

Symmetry and Topological Order

Zohar Nussinov¹ and Gerardo Ortiz²

¹*Department of Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63160, USA and*

²*Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA*

(Dated: March 23, 2022)

We prove sufficient conditions for Topological Quantum Order at both zero and finite temperatures. The crux of the proof hinges on the existence of low-dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries (that notably extend and differ from standard local gauge symmetries) and their associated defects, thus providing a unifying framework based on a symmetry principle. These symmetries may be actual invariances of the system, or may emerge in the low-energy sector. Prominent examples of Topological Quantum Order display Gauge-Like Symmetries. New systems exhibiting such symmetries include Hamiltonians depicting orbital-dependent spin exchange and Jahn-Teller effects in transition metal orbital compounds, short-range frustrated Klein spin models, and p+ip superconducting arrays. We analyze the physical consequences of Gauge-Like Symmetries (including topological terms and charges), discuss associated braiding, and show the insufficiency of the energy spectrum, topological entanglement entropy, maximal string correlators, and fractionalization in establishing Topological Quantum Order. General symmetry considerations illustrate that not withstanding spectral gaps, thermal fluctuations may impose restrictions on certain suggested quantum computing schemes and lead to “thermal fragility”. Our results allow us to go beyond standard topological field theories and engineer systems with Topological Quantum Order.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 11.15.-q, 71.10.-w, 71.10.Pm

The role of invariance (symmetry) principles in accounting for observed regularities is well known [1]. Invariances become particularly effective in quantum mechanics where the linear character of Hilbert space enables us to construct superpositions of states that transform as irreducible representations of various symmetry groups. The first discovered invariance principles were of a *geometric character* relating to invariance under space-time displacements and uniform motion. The best known *local* invariance operations (i) relate different coordinate systems to one other by such *geometric* deformations that leave the (local) metric invariant and (ii) appear as *local* transformations that link different gauge theory representations to one another. It was recently realized that probing *non-local* (topological) structures uncovers new invariance principles with physical (and experimental) consequences. Profound *particle-wave* type dualities link the seemingly different local and non-local structures.

Understanding the thermodynamic phases of matter via symmetry principles enables characterization by universal behaviors as in Landau’s theory of phase transitions [2]. In this theory, the order parameter(s) of the system relate to probing its *local* structure. A non-vanishing order parameter, encoding the breaking of a symmetry, defines the *ordered* state while the restoration of that symmetry signals the transition to a *disordered* state. A new paradigm, Topological Quantum Order (TQO), extends the Landau symmetry-breaking framework [3]. In essence, this new order is associated with robustness against *local* perturbations, and hence cannot be described in principle by *local* order parameters. Thus, the underlying order remains *hidden* to ordinary *local* probes. Indeed, this new order exhibits *non-local* correlations that potentially lead to novel physical consequences. Interest in TQO is further enhanced by the

prospect of using it to engineer fault tolerant hardware for quantum computation [4]. A main objective of the present manuscript is to introduce a framework based on symmetry to study this new kind of order of matter. Key questions concern the physical organizing principles underlying such an order, how TQO does manifest, and how to mathematically characterize it. All of the symmetry based results that we will outline here apply also to systems displaying *emergent* symmetries [5]. The latter are not actual invariances of the system but *emerge* as exact symmetries at low energies.

Several inter-related concepts are associated with TQO such as symmetry, degeneracy, fractionalization of quantum numbers, and maximal string/brane correlations (*non-local* order). It is important to determine what is needed for a system to display this order. While canonical examples such as the Fractional Quantum Hall liquids exist, there is no unambiguous definition of TQO. We start by defining this order following Ref. [4] and show relations between these *different* concepts, establishing the equivalence between some and more lax relations amongst others. Most importantly, we suggest a symmetry principle for TQO. In particular, we

- (i) prove that systems with d -dimensional (with $d = 1, 2$) Gauge-Like Symmetries exhibit TQO;
- (ii) analyze the resulting conservation laws and emerging topological terms;
- (iii) affirm that the energy spectrum on its own is insufficient for establishing the existence of this order (the *devil* is in the state itself);
- (iv) suggest that while, fractionalization, string/brane-type correlators, and entanglement entropy are important concepts they are not always sufficient conditions for TQO;
- (v) sketch a general algorithm for constructing

string/brane correlators.

Our goal is to provide a unifying framework which will identify new physical models with TQO. A detailed derivation of the reported results appears in Ref. [6].

We focus on quantum lattice systems (and their continuum extension) having $N_s = L^D$ sites, with L the number of sites along each space direction, and D the dimensionality of the lattice Λ . Associated with each lattice site (or mode, or bond, or plaquette, etc.) $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N_s}$ there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{i}}$ of finite dimension \mathcal{D} . The full Hilbert space is the tensor product of the local state spaces, $\mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{i}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{i}}$, in the case of distinguishable subsystems, or a proper subspace in the case of indistinguishable ones. Statements about local order, TQO, fractionalization, entanglement, etc., are *relative* to the particular decomposition used to describe the physical system. The physically motivated natural *local language* [5] is often utilized. The definition of TQO requires a set of N orthonormal ground states $\{|g_\alpha\rangle\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,N}$ with a gap to excited states. These states should be topologically distinct with no bounded operator V with compact support connecting any pair of such states nor, equivalently, can such quasi-local operators be used to distinguish between different ground states. Specifically, TQO exists if and only if for any quasi-local operator V ,

$$\langle g_\alpha | V | g_\beta \rangle = v \delta_{\alpha\beta} + c, \quad (1)$$

where v is a constant and c is a correction that it is either zero or vanishes in the thermodynamic limit. That is, the ground states locally look identical but not globally. We will also examine a finite temperature ($T > 0$) extension for the diagonal elements of Eq. (1),

$$\langle V \rangle_\alpha \equiv \text{tr}(\rho_\alpha V) = v + c \quad (\text{independent of } \alpha), \quad (2)$$

with $\rho_\alpha = \exp[-H_\alpha/(k_B T)]$ a density matrix corresponding to the Hamiltonian H when augmented by an infinitesimal operator α . At $T = 0$, a particular choice of such operators can be constructed to favor the state $|g_\alpha\rangle$. We consider the most general case of arbitrary infinitesimal operators. Such infinitesimal operators may be non-local, e.g., may couple to the toric cycle operators [6] in Kitaev's toric code model [4]. A system displays finite- T TQO if it satisfies both Eqs. (1), and (2). Those equations describe mathematically the fact that physical states cannot be distinguished by local measurements. The only way to extract non-trivial information is to perform a non-local measurement. If the expectation value of any quasi-local operator V is independent of topological constraints that favor the state $|g_\alpha\rangle$, or a collection of such states in a given topological sector, then the system exhibits TQO: the information encoded in the states is inaccessible (and protected) from any quasi-local perturbation. Such a topological sector may be defined by Hopf invariants, total domain wall parity, etc.

Note that the conditions (1) and (2) enable TQO only if the ground state sector contains, at least, two orthogonal states. According to these conditions, systems with

non-degenerate ground states (such as Integer Quantum Hall liquids) do not support TQO. [In fact, such non-degenerate systems exhibit no spontaneous symmetry breaking and generally also do not support any Landau orders.] As we showed, a *necessary (but not sufficient) criterion for robust topological quantum memories* is directly related to the conditions of Eqs. (1, 2) for TQO [7]. That this criterion is necessary but insufficient is exemplified by Kitaev's toric code model [4] which as we discuss here and in far more detail elsewhere [7] exhibits a finite (system size independent) autocorrelation time. This criterion for robust quantum memories applies to systems with both degenerate and non-degenerate ground states (see Appendix A). It shows that non-degenerate systems are trivial from another viewpoint as well. Quantum computing applications of TQO rely on the non-commutativity of different braiding operations. The presence of non-commuting symmetries ensures a *topological degeneracy* in the ground state sector.

To put these definitions in perspective, consider the typical example of local order: the spin $s = 1/2$ Heisenberg ferromagnet. The ferromagnet has a continuum of ground states $|g_{\hat{n}}\rangle$ with a magnetization in the direction \hat{n} . In the thermodynamic limit, these states become orthogonal to one another

$$\langle g_{\hat{n}} | g_{\hat{\ell}} \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + \hat{n} \cdot \hat{\ell}) \right)^{N_s/2} \xrightarrow{N_s \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (3)$$

However, in this case, e.g. the local spin operator $V = S_{\mathbf{i}}^z$ may distinguish between different ground states:

$$\langle g_{\hat{n}} | V | g_{\hat{n}} \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} (\hat{n} \cdot \hat{e}_z) \neq \langle g_{\hat{\ell}} | V | g_{\hat{\ell}} \rangle, \quad (4)$$

with \hat{e}_z a unit vector along the z axis. Thus, Landau type systems with local order parameters do not even satisfy the $T = 0$ condition of Eq. (1). Similarly, in three spatial dimensions, at finite temperatures,

$$\langle V \rangle_{\hat{n}} \neq \langle V \rangle_{\hat{\ell}}. \quad (5)$$

A d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetry of a theory is a group of symmetry transformations \mathcal{G}_d such that the minimal set of fields $\phi_{\mathbf{i}}$ changed by the group operations is located on a d -dimensional subset $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda$ of the complete D -dimensional lattice ($d \leq D$). These transformations can be expressed as [8]: $U_{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{C}_1} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}}$, where \mathcal{C}_1 denotes the (external) spatial subregion \mathbf{l} , $\Lambda = \bigcup_1 \mathcal{C}_1$, and \mathbf{k} labels the (internal) group generators. [The extension of this definition to the continuum is straightforward.] Gauge (local) symmetries correspond to $d = 0$, while in global symmetries the region influenced by the symmetry operation is the full $d = D$ -dimensional volume of the system. These symmetries may be Abelian or non-Abelian. An illustration is provided in Fig. 1 which describes three different $D = 2$ systems with symmetries of dimensions $d = 0, 1, 2$:

(a) The Ising gauge theory whose Hamiltonian is $H = -K \sum_p \sigma_{ij}^z \sigma_{jk}^z \sigma_{kl}^z \sigma_{li}^z$. The sum is over all elementary




FIG. 1: Schematics of the interactions and symmetries involved in three $D = 2$ examples. See (a-c) of text. The left panel represents (a) an Ising gauge theory with local ($d = 0$) symmetries. The middle panel represents (b) an orbital compass model with $d = 1$ symmetries; here the symmetry operations span lines. The right panel depicts (c) an XY model with $d = 2$ symmetries; the symmetry here spans the entire $D = 2$ dimensional plane.

squares (*plaquettes*) p , and on each bond (ij) we have an $s = 1/2$ spin $\hbar\vec{\sigma}_{ij}/2$ ($\vec{\sigma}_{ij}$ represents the triad of Pauli matrices). In this system, we have the local gauge symmetries $G_i = \prod_s \sigma_{is}^x$ with the sites $\{s\}$ being the nearest-neighbors of i . For any lattice site, $[G_i, H] = 0$. $\{G_i\}$ involve a finite number of local operators and thus constitute $d = 0$ symmetries.

(b) The anisotropic $s = 1/2$ orbital compass model [9]

$$H = - \sum_i [J_x \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+\hat{e}_x}^x + J_z \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+\hat{e}_z}^z], \quad (6)$$

emulating the direction dependent interactions of electronic orbitals. In this model, exchange interactions involving the x component of the spin occur only along the spatial x direction of the lattice. Similar spatial direction dependent spin exchange interactions appear for the z components of the spin. Apart from a global reflection

The group symmetry operators and topological defects can be written in terms of unitary operators. In the continuum limit, the group elements of $d = 1$ Gauge-Like Symmetries are path-ordered (\mathcal{P}) products

$$U = \mathcal{P} e^{i \oint_C \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{r}}, \quad (7)$$

where C is a closed path in configuration space and \vec{A} is the corresponding connection. On the lattice this expression is replaced by an equivalent discrete sum, and C is a closed path on the lattice. U is an Aharonov-Bohm phase [11] or a Wilson loop [12]. For instance, for the orbital compass model [(b) above], the $d = 1$ group elements can

tion which only appears for the isotropic point $J_x = J_z$ (and which may be broken at low T), the orbital compass model has the following symmetries $O^\alpha = \prod_{j \in C_\alpha} i\sigma_j^\alpha$ for $\alpha = x, z$. C_α denotes any line orthogonal to the \hat{e}_α axis. On a torus, these operators are defined along toric cycles. As O^α involves $\mathcal{O}(L^1)$ sites, they constitute $d = 1$ symmetries. As we will elaborate on, these $d = 1$ symmetries cannot be broken at finite T . [See also Fig. 2.] It is worth emphasizing that global symmetries such as *time reversal* [6] may be seen as composites of the minimal (and more fundamental) $d = 1$ symmetries of O^α [10].

(c) The $s = 1/2$ XY ferromagnet $H = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [\sigma_i^x \sigma_j^x + \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z]$ has continuous $d = D = 2$ symmetry operators: $U(\theta) = \prod_j \exp[-(i/2)\theta \sigma_j^y]$. There are $\mathcal{O}(L^2)$ local operators in the product which defines the $d = 2$ operator $U(\theta)$.

be written as

$$U = O^x = \prod_{j \in C_x} i\sigma_j^x = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j \in C_x} \sigma_j^x}. \quad (8)$$

A defect creating operator in such a system [see e.g. the defect in Fig. 2] is

$$D_+ = \mathcal{P} e^{i \int_{C_+} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{r}}, \quad (9)$$

or an exponentiated discretized sum. Here, C_+ is an open contour. For instance, the defect depicted in Fig. 2 is generated by

$$D_+ = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j \in C_+} \sigma_j^x}. \quad (10)$$

We can interpret D_+ as the creation of a defect-antidefect pair and the displacement of each member of the pair to the opposite endpoints of C_+ . The $d = 1$ Gauge-Like Symmetry operators linking different ground states (U of Eqs. (7, 8)) correspond to the displacement of a defect-antidefect pair along a toric cycle. Formally, this is similar to the quasiparticle-quasihole pair creation operator linking different ground states in the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect [13]. Topological concepts such as *monodromy* and *homotopy* can be realized by $d = 1$ symmetry operators. More complicated topological properties are associated with $d = 2$ symmetries.

What are the physical consequences for a system with a symmetry group \mathcal{G}_d ? Most importantly, these symmetries lead to an effective *dimensional reduction* in several characteristics.

The great insight behind the Landau theory of phase transitions lies in the intimate relation between the spontaneous breaking of a symmetry in the Hamiltonian and the appearance of a new ordered phase of matter characterized by an order parameter. Can we spontaneously break d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries? An important key for addressing this question is given by an inequality. This inequality states that the absolute values of quantities not invariant under \mathcal{G}_d are bounded from above by the expectation values that they attain in a d -dimensional Hamiltonian \bar{H} (or corresponding action \bar{S}) which is globally invariant under \mathcal{G}_d and preserves the range of the interactions of the original system [8]. [See the Appendix of Ref. [6] for a review of this inequality.] As the expectation values of local observables vanish in low- d systems, this bound strictly forbids spontaneous symmetry breaking of non- \mathcal{G}_d invariant local quantities in systems with interactions of finite range and strength whenever $d = 0$ (Elitzur's theorem [14]), $d = 1$ for both discrete [see Fig. 2] and continuous \mathcal{G}_d , and (as a consequence of the Mermin-Wagner-Coleman theorem [15]) whenever $d = 2$ for continuous symmetries [8]. Discrete $d = 2$ symmetries may be broken (e.g. the finite- T transition of the $D = 2$ Ising model, and the $d = 2$ Ising Gauge-Like Symmetries of $D = 3$ orbital compass systems). In the presence of a finite gap in a system with continuous $d < 2$ symmetries, spontaneous symmetry breaking is forbidden even at $T = 0$ [8]. The absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking of d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries is due to the presence of low- (d) dimensional topological defects such as: domain walls/solitons in systems with $d = 1$ discrete symmetries, vortices in systems with $d = 2$ $U(1)$ symmetries, hedgehogs for $d = 2$ $SU(2)$ symmetries. Transitions and crossovers can only be discerned by quasi-local symmetry invariant quantities (e.g., Wilson-like loops) or, by probing global topological properties (e.g. percolation in lattice gauge theories [17]). Extending the bound of [8] to $T = 0$, we now find that if $T = 0$ spontaneous symmetry breaking is precluded in systems with d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries, then spontaneous symmetry breaking of quantities not invariant under ex-

act or $T = 0$ *emergent* d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries cannot occur as well. Exact symmetries refer to $[U, H] = 0$; in *emergent* symmetries [5] unitary operators $U \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{emergent}}$ are not bona fide symmetries ($[U, H] \neq 0$) yet become exact at low energies: when applied to any ground state, the resultant state must also reside in the ground state manifold, $U|g_\alpha\rangle = \sum_\beta u_{\alpha\beta}|g_\beta\rangle$. In gapped systems, $T = 0$ spontaneous symmetry breaking of $d < 2$ continuous symmetries is prohibited. In particular, it can be seen [6] that even *emergent* symmetries that appear only within the ground state sector in systems with a spectral gap preclude the appearance of spontaneous symmetry breaking. The proof of this statement relies on (i) extensions of the Mermin-Wagner-Coleman theorem to gapped systems with continuous symmetries at $T = 0$ [16] in dimensions smaller than two, and on (ii) an application of general bounds, derived in [8], to these problems [6].

Symmetries generally imply the existence of conservation laws and topological charges, with associated (local) continuity equations when the group of symmetries is continuous. Moreover, if the continuous symmetry forms a gauge group, then an additional local Gauss' law is satisfied by the conserved currents. We find that systems with d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries lead to conservation laws within d -dimensional regions. To illustrate this, consider the rotational non-invariant Euclidean Lagrangian density of a complex field $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) = (\phi_1(\vec{x}), \phi_2(\vec{x}), \phi_3(\vec{x}))$ ($\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$): $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\mu |\partial_\mu \phi_\mu|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_\mu |\partial_\tau \phi_\mu|^2 + W(\phi_\mu)$, with $W(\phi_\mu) = u(\sum_\mu |\phi_\mu|^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_\mu m^2(|\phi_\mu|^2)$ and $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3$ are the spatial directions and τ is the imaginary time. \mathcal{L} displays the continuous $d = 1$ symmetries $\phi_\mu \rightarrow e^{i\psi_\mu(\{x_\nu\}_{\nu \neq \mu})} \phi_\mu$. The conserved $d = 1$ Noether currents are given by $j_{\mu\nu} = i[\phi_\mu^* \partial_\nu \phi_\mu - (\partial_\nu \phi_\mu^*) \phi_\mu]$, which satisfy $d = 1$ conservation laws $[\partial_\nu j_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\tau j_{\mu\tau}] = 0$ (with no summation over repeated indices implicit). Such d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries may lead to a conservation law for each line associated with a fixed value of all coordinates $x_{\nu \neq \mu}$ relating to the topological charge $Q_\mu(\{x_{\nu \neq \mu}\}) = \int dx_\mu j_{\mu\tau}(\vec{x})$ (no summation over the repeated index μ).

A similar consequence of d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries is that topological terms appearing in $d + 1$ -dimensional theories also appear in higher $D + 1$ -dimensional systems ($D > d$). These topological terms appear in actions \bar{S} [see Appendix or Ref. [8]] which may be used to bound (both from above and below) expectation values of quantities that are not invariant under the d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries. For instance, in the isotropic $D = 2$ (or $2+1$) dimensional general spin t_{2g} Kugel-Khomskii model [6, 21], of exchange constant $J > 0$, the corresponding continuum Euclidean action used to bound the $d = 1$ symmetry non-invariant quantities is of the $1+1$ form $\bar{S} = \frac{1}{2g} \int dx d\tau \left[\frac{1}{v_s} (\partial_\tau \hat{m})^2 - v_s (\partial_x \hat{m})^2 \right] + i\theta \mathcal{Q} + S_{\text{tr}}$, $\mathcal{Q} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dx d\tau \left[\hat{m} \cdot (\partial_\tau \hat{m} \times \partial_x \hat{m}) \right]$. Here, as in the non-linear- σ model of a spin- s chain, \hat{m} a normalized slowly varying staggered field, $g = 2/s$,

the spin wave velocity $v_s = 2Js$, $\theta = 2\pi s$, and S_{tr} a *transverse-field* action term which does not act on the spin degrees of freedom along a given chain. \mathcal{Q} is the Pontryagin index corresponding to the mapping between the two-dimensional space-time (x, τ) plane and the two-sphere on which \hat{m} resides. This 1+1 dimensional topological term appears in the 2+1 dimensional Kugel-Khomskii system even for arbitrary large positive coupling J . This, in turn, places bounds on the spin correlations enabling us to predict, for instance, that in $D = 2$ integer-spin t_{2g} Kugel-Khomskii systems, a finite correlation length exists.

Is there a relation between d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries and the existence of fractional quantum numbers? Symmetries do not necessarily mandate the existence of degeneracies at all energies. Degeneracies, however, imply the existence of symmetries which effect general unitary transformations within the degenerate manifold and act as the identity operator outside it. We note that the most general symmetry of any Hamiltonian is given by a direct product of the form $\bigotimes_l SU(N_l)$ with N_l being the degeneracy of the l -th eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian. Any projection of a symmetry operator onto the ground state sector must constitute an element of $SU(N_g)$ with N_g the number of ground states. Any emergent symmetry must also act as an $SU(N_g)$ operator. In this way, these unitary transformations may act as exact Gauge-Like Symmetries. The existence of such unitary symmetry operators (generally a subset of $SU(N)$) allows for fractional charge (such as the “triality” for the $SU(3)$ group of quantum chromodynamics or “ N -ality” of the $SU(N)$ symmetry group). This then suggests that degeneracy allows for a fractionalization defined by the center of the symmetry group (which may be $SU(N)$ or any of its subgroups/quotient groups). The (m)-rized Peierls chains constitute a typical example of a system with universal (m -independent) symmetry operators [6], where fractional charge quantized in units of $e^* = e/m$ with e the electronic charge is known to occur [18]. The bounds above can be generalized to apply to these symmetries. Different Peierls chain ground states break discrete $d = 1$ symmetries (violating Eq. (1) in this system with fractionalization), meaning that fractionalization may occur in systems with no TQO. The fermion number N_f in the Peierls chain and related Dirac theories is an integral over spectral functions [19]; the fractional portion of N_f stems from soliton contributions invariant under local background deformations.

When the bound of [8] is next applied to correlators and spectral functions, it implies the absence of quasi-particle excitations in many instances [20]. Here we elaborate on this: The bound of [8] mandates that the absolute values of non-symmetry invariant correlators $|G| \equiv |\sum_{\Omega_j} a_{\Omega_j} \langle \prod_{i \in \Omega_j} \phi_i \rangle|$ with $\Omega_j \subset \mathcal{C}_j$, and $\{a_{\Omega_j}\}$ c -numbers, are bounded from above (and from below for $G \geq 0$ (e.g. that corresponding to $\langle |\phi(\mathbf{k}, \omega)|^2 \rangle$)) by absolute values of the same correlators $|G|$ in a d -dimensional system defined by \mathcal{C}_j . In particular, when \mathbf{k} lies in a lower

dimensional region where the d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetry is present (e.g. for \mathbf{k} parallel to the \hat{e}_x or \hat{e}_z axis of the orbital compass model of Eq. (6)), the coefficients $\{a_{\Omega_j}\}$ can be chosen to give the Fourier transformed pair-correlation functions. This provides bounds on viable quasi-particle weights and establishes the absence of quasi-particle excitations in many cases. In high-dimensional interacting systems, retarded correlators G generally exhibit a resonant (quasi-particle) contribution. $G = G_{\text{res}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + G_{\text{non-res}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ with $G_{\text{res}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{Z_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + i0^+}$. In formal terms, in high-dimensional systems, the interactions amongst otherwise bare free particles simply “renormalize” those free particles. The interactions replaced free particles by new quasi-particles which are for all purposes just bare particles with new renormalized weights and effective parameters. This quasi-particle behavior is captured by the poles of the retarded correlator. This is not so in low-dimensional systems [22]. In low dimensions, particle-particle interactions can lead to a dramatic change of the system. The system no longer has quasi-particle type behavior: the quasi-particle weight $Z_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow 0$ and the poles of G are often replaced by weaker branch cut behavior. Fractionalization is associated with the disappearance of quasi-particles with sharp dispersion relations. If the momentum \mathbf{k} lies in a lower d -dimensional region \mathcal{C}_j and if no quasi-particle resonant terms appear in the corresponding lower-dimensional spectral functions in the presence of non-symmetry breaking fields then the upper bound [8] on the correlator $|G|$ (and on related quasi-particle weights given by $Z_{\mathbf{k}} = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} (\omega - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) G(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$) of symmetry non-invariant quantities mandates the absence of normal quasi-particles. “Non-symmetry breaking fields” refer to fields outside the region where the d -dimensional Gauge-Like symmetry is present - i.e. the fields $\{\phi_i \notin \mathcal{C}\}$. Using the bound of [8] for $Z_{\mathbf{k}} = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} (\omega - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) G(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ we see that if fractionalization occurs in the lower-dimensional system ($Z_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$) then its higher-dimensional realization follows. These external fields do not (by the very presence of the d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetry: $[H, U] = 0$) break the symmetry. Fractionalized excitations propagate in $d_s = D - d$ dimensional regions [20]. Once again, it is important to stress that as bounds concerning correlators in low-dimensional gapped systems may be extended to zero temperature, the bound of [8] implies the absence of quasi-particle excitations also in cases in which continuous $d < 2$ Gauge-like symmetries only emerge within the low energy sector.

Our central claim is that in all known systems with TQO, d -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries are present. Old examples include: Fractional Quantum Hall systems, \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theories, the toric code model [4], and others. In all cases of TQO, we may express known *topological* symmetry operators as general low-dimensional $d \leq 2$ Gauge-Like Symmetries (e.g. in the toric code model, there are $d = 1$ symmetry operators spanning toric cycles). These symmetries allow for freely-propagating decoupled d -dimensional topological defects

(or instantons in $(d+1)$ dimensions of Euclidean space-time) which eradicate local order. These defects enforce TQO. We now state a central result:

Theorem. *Consider a system with interactions of finite range and strength that satisfies Eq. (1). If all ground states may be linked by discrete $d \leq 1$ or by continuous $d \leq 2$ -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries $U \in \mathcal{G}_d$, then the system displays finite-T TQO.*

Proof: Let us start by decomposing $V = V_0 + V_\perp$. Here, V_0 is the part transforming as a singlet under \mathcal{G}_d i.e., $[U, V_0] = 0$. To prove the finite-temperature relation of Eq. (2), we write the expectation values over a complete set of orthonormal energy states $\{|a\rangle\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_0 \rangle_\alpha &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sum_a \langle a | V_0 | a \rangle e^{-(E_a + \phi_\alpha^\alpha h)/k_B T}}{\sum_a e^{-(E_a + \phi_\alpha^\alpha h)/k_B T}} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sum_a \langle a | U^\dagger V_0 U | a \rangle e^{-(E_a + \phi_{U\beta}^\alpha h)/k_B T}}{\sum_a e^{-(E_a + \phi_{U\beta}^\alpha h)/k_B T}} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sum_b \langle b | V_0 | b \rangle e^{-(E_b + \phi_\beta^b h)/k_B T}}{\sum_b e^{-(E_b + \phi_\beta^b h)/k_B T}} = \langle V_0 \rangle_\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We invoked $U|a\rangle \equiv |b\rangle$, and $E_a = E_b$ following from $[U, H] = 0$. The term ϕ_α^α is the expectation value of the infinitesimal operator α in the state $|a\rangle$. That is, $\langle a | \alpha | a \rangle \equiv h \phi_\alpha^\alpha$ with $h = \|\alpha\|$ the operator norm of α . In the above derivation, $\phi_\alpha^\alpha = \phi_{U\beta}^\alpha = \phi_\beta^b$ with $\beta \equiv U^\dagger \alpha U$. As V_\perp is not invariant under \mathcal{G}_d ($[U, V_\perp] \neq 0$) the theorem of [8] implies that $\langle V_\perp \rangle_\alpha = 0$, i.e., spontaneous symmetry breaking is precluded in systems with low-dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries. [See Fig. 2.] Equation (11) is valid whenever $[U, V_0] = 0$ for *any* symmetry U . However, $[U, V_\perp] \neq 0$ implies $\langle V_\perp \rangle_\alpha = 0$ only if U is a low-dimensional Gauge Like Symmetry. In systems in which not all ground state pairs can be linked by the use of low-dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries $U \in \mathcal{G}_d$ ($U|g_\alpha\rangle = |g_\beta\rangle$), finite-temperature spontaneous symmetry breaking may occur. We conclude this proof with two remarks [6]: (i) $T = 0$ TQO holds whenever all ground states may be linked by (exact or emergent) continuous $d < 2$ Gauge-Like Symmetries in gapped systems. (ii) In many systems (whether gapped or gapless), $T = 0$ TQO states may be constructed by employing Wigner-Eckart type selection rules for $d \geq 1$ Gauge-Like Symmetries. It is important to emphasize that the $T = 0$ conditions of TQO [Eq. (1)] can be violated for some ground states of theories with local ($d = 0$) symmetries. In such theories, ground states can be linked by $d = 0$ operators; choosing V to be such a quasi-local ($d = 0$) operator the TQO requirement of Eq. (1) is violated.

What physical quantity characterizes TQO? We will see that neither the spectrum of H , nor the entanglement entropy [23], nor string/brane correlations are sufficient criteria. That the spectrum, on its own, is insufficient is established by counter-examples. For example, Kitaev's [4] and Wen's models [25] are equivalent and have a spectrum identical to that of two uncoupled Ising spin chains with nearest-neighbor interactions (which we have shown




FIG. 2: (Color online.) The physical engine behind our theorem are *topological defects*. For instance, introducing a (one-dimensional) soliton into a general (anisotropic) orbital compass model state such as depicted in panel (b) of Fig. 1 leads to only a finite energy cost. This penalty is depicted here by a single energetic bond (dashed line). The energy-entropy balance associated with such $d = 1$ Ising type domain walls is the same as that in a $D = 1$ Ising system. At finite temperature, entropic contributions overwhelm energy penalties and no local order is possible. Order is manifest in non-local quantities associated with topological defects. Similar results occur in other systems with low-dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries.

by applying a duality mapping [6]). While Kitaev's and Wen's models display TQO, the Ising chains do not. By duality, the exchange statistics of defects (the so-called magnetic and electric charges) of Kitaev's model that reflect the change incurred while moving one excitation completely around another (i.e., *braiding*), can be similarly cast as operator relations that may be represented on two Ising chains. The mapping of the braiding operations is, in general, path dependent. The electric and magnetic particles of Kitaev's model map onto domain walls in the two respective Ising chains. The operator products corresponding to full braiding operators of defects in Kitaev's model can be cast as string products of the spins on the Ising chains when domain walls are present. Our duality mappings to Ising chains demonstrate that despite the spectral gap in these systems, the toric operator expectation values may vanish once thermal fluctuations are present (a concept we coined *thermal fragility* [6, 7]). The Ising chains have a zero temperature transition. In Kitaev's toric code model [4], an explicit calculation of the temporal autocorrelation function amongst the $d = 1$ *topological invariants* using these mappings shows that the autocorrelation time is finite at

any positive temperature [7]. The vanishing expectation values of the toric code operator reflect a finite autocorrelation time.

The autocorrelation time τ of the toric code operators (e.g., $\langle X_i(0)X_i(t) \rangle$) at an inverse temperature β is identical to that in Ising chains. For Glauber type dynamics, we thus find that the autocorrelation time of the toric code operators [7],

$$\tau = \frac{\text{const}}{1 - \tanh 2\beta}. \quad (12)$$

For periodic boundary conditions and in the asymptotic low-temperature limit, this autocorrelation time is related to the spectral gap Δ between the ground state energy and the energy of the next excited state by $\tau \rightarrow_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \exp(\beta\Delta)$. Thus, although finite, the autocorrelation time can be made very large if temperatures far below the gap may be achieved. In particular, we discussed the detailed physical meaning of this result in [7]. It illustrates that realizing finite- T fault tolerant quantum memories is a subtle problem. Finite- T TQO (Eq.(2)) is not a sufficient condition for realizing a memory that is immune to thermal fluctuations. A spectral equivalence exists between other TQO inequivalent system pairs. Our mappings also illustrate that the quantum states themselves in a particular (operator language) representation encode TQO and that the duality mappings, being non-local in the original representation, disentangle the order. Thus, we cannot, as coined by Kac, “hear the shape of a drum”. The information is in the eigenvectors.

It was suggested [23] that a deviation $\gamma > 0$ from an asymptotic area law scaling for the entanglement entropy is a measure of TQO. We find that on its own, this (defined) measure of “Topological Entanglement Entropy” – a linear combination of entanglement entropies to extract γ – might not always be a clear marker of TQO. Consider Klein spin models whose ground state basis is spanned by the product of singlet states [6]. In most of these ground states, local measurements can lead to different expectation values (and thus do not satisfy Eq. (1)). Still, we find [6] (i) an entanglement entropy which deviates from an area law within the set of all ground states that do not have TQO. Such an arbitrary – contour-shape dependent – finite Topological Entanglement Entropy in a non-TQO system is clearly not in accord with the conjecture of [23]. Conversely, (ii) a subset of TQO ground states of the Klein model constructed as linear superpositions of the singlet product states have zero entanglement entropy [$\gamma = 0$]. The criterion of [23] deducing TQO from a finite γ may require additional improvements, such as the specification of an exact limit and/or average for large contours. We conjecture that in order to have TQO we need, at the very least, not only to have a finite Topological Entanglement Entropy but also that this entropy is independent of the contour chosen.

The insufficiencies of the spectra and entropy for assessing if TQO is present have counterparts in graph the-

ory and in the Graph Equivalence Problem in particular. The adjacency matrix of a graph has elements $C_{ij} = 1$ if vertices \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{j} are linked by an edge and $C_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Vertex relabeling $\mathbf{i} \rightarrow p(\mathbf{i})$ leaves a graph invariant but changes the adjacency matrix C according to $C \rightarrow C' = P^\dagger C P$ with P representing the permutation: $P = \delta_{j,p(i)}$. The Graph Equivalence Problem is the following [26]: “Given C and C' , can we decide if both correspond to the same topological graph?” The spectra of C and C' are insufficient criteria. Entropic measures [26] are useful but also do not suffice.

In many systems (with or without TQO), there are non-local *string* correlators which display enhanced (or maximal) correlations vis a vis standard two-point correlation functions. We now outline an algorithm for the construction of such non-local correlators. [In systems with uniform global order already present in their ground states, the algorithm leads to the usual two-point correlators.] We seek a unitary transformation U_s which rotates the ground states into a new set of states which have greater correlations as measured by a set of local operators $\{V_i\}$. These new states may have an appropriately defined *polarization* (as manifest in the eigenvalues $\{v_i\}$) of either (i) more slowly decaying (algebraic or other) correlations, (ii) a uniform sign (*partial polarization*) or (iii) maximal expectation values $v_i = v_{\max}$ for all \mathbf{i} (*maximal polarization*). Cases (i) or (ii) may lead to a lower dimensional gauge-like structure for the enhanced correlator. In systems with entangled ground states (such as in many (yet not all) ground states with $T = 0$ TQO), U_s cannot be a uniform product of locals; $U_s \neq \prod_{i \in \Lambda} O_i$. To provide a concise known example that clarifies these concepts, we focus on case (ii) within the well-studied AKLT Hamiltonian [27]. Here, there is a non-trivial unitary operator $U_s \equiv \prod_{j < k} \exp[i\pi S_j^z S_k^x]$, ($[U_s, H] \neq 0$) which maps the ground states $\{|g_\alpha\rangle\}$ into linear superpositions of states in each of which the local staggered magnetization $V_i = (-1)^i S_i^z \equiv M_i$ is uniformly non-negative (or non-positive) at every site \mathbf{i} . As all transformed states $|p_\alpha\rangle = U_s |g_\alpha\rangle$ are superpositions of states with uniform sign v_i (allowing for two non-negative or non-positive v_i values at every site out of the three $s = 1$ states), $\tilde{G}_{ij} \equiv \langle p_\alpha | V_i V_j | p_\alpha \rangle > \langle g_\alpha | V_i V_j | g_\alpha \rangle \equiv G_{ij}$. When U_s is written in full, \tilde{G}_{ij} becomes a non-local *string* correlator $\tilde{G}_{ij} = (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}|} \langle g_\alpha | S_i^z \prod_{i < k < j} \exp[i\pi S_k^z] S_j^z | g_\alpha \rangle = (2/3)^2$ for arbitrarily large separation $|\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}|$. In the $\otimes S_i^z$ eigenbasis, there are $(2^{N_s+1} - 1)$ states $|\phi\rangle$ with non-negative (non-positive) v_i for all \mathbf{i} (they form a Hilbert subspace). Such an exponential number of states appears in systems with a local \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge structure. Here, the string correlators exhibit a symmetry under local ($d = 0$) gauge transformations while H itself is not invariant. These $d = 0$ \mathbb{Z}_2 transformations correspond (in the $\otimes S_i^z$ basis) to the creation (annihilation) of an $S_j^z = 0$ state at any site \mathbf{j} followed by a unit displacement of S_k^z at all sites $\mathbf{k} > \mathbf{j}$. The operators linking the ground states form a discrete, global, $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ symmetry group [27]

and, as such, $T = 0$ spontaneous symmetry breaking may occur. In the AKLT problem, the local expectation value $\langle g_\alpha | S_{i=1}^z | g_\alpha \rangle$ depends on $|g_\alpha\rangle$, violating Eq. (1) and suggesting that the AKLT chain is not topologically ordered (nonetheless, in the open chain there are spin-1/2 fractional excitations localized at the ends of the chain). The general polarization operators are intimately tied to selection rules which hold for all of the ground states; these selection rules (and a particular low-energy projection of truncated Hamiltonians for gapped systems) enable the construction of general string and higher dimensional *brane* correlators in general gapped systems. We may also generate maximal ($\tilde{G}_{ij} = 1$) string or brane type correlators; here, the number of states with maximal polarization is finite and a non-local gauge-like structure emerges. In systems with known (or engineered) ground states, we may construct polarizing transformations U_s .

To conclude, we developed a symmetry-based framework to study physical systems that display TQO. Symmetries may represent invariances of the actual system Hamiltonian or of emergent low-energy phenomena. In particular, we proved a theorem establishing how $d \leq 2$ -dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries in gapped systems imply TQO (at all temperatures) via freely propagating low-dimensional topological defects (e.g. $d = 1$ solitons). This result extends the set of known systems which exhibit TQO to include new orbital, spin, and Josephson junction array problems. All known TQO systems display Gauge-Like Symmetries [6]. We examined physical consequences of those symmetries (such as conservation laws, dimensional reduction, and topological terms in high space dimension (D) theories), and low-dimensional gauge-like structures in theories with entangled ground states in non-maximal string correlators (irrespective of TQO). We discussed a general algorithm for the construction of non-local *string/brane* orders in systems where the ground states conform to certain selection rules and note that *string/brane* orders appear in general gapped systems in arbitrary space dimensions. We comment that the Hamiltonian spectra, fractionalization, entanglement entropy, and maximal string correlations do not imply TQO in all cases. We would like to notice that a non-zero topological Chern number (the Hall conductivity can be related to it [28]) does not imply TQO either, it only indicates that the system has a spectral gap with, e.g., a quantum state that breaks time-reversal symmetry [29], although the system can still host a Landau order parameter. Similarly, many instances of degeneracy in TQO systems follow from general time reversal invariance (Kramers' theorem); topological considerations need not be invoked to establish ground state degeneracy – especially so in the presence of a gap [6, 30]. In some examples of TQO, an *exact dimensional reduction* occurs. For example, the free energies of Kitaev's and Wen's $D = 2$ models are equivalent to those of Ising chains, and consequently no finite-temperature phase transition occurs [6]. We also drew analogies between TQO and the topology of graphs. When unbroken by external perturbations,

the d -dimensional Gauge-Like symmetries that formed the focus of our study may constrain the system dynamics. Our work extends and complements different studies of protected non-local structures elsewhere [31].

We thank C. D. Batista, S. Bravyi, and P. Wiegmann for discussions, and the DOE, NSA, CMI of WU for support.

Appendix A: Criteria for Robust topological quantum memory

Conditions for quantum error detection of TQO are reviewed in depth in Ref. [7] where they are discussed for both zero and finite temperatures. At $T = 0$, they are given by

$$[\hat{P}_0 V \hat{P}_0, \hat{T}_\mu] = 0, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $\hat{P}_0 = \sum_\alpha |g_\alpha\rangle\langle g_\alpha|$ is the protected subspace, and \hat{T}_μ 's represent the logical operators. These operators are (d -dimensional Gauge-Like) symmetries of H , i.e.

$$[H, \hat{T}_\mu] = 0, \quad (\text{A2})$$

The propagation of a local error (V) at finite temperature causes no harm to the non-local logical operators $\{\hat{T}_\mu\}$ and the algebra that they satisfy. The condition of Eq. (A1) can be related to the TQO condition of Eqs. (1) [7]. Any system with a unique ground state must automatically satisfy $[\hat{P}_0 V \hat{P}_0, \hat{T}_\mu] = 0$. This is so as $\hat{P}_0 V \hat{P}_0 = v \hat{P}_0$ with v a c -number: $v = \langle g | V | g \rangle$ where $|g\rangle$ is the unique ground state. Thus, $[\hat{P}_0 V \hat{P}_0, \hat{T}_\mu] = v[\hat{P}_0, \hat{T}_\mu]$. As $\hat{P}_0 = f(H)$ (a function of the Hamiltonian) and as Eq. (A2) holds, we have that $[\hat{P}_0, \hat{T}_\mu] = 0$. This shows that Eq. (A1) always holds in the absence of degeneracy. In all topological quantum computation applications, the symmetries $\{\hat{T}_\mu\}$ do not all commute with one another. The system must then exhibit degeneracy. In those applications, the symmetry operators \hat{T}_μ represent non-commuting braiding operations.

Appendix B: Symmetry-based analysis of autocorrelation times and crossover temperatures

Below, we briefly elaborate on autocorrelation times and cross-over temperatures in general systems with d dimensional gauge like symmetries [7, 32]. In general, we may apply the bounds of [6–8] to arrive at both upper and lower bounds on all correlation lengths and autocorrelation times in general systems with d dimensional gauge like symmetries. Kitaev's toric code model has a $d = 1$ Ising symmetry. As we showed [6, 32], Kitaev's model is special in that it may be exactly mapped to two decoupled Ising chains (a system of dimension $d = 1$). This mapping realizes a particular case in which the bounds of [8] become exact equalities. Our general

considerations are, however, not limited to such special solvable models and follow from the symmetry related dimensional reduction as it is manifest in the bounds of [8]. We showed [7] that the finite temperature equilibrium expectation values of all quantum memory based stabilizer operators vanish in any spatial dimension.

For illustrative purposes, we discuss systems such as Kitaev's model that have a discrete $d = 1$ Ising symmetry. By the bounds of [8] and in this particular case, the exact mapping of [6, 32] enables us to relate the problem to its counterpart in a ($d = 1$) Ising chain. We focus on quantities such as the Kitaev model toric code cycle operators that are not invariant under all $d = 1$ Ising symmetries and may consequently be destroyed by $d = 1$ Ising domain walls. On a single Ising chain with N_s spins, the correlation length gives rise to a cross-over temperature scaling as $1/\ln N_s$ [32]. Such a crossover temperature may be equivalently derived from energy-entropy balance considerations in the free energy, $F = E - TS$, for the insertion of a single $d = 1$ defect (i.e., a domain wall for discrete symmetries) in a system with short range interactions. Associated with the insertion of a single defect there is an energy penalty $E = \Delta$, and an entropy $S \sim \ln N_s$. These two contributions lead to a crossover temperature scaling as $T_{\text{cross}} \sim 1/\ln N_s$, i.e., for $T < T_{\text{cross}}$ defects are unfavorable. In accord with the one-dimensional character, the low temperature autocorrelation times may scale as $\tau \sim \exp[\Delta/(k_B T)]$. By an extension of the bounds of [6, 8], the autocorrelation function in a general system with d dimensional gauge like symmetries, is bounded (both from above and from below) by autocorrelation functions of a d dimensional system in which the range of the interactions and symmetries are preserved. It is notable, as discussed in the text, that in systems with interactions of finite range and strength the autocorrelation times of quantities not invariant under $d = 1$ gauge-like symmetries do not scale with the system size [6]. Thus, in such systems (including, but not limited to, Kitaev's and Wen's models), the autocorrelation times are system size independent. In a similar fashion, system size dependent auto-correlation times in the ordered phase appear in low (d) dimensional

systems with long range interactions that exhibit a phase transition such as ferromagnetic spin chains with $1/r^\lambda$ interactions ($\lambda \leq 2$) [33]. Taken together with the bounds of [6–8], this allows for divergent autocorrelation times of quantities that are not invariant under d dimensional gauge like symmetry in systems that have long range interactions.

Appendix C: Gauge Like Symmetries of the Quantum Dimer Model

In [6], we analyzed in some depth the gauge like symmetries (exact or emergent) in many systems. Below we further briefly discuss exact gauge like symmetries of the quantum dimer model [34], as it is manifest in a gauge theory formulation [35]. This discussion elucidates the known degeneracy between “even” and “odd” sectors of this model and shows how to derive the degeneracy (for the entire spectrum of the theory) by formally formulating the exact gauge like symmetry of the quantum dimer model. We will follow the notation of [35] with $\sigma_{ij}^z = 1$ denoting the presence of a dimer on the link connecting sites i and j and $\sigma_{ij}^z = -1$ its absence. It is readily seen that the quantum dimer model Hamiltonian of Eqs. (4,5) in [35] is invariant under exact symmetries- both local ($d = 0$) symmetries of the form $A_i = \prod_j \sigma_{ij}^z$, with j denoting all nearest neighbors of i as well as the ($d = 1$ dimensional) toric cycle operators $Z_{1,2} = \prod_{ij \in C_{1,2}} \sigma_{ij}^z$. The latter symmetries involve (i) for Z_1 the product of σ^z on all horizontal bonds on a column that goes around one cycle of the torus (the contour C_1) or (ii) for Z_2 the product of all σ^z on all vertical bonds along a row that goes around the other cycle of the torus (the contour C_2). For a square lattices of size $L_x \times L_y$ on a torus with both L_x and L_y odd, the time reversal symmetry inverts the sign of the toric cycle symmetries $Z_{1,2} \rightarrow -Z_{1,2}$. These exact cyclic symmetries flesh out the even and odd sectors (and their degeneracy) of the entire spectrum of the theory.

-
- [1] Wigner E P (1972) in *Nobel Lectures, Physics 1963-1970* (Elsevier Publishing Company, Amsterdam).
 - [2] Landau L D, Lifshitz E M (1980) *Statistical Physics* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford).
 - [3] Wen X-G (2004) *Quantum Field Theory of Many-Body Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford).
 - [4] Kitaev A (2003) Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons. *Ann. Phys.* **303**: 2-30 .
 - [5] Batista C D and Ortiz G (2004) Algebraic approach to interacting quantum systems. *Adv. in Phys.* **53**: 1-82.
 - [6] Nussinov Z, Ortiz G (2007) A symmetry principle for Topological Quantum Order. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/cond-mat/0702377>; *Ann. of Phys.* (N.Y.) (2008) doi:10.1016/j.aop.2008.11.002;
 - [7] Nussinov Z, Ortiz G (2008) Autocorrelations and thermal fragility of anyonic loops in topologically quantum ordered systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **77**: 064302 (16 pages).
 - [8] Batista C D, Nussinov Z (2005) Generalized Elitzur's theorem and dimensional reduction. *Phys. Rev. B* **72**: 045137 (10 pages).
 - [9] Nussinov Z, Fradkin E (2005) Discrete sliding symmetries, dualities, and self-dualities of quantum orbital compass models and p+ip superconducting arrays. *Phys. Rev. B* **71**: 195120 (9 pages).
 - [10] The $d = 1$ symmetry operators O^α are the minimal symmetry operators that link ground states. A global symmetry operation such as time reversal is a composite of these more basic symmetry operators, i.e., it does not

link more states. The same applies to other global symmetries. Let us illustrate this property: The time reversal operator is given by

$$\Theta = \eta \prod_r (-i\sigma_r^y) K = \eta' \left(\prod_{C_x} O_{C_x}^x \right) \left(\prod_{C_z} O_{C_z}^z \right) K, \quad (C1)$$

with η (η') being phase factor c -numbers, and K complex conjugation. The products in Eq. (C1) are over all rows ($\{C_x\}$) and columns ($\{C_z\}$). Each lattice site r appears in one vertical column and one horizontal row. As the orbital compass model Hamiltonian is real (in the basis of σ^z), $[H, K] = 0$; as a result Θ commutes with H . The ground state degeneracy is a consequence of the fact that $[O_{C_x}^x, O_{C_z}^z] \neq 0$. Kramers degeneracy may be realized in systems with or without TQO. In other words, time reversal is a consequence of the $d = 1$ symmetries O^α (and the reality of the Hamiltonian), and it does not lead to additional relations not present in the $d = 1$ symmetries. The theorem and all results that are to be presented in this work are adduced from the minimal set of symmetry operators (i.e., symmetry operators that are smallest in their spatial (local) support) that suffice to link all ground states.

- [11] Aharonov Y, Bohm D (1959) Significance of Electromagnetic Potentials in the Quantum Theory. *Phys. Rev.* **115**: 485-491.
- [12] Kogut J B (1979) An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51**: 659-713.
- [13] Shapere A, Wilczek F (1989) *Geometric Phases in Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore), and references therein.
- [14] Elitzur S (1975) Impossibility of spontaneously breaking local symmetries. *Phys. Rev. D* **12**: 3978-3982.
- [15] N. D. Mermin N D, Wagner H (1966) Absence of Ferromagnetism or Antiferromagnetism in One- or Two-Dimensional Isotropic Heisenberg Models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**: 1133-1136; Coleman S (1973) There are no Goldstone bosons in two dimensions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31**: 259-264.
- [16] Pitaevskii L, Stringari S (1991) Uncertainty Principle, Quantum Fluctuations and Broken Symmetries *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **85**: 377-388; Auerbach A (1994) *Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism* (Springer-Verlag, New York), see section 6.3 in particular; Momoi T (1996) Quantum fluctuations in quantum lattice systems with continuous symmetry. *J. Stat. Phys.* **85**: 193-210; Koma T (2007) Spectral Gap and Decay of Correlations in U(1)-Symmetric Lattice Systems in Dimensions $D < 2$. *J. Math. Phys.* **48**: 023303 (14 pages).
- [17] Nussinov Z (2005) Derivation of the Fradkin-Shenker result from duality: Links to spin systems in external magnetic fields and percolation crossovers. *Phys. Rev. D* **72**: 054509 (8 pages).
- [18] Su W P, Schrieffer J R, Heeger A J (1979) Solitons in Polyacetylene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**: 1698-1701.
- [19] Niemi A J, Semenoff G W (1986) Fermion number fractionalization in quantum field theory. *Phys. Rep.* **135**: 99-193.
- [20] Nussinov Z, Batista C D, Fradkin E (2006) Intermediate symmetries in electronic systems: dimensional reduction, order out of disorder, dualities, and fractionalization. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **20**: 5239-5249.
- [21] Kugel K I, Khomskii D I (1982) The Jahn-Teller effect and magnetism: transition metal compounds. *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **25**: 231-256.
- [22] Varma C M, Nussinov Z, van Saarloos W (2002) Singular or non-Fermi liquids. *Phys. Rep.* **361**: 267-417.
- [23] Kitaev A, Preskill J (2006) Topological Entanglement Entropy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**: 110404 (4 pages); Levin M, Wen X-G (2006) Detecting Topological Order in a Ground State Wave Function. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**: 110405 (4 pages).
- [24] Wegner F J (1971) Duality in Generalized Ising Models and Phase Transitions without Local Order Parameters. *J. of Math. Phys.* **12**: 2259-2272.
- [25] Wen X-G (2003) Quantum Orders in an Exact Soluble Model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**: 016803 (4 pages).
- [26] Godsil C D, Holton D A, McKay B D (1977) The spectrum of a graph in *Lecture Notes in Math.* **622**, 91 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin).
- [27] Affleck I, Kennedy T, Lieb E H, Tasaki H (1988) Valence bond ground states in isotropic quantum antiferromagnets. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **115**: 477-528; Kennedy T, Tasaki H (1992) Hidden symmetry breaking and the Haldane phase in S=1 quantum spin chains. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147**: 431-484.
- [28] Thouless D-J (1998) *Topological quantum numbers in non relativistic physics* (World Scientific, Singapore).
- [29] Ortiz G, Martin R M (1994) Macroscopic polarization as a geometric quantum phase: Many-body formulation. *Phys. Rev. B* **49**: 14202-14210; Ortiz G, Ordejón G P, Martin R M, Chiappe G (1996) Quantum phase transitions involving a change in polarization. *Phys. Rev. B* **54**: 13515-13528; Aligia A A, Ortiz G (1999) Quantum Mechanical Position Operator and Localization in Extended Systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**: 2560-2563; Aligia A A, Hallberg K, Batista C D, Ortiz G (2000) Phase diagrams from topological transitions: The Hubbard chain with correlated hopping. *Phys. Rev. B* **61**: 7883-7886.
- [30] Chen H-D, Nussinov Z (2008) Exact results of the Kitaev model on a hexagonal lattice: spin states, string and brane correlators, and anyonic excitations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41**: 075001 (22 pages).
- [31] Aharonov Y, Schwartz M (1985) Quantum topodynamics (QTD). *Phys. Lett. B* **157**: 57-59.
- [32] Nussinov Z, Ortiz G (2009) Bond algebras and exact solvability of Hamiltonians: Spin S=(1/2) multilayer systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**: 214440 (12 pages) (in particular, see also footnote (20) therein.)
- [33] Anderson PW, Yuval G, Hamann DR (1970) Exact Results in the Kondo problem. II. Scaling Theory, Qualitatively Correct Solution, and Some New Results on One-Dimensional Classical Statistical Models. *Phys Rev B* **1**: 4464-4473.
- [34] Rokhsar DS, Kivelson SA (1988) Superconductivity and the Quantum Hard-Core Dimer Gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**: 2376-2379.
- [35] Nogueira FS, Nussinov Z (2009) Renormalization, duality, and phase transitions in two- and three-dimensional quantum dimer models. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**: 104413 (14 pages).