipole orderings. Shiina et al have derived such interaction from a m icroscopic $m$ odel and obta,ined the phase


Instead of pursuing this direction, we sim ply assum e the ordering pattem, and calculate the RXS spectra. The assum ed ordering pattem selects a particular energy pro le according to Eq. ( $\left.\overline{2} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$. N ote that the quartet 8 consists of 16 degrees of freedom, which are exhausted by three com ponents of dipole, ve com ponents of quadrupole and seven com ponents of octupole operators aswellas an identicaloperator. T hereby the hexadecapole operators $\mathrm{H}_{4}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{4}^{2} ; \mathrm{H}_{4}^{4} ; \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{y}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}$ are equivalent to identical operator, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{y}^{2} ; \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{z}^{2}} \mathrm{r}^{2} ; \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{yz}} ; \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{zx}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{xy}}$, respectively, while $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z}}=0$. Therefore, as long as a contribution from ${ }_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(2)}(!)$ exists, that from ${ }_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(4)}(!)$ autom atically exists.

The order param eter in phase II is believed to be the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{xy}}$-type. O perator $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ has tw o degenerate eigenstates of eigenvalue 1 and tw o degenerate eigenstates of eigenvalue +1 , that is,

$$
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{xy}}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0^{1}  \tag{3.3}\\
\mathrm{~B} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0^{A} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array} ;
$$

w thin the bases of eigenfunctions. The AFQ phase $m$ ay be constructed by assigning two degenerate eigenstates w ith eigenvalue 1 to one sublattice and those $w$ ith eigenvalue +1 to the other sublattice. $T$ he degeneracy of the K ram ers doublet w ould be lifted in the AFM phase $w$ ith further reducing tem peratures. $W$ ithin the sam $e$ bases in order, typicaldipole and octupole operators are represented by
$T$ hese form $s$ indicate that the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ order could accom pany neither the $J_{z}$ order nor the $T_{z}$ order. Therefore, the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Xy}}$ order selects the energy pro les $\underset{\mathrm{E} 2}{(2)}(!)$ and ${\underset{\mathrm{E} 2}{(4)}(!)}^{(!)}$ according to Eq. ( $\overline{2}, \overline{1} \overline{6})$.

In the actualcalculation ofenergy pro le, we take into account full Coulomb interactions betw een $2 p$ and $4 f$ electrons, betw een 2p electrons, and betw een 4f electrons in the con guration $(2 p)^{5}(4 f)^{2}$. The spin-orbit interaction (SO I) of 2 p and 4 f electrons are considered too. $T$ he Slater integrals necessary for the C oulom b interactions and the SO I param eters, are evaluated w ithin the H artree Fock approxim ation 32,33


FIG .2: TheRXS spectra at the Ce $L_{3}$ (top) and $L_{2}$ (bottom) absorption edges from the AFQ phase (phase II). $=2: 0 \mathrm{eV}$ and 1:0 eV, $G=\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2}$, and $=0$. Only the spectra in the - ${ }^{0}$ channel are displayed.

Figure, ${ }_{2}^{2 / 2}$ show s the RX S spectra as a function ofphoton energy, calculated w ith the core-hole lifetim e width = $2: 0 \mathrm{eV}$ and 1.0 eV . The energy of the Ce 2 p -core level is chosen such that the peak of the RXS spectra at the Ce $L_{3}$ edge coincides $w$ th the experim ent for $C e B_{6} . W e n d$ that the absolute value of ${ }_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(4)}(!)$ is m uch sm aller than that of ${ }_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(2)}(!)$. H ow ever, the sm allness is com pensated by a large value of $h \circ \dot{\mathcal{z}}^{(4)} j 0 i$, and thereby both term $s$ contribute to the intensity. T he calculated spectra show asym $m$ etry and som e structures, which depend on the value. It m ay be appropriate to use $=2: 0 \mathrm{eV}$. 34
$W$ hen the $O_{x y}$ order is realized, the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{yz}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{zx}}$ orders are also possible to be realized. In actual crystals, three ordersm ay constitute dom ains, whose structure affects the azim uthalangle dependence of the RXS spectra. $F$ igure ${ }^{3}$ Nㅣㄴ show s the peak intensity as a function of for the scattering vector $\mathrm{G}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2}$. The origin of is dened such that the scattering plane includes the a-axis. It depends strongly on dom ains. A $n$ incoherent addition over the contributions from three dom ains is perform ed. In the $-{ }^{0}$ channel, the term of $=2$ in Eq. $(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{Q}})$ is independent of so that the sixfold sym $m$ etry com es from the term of $=4$. On the other hand, the threefold sym $m$ etry in the $-{ }^{0}$ channelarises from both the term of $=2$ and that of $=4$.
B. Phase IV in $C e_{1} x L a_{x} B{ }_{6}$

The La difuted $m$ aterial $C e_{1} \quad{ }_{x} \operatorname{La}_{x} B_{6} w$ th $x^{\prime} \quad 0: 3$ $0: 5$ has an additionalphase IV whose order param eter is not well understood yet! 2n Athough a large discontinu-


FIG. 3: The peak intensities of RXS as functions of azim uthalangle at the $\mathrm{Ce} \mathrm{L}_{3}$ edge from the AFQ pahse (phase II). $=2: 0 \mathrm{eV}$. Three dom ains are assum ed to have equal volum es. The solid and broken lines represent the $-{ }^{0}$ and - ${ }^{0}$ channels, respectively.
ity in the speci cheat curve suggests the existence of the long range order, ${ }^{39}=$ no neutron scattering experim ent has
 is suggested ${ }^{3} 4,39$, that the A FO order characterizes phase IV , which is supported by the observation of the trigonal distortion ${ }^{40}$ Recently, $M$ annix et al. $m$ easured the RXS spectra at the $L_{2}$ edge in the $E 2$ transition in $C e_{0: 7} \mathrm{La}_{0}: 3 \mathrm{~B}_{\in m}$ claim ing that the signal arises from the AFO order ${ }^{251}$ The analysis of the azim uthal angle dependence by $K$ usupase and $K$ uram oto supports the AFO order in phase IV ${ }^{2} \mathbf{2}^{81}$ H ow ever, there exists at least one prom inent discrepancy betw een the experim ent and the theory about the azim uthal angle dependence which we shall address later.

K eeping tw o possibilities, the quadrupole and octupole orders, for phase $\mathbb{I V}$, we analyze the spectra on the ba-
 along the body-diagonaldirection, we assum e that theorder param eter is of $T_{111}$ type ( $\left.\mathrm{T}_{111} \quad\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}+\underset{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{p}}-\frac{\mathrm{T}_{z}}{3}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}\right)$ or $O_{111}$ type ( $\left.\mathrm{O}_{111}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{xy}}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{yz}}+\mathrm{O}_{z \mathrm{x}}\right)=\overline{3}\right)$. The T type can be ruled out because this type carries a substantial antiferrom agnetic m om ent, which is against the experim ental nding. Since $\left[\mathrm{T}_{111} ; \mathrm{O}_{111}\right]=0$, both operators are sim ultaneously diagonalized. W ith in the bases of eigenfunctions, they are represented as

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
0 & 3^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{10} \\
\mathrm{~T}_{111}= & { }^{\mathrm{B}} \\
\stackrel{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~B}} & 0  \tag{3.7}\\
0 & 3^{0} \\
& 0 \\
10 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{C}^{2}
\end{array} ;
$$

$W$ ithin the sam ebases, the dipole operator $J_{111}\left(J_{x}+\right.$ $\left.J_{y}+J_{z}\right)=\overline{3}$ ) is represented as

$$
J_{111}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & z_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{3.8}\\
\begin{array}{c}
B \\
B \\
z_{1}
\end{array} & 0 & 0 & 0 & C \\
0 & 0 & \frac{7}{6} & 0 \text { A } \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{7}{6}
\end{array} ;
$$

where $z_{1}=\frac{{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}}{18}\left(1+i 11^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}\right)$.

## 1. AFO order

The AFO order may be constructed by assigning the eigenstate of the $T_{111} \mathrm{w}$ th eigenvalue $3^{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\overline{10}}{10}$ to one sublattice and that w ith $3 \overline{10}$ to the other sublattice. Then, the order param eter vector $\left(\mathrm{hT}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{hT} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{hT}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{i}\right)$ is pointing to the (111) direction. Equation (3.8) indicates that the AFO order could carry no $m$ agnetic $m o-$ $m$ ent, which is consistent $w$ th the experim ent. Equation (3.7) indicates that the AFO order accom panies the ferroquadrupole order, not the AFQ order. Therefore, according to Eq. (2.10), the RXS energy dependence is purely characterized by $j_{\text {E } 2}^{(3)}(!)$ f.

Figure $\sqrt[4]{\sqrt[4]{4}}$ show s the calculated $j_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(3)}$ (!) f as functions of the incident photon energy! at the $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{ab}-$ sonption edgesw ith $=2: 0 \mathrm{eV}$ and 1:0 eV , in com parison $w$ th the experim ent of $M$ annix et al (the-non-resonant contribution is subtracted from the data) ${ }^{29}$. In the cal culation, we use the sam e Slater integrals and the SO I param eters as in phase II. T he spectral shapes depend strongly on the absorption edge they are observed. In particular, the tail part of the spectra at the $L_{3}$ edge is drastically di erent from that at the $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ edge. $T$ his fact m ight be helpfulto identify the character of the ordering pattem if the spectrum at the $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ edge is experim entally available. Since the peak intensity at the $L_{3}$ edge is about $20 \%$ of that at the $L_{2}$ edge, it can be said that experi$m$ entalobservation has a legitim ate chance at the form er edge. The $L_{2}$ spectral shape reproduces $w$ ell the experim ental one show ing broad single peak structure $w$ th a hum $p$ in the high energy region.
 tral shape in phase II (Fig. ind for $=2 \mathrm{eV}$. O ne di erence is a dip found at the $L_{3}$ edge in $\left.j_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(3)}(!)\right)^{2}$, which is absent in Fig . $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{2}$. If the is as sm all as 1 eV , the di erences are em phasized around the tail part of the high energy region, because m ultip,let structures of the interm ediate state are em phasized ${ }^{20}$ : $N$ ote that, although $j_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(3)}(!) \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{j}}$ is about tw o order of $m$ agnitude sm aller than $j_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(2)}(!) \mathrm{J}^{2}$, the sm allness is com pensated by the large factor of $\mathrm{hr}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ;} \mathrm{i}^{i} \mathrm{f}$, 90, resulting in the same order of m agnitude of the spectral intensity as in phase II ( F ig. (2).

If the octupole order param eter vector $\left(\mathrm{hT}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{hT}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{hT}_{\mathrm{z}}\right.$ i) can point to the (111) direction, it is also possible to point to the $(\overline{11}),(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})$, and $(\overline{11} 1)$


FIG.4: Energy pro le jem (!) $\mathrm{J}^{2}$ at the $\mathrm{Ce} \mathrm{L}_{3}$ (top) and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ (bottom) absorption edges at $\mathrm{G}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2}$. Curves w ith
$=1: 0 \mathrm{eV}$ (broken lines) are multiplied by factors 029 at the $L_{3}$ edge and ... at the $L_{2}$ edge to have the sam e peak intensities as those w ith $=2: 0 \mathrm{eV}$ (solid lines). O pen circles are experim entaldata in $\mathrm{Ce}_{0: 7} \mathrm{La} \mathrm{a}_{0: 3} \mathrm{~B}_{6}$, in which non-resonrant contributions are subtracted as explained by the authors'25'
directions. These four orders usually constitute dom ains. The azim uthal angle dependence is di erent for di erent dom ains, as shown in Figs. ${ }_{1}^{15} 1$ (a) and (b). If you collect the contributions from dom ains w ith equal weight, the $m$ axim um intensity in the $-{ }^{0}$ channel becom e nearly equalto that in the $-{ }^{0}$ channel. The experim entaldata show that the $m$ axim um intensity in the $-{ }^{0}$ channel is about the half of that in the $-{ }^{0}$ channel, as show $n$ in Fig. . $\overline{L V}_{1}^{\prime}$ (c). Thism ay be attributed to the slightly di erent setup for di erent polarizations and/or to the extrinsic background from the non-resonant eantribution, as discussed by $K$ usunose and $K$ uram oto ${ }^{29}$ They reduced the intensity in the $-{ }^{0}$ channel by simply multiplying a factor $0: 6$. A nother possibility is that dom ain volum es are di erent am ong four dom ains. Collecting up the contributions w ith ratio $3: 1: 1: 1$ from the (111), ( $\overline{111}$ ), $(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})$, and $\overline{(111)}$ dom ains, we have the result sim ilar to that simply multiplying a factor $0: 6$ to the intensity in the -0 channel, as shown in Fig. ${ }^{-15}(c)$. $T$ hus, the sixfold and threefold sym $m$ etries in the - 0 and - ${ }^{0}$ channels are well reproduced in com parison w th the experim ent.

## 2. AFQ order

The AFQ order $m$ ay be constructed by assigning the eigenstates of $\mathrm{O}_{111} \mathrm{w}$ th eigenvalue 1 to one sublattice


FIG. 5: Peak intensities of the RXS spectra at the Ce $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ edges in the AFO phase as functions of azim uthalangle. Panels (a) and (b) display the peak intensities in the $-{ }^{0}$ and the

- ${ }^{0}$ channels, respectively, w here the solid (D 1), broken (D 2), dotted (D 3), and broken-dotted (D 4) lines represent the peak intensity of the dom ains (111), (1) $\overline{11}),(\overline{1} \overline{1})$, and $\overline{(111)}$, respectively. P anel (c) show s the intensities collecting the contributions from the dom ains (111), ( $\overline{11}$ ), $(\overline{11} \overline{1})$, and $\overline{(111)}$ w ith ratio $3: 1: 1: 1$. T he solid and broken lines represent the intensities in the $-{ }^{0}$ and $-{ }^{0}$ channels, respectively. F illed and open circles are the experim entaldata for C en:7 $\mathrm{La} \mathrm{a}_{0}: 3 \mathrm{~B} 6 \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$
and those $w$ ith +1 to the other sublattice. The AFQ order accom panies no AFO order. The di erence from phase II is that the order param eter ( $\mathrm{hO}_{\mathrm{yz}} \mathrm{i}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \mathrm{hO}_{\mathrm{zx}} \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{hO} \mathrm{xy}^{\mathrm{i}}$ ) is pointing to the (111) direction. Therefore, the spectral shape as a function of energy is nearly the sam e as in phase II. The azim uthal angle dependence depends strongly on dom ains, which is show $n$ in $F$ igs. ${ }_{6}^{1}(a)$ and (b). The sixfold symmetry in the $-{ }^{0}$ channelm ainly com es not from the (111) dom ain but from the other do$m$ ains, since the contribution from the form er dom ain is constant w ith varying the azim uthal angle. Collecting the contributions from four dom ains w ith equal weight, and reducing the intensity in the $-{ }^{0}$ channel by multiplying a factor $0: 6$, in the sam e way as $K$ usunose and K uram oto adopted ${ }^{28}-$ we obtain the result in agreem ent w th the experim ent at least in a sym $m$ etrical point of view. A though the am plitude of the oscillation in the -
${ }^{0}$ channel is too sm all com pared w ith the experim ental one, the situation $m$ ay be changed if the subtraction of the non-resonant contribution in the - ${ }^{0}$ channeland/or that of the en igm atic E 1 contribution in the $-{ }^{0}$ channel are/is reevaluated. A ctually, the discrepancy about the


F IG . 6: Peak intensities at the Ce $L_{2}$ edges in the AFQ phase, as functions of azim uthal angle. P anels (a) and (b) display the peak intensities in the $-{ }^{0}$ and the $-{ }^{0}$ channels, respectively, where the solid (D 1), broken (D 2), dotted (D 3), and broken-dotted (D 4) lines represent the peak intensity of the dom ains (111), ( $\overline{11}$ ), $(\overline{1} \overline{1})$, and $(\overline{11} 1)$, respectively. P anel (c) show s the result collecting the contributions from the dom ains w ith equal weight. The solid and broken lines represent the $-{ }^{0}$ and - ${ }^{0}$ channels, respectively. The curve in the latter channel is m ultiplied by a factor 0.6. F illed and open circles are the experim ental data for $\mathrm{Ce}_{0: 7} \mathrm{La} \mathrm{a}_{0: 3} \mathrm{~B}_{6}{ }_{6}^{\mathbf{2 5 5 1}} \mathbf{L}_{1}$
relative intensity betw een tw o channelm ay be attributed to the consequence of these subtraction process.

W e now tum to our attention to the energy dependence of the spectra. Ow ing to our formula Eq. $(2.1 \overline{1})$, the spectral shapes from the AFQ phase w th $O_{111}$ type in phase $I_{\text {_ }}$ are the same as those w ith $O_{x y}$ type in phase II ( $F$ ig. Inl $_{\text {II }}$ ). Therefore, the energy dependence at the $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ edge is sim ilar to that obtained from the AFO phase. On the other hand, the energy dependence at the $L_{3}$ edge from the AFQ phase is di erent from that obtained from the AFO phase, which $m$ ay help the identi cation of the ordering pattem realized in this $m$ aterial.

## IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

W e have derived a general form ula of the RXS am plitude in the E 2 transition. T he derivation is based on the assum ption that the H am iltonian describing the interm $\mathrm{e}-$ diate state of the scattering process preserves the spherical symm etry. The obtained formula is applicable to m any f electron system s where a localized schem e gives
a good description. A, thengh sim ilar form ulae have already been obtained, two prom inent advantages. O ne is that it is able to calculate the energy pro le of the RXS spectra, because our treatm ent is free from the fast collision approxim ation adopted in the previous works. The other is that it is conveniently applicable to the system s possessing m ultipole order param eters.

W e have dem onstrated the usefilness of the derived formula by calculating the E 2 RXS spectra in $C e_{1}{ }_{x} \operatorname{La}_{x} B_{6}$. Phase II is believed to be an $A F Q$ order of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ type, and our form ula dictates that the energy dependence is given by a com bination of ${ }_{E 2}^{(2)}(!)$ and
${ }_{\mathrm{E}} 2(!)$ ( ) W e have obtained the RX S intensity in the sam e order of intensity as obtained by assum ing the AFO order. $T$ his suggests that the $E 2$ signal is detectable from phase II, although on-ly the E 1 signal has been reported in phase II of $C$ eB $6{ }^{515]}$ Subsequently, we have calculated the RXS spectra by assum ing the $\mathrm{T}_{111}$-type AFO order, in order to clarify the order param eter of phase IV. T he energy dependence $j_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(3)}(!) \mathrm{J}^{2}$ hasbeen obtained at the $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}} 2$ edge in agreem ent $W$ ith the experim ent in $C e_{0}: 7 \mathrm{La}_{0: 3} \mathrm{~B}_{6} \underline{2}^{251}$ U nfortunately this is not used to discrim inate betw een the AFO and AFQ orders, because the spectral shapes are nearly the sam e in the two ordering phases. On the other hand, the spectral shape at the $L_{3}$ edge has been found slightly di erent from the $L_{2}$ edge, which $m$ ight help the identi cation of the ordering pattem. For the azim uthalangle dependence, we have reproduced the sixfold and threefold sym $m$ etries by assum ing the AFO order, in agreem ent w th the, previous theoretical analysis and the experim ent ${ }^{514} 281$. The intensity in the -0 channel becom es nearly equal to that in the $-{ }^{0}$ channelw ith the equal volum e for four dom ains, while in the experim ent the intensity in the form er channel is found nearly half of that in the latter. This discrepancy may be rem oved by assum ing uneven volum es am ong four dom ains. W e have also analyzed the azim uthal angle dependence by assum ing the $\mathrm{O}_{111}$-type AFQ order. It is found that the sim ultaneously induced hexadecapole order gives rise to the sixfold and threefold sym $m$ etries. A though the agreem ent w ith the experim ent is quantitatively not good, it $m$ ay be di cult to rule out the AFQ order from phase IV on the basis of the azim uthal angle dependence alone. Since it depends strongly on the dom ain distribution, experim ents controlling the dom ain distribution, if possible, $m$ ight be usefiul to clarify the situation.
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TA B LE II: Irreducible ten sor operator $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}$ w ith the spherical basis.

| rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}$ |
| 1 | 1 | ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{\text {J }}$ |
|  | 0 | $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ |
| 2 | 2 | $\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2} \mathrm{~J}^{2}$ |
|  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2} J \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 J_{z} & 1\end{array}\right)$ |
|  | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}\left[3 J_{z}^{2} \quad J(J+1)\right]$ |
| 3 | 3 | p $\frac{5}{4} \mathrm{~J}^{3}$ |
|  | 2 | $\frac{\square}{2+\frac{15}{2}} \mathrm{~J}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{z} \quad 1\right)$ |
|  | 1 | $\frac{1}{4}=\mathrm{J}$ [15J $\left.{ }^{2} \quad 15 \mathrm{~J}_{z} \quad 3 J(J+1)+6\right]$ |
|  | 0 | $\frac{1}{3}\left[5 J_{z}^{3} \quad 3 J(J+1) J_{z}+J_{z}\right]$ |
| 4 | 4 | $\frac{3}{8} \frac{35}{2} J^{4}$ |
|  | 3 | $p \frac{p^{\frac{35}{3}}}{-^{8}} J^{3}\left(2 J_{z} \quad 3\right)$ |
|  | 2 | $\frac{4}{4} \frac{5}{p^{2}} J^{2}\left[7 J_{z}^{2} \quad 14 J_{z} \quad J(J+1)+9\right]$ |
|  | 1 | $\begin{array}{lll} \frac{{ }^{2}}{8} J \quad\left[14 J_{z}^{3}\right. & 21 J_{z}^{2}+19 J_{z} \\ 6 J(J+1) J_{z} & 3 J(J+1) \end{array}$ |
|  | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{8}\left[35 \mathrm{~J}_{z}^{4} \quad 30 J(\mathrm{~J}+1) \mathrm{J}_{z}^{2}+25 \mathrm{~J}_{z}^{2}\right. \\ & \left.+3 \mathrm{~J}^{2}(\mathrm{~J}+1)^{2} \quad 6 \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{~J}+1)\right] \end{aligned}$ |

## APPENDIX A: DEFIN IT IONSOFSOME QUANTITIES USED IN SEC.II

Let us de ne irreducible tensor operator ofrank with the sphericalbasis. The n -th component ( n ) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}$ is de ned recurrently as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}^{()} & =() \frac{\mathrm{S} \overline{(2 \mathrm{l})!!}}{(2)!!} \mathrm{J}_{+} ;  \tag{A1}\\
{\left[\mathrm{J} \quad ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{()}\right] } & =\mathrm{p} \overline{(+\mathrm{n})(\mathrm{n}+1)} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{()}{ }_{1}: \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

Expressions for $T_{n}{ }^{(1)}$ 's are listed in Table ini up to rank four. We can nd $(2+1)(2+1)$ untary $m$ atrix which connects the tensor operator w th the spherical com ponent $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{()}$and that w th the C artesian com ponent $z^{()}$which satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{()}={ }_{n=}^{X} U_{n}^{()} T_{n}^{()} ; \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and inversely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{()}=\mathbb{U}^{2 X^{+1}}{ }^{() y} \ln ^{()}: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explicit form of $U^{(~) ~ i s ~ s u m ~} m$ arized in Tableinil'.
$F$ inally, we show the explicit form $s$ of the functions
${ }_{\text {E }} 2($ ) (!), which give the energy pro les coupled to the

TABLE III: U nitary m atrix which connects the tensor operator w ith the C artesian basis and that w ith the spherical basis.

expectation value of the rank- multipole operator.

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{E 2}^{(3)}(!)=4 \frac{\Gamma}{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(2 J & 3) F_{J} \quad 2 \quad(J \quad 2) F_{J} 1
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+\underset{r_{-}}{2 \mathrm{~F}_{J}}+(\mathrm{J}+3) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}+1}+(2 \mathrm{~J}+5) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}+2}\right] \text {; } \\
& { }_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(2)}(!)=2 \frac{\mathrm{r}}{7}\left[4 ( 2 \mathrm { J } \quad 3 ) \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{J} & 1) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}} 2
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ll}
J & 5
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
J & 1
\end{array}\right) F_{J} \quad 1 \\
& \frac{1}{3}(2 J \quad 3)(2 J+5) F_{J}+(J+2)(J+6) F_{J+1} \\
& \left.+4(2 J+5)(J+2) F_{J}+2\right] ; \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
(1) \\
\text { E 2 }
\end{array}(!) \quad \begin{array}{r}
\frac{r}{2} \\
\frac{1}{5}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(J & 1)(2 J & 1)(2 J \\
3
\end{array}\right) F_{J} \quad 2 \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
J & 1
\end{array}\right)(2 J \quad 1)(J+3) F_{J} \quad 1 \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 J & 1)(2 J+3) F_{J}
\end{array}\right. \\
& +(J+2)(J \quad 2)(2 J+3) F_{J+1} \\
& \left.4(J+2)(2 J+3)(2 J+5) F_{J}+2\right] ; \\
& { }_{\mathrm{E} 2}^{(0)}(!) \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{P}_{\overline{5}}^{5}}\left[6 \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{~J} \quad 1)(2 \mathrm{~J} \quad 1)(2 \mathrm{~J} \quad 3) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}} \quad 2\right. \\
& 3 J\left(\begin{array}{ll}
J & 1
\end{array}\right)(J+1)(2 J \quad 1) F_{J} \quad 1 \\
& +J(J+1)(2 J \quad 1)(2 J+3) F_{J} \\
& 3 J(J+1)(J+2)(2 J+3) F_{J+1} \\
& +6(J+1)(J+2)(2 J+3)(2 J+5) F J+2]:
\end{align*}
$$

The energy dependence is contained in the functions $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{JO}}(!) \mathrm{as}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{J 0}(!)={ }_{4} C_{J}{ }_{J^{0}+2}{ }^{P} \overline{(2 J+1)\left(2 J^{0}+1\right)} \frac{\left(J+J^{0} \quad 2\right)!}{\left(J+J^{0}+3\right)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

where ${ }_{n} C_{m}=\frac{n!}{m!(n \quad m)!}$ represents com bination.

APPENDIX B: GEOMETRICALFACTORS

The geom etrical factors $P{ }^{()}$for $=3$ and 4 in Eq. $(\underline{2} . \overline{1}-1)$ have rather com plicated form $s$. For $=3$, they are sum $m$ arized as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.P_{1}^{(3)} \quad i \frac{1}{P}_{3^{2}}{ }^{h} \stackrel{\wedge k}{k}^{0} \hat{k}\right] \quad q(0 ;)+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \left.q \hat{k}^{0} ; \hat{k}\right) \\
i
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\hat{k}^{0}\right] q(0 ; \hat{k})+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \hat{k}
\end{array}\right] \quad q \hat{k}^{0} ;\right)^{i} ; \quad(B 1) \\
& \left.P^{(3)}=\frac{i^{r}}{2} \frac{5}{2}{ }^{h} \hat{k}^{0} \hat{k}\right] \quad 0 \quad+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & ] \hat{k}^{0} \hat{k} \\
i
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+\hat{k}^{0} \quad\right]^{0} \hat{k}+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \hat{k}
\end{array}\right] \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \\
& +\frac{P^{i}}{2 \frac{10}{10}} P^{(1)} \quad \text { for }=2 ; 3 \text {; and } 4 \text {; } \\
& P^{(3)}=\frac{i^{r}}{4} \frac{3}{2}\left(\hat{k}^{0} \quad \hat{k}\right) \quad X^{7} \quad 000\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0^{0} & \infty
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\frac{i^{r}}{4} \frac{3}{2}\left(0 \quad X^{0} \quad{ }^{0}{ }^{00=5} \quad 000\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}{ }_{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}} \circ \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0}{ }_{00} \hat{\mathrm{k}} \quad 00\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{i^{\mathrm{r}} \overline{3}}{} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & \hat{\mathrm{k}}) & \mathrm{X}^{7} & 00\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{0}^{0} \quad 0\right. & \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{00}^{0} & 00
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { for }=5 ; 6 ; \text { and 7: (B3) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ ote that $=2 ; 3$, and 4 w ork as $x ; y$, and $z$, respectively. Sim ilarly, $=5 ; 6$, and 7 work asx;y, and $z$, respectively. T he Levi-C ivita tensor density 000 is introduced.

For $=4$, the results are as follow s.

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}^{(4)}=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{2}{15}} 5\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{x}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }_{\mathrm{x}}^{0} \mathrm{x}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{y}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{\mathrm{y}}^{0} \mathrm{y}+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{z}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}}^{0}\right) \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.2 \frac{2}{21}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right] q_{I}\left({ }^{0} ;\right)+\left[{ }^{0} \quad \lg \left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\hat{\mathbb{k}}^{0} \quad\right] g\left({ }^{0} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right)+\left[{ }^{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right] q_{1}\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} ;\right)^{i} \text {; } \tag{B5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.2 \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{2}{7}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right]_{\infty}(0 ;)+\left[{ }^{0} \quad \lg \left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right. \\
& +\hat{k}^{0} \quad \underline{q}\left({ }^{0} ; \hat{k}\right)+\left[{ }^{0} \hat{k}\right] g_{2}\left(\hat{k}^{0} ;\right)^{i} ;  \tag{B6}\\
& \mathrm{P}^{(4)}=\frac{1}{2^{\frac{p}{6}}} \underline{q+1}\left(\hat{k}^{0} ; \hat{k}\right) \begin{array}{l}
X^{6} \\
0 ; 00=4
\end{array} \quad 000\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0_{00} & 00
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\frac{\frac{1}{p}}{2^{\frac{p}{6}}} q_{+1}\left({ }^{0} ;\right)_{0 ; 00=4}^{X^{6}} \quad 000\left(\hat{k}^{0}{ }_{0} \hat{k} \circ \quad \hat{k}^{0}{ }_{00} \hat{k} \quad 0\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{p} \overline{6}} q_{+1}\left(\hat{k}^{0} ;\right)^{0 ;{ }^{0}=4} \quad 000\left({ }_{0} \hat{o}^{6} \quad 0_{00} \hat{k} \quad 0\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{p} \overline{6}} q_{+1}\left({ }^{0} ; \hat{k}\right)^{0 ; \infty=4} \quad{ }^{X^{6}} \quad 0 \infty\left(\hat{k}^{0}{ }_{0} \quad 0 \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{00}^{0} \quad \infty\right) \\
& \text { for }=4 ; 5 \text {; and 6; (B7) } \\
& \mathrm{P}^{(4)}=\frac{1}{42}\left[7 \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}} \quad 3\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right] \mathrm{q}_{4}\left({ }^{0}\right. \text {; ) } \\
& \left.+P_{\frac{1}{42}}^{7^{0}} \quad 3\left({ }^{0} \quad\right)\right] q_{4}\left(\hat{k}^{0} ; \hat{k}\right) \\
& +P \frac{1}{42}\left[7^{0} \hat{k} \quad 3\left({ }^{0} \hat{k}\right)\right] q \quad{ }_{4}\left(\hat{k}^{0} ;\right) \\
& +P \frac{1}{42}\left[7 \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad 3\left(\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad\right)\right]_{4}\left({ }^{0} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right) \\
& \text { for }=7 ; 8 \text {; and } 9 \text {; (B8) }
\end{align*}
$$

where indices 4,5 , and 6 in the sum $m$ ations in Eq. ( $\left.\bar{B}_{-1}^{-\overline{1}} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ serve as $x ; y$, and $z$, respectively. Sim ilarly, indiøes 7,8 , and 9 appeared in the brakets in Eq. (B) serve as x;y, and $z$, respectively.
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