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#### Abstract

W e consider a B ose E instein condensate of polarm olecules in a harm on ic trap, where the ective dipole $m$ ay be tuned by an extemal eld. We dem onstrate that taking into account the dependence of the scattering length on the dipole $m$ om ent is essential to reproducing the correct energies and for predicting the stability of the condensate. W e do this by com paring $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii calculations w ith di usion M onte C arlo calculations. W e nd very good agreem ent betw een the results obtained by these two approaches once the dipole dependence of the scattering length is taken into account. W e also exam ine the behavior of the condensate in non-isotropic traps.


## I. INTRODUCTION

D egenerate atom ic quantum gases are typically very dilute system s. N evertheless, inter-atom ic interactions strongly determ inem any ofthe observed phenom ena and their underlying physics $\underline{I n}_{1}^{1}, \underline{2} 11.1$. U ntil recently, only shortrange and isotropic interactions have been considered. H ow ever, recent developm ents in the $m$ anipulation of cold atom $s$ and $m$ olecules have been paving the way towards the analyses of polar gases in which dipole-dipole
 A majorbreak through has been very recently achieved by the experim ental group in Stuttgart [ $\left[\frac{q}{1}\right]$, where a BoseE instein condensate ( BEC ) of strongly $m$ agnetic ${ }^{52} \mathrm{Cr}$ atom s has been realized. This experim ent observed the e ects of dipole-dipole interactions on the shape of the condensate. N ew exciting phenom ena are expected to occur in these quantum gases since the particles interact via dipole-dipole interactions which are long-ranged and anisotropic. Recent theoretical analyses have show $n$ that the stability and excitations of dipolar gases are crucially determ ined by the trap geom etry $[10,112$

Y i and Y ou $\left.[1]_{1}^{1}, ~, 12\right]$ nst introduced a pseudopotential appropriate for describing slow ly m oving particles interacting via short range repulsive forces and long range dipolar forces. T he dipolar long range part of their pseudopotential is identical to the long range part of the original potential. The pseudopotential also includes a contact (delta function) potentialw hose coe cient is proportional to the scattering length. For non-polar particles, the scattering length is solely due to short range interactions. A crucial point that $Y i$ and $Y$ ou have shown is that the scattering length is also dependent on the long-range dipolar interaction. T hey have argued for the need to take this into account when calculating condensate properties through the $G$ ross $P$ itaevaskii equation

[^0](GPE). H ow ever, they stopped short at actually explicitly show ing how condensate properties are in uenced by the dependenœ of the scattering length on the dipole mo$m$ ent. $R$ ather, they have analyzed the dependence of the condensate properties on the ratio of the dipole $m$ om ent to the scattering length. This is appropriate if one considers a xed dipole $m$ om ent (and scattering length) but varies the num ber of particles in the trap. On the other hand, the scenario we w ish to consider is that w here dipolar interactions are tuned by an extemal eld, in which case the dipolem om ent and scattering length do not scale equally. A lso, Ref. [1Z] did not consider negative dipoledependent scattering lengths.

In this w ork we focus on a trapped gas of dipolar particles, where the inter-particle interaction is dom inated by the dipole-dipole force. A possible realization includes an (electrically polarized) gas of heteronuclear m olecules $w$ ith a large perm anent electric dipole $m$ om ent. The effective dipolar interaction $m$ ay be tuned by the com petition betw een an orienting electric eld and the quantum or them al rotation of the $m$ olecule. For exam ple, the $23_{3=2}$ ground state of the OH m olecule is com pletely polarized in a eld of about $10^{4} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$. For sm aller elds, the eld strength determ ines the degree of polarization and the size of the dipole $m$ om ent. A nother approach for tuning the dipolar interaction, by using a rotating extemal eld, was proposed in Ref. [19닌.

We consider the case of a bare (zero dipole mo$m$ ent) positive scattering length, and show that as the dipole m om ent is increased, this scattering length becom es sm aller and, above a certain dipole strength, negative, and then again positive after crossing a resonance. Taking this variation of the scattering length into account is necessary to describe the creation of new tw obody bound states. This has consequences for the basic theory, and for the predicted stability of BECsw ith anisotropic interactions, especially for polar molecules, which can have large dipole $m$ om ents. To verify that the dipole-dependent scattering length is essential to reproducing the correct energetics, and to ascertain the validity of this approach, we have solved the $m$ any-body

Schrodinger equation using the di usion $M$ onte C arlo (D M C ) m ethod, as well as solved the Schrodinger equation by direct diagonalization for tw o particles. In these calculations we used a potential consisting of short range hard wall potential and long range dipolar interaction. $W$ e then com pare these resultsw ith solutions of the GPE, in which weem ploy the appropriate pseudopotentialw ith the dipole-dependent scattering length.

Section II describes the system under study while Sec.III presents our results for dipolar gases under spherically sym $m$ etric and cylindrically sym $m$ etric con ne$m$ ent. Selected results for system sunder spherically sym $m$ etric traps have been presented in a previous paper [ $\left.{ }^{2} \overline{2} 0_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. H ere, we provide num erical details (see the A ppendix), justify the form alism em ployed in detail, and signi cantly

$$
(r)=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+V_{\text {ext }}(r)+\mathbb{N}
$$

where denotes the chem ical potential, $r$ the displace$m$ ent from the trap center, and $m$ the $m$ ass of a particle. In the follow ing we restrict ourselves to cylindrically sym $m$ etric harm onic traps $w$ th $V_{\text {ext }}(r)=\frac{1}{2} m\left(!^{2}{ }^{2}+!{ }_{z}^{2} z^{2}\right)$.

W e consider an interaction potential $V(r)$ which consists of a dipolar interaction and a short range hard wall w ith cuto radiusb:

$$
V(r)=\begin{array}{ll}
d^{2} \frac{13 \cos ^{2}}{r^{3}} & \text { if } r \quad b  \tag{2}\\
1 & \text { if } r<b
\end{array}
$$

where d denotes the dipole $m$ om ent (in G aussian units), $r$ the distance vectorbetw een the dipoles, and the angle betw een the vector $r$ and the dipole axis, which we take to be aligned along the $\hat{\mathrm{z}}$-axis of the trap. T he hardw all cuto corresponds to hard particlesw ith diam eterb (two particles cannot penetrate each other when the distance betw een their centers is equal to their diam eter). U nfortunately, the short range part of the interaction potential V causes the integral in Eq. $\left.\overline{I I}_{1}^{1}\right)$ to diverge. Fortunately, this divergence can be cured since the condensate is very dihute and ultra-cold, which im plies that the particles in it are $m$ oving very slow ly. T hus the potential $V \mathrm{~m}$ ay be replaced by an e ective potential (pseudopotential) $V_{\text {eff }}$ $w$ ith a milder sm all r behavior, which reproduces the tw o-body scattering wavefinction asym ptotically in the zero energy lim it, and which does not lead to ditvergencies when used in the $H$ artree Fock $m$ ean- eld description.

For a short range potentialV, the low energy scattering am plitude is com pletely determ ined by one param eter, the scattering length $a$, and an appropriate pseudopotential is $V_{\text {eff }}(r)=\frac{4 h^{2} a}{m}(r)$. In general, the pseudopotential is chosen such that its rst-order B om scattering am plitude reproduces the com plete scattering am plitude of the original potential $V$ in the zero-energy $\lim$ it. For
extend the discussion of our ndings. Section 'İV', concludes.

## II. FORMULATION

For N identical bosons in an extemal trap potential $V_{\text {ext }}(r)$ w ith pair-w ise interaction $V\left(\begin{array}{rl}r & \left.r^{0}\right) \text {, at zero }\end{array}\right.$ tem perature, the condensate is typically described using $m$ ean- eld theory. A ll the particles in the condensate then have the same wave function (r). As a rst attem pt, one $m$ ay w rite a $H$ artree $F$ ock equation for this w avefunction [21]:

Z

1) $d r^{0} V\left(r \quad r^{0}\right) j\left(r^{0}\right) j \quad(r)$;
a potential w ith long range dipolar part, Yi and You [12 1, "12'] proposed the follow ing pseudopotential:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{e f f}(r)=\frac{4 h^{2} a(d)}{m}(r)+d^{2} \frac{13 \cos ^{2}}{r^{3}} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scattering length a (d) depends on the dipole m om ent d . N ote that the long range part of the pseudopotential is identicalto the long range part of the originalpotential. By construction, the scattering am plitude of $V_{\text {eff }}$ calculated in the rst-order Bom approxim ation agrees $w$ th the full zero-energy scattering am plitude of V. To verify the validity of the pseudopotential for system sofexperim entalinterest, we com pute the low energy scattering am plitude $f\left(k ; k^{0}\right)$ for tw $O$ O H -like m olecules interacting through the $m$ odelpotentialgiven in Eq. (즈) ), and com pare it $w$ ith the scattering am plitude com puted in the rst Bom approxim ation.

The low energy scattering am plitude in the presence of the long-range dipolar interaction $m$ ay be expanded in partialwaves:

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0}\right)=4 \underset{\operatorname{lm} ; 1^{1_{m}} 0}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{lm}}^{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}}(\hat{\mathrm{k}}) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~m}} \circ\left(\hat{k}^{0}\right) ;
$$

with $t_{l m}^{\mathrm{l}^{0} m}{ }^{0}(\mathrm{k})$ the reduced T matrix elem ents. The anisotropic dipolar potential im plies that $f$ depends on the incident and scattered directions $\hat{k}$ and $\hat{k}^{0}$. The reduced T m atrix elem ents are related to the usual T -
 $\frac{\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{I}^{0} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}}{ }^{0}(\mathrm{k})}{2 \mathrm{k}}$. For k ! 0 they are energy independent, and act as generalized scattering lengths. In particular, the scattering length a (d) is given by $t_{00}^{00}(0)$ and depends on the dipole $m$ om ent $d$.


FIG. 1: Absolute values of selected reduced $T \mathrm{~m}$ atrix ele$m$ ents $t_{11} 0 \quad t_{10}^{1^{0} 0}$ (sym bols) for the $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{OH}$ m odelpotential as a function of the relative scattering energy, com pared w ith the rst-order B om approxim ation (dashed lines). N ote, the rstorder Bom approxim ation to too diverges and is not shown.

Figure $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ com pares som e reduced $T$ m atrix elem ents (sym bols), com puted through num erical close-coupling calculations, w ith the rst Bom approxim ation $1 \overline{1}_{v}^{1} \overline{1}_{2}^{1}$ [2긴 (dashed lines), for the potential given in Eq. (in) w ith param eters chosen to describe the scattering betw een tw o

$$
(r)=\quad \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+V_{e x t}(r)+\mathbb{N}
$$

For the follow ing, we de ne a dipole length $D=$ $\mathrm{m}^{2}=\mathrm{h}^{2}$. This is the distance at which the dipolar potential energy equals the kinetic energy (estim ated from the uncertainty relation) of two interacting dipoles. In Fig. $\overline{1}$ 人े we plot the ratio $a=b$ of the scattering length $a$ to the hardwall cuto $b$ as function of $D=b$. This provides a universalcurve for the m odelpotentialgiven in Eq. (즈), which determ ines the scattering length for any given cut○ $b$ and dipole length $D$. N ote the appearance of resonances, corresponding to the appearance of new bound states. In the neighborhood of a resonance, the scattering length tends towards 1 before and +1 after the resonance. These resonances have been identi ed before in dipolar scattering $\left.[1]=1,2]_{1}^{2}\right]$. They would occur at elds of order $\mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{cm}$ in atom s and $\mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$ in heteronuclear m olecules, or perhaps at $10^{5} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$ in atom s , if assisted by Feshbach resonances [2]

It is instructive to connect Fig. $\overline{1,1} \overline{2}$ back to concrete dipoles that can be handled in the laboratory. C onsider,
rigid OH -like m olecules. In particular, we have taken $\mathrm{m}=17: 00 \mathrm{amu}, \mathrm{d}=0: 66 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u}$. , and $\mathrm{b}=105 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u}$. (this is a reasonable value for a m olecular scattering length). For the $t_{00}^{00}$ channel, the B om approxim ation to the long range dipolar part of the potential gives no contribution, while that to the hard-core part diverges (and is therefore not shown in the gure). For the other channels, there is a re$m$ arkably good agreem ent in the E ! 0 lim it betw een the exact reduced zero-energy $\mathrm{T} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ atrix elem ents and those calculated in the rst Bom approxim ation. T he agree$m$ ent becom es less good at nite but sm all scattering energies (of the order $10^{7} \mathrm{~K}$ ). A lso, $\mathrm{t}_{00}(\mathrm{k})$ is not constant (as it would be in the threshold lim it) even for very low energies of the order of $10^{10} \mathrm{~K}$. Th his suggests that, e.g., an e ective range correction $\left[23^{2}, 2\right.$ tant at nite E , but here we consider only the $\mathrm{E}=0$ lim it. The $t_{00}^{00}(k=0) \mathrm{m}$ atrix elem ent determ ines $a(d)$, the dipole-dependent scattering length of the pseudopotential, Eq. (3) . In this way, the B om approxim ation to the pseudopotential gives the correct $t_{00}^{00}(k=0)$ value. $T$ he fact that $a(d)$ depends on $d$ is im portant, since the strength of the dipolar interactions $m$ ay be controlled by an extemal eld. O ur analysis con ms that the pseudopotential approxim ation provides a good description in regions aw ay from resonance [1] [1] (see also below).

Replacing $V$ in Eq. (111) w th $V_{\text {eff }}$ of Eq. ( $\overline{1} \bar{I}_{1}$ ), w ith the scattering length a (d) determ ined through num erical coupled-channel calculations for the $m$ odel potential $V$, we obtain the (tim e-independent) G ross $P$ itaevskiiequation (GPE):

Z

1) $\quad d r r^{0} V_{e f f}\left(r \quad r^{0}\right) j\left(r^{0}\right) \jmath^{2} \quad(r)$ :
for exam ple, atom ic chrom ium, which is generating a lot of interest now that it hasbeen B ose condensed. ${ }^{52} \mathrm{C}$ rhas a $m$ agnetic dipole $m$ om ent of 6 , and a sextet scattering length of112 a.u. Identifying the hardwallcuto with this scattering length, chrom ium would appear on $F$ ig. at the value $D=b=0: 4$, and the scattering length w ould be renom alized by $0: 6 \%$ of its value in the absence of a dipole m om ent. Indeed, this correction is already included in tw o-body m odeling of the C r-C r interaction [ $\left.\bar{F}_{1}^{\prime}, 122_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. For chrom ium, resonances of the type show $n$ in Fig.

For a heteronuclear polar m olecule, how ever, the situation can be quite di erent. C onsider, for exam ple, the OH radical, which is also the focus of intense experim entale orts $\overline{\overline{4}_{1}}, \underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2}-1 . T$ his m olecule has a perm anent electric dipole m om ent of 0.66 atom ic units, and therefore a huge dipole length, $\mathrm{D}=1: 35 \quad 10^{4} \mathrm{a}: u:$. If we assum e a sm all cuto, such as $b=105$ a.u., then $D=b=128$, which is way o -scale in $F$ ig. $\overline{2}$.. In other w ords, this potential


F IG .2: Scattering length a (d) versusdipole length $D$ for the dipolar potential w ith hard wall cuto b given in Eq. (2).
supports a large num ber of $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{OH}$ dim er states. These dim er states could play a large role in the physics of a gas com posed of polar m olecules.

## III. MANY BODY AND TW O-BODY CALCULATIONS

Them ean eld GP approach to solving the m any-body dynam ics is necessarily approxim ate. H ow ever, the full N boody Schrodinger equation w ith the actualinteraction potential V , Eq. $\bar{Z}_{1}$ ), can be solved num erically for relatively $s m$ all $N$. For $N=2$, the Schrodinger equation can be solved by direct diagonalization. For $\mathrm{N}>2$, direct diagonalization becom es im practical and we solve the Schrodinger equation instead by the D M C m ethod [ $\left.{ }_{[3}^{3} \mathbf{B}_{1}^{1}\right]$. $T$ his section com pares the results obtained by the three $m$ ethods. D etails of how these schem es are im plem ented are presented in the A ppendix.
$W$ e note that the system is scalable: if the hardw all cuto $b$ and the dipole length $D$ are each scaled by a factor $K$, then the scattering length scales by the sam e am ount. If the harm onic oscillator lengths $\frac{\frac{h}{m!_{z}}}{}$ and $\mathrm{q} \frac{\mathrm{h}}{\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}}}$ are also scaled accordingly, then the entire spectrum both the exact spectrum obtained by solving the N -body Schrodinger equation and the spectrum obtained $w$ thin the $m$ ean eld approxim ation) rem ains the sam $e$ apart from scaling by a factor $1=K^{2}$.

In what follow S, unless stated otherw ise, we w ork w ith an isotropic harm onic trap: ! $=!_{z}$ !. C orrespondingly, the natural unit of length is the ham onic oscillator length $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ho}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{m}!}$. In the follow ing, we consider the tw o-body potentialV , Eq. ( $\overline{2}$ ) , for tw o di erent values of b, i.e., $b=0: 0137$ a.u. and $\bar{b}=0: 0433$ a.u., and varying d. For concreteness, OH m olecules in a trap w ith a fre-


F IG . 3: Energy per particle $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{N}$ as a function of the dipole length $D$ for $N=10$. The symbols $w$ th error bars show D M C results. T he solid line is the solution of the GPE.T he dotted line show s a G P calculation w ithout taking the dipole dependence of the scattering length into account, setting it equal to the hardw all cuto . The dashed line shows a GP calculation $w$ ith the dipole dependent scattering length, but om itting the long range dipolar term. T he lines term inate at the collapse point of the condensate.
quency ! = 2 kHz would have $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ho}}=5800 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u} . \mathrm{so}$ that $\mathrm{b}=0: 0137 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h} \circ}$ corresponds to a hardw allcuto of $79 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u}$.

## A. Energies and collapse in an isotropic trap

In this paper, our prim ary interest is in BEC's ofpolar m olecules. In such system s , the dipole m om ent is som $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ thing that is directly under the experim entalists control: in zero electric eld the dipole $m$ om ent vanishes, while at higher elds its value can be continuously tuned. For this reason, we consider properties of dipolar condensates as a function of the dipole $m$ om ent, which is taken as a substitute for the dependence on the strength of an extemal electric eld. O ne quantity can be converted into the other, of course, via the polarizability of the $m$ olecule.

Figure ${ }^{-17}$ presents the ground state energy per partiCle in units of the harm onic oscillator energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ho}}=\mathrm{h}$ ! versus the dipole length $D$ in units of the harm onic oscillator length $a_{\text {ho }}$ for $N=10 \mathrm{~m}$ olecules. In this gure, the solid line show sthe G P energies obtained using $V_{\text {eff }} w$ ith the dipole-dependent s-w ave scattering length a (d). For com parison, the sym bols show the results from the D M C sim ulations, which solve the N boody Schrodinger equation for the m odelpotentialV , Eq. (2) (statisticaluncertainties are indicated by vertical erronbars). The agree$m$ ent is excellent, attesting to the validity of param etrizing the GP equation in term $s$ of the dipole-dependent scattering length a (d).

For zero dipole $m$ om ent, the scattering length is equal to the hardwall cuto b. T hus the energy per particle
is larger than its noninteracting value of $1: 5 \mathrm{~h}$ !. As the dipole m om ent increases, the energy per particle drops. $Q$ ualitatively, this has been explained previously by an elongation of the dipolar gas in the $z$-direction. This allow smore dipoles to encounter one another in an attractive \head-to-tail" orientation. A s shown below, we indeed observe an elongation of the condensate.
H ow ever, by far the greatest in uence of the dipolar interaction on the condensate energy com es from the dipole dependent scattering length. To illustrate this, the dashed line in Fig. $\bar{i} 1$ lation, which includes the contact (delta function) term of $V_{\text {eff }} \mathrm{w}$ th the dipole dependent scattering length, but om its the non-isotropic dipolar long range term. It is quite surprising how good this approxim ation is, in which the condensate is com pletely isotropic. For com parison, a dotted line show sthe results from a G P calculation which keeps the long range interaction, but does not take the dependence of the scattering length on the dipole $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{o-}$ $m$ ent into account. Instead, we use the zero- eld scattering length, i.e., $a=b$. It is clear that this approxim ation greatly overestim ates the energy. It is not even a very good approxim ation for sm all dipole $m$ om ents (the second derivative at $d=0$ does not $m$ atch the curvature for the \correct" GP solution (solid line)).

As the dipole $m$ oment increases, the condensate quickly $m$ oves tow ard a com plete collapse, w ith $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{N}$ !
1 . This occurs because the scattering length attains a large, negative value. It is well know $n$ that a condensate w ith short range interaction only collapsesw hen a critical combination of particle num ber and scattering length is reached, $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \mathrm{a}=0: 57497 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}$ 。 $\left.[3], 132\right] .1$. T his is exactly the point where the dashed line in F ig. is also very nearly the point where the solid line, which provides the $m$ ost com plete description of dipolar gases at the G P level, term inates. T he collapse discussed here is very di erent from that usually discussed in the context of dipolar gases, which takes the scattering length to be constant. In the latter case, collapse can also occur due to the presence of a large dipole $m$ om ent, but (as seen from the dotted line in F ig. '지') this collapse occurs form uch larger dipole $m$ om ents than the value predicted here by taking the dependence of the scattering length on the dipole m om ent into account. W e therefore predict a kind of electric- eld-induced condensate collapse, which shares $m$ any sim ilarities $w$ ith the collapse encountered in alkali atom BEC's nearm agnetic eld Feshbach resonances [32, [3], In particular, ram ping the eld across a resonance would likely result in the creation of dim er states of the $m$ olecules.
$F$ igure ' $\overline{1} 1$ ' show s the dependence of the energy per particle on the dipole $m$ om ent for various particle num bers, $N=10 ; 20$ and 50. In all cases the conclusions are the sam e. Nam ely, the G P energies obtained using $V_{\text {eff }} w$ ith the renorm alized scattering length (solid lines) are an excellent approxim ation to the DM C energies (sym bols). A lso, the collapse occurs for $s m$ aller dipole $m$ om ent as the particle num ber increases, in accord $w$ ith the collapse


F IG . 4: Energy per particle $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{N}$ as a function of the dipole length $D$ for $N=10 ; 20$ and 50 (from top to bottom). Solid lines show $m$ ean eld GP energies. D ots $(\mathbb{N}=10)$, circles $(\mathbb{N}=20)$ and triangles $(\mathbb{N}=50)$ show D M C energies. Error bars indicate the statistical uncertainties of the DM C energies.


F IG . 5: Variationalm any-body energies $E_{v}=N$ for $N=20$, $\mathrm{b}=0: 0137 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h} \circ}$ and $\mathrm{D}=0: 079 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h} \circ}$ (crosses), $\mathrm{D}=0: 084 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}$ (squares) and $D=0: 093 a_{\mathrm{h}}$ (triangles) as a function of the G aussian w idth $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}}$, where $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{z}}$.
criterion.
In the present discussion we have tuned the dipole m o$m$ ent starting from $d=0$. Because of the resonance structure shown in $F$ ig. a large dipole $m$ om ent and a sm all dipole-dependent scattering length. In this case we expect that the anisotropic long-range part of the e ective potentialw ill carry greater w eight (i.e., will have a larger im pact on the energetics and collapse). We have not considered this case in the present work.

W e now investigate the stability of dipolar B ose gases by considering the variational energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{V}}$ obtained by
a variational $m$ any-body ansatz (see the A ppendix for details and notation). Symbols in Fig. 'IT show $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{N}$ for $b=0: 0137 a_{\text {ho }}, N=20$ and three di erent values of $D$, i.e., $D=0: 079 a_{\mathrm{h}}$ (crosses), $\mathrm{D}=0: 084 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ho}}$ (squares) and D $=0: 093 a_{\mathrm{h}}$ (triangles), as a function of the $G$ aussian width $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}}$, which is treated as a variational param eter (we set $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}}$ in this stability study). The variational param eters of $F$ (Eq. (A-̄̄)) are optim ized forD $=0: 079 a_{\mathrm{ho}}$ and $0: 084 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ho}}$ by m in ím izing the energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{V}}$ for xed b and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{z}}$, i.e., for $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{z}}=1$. ForD $=0: 093 a_{\text {ho }}$, we use the sam evalues for $p_{1}$ through $p_{5}$ as for $D=0: 084 a_{\mathrm{h}}$. since no localm inim um exists for $D=0: 093 a_{\mathrm{h}}$ (see below).
$F$ igure ' ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$, indicates that the variationalenergy for $D=$ $0: 079 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}$ - shows a local m inim um at $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}} \quad 1 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}$ and a global minim um at $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}}$ 0:09aho. The \barrier" at $b_{r} \quad 0: 3 a_{h o}$ separates the large $b_{r}$ region in con guration space, w here the $m$ etastable condensate state exists, from the $s m$ all $b_{r}$ region $w$ here bound $m$ any-body states exist [42]]. At very $s m$ all $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}}$, the variational energy becom es large and positive due to the hardcore repulsion of the two-body potential. Figure 'S' indicates that the energy barrier decreases w ith increasing $D$. The dipolar gas collapses at the $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}$ 。 value for which the energy barrier vanishes. O ur DM C calculations show that the condensate prior to collapse is only slightly elongated, which justi es that our stability analysis param etrizes the onebody term (see Eq. (A A- $\overline{-})$ ) in term s of a single G aussian w idth $b_{r}$ and which is consistent w ith our nding that the collapse is induced prim arily by the negative value of a (d). W e nd sim ilar results for other N values.

W e em phasize that the presence of the energy barrier is crucial for our DM C calculations to converge to the $m$ etastable condensate state for su ciently large $D \quad=a_{h o}$ and not to the cluster-like ground state (see also the Appendix).

## B. C onden sate sizes and shapes

$T$ he nature of the collapse is seen in $m$ ore detail by looking at the size and shape of the condensate. To this end $F$ ig. 1 iol show s the root-m ean-square w idths $Z$ and $X$ of the condensate in the $z$ and $x$ directions, for $N=10$ and $b=0: 0137 a_{\mathrm{h}}$ 。 (the sam e param eters as in F ig. $T$ he solid and dashed lines are com puted using the G P equation $w$ th the renorm alized scattering length, and the sym bols w ith error bars show, as before, the results of the D M C calculations; again, the agreem ent is excellent. For zero dipole $m$ om ent, the condensate is isotropic and slightly larger than the harm onic oscillator length. As the dipolem om ent is tumed on, the condensate contracts slightly in the $x$ direction, and expands in the $z$ direction. $T$ his ilhustrates the elongation that hasbeen predicted for a dipolar condensate [14].

If in the e ective potential we retain only the dipoledependent scattering length, but om it the long range dipolar term, we obtain the dash-dotted line. This ap-


F IG . 6: C ondensate sizes $X=P \overline{\left.<x^{2}\right\rangle}$ and $Z=P \overline{\left.z^{2}\right\rangle}$, for 10 particles $w$ th hard wall cuto $b=0: 0137 a_{\mathrm{h}}$ o in $a$ spherical trap. Solid and dashed lines show $X$ and $Z$ obtained by solving the G P equation using $V_{\text {eff }} W$ ith the dipolenorm alized scattering length. Sym bols w th error bars show the corresponding D M C results. The dotted lines show results of a GP calculation $w$ th constant scattering length $a=b$. The dash-dotted line show s results of a GP calculation with dipole dependent scattering length that om its the long range dipolar interaction. Inset: $T$ he aspect ratio $Z=X$ for the solution to the GP equation using $V_{\text {eff }}$ (solid line), for the solution to the GP equation $w$ ith constant scattering length $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$ (dotted line), and for the D M C solution to the N boody Schrodinger equation (sym bols w ith error bars).
proxim ation describes an isotropic condensate ( $Z=X$ ) whose size is in betw een $Z$ and $X$ obtained by the $m$ ore complete description (dashed and solid lines). On the other hand, if we retain the dipolar long range term, but set the scattering length to a constant (its value for zero dipole $m$ om ent, $a=b$ ), we obtain the dotted lines. This form ulation overestim ates the size of the condensate, but provides quite a good approxim ation to the aspect ratio $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}$ (inset in F ig. $\mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}$ (1)) - at least for m all dipole m om ents As the dipole m om ent is increased, the condensate approaches the point of collapse, and the aspect ratio calculated from the solution to the full GP equation (solid line in the inset) and the DM C calculations (sym bols in the inset) increases rapidly. The approxim ation of a constant scattering length does not describe this behavior correctly. Interestingly, relatively large anisotropy is predicted just prior to collapse, even though the collapse $m$ echanism is prim arily swave dom inated.

## C. Energetics for dipoles in non-isotropic traps

W e have also explored the behavior of dipolar BEC s in a pancake-shaped trap w ith $!_{z}=!=10$ and in a cigar-shaped trap $w$ th $!_{z}=!=1=10$. For the pancake


FIG. 7: Energy per particle $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{N}$ obtained by solving the GP equation using $V_{\text {eff }}$ as a function of the dipole length $D$ for $N=10$ in a pancake-shaped trap $w$ ith $!_{z}=!=10$ (dashed line) and in a cigar-shaped trap w ith $!_{\mathrm{z}}=!=0: 1$ (solid line). Sym bols w ith error bars show the correponding DM C results. $a_{h}$ o is de ned by the shortest dim ension in each case (see text). T he hard wall cuto is $\mathrm{b}=0: 0137 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h} \circ}$.
trap, we de ne $a_{h o}=\frac{q}{\frac{h}{m!_{z}}}$, while for the cigar trap, $a_{h o}=\frac{q}{\frac{h}{m!}}$. I.e, com pared to the spherical trap of the previous sections, we elongate the trap in either the axial or transverse directions. Figure ${ }_{1}^{17} 1$ good agreem ent betw een the energies calculated from the GP equation (solid and dashed lines) and from the N boody Schrodinger equation (sym bols). In the cigarshaped trap, a non-vanishing dipole $m$ om ent leads to a decrease of the energy com pared to the energy obtained for $d=0$. For the pancake-shaped trap, in contrast, the energy per particle increases for $s m$ all dipole $m$ om ents, and then decreases for larger dipole m om ents. In both traps, collapse occurs at the D value at which the lines in F ig. $\mathbf{I N}_{1}^{1 / 1}$ tem inate.

W e note in particular the collapse of the condensate in the pancake trap. In [1] it was proposed that in a trap of such aspect ratio, if the scattering length is zero, there should never be collapse even for an arbitrarily large dipole $m$ om ent. In our case, the \bare" (zero dipole m om ent) scattering length is positive, and so, neglecting the scattering length dependence on the dipole $m$ om ent, the BEC should be even $m$ ore resistant to collapse. Later w ork $\left[\bar{T}_{1}\right]$, which analyzed dipolar B E C s in a trap in the lim it of $!_{z}=!=1$, suggests that a collapse should still occur even in highly-elongated pancake traps, due to a roton $m$ axon instability involving excitations w ith large transversem om enta. A s seen from $F$ ig. $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{7}$ we do observe a collapse of the condensate in the pancake trap, which, how ever, is due to the dependence of the scattering length on the dipole $m$ om ent. This collapse $m$ echanism is sim ilar to that discussed above for


FIG. 8: $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{N}$ for two particles w ith hard wall cuto $\mathrm{b}=$ $0: 0137 a_{h}$ in a sphericaltrap as a function of the dipole length D obtained by solving the GP equation (solid lines) and the Schrodinger equation (dashed lines). The GP line term inates at points of collapse. For the Schrodinger equation, the three low est eigenvalues are displayed (negative energies are not show $n$ ). The dotted line show s the results from $a$ GP calculation $w$ th $a$ constant scattering length $a=b$. T he vertical dash-dotted lines indicate the resonance positions of $V$, Eq. (2, (see also F ig. . (12) .
spherical traps (see Fig. $\left.{ }^{-1 / 3}\right)$.

## D. Excited states and condensate \revival"

T he sw ave-dom inated collapse shown in $F$ ig. $\overline{\underline{N}} \overline{1}$ does not necessarily signalthe end ofthe story. A fter the scattering length hasdropped to 1 , it then takes on a large positive value (see Fig. (2) . In this region, the condensate is, neglecting three-body recom bination e ects, perfectly stable, at least until the scattering length again becom es large and negative. As the resonance is crossed, a new tw oboody bound state appears in the potential Eq. (Z). Indeed, this is the rst bound state of this potential. In the follow ing, we com pare the energetics obtained by solving the G P equation and the Schrodinger equation for $N=2$ particles (see A ppendix) as the dipole $m$ om ent is tuned across a series of tw ołbody resonances. E xtension of this analysis to $m$ ore than $N=2$ particles is com plicated by the existence of the tw o-boody bound states ofV , Eq. (즈) . T hese tw ołbody bound states im ply that solving the $\bar{N}$ boody Schrodinger equation by the DM C m ethod requires the use of xed-node techniques [B4'1]. Such a treatm ent is beyond the scope of this paper.

F igure show s E =N for two particles under spherically sym $m$ etric con nem ent $w$ th hardwall cuto $b=$ 0:0137aho. The exact two-body solution to the Schrodinger equation (dashed lines) show s a repeated cycle of collapse and \revival". For com parison, solid lines
show the solution to the GP equation. In the regions aw ay from resonance, Fig. I' indicates good agreem ent betw een the G P energies and one of the \branches" of the exact tw o-body spectrum . To obtain this agreem ent, it is essential to use the dipole-norm alized scattering length, which encapsulates the inform ation about the form ation of bound states of the system, in the e ective potential that enters the GP equation. O therw ise, if a constant scattering length (corresponding to the zero dipole mo$m$ ent case $w$ ith $a=b$ ) is used in the GP form alism, the unrealistic dotted line in Fig. . 1 can only be regarded as a good approxim ation in certain regions between resonances when the dipole dependent scattering length is sm all. A smentioned above, for com putational reasons we have only perform ed this com parison for $N=2$, but it is strongly suggestive that the sam e should hold for any num ber of particles. By tuning the dipole m om ent, it should thus be possible to observe a collapse followed by a revival of a m etastable dipolar BEC with increasing dipole strength. The results of a GP calculation for a stability diagram of a dipolar BEC as a function of the num ber of particles and the dipole m om ent is show n in F ig. 3 of $R$ ef. [2].

In Fig. 佪 the resonance positions from Fig. $\overline{12}$ for $\mathrm{b}=0: 0137 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}$ are indicated by verticaldash-dotted lines. $T$ he solution to the two-body Schrodinger equation persists to slightly larger dipole $m$ om ents than the resonance position (this is $m$ ost apparent for the second resonance), because of the added kinetic energy in the trap. By contrast, the G P solution collapses at a sm aller dipole $m$ om ent, ow ing to the approxim ate collapse criterion $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) a(d)=0: 57497 a_{\text {ho }}$.

At this point we note that our solutions to the N body Schrodinger equation have been restricted to relatively sm all num ber of particles $(\mathbb{N} \quad 50)$. It is som etim es asserted (see, e.g., Ref. [1] [1]) that the GPE is valid only in the lim it of large num $\bar{b} e r$ of particles. This restriction com es from the derivation of the GPE from the exact $H$ eisenberg representation equation, by replacing the $H$ eisenberg eld operator ${ }^{\wedge}(r)$ by its classical value
$0(r)$. T his breaks conservation of particle num ber, and can only be justi ed for a large num ber ofparticles. From this point ofview, one $m$ ight be surprised that the $m$ ean-
eld equation describes, as dem onstrated here, system s w th sm all num ber of particles w th high accuracy. H ow ever, it also possible to derive the GPE from a variational ansatz which explicitly conserves particle num ber [21, $13 \leq 1]$. $T$ his provides the justi cation for applying the G PE even for a sm all num ber of particles. In th is case, it is im portant to keep the correct N 1 factor in Eq. ( $\underline{(5)}$ ), rather than, as is som etim es done, replace it by N , which can only be justi ed as an approxim ation valid for a large num ber of particles.


FIG.9: Energy per particle $E=N$ versus dipole length $D$ $w$ ith hardcore radius $b=0: 0433 a_{h}$. Solid lines show the G P energies for $N=10 ; 20$ and 50 particles (from top to bottom). Sym bols w ith error bars show the D M C energies for $N=10$ (dots), $N=20$ (circles) and $N=50$ (triangles).
E. Lim its of the m ean eld approxim ation

W hen the density of the condensate increases, one expects the $m$ ean eld GP theory to becom e increasingly less accurate due to correlations am ong the particles. In the zero-dipole theory of short range interactions the G P theory is the lowest order approxim ation in powers of the $s m$ all param eter $n a^{3}$, where $n$ denotes the peak density and a the scattering length. T he expansion param eter becom es larger as $N$ and a increase. Treating larger num ber ofparticles in the D M C sim ulations increases the com putationalcost. Instead, to check the applicability of the GP theory to describe dense dipolar gases, we consider a larger particle diam eter b. F igure ',9, show s a com parison betw een the DM C energies (sym bols w ith error bars) and the GP energies (solid lines) for $b=0: 0433 a_{\mathrm{ho}}$ which is a factor $3: 2$ larger than we considered in the previous sections. A good qualitative agreem ent still exists, but deviations are apparent. The GP calculations underestim ate the $m$ any-body D M C energy, and the deviations grow w ith increasing num ber ofparticles $N$. The G P theory also predicts a collapse at asom ew hat sm aller $d$ than the true value.

The deviations, which exist even for zero dipole mo$m$ ent, are attributed by us $m$ ainly to the relatively large hard core diam eter $\mathrm{b}=0: 0433 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}$ of our $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ olecules" com pared to the size of the trap. For zero dipole mom ent, such e ects w ere observed before [ $\overline{3} \overline{6} \overline{\mathrm{~V}}$ ]. It w as found that the deviations were reduced by roughly an order of $m$ agnitude by using a modi_ed GPE that accounts for quantum uctuations w as achieved when e ective range corrections w ere taken into account [24]. W e expect that sim ilar corrections would also apply to anisotropic dipolar gases. H ow ever,

Ref. $[3][$ ] $]$ described condensates $w$ th short-range repulsive interactions ( $a>0$ ), for which the modi ed GPE inchuded a correction term calculated in the localdensity approxim ation. In our case there is a long-range dipolar interaction which is partly attractive, and furtherm ore, the dipole dependent scattering length becom es negative for su ciently large d. A s a result, the local density approxim ation cannot be applied to our problem over the whole range, since a hom ogeneous condensate $w$ th attractive interactions is unstable.

F inally, we note that additionalbeyond $m$ ean- eld corrections are expected to becom e im portant when the dipole length $D$ becom es larger. Investigation of this regim $e$ is beyond the scope of this paper.

## IV . CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have considered a B ose gas w th tunable dipolar interactions, controlled by an extemal eld, and have show $n$ that taking into account the dependence of the scattering length on the dipole $m$ om ent is essential for the correct description of the system with in the $m$ ean eld GP m ethod, using the pseudo-potential of $Y$ i and You $[1][1]$. By com parison w ith DMC sim ulations we have highlighted both the accuracy of the GP m ethod for dipolar gases w ith low densities, and the existence of deviations for dipolar gases w th higher densities. The $m$ ean eld theory was show $n$ to predict correctly the condensate size. It also describes well condensates in cylindricaland pancake traps. It w as show $n$ that as the dipole m om ent is increased, the scattering length decreases and becom es negative as one approaches a resonance corresponding to the form ation of a two-body bound state. $T h i s e$ ect is the $m$ ajor contribution for the eventual collapse of the condensate at a critical dipole $m$ om ent. $T$ his is particularly signi cant for a pancake trap, in which case, failing to take the dependence of the scattering length on the dipole $m$ om ent into account, collapse would occur only due to a roton-m axon instability. A s the dipole $m$ om ent is increased further, past the tw o-body resonance, the scattering length a (d) becom es positive and we see a \revival" of a stable condensate.
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## APPENDIX A

In this appendix we discuss the num erical techniques used in the three di erentm ethods of this paper: solution to the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiiequation, solution to the $N$ boody Schrodinger equation by the DM C m ethod, and solution to the two-body Schrodinger equation.

## 1. Solution of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevsk ii equation

 $a n_{R}$ integro-di erential equation. The integral term
 the apparent divergence of the dipolar pseudopotential at $s m$ all distances. The integral does converge if one perform s the angular integration rst. For sm all distances, the density $j\left(r^{0}\right){ }^{3} \mathrm{~m}$ ay be considered linear around $r^{0}=r$, and integrating the angular part $w$ ith $Y_{20} / 13$ cos $^{2}$ gives zero. This can also be seen by expanding the density in a multipole expansion around $r^{0}=r . O n l y$ the $Y_{20}$ tem $w$ illcontribute to the integral, and for a sm ooth density the coe cient of this term goes, for jr $r^{0} j!0$, as $j r r^{0} \jmath$. Thus, the integralconverges even w thout a cuto .

Follow ing $R$ ef. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[13]}\end{array}\right]$ the calculation of the integral can be sim pli ed by $m$ eans of the convolution theorem :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z} \\
& \quad d r^{0} V_{D}\left(\begin{array}{rl}
r & \left.r^{0}\right) j\left(r^{0}\right) \jmath= \\
F^{1} \quad F[V](k) F[j & j](k) ;
\end{array},\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $F$ is the Fourier transform. The Fourier transform of the dipolar potentialm ay be perform ed by expanding $\exp (i k r)$ in a series of sphericalharm onics and spherical Bessel functions (the usual expansion of a free planar wave in free spherical waves), where only the $Y_{20}$ term gives a non-zero contribution. T he result is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[V](k)=\frac{4}{3}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \quad 1\right) \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here is the angle betw een the $m$ om entum $k$ and the dipole direction. The Fourier transform of Eq. (A $\overline{-1} \overline{-1})$, $F(j)$ ) is num erically evaluated by $m$ eans of a standard fast Fourier transfom (FFT) algorithm, and multiplied by $F[V](k)$. Com putation of the kinetic energy is also accom plished to spectral accuracy through a FFT of the wave-function and $m$ ultiplication by $k^{2}$ in $m$ om entum space, follow ed by an inverse FFT.

The ground state of the system was obtained by the usualw ave-function propagation in im aginary time. The propagation was im plem ented using a 4'th order adaptive step $R$ unge $K$ utta $m$ ethod.

This procedure is very com putationally intensive. W e have recently developed an im proved $m$ ethod, which we also partly used in this work, and which we describe elsewhere.

## 2. D i usion $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations

Them any-body $H$ am iltonian $H$ for a dipolar gas under extemalcon nem ent reads

$$
H=X_{j=1}^{N} \quad \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m \quad!^{2}{\underset{j}{2}+!{ }_{z}^{2} z_{j}^{2}}^{X} \quad \begin{align*}
& \quad \mathrm{V}\left(r_{j k} ; j k\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $V$ denotes the interaction potential given by Eq. (Z느) , $r_{j}=\left(x_{j} ; y_{j} ; z_{j}\right)$ the position vector of the $j$ th dipole, $r_{j k}$ the distance vector, i.e., $r_{j k}=r_{j} \quad r_{k}$, and
$j k$ the angle betw een the vector $r_{j k}$ and the $z$-axis. To solve the corresponding tim e-independent Schrodinger equation $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{E}$ we employ the variational M onte C arlo (VM C) and the Di usion M onte C arlo (DM C) techniques [301]. The form er results in a variationalbound on the energy w hereas the latter results in essentially exact $m$ any-body energies.

To determ ine variationalm any-body energies, w e w rite the variational wave function $v$ as a product of onebody tem s and two-body term s F,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v\left(r_{1} ; \quad N ;\right)=Y_{j=1}^{Y^{N}}\left({ }_{j} ; Z_{j}\right) \quad Y_{j<k}^{W} F\left(r_{j k} ; j k\right) ;(A 4) \\
& j=1 \quad j<k
\end{aligned}
$$

where contains the $G$ aussian widths $b$ and $b_{z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(; z)=\exp \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \bar{b}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{z^{2}}{\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{z}}} \quad \text {; } \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F$ the hardcore radiusb and the \shape" param eters $p_{1}$ through $p_{5}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F(r ;)= & 1 \frac{b}{r} \\
& 1+\frac{1}{r^{p_{3}}}\left(p_{1}+p_{2} \cos ^{2}\right)+\frac{p_{4}}{r^{p_{5}}} \cos ^{4} \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

To rst order the param eters of are determ ined by the extemal con ning potential and those of F by the two-body potential $V$. For a non-interacting gas with $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{d}=0$, e.g., the variational w ave function v w th $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{z}}=1$ and $\mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{2}=\mathrm{p}_{4}=0$ is an exact solution to the $m$ any-body Schrodinger equation. For a nite hardcore radiusb, the term in the rst pair of square brackets on the right hand side of Eq. (A) coincides with the low -energy s-w ave scattering wave function for two particles interacting through a spherically sym $m$ etric hardcore potential w ith radius b, i.e., th is tem ensures that the $m$ any-body wave function goes to zero as the distance $r$ betw een two particles approaches the hardcore radius b . The functional form of the angle-dependent term of $F$ term in the second pair of square brackets on the right hand side of Eq. [A (A) ] is m otivated by the shape of the essentially exact two-body wave functions
for non-vanishing $d^{2}$, which is obtained num erically using B-splines (see A ppendix, subsection ${ }^{\prime-1}-33^{\prime}$ ).
$T$ he variational param eters $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ through $\mathrm{p}_{5}$, collectively denoted by p, are optim ized for each N, ! , $!_{z}$ and $D$ by $m$ inim izing the energy $E_{V}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{V}(p)=\frac{h_{v}(p) j j_{V}(p) i}{h_{v}(p) j_{v}(p) i} \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration over the 3 N coordinates $r_{1}$; ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$; r is perform ed using $M$ etropolis sampling. Our param etrization of the variationalw ave function v provides an excellent description of w eakly-interacting gases w ith sm all $\mathrm{nb}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{nD}^{3}$, where n denotes the condensate density at the trap center. As $b=a_{h o}$ or $D=a_{h}$ o increase, i.e., as the gas becom es $m$ ore strongly-interacting, the VM C energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{V}}$ recovers an increasingly sm aller fraction of the essentially exact DM C energy.

The optim ized variational wave function $v$ is subsequently used as a guiding function in our DM C calculations with im portance sam pling. The DMC technique solves the $m$ any-body Schrodinger equation for the ground state energy and for structural properties by starting with an initial \walker distribution", which can be thought of as a stochastic representation of the $m$ any-body wave function, and by then projecting out the low est stationary eigenstate through propagation in im aginary tim e. To treat high-dim ensionalsystem s (here $w$ th as $m$ any as 150 degrees of freedom ), the short-tim e G reen's function propagator is evaluated stochastically. The resulting DM C energies are essentially exact, i.e., they are independent of $v, w$ ith the only uncertainty stem $m$ ing from the nite tim e step used in the propagation. For the DM C energies reported, the tim e step errors are sm aller than the statistical uncertainties. U sage of a good guiding function, ie., our optim ized $v$, is essential for the DM C algorithm to be num erically sta$b l e$. If $v$ coincides $w$ ith the exact $m$ any-body $w$ ave function | as is the case for non-interacting inhom ogeneous gases , the resulting DM C energies have vanishing variance and thus vanishing erronbars. Interactions, and correspondingly approxim ate $v$, introduce statistical uncertainties in the DM C energies, which can be reduced by increasing the com putationale orts. W e calculate structural expectation values using a descendant weighting schem e [ 3 3d, 4 nates any dependence of the structural expectation values on v. H ow ever, our structural expectation values $m$ ay be slightly biased by $v$ since there is a tradeo between the statistical uncertainty and the lengths of the \side walks" used in the descendant weighting schem e.

The standard DM C algorithm outlined above describes the ground state. To describe $m$ etastable condensates, i.e., excited $m$ any -body statesw ith gas-like character, our DM C sim ulations take advantage of the topology of the underlying con guration space. T he $m$ etastable condensate state, characterized by large interparticle distances, is separated from bound $m$ any-body states, characterized by sm all intenparticle distances, by a \barrier" (see

Section "IIIA! . In this respect, the topology of the highdim ensionalcon guration space at hand is sim ilar to the con guration space of a one-dim ensionaldouble-wellpotential w ith large barrier. For large enough barrier, the tw o regions in con guration space correspond to tw o effectively orthogonal H ibert spaces. This im plies that D M C walkers w ith initialcoordinates corresponding to a $m$ etastable gas-like state have a vanishingly sm all probability to tunnelinto the region of con guration space corresponding to $m$ olecular-like bound states and that the sim ulations consequently converge to the m etastable condensate state and not to energetically low er-lying chuster states. The presence of the barrier is thus crucial for describing $m$ etastable condensate states $w$ ith negative scattering length by the DM C algorithm .
3. N um erical solution of $S$ ch rodinger equation for tw o dipoles

For tw o dipoles in a trap interacting through the potential of Eq. (V) , the Schrodinger equation is separable in relative distance and center ofm ass coordinates. The center of $m$ ass equation describes the harm onic $m$ otion of the two dipoles as a whole in the trap, and has the usual harm onic oscillator ground state. The relative distance equation has cylindrical sym $m$ etry and w as solved num erically by expanding the wavefunction on a basis set of two-dim ensional B-splines, with the appropriate boundary conditions. The full H am iltonian m atrix in this basis w as constructed and diagonalized.
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