Bogoliubov modes of a dipolar condensate in a cylindrical trap

Shai Ronen

JILA and Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0440

Daniele C.E.Bortolotti

JILA and Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0440 and LENS and Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita di Firenze, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

John L.Bohn

JILA, N IST, and D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of C obrado, B oulder, CO 80309-0440 (D ated: M arch 23, 2022)

The calculation of properties of B ose-E instein condensates with dipolar interactions has proven a computationally intensive problem due to the long range nature of the interactions, limiting the scope of applications. In particular, the lowest lying B ogoliubov excitations in three dimensional harm onic trap with cylindrical sym metry were so far computed in an indirect way, by Fourier analysis of time dependent perturbations, or by approxim ate variationalm ethods. We have developed a very fast and accurate numerical algorithm based on the Hankel transform for calculating properties of dipolar B ose-E instein condensates in cylindrically sym metric traps. As an application, we are able to compute many excitation modes by directly solving the B ogoliubov-D e G ennes equations. We explore the behavior of the excited modes in di erent trap geometries. We use these results to calculate the quantum depletion of the condensate by a combination of a computation of the exact m odes and the use of a local density approximation.

I. IN TRODUCTION

The realization of a Bose-E instein condensate (BEC) of 52 Cr [1] marks a major development in degenerate quantum gases in that the inter-particle interaction via magnetic dipoles in this BEC is much larger than those in alkali atoms, and leads to an observable change in the shape of the condensate. The long range nature and anisotropy of the dipolar interaction pose challenging questions about the stability of the BEC and brings about unique phenomena, such as roton-m axon spectrum and di erent phases of vortex lattices. They also present a signi cant theoretical challenge, especially for the calculation of excitations [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

For N bosons in an external trap potential $V_{ext}(r)$ at very low temperatures, the condensate can be described using m ean-eld theory [12, 13]. All the particles in the condensate then have the sam e wave function (r), which is described by the follow ing time-dependent

G ross-P itaevskii equation (GPE):

..

$$i\frac{(l (r;t))}{(lt)} = \frac{h^2}{2m}r^2 + U(r) + Z = \frac{m^2}{2m}r^2 + U(r) + \frac{\pi^2}{(lt)}r^2 + \frac{\pi^2$$

where r is the displacement from the trap center, m is the atom ic mass, and the function is normalized to unit norm. We shall consider the case of a cylindrical harm onic trap, with U (r) = $\frac{1}{2}$ (! 2 + ! 2_z z²). For dipolar interactions the potential V (r) m ay be written [2, 4]:

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{4 h^2 a}{m} (\mathbf{r}) + d^2 \frac{1 3 \cos^2}{r^3}; \qquad (2)$$

where a is the scattering length, d the dipole m om ent, r the distance between the dipoles, and the angle between the vector r and the dipole axis, which we shall take to be aligned along the trap axis. Note that in general a depends on the dipole m om ent [2, 4, 12, 13]. This observation is important in an experim ental setup where the dipole m om ent is tuned by an external eld. In the present work we shall rather assume that a and d are either xed or m ay be tuned independently.

For the following we denote the transverse harmonic oscillator length $a_{ho} = \frac{h}{m!}$, and the two dimensionless interaction parameters $s = (N - 1)\frac{a}{a_{ho}}$ and $D = (N - 1)\frac{m}{h^2}\frac{d^2}{a_{ho}}$. We work in scaled units where h = m = 1.

Eq. (1) with the potential Eq. (2) is an integro-di erential equation. The integral term $d^2 dr^0 \frac{1 3 \cos^2}{r r^0 r^0} j (r^0) f$ needs special attention due to the apparent divergence of the dipolar potential at sm all distances. M oreover, it is non-local and requires a computationally expensive 3-dimensional convolution. Two di erent procedures [4, 7] have been proposed to deal with this problem . In Ref. [7], Fourier transform ation to momentum space was employed to perform the convolution and avoid the divergence, but the calculation still had to be performed in 3D even for cylindrical symmetry. In Ref. [4] the cylindrical symmetry of the system was utilized to compute a 2D analytic expression for the convolution kernel in real space, which, how ever, required further computationally intensive numerical

E lectronic address: bohn@murphy.colorado.edu

sm oothing in order to avoid the r = 0 singularity. In either case, it seems that the computations remain quite intensive, and this may be the reason why calculations of low lying excitation modes [5, 7] were performed only via spectral analysis of time dependent perturbations. These calculations were time consuming and num erically challenging.

In this paper, we present a new algorithm based on Hankel transform for calculating properties of dipolar condensates in cylindrical traps which combines the advantages of the two methods above: namely, both a transform to momentum space and utilization of the cylindrical symmetry to work in two dimensions. In addition, we exam ine the accuracy of the previous 3D Fourier transform algorithm and show that it does not achieve high spectral accuracy as might have been expected. We analyze the reason for this and suggests a simple correction that provides high accuracy. The same correction also applies to our new 2D algorithm.

The ground state is found by m inim izing the total energy, which is often done by propagating the wavefunction in imaginary time. We nd that this method is slow. Instead, we use a highly e cient m inim ization technique, conjugate-gradients, to further speed up the calculation of the ground state, resulting in a typical computation time of fraction of a second on a present day PC.Finally, we apply our new algorithm to a fast and e cient calculation of m any excited m odes via direct solution of the B ogoliubov-D e G ennes (BdG) equations. C alculation of tens of m odes is achieved in a span of a few seconds to a few m inutes. We also compute the quantum depletion at T = 0 due to the dipolar interaction.

II. THE ALGORITHM

Following [7], the calculation of the dipolar interaction integral can be simplied by means of the convolution theorem. Let $n(r) = j(r)j^2$ be the density per particle at r and let $V_D(r) = (3z^2 = r^2 \quad 1) = r^3$. Let n(k) and $V_D(k)$ be their Fourier transforms, ie

$$r(k) = dr exp(ik r)n(r);$$
(3)

etc. Then the mean eld $_{\rm D}$ (r) at the point r due to the dipolar interaction is:

$$\sum_{D} (\mathbf{r}) = d\mathbf{r}^{0} V_{D} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{0}) \mathbf{n} (\mathbf{r}^{0}) = \mathbf{F}^{-1} \nabla_{D} (\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{n} (\mathbf{k}) ; (4)$$

where F $^1\,$ is inverse Fourier transform . The Fourier transform of $V_D\,$ may be found by expanding exp (ik $\,$ r) in a series of spherical harm on ics and spherical B essel functions (the usual expansion of a free planar wave in free spherical waves), where only the Y_{20} term gives non-zero contribution. The result is [7]:

$$\hat{\nabla}_{D}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{4}{3} 3k_{z}^{2} = k^{2} 1 = \frac{4}{3} 3\cos^{2} 1;$$
 (5)

where is the angle between k and the z axis.

It has also been shown in Ref. [7] that a cuto of the dipolar interaction at small r in real space is not im – portant when the calculation is performed in momentum space, since it a ects only very high momenta which are not sampled. n(k) can be numerically evaluated from n(r) by means of a standard fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithm. This method is quite general, and has the speed advantage of using FFT. It is similar to the calculation of the kinetic energy to high accuracy by Fourier transform of the wavefunction to momentum space and multiplication by $k^2=2$.

W e wish to consider traps with cylindrical sym m etry, for which the the projection of the angular momentum on the z-axis is a conserved quantity. The eigenstates may then be written

$$(;;z) = \exp(im)G(;z);$$
 (6)

with (;z;) the usual cylindrical coordinates, and m an integer. For the ground state m is zero, but we would also like to consider m > 0 excitations.

The 3D FFT cannot take direct advantage of the cylindrical symmetry. The key idea of the new algorithm is the observation that for a function of the form (6), the 2D Fourier transform in the (x;y) plane is reduced, after integration over , to a 1D H ankel (or B essel) transform of order m [14]:

$$(k;k;z) = 2 i^{m} e^{im k} G(;z)J_{m}(k) d; (7)$$

where $J_m(x)$ is the Bessel function of order m. Thus, a combination of Hankel transform in the transverse () direction and Fourier transform in the axial (z) direction, which we shall call here the discrete Hankel-Fourier transform (DHFT), can be used to move between spatial and momentum spaces. The wavefunction need only be speci ed on a two dimensional grid in (;z) coordinates. The transform can be used to compute both the dipolar interaction energy and the kinetic energy.

Interestingly, there exist fast Hankel transform s [15, 16, 17] [45]. These algorithms perform a discrete transform of N radial points in time complexity of O (N log(N)), just as for 1D fast Fourier transform (we use N also to indicate number of particles. The meaning should be clear from the context). However, they require sam pling the transform ed function on a logarithm ically spaced grid. Thus the function is oversam pled at sm all radii. O ur experience with the fast algorithm [16] is that it works well for one transform, but requires careful attention and tuning to avoid increasing num erical errors when applied m any times over in the energy m in im ization process (for an early attempt of applying the method [15]

for a scattering problem, see [18]). For this reason, W e have selected as our m ethod of choice a discrete H ankel transform (DHT) with grid sam pling based on zeros of the Bessel function [19, 20, 21, 22, 23] [46]. W e found it to be accurate and robust. This algorithm is of com plexity $O (N^2)$. The non-uniform sam pling might seem unusual at rst, but is actually of great advantage in that, as we shall show, it allows a highly accurate integration form ula, rem iniscent of G aussian-quadrature. A libough for large grids the DHT is slower than the fast H ankel transform s m entioned above, we nd that in practice it is faster for radial grid sam pling of up to 32 points.

A. The discrete H ankel transform

W e shallnow describe the DHT.The Hankeltransform of order m of a function f(r) is de ned by:

$$f'(k) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} f()J_{m}(k) d:$$
 (8)

The inverse transform is obtained by $\sin p \ln p$ exchanging f and f along with and k.

Let us assume that the function f(r) is practically zero for r R, and f'(k) is practically zero for k K. Let g() be sampled at N points

$$j = m_j = K; j = 1; ...; N$$
 (9)

where $\mbox{ }_{m\ j}$ is the j'th root of J_m (). Let f'(k) be sampled at N points

$$k_i = m_i = R; i = 1; ...; N:$$
 (10)

Then Eq. (8) is approximated [21] by the discrete sum :

$$f'(k_{i}) = \frac{2}{K^{2}} \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{f(j)}{J_{m+1}^{2}(m_{j})} J_{m} \left(\frac{m_{j} m_{i}}{S} \right); \quad (11)$$

where S = RK. Eq. (11) can be written in a more convenient form by de ning

$$F (j) = \frac{R}{jJ_{m+1}(m_j)j} f(m_j=K);$$

$$F (j) = \frac{K}{jJ_{m+1}(m_j)j} f(m_j=R);$$

thus Eq. (11) reduces to

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{N}}} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}j} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{j}); \qquad (12)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{2J_{m} (m_{i} m_{j} = S)}{jJ_{m+1} (m_{i})jJ_{m+1} (m_{j})jS}$$
(13)

de nes the elements of an N xN $\,$ transform ation matrix T .

T is a real, N xN symmetric matrix. Note also that it depends on S. Imposing the boundary conditions f (R) = f'(K) = 0 requires S = $_{m,N+1}$ (then $T_{(N+1),j}$) = 0). Since the Hankel transform is the inverse of itself, we should require T to be unitary for self-consistency. In fact, with S = $_{m,N+1}$, T is found to be very close to being unitary [20]. E.g., for N = 50 and m = 0 3, jdet[T]j 1 < 10⁸, and the unitarity is better with larger N. If an exactly unitary matrix T is desired, it has been suggested [23] using B = (T^VTT)¹⁼² T instead of T. But in num erical tests it was found that in practice essentially the same high accuracy was obtained with T as with B.

In practice, we rst determ ine an appropriate R for the function, and some convenient N. Then de ne S = m_{N+1} , and K = S=R. N should be chosen such that f(k) is as small as desired for k K.

B. Quadrature-like integration form ula

W hen the integrals in Eq. (1) are evaluated in cylindrical coordinates, we are required to calculate an integral of the form :

$$I[f] = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} f() d$$
 (14)

An important benet of sampling the function f() according to Eq. (9) is that we are able to derive a highly accurate approximation to this integral. Let f(k) be the m'th order Hankel transform of f(), Eq. (8). We shall st assume that f() is band limited. That is, we assume that there exist K such that

$$f'(k) = 0 (k > K)$$
: (15)

Then I [f] is given exactly by the following series:

$$I[f] = \frac{2}{K^2} \frac{X^{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1}{J_{m+1}^{2}(m_{i})}} f(m_{i}=K):$$
(16)

W e give a simple proof of this form ula. First, note that by Eq. (8), I[f] = f'(0). Expand f'(k) on [0;K] in a Fourier-Bessel series [14] to nd:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{2}{K^2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{1}{J_{m+1}(m_i)^2} \mathbf{f}(m_i = K) J_m(m_i k = K)$$

Substitution of k=0 gives in m ediately Eq. (16). This form ula has appeared relatively recently in the computationalm athem atics literature [24, 25, 26]. It has been shown to be intim ately related to G aussian quadrature. In G aussian quadrature, the sam pling points are roots of orthogonal polynom ials, while here they are roots of the B essel function $J_{\rm m}~(K_{\rm c})$.

The wavefunctions of interest in our problem are not strictly zero for k > K, but they typically decrease exponentially for large k. Moreover, as the wavefunction decreases exponentially in space, the in nite series can be truncated to provide the following approximate formula:

$$I[f] = \frac{2}{K^2} \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{J_{m+1}(\sum_{m i})^2} f(\sum_{m i=K}):$$
(18)

In our application, N and K are the same as those given above for the DHT. The approximation converges exponentially to the exact value with increasing N and R.

$\ensuremath{\mathtt{C}}$. A ccuracy of calculating the dipolar interaction energy

Before continuing to applications, we re-exam ine the accuracy of the 3D FFT method [7]. The behavior discussed below appears also in the 2D method, but the analysis in the 3D case is easier. From the similarity to the calculation of kinetic energy with spectral accuracy, which typically achieves machine precision with a sm all num ber of grid points, we expected that the dipolar interaction energy will also be calculated to this high accuracy. We nd that the relative accuracy, typically varying between 1E-5 to 1E-2, is enough for practical purposes, but not as accurate as m ight be expected. A detailed analysis is given in the appendix. The reason for the num erical errors is traced down to the discontinuity of V_D (k), Eq. (5), at the origin. An improved accuracy, by at least two orders of m agnitude and up to machine precision, is obtained by using, instead of Eq. (5), the Fourier transform of a a dipolar interaction truncated to zero outside a sphere of radius R, where the spatial grid dim ensions are [R;R] [R;R] [R;R]. Thus, Eq. (A.4) replaces Eq. (5). Note that this truncation of the dipolar potential has no physical e ect on the system , as long as R is greater than the condensate size. For a pancake trap it is advantageous to use a grid of dimensions [P;P] [P;P] [Z;Z] with Z < P. For Z < P = 2 we nd that it is preferable to use the Fourier transform of dipolar interaction truncated to zero for jz j > Z, Eq. (A.5). These modi cations apply also to the 2D case.

III. GROUND STATE OF A DIPOLAR CONDENSATE

For nding the ground state of dipolar BEC, the wavefunction is sampled on a 2D grid ($_{i};z_{j}$), with $_{i}$ determined from Eq. (9), and z_{j} evenly sampled. Since the ground state is symmetric in z, it is enough to sample z 0. The FFT in the z direction is then performed as a fast cosine transform [27], for which we used the FFTW software package [47]. The fast cosine transform uses the property of the wavefunction being real and symmetric to enhance speed by a factor of 4 compared to standard complex FFT.

The most commonly practiced method to obtain the ground state is propagation of an initial guess of the wavefunction in imaginary time, using Eq. (1) with t!

it. This method is robust but slow, though the reduction of the problem to 2D speeds it up considerably. We obtained a further substantial gain in speed by adopting, instead, direct m inim ization of the total energy using the conjugate-gradients technique [27]. This technique has become popular in density functional theory calculations, and a review of it appears in Ref. [28]. A previous application to BEC vortices is found in Ref. [29], which we closely follow. The GP energy functional is given by

$$E[;] = dr (r)H_{0} (r) + \frac{N - 1}{2} dr dr^{0} j^{2} (r^{0}) J (r - r^{0}) j^{2} (r) j; (19)$$

where the H am iltonian operator H $_{\rm 0}\,$ contains the kinetic and the trap potential term s

$$H_{0} = \frac{h^{2}r^{2}}{2m} + U(r); \qquad (20)$$

and the condensate wave function f(x) = 0 obeys the norm alization condition f(x) = 0

k k drj (r)
$$j^2 = 1$$
: (21)

The essence of the conjugate-gradients method is minimizing the energy, Eq. (19) by successive linem inim izations along optim ally chosen directions. The initial direction is along the gradient, but in the (n + 1)'th step the new direction is a judicious linear combination of the new gradient and the previous (n'th) direction. This 'm em ory' property provides the algorithm with a better feeling (so to speak) for the shape of the energy surface, and faster convergence is achieved compared to simply following the present gradient at each step. An important feature for our specic problem is that that each line-m in im ization step can be done analytically. A nother in portant point is the need to ensure that, just like in imaginary time propagation, we reach the local minimum nearest to the initial guess, rather than a global m in im um, which m ay be a collapsed state. D etails of the in plem entation are given in the appendix.

The algorithm using the DHFT and the conjugategradients m inimization was implemented in M atlab, and its correctness verified by comparing to the published results in the literature and to an independent code im – plementing the 3D m ethod of [7] with imaginary time propagation. In a typical application, the grid consists of 32x32 points, covering the domain [0;8] [0;8] in the (;z) coordinates. The starting guess is a suiciently wide G aussian. We have checked the numerical convergence of the ground state energy with respect to increasing the grid resolution and its size. G enerally, convergence will depend on the parameters of the problem, but

5

in m ost cases this grid already achieves convergence to very high accuracy. As a benchm ark, the harm onic oscillator energy in a spherical trap $(! = !_z = 1)$ is obtained to accuracy of 10¹⁴ with the above grid. For a dipolar BEC with the interaction parameters s = 1 and D = 3, we not that the energy is converged to the same accuracy, 10¹⁴, with respect to increasing the resolution and size of the grid. The runtim e for this com putation on our PC is 0.5 seconds.

IV. BOGOLIUBOV-DE GENNES EXCITATIONS

A. Form ulation

W e now turn our attention to com puting excitations of the condensate by direct solution of the BdG equations (see, e.g., [30], for the case of a short range potential). W e rst derive the BdG equations for the dipolar case by analyzing the linear stability of the tim e-dependent GPE about a stationary state $_0$ (r). W e write

$$(r;t) = [0(r) + #(r;t)]e^{it};$$
 (22)

where is the chem ical potential of the stationary state, and # is a sm all quantity for which we look for a solution of the form :

$$\#(r;t) = (u(r)e^{i!t} + (r)e^{i!t});$$
 (23)

where ! is the frequency of the oscillation, the am – plitude of the perturbation (<< 1), and u and are

norm alized according to:

Z
$$dr [u^{2}(r) \quad {}^{2}(r)] = 1$$
: (24)

By collecting the term s linear in and evolving in time like e $^{i!t}$ and $e^{i!t}$, one obtains the follow ing pair of BdG equations:

!u(r) =			Z						
[H ₀	+	(N	1)	dr^0	0 (r ⁰)	V (r	r ⁰)	₀ (r ⁰)]u	(r) +
N	Z 1)	dr ⁰	~ (r ^{0,})V (r	r ⁰)1	(r^0)	o (r)	+	
μ.	z,	ů.	0 (-)	/	1 /0	· (±)	0 (±)		
(N	1)	dr ⁰	0 (r ⁰))V (r	r ⁰)	(r ⁰)	0(r)	;	(25)
! (r) = Z									
[H ₀	+	(N	1)	dr^0	0 (r ⁰)	V (r	r ⁰)	₀ (r ⁰)]	(r)+
ίNī	Z 1)	dr ⁰	(r^0)	W (r	r ⁰)	(r^{0})	. (r)	+	
μı	τ, Ζ	άĽ	0 (т.	/	-)	(_)	U (L)		
(N	1)	dr^0	₀ (r ⁰))V (r	r ⁰)u	(r ⁰)	0(r)	;	

where $H_0 = \frac{1}{2}r^2 + U(r)$, and V(r) is given by Eq. (2). This linear system may be expressed more succinctly by the matrix form

with

$$D \qquad dr^{0} \ _{0} (r^{0}) V_{D} (r \ r^{0}) (r^{0}) \ _{0} (r) + s \ _{0}^{2} (r) (r);$$

for = u; . The C operator describes the usual direct interaction, while the X operator describes exchange interaction between an excited quasi-particle and the con-

densate. Note that, in the same notation, the stationary state $_0$ satisfies (H $_0$ + C) $_0 = 0$. In Eqs. (27) we have chosen the phase of $_0$ so that it is real valued.

By making the change of variables $u = \frac{1}{2}$ (f g) and $= \frac{1}{2}$ (f + g), Eq. (26) is transformed into the more convenient form

The eigenvalues ! come in pairs: if ! is an eigenvalue of Eq. (28) with eigenfunction (f;g), then ! is an eigenvalue with eigenfunction (f; g). This originates in the symmetry of Eq. (23) under the exchange of u and with ! ! !.

Taking the square of the matrix in Eq. (28) gives a block diagonal matrix, and as a result we obtain the two

$$(H_0 + C)(H_0 + C + 2X)f = !^2f;$$
 (29a)

$$(H_0 + C + 2X)(H_0 + C)q = !^2q$$
; (29b)

For nding the eigenvalues $!^2$, it is su cient to solve one of these equations. If one solves the the equation for f (or g), then the corresponding solution g (or f) for the same ! can be obtained via Eq. (28), provided ! $\stackrel{6}{\bullet}$ 0. Note that $g = _0$ is a solution of Eq. (29b) with != 0. This neutral mode is due to the arbitrariness in xing the phase of _0. Eq. (29a) also has a neutralm ode [31]. For a stable ground state, all eigenvalues ! are real and the excitation energy of one particle into a given mode is given by (the positive signed) !. In this case the functions u and are real valued. The appearance of negative !² solutions of Eqs. (29), i.e. com plex !, indicates instability of the condensate.

In our application we nd the eigenvalues of Eq. (29a)by rst discretizing f on a 2D grid, as described for the ground state 0 in section III. The eigenstates may be classied as odd or even with respect to relection through the x-y plane. Thus, as in the case of the ground state, only the positive z sem i-axis need to be sam pled. The calculation of the integrals with V_D (r) in Eqs. (27) is performed in momentum space via the use of Eq. (4) (with the appropriate re-interpretation of $n(r^0)$ there) and the DHFT. The excitation modes with m > 0 require special attention, and we refer the reader to the appendix for their treatment. As part of the DHFT we need the Fourier transform in the z direction. This is perform ed by a fast cosine transform for even parity, and fast sine transform for odd parity [27], for which we used the FFTW software package.

Since (as we nd) many excited modes may be obtained to very high accuracy with relatively small grids (32x32 to 64x64), it is feasible to construct the matrix elem ents of A (H₀ + C)(H₀ + C + 2X) and diagonalize it. Since we are only interested in the lowest energy eigenstates, the A moldimethod [32] is a much more e cient method, which is also applicable to much larger, 3D grids [31]. It is an iterative m ethod that requires at each iteration only the action of A on the vector f, and the fullm atrix A itselfneed not be constructed. It is particularly e ective for sparse matrices. In our case, A is not sparse, but it has a special structure: parts of it are diagonal in space and other parts (the kinetic energy as well as the dipolar parts of C and X) are diagonal in momentum space. The use of DHFT enables the e cient calculation of its action on f without ever forming the full matrix of A in one particular basis. Interestingly, this is the sam e property that m akes the tim e-dependent propagation technique [33] appealing for calculating the spectrum of excitations: in that case, only successive operations with the Ham iltonian on the wavefunction are necessary. However, combined use of linearization (i.e

BdG equations) and the A moldim ethod should be much more e cient, even in the 3D case. O focurse, non-linear e ects, which in principle can be probed by the timedependent m ethod, cannot be studied by use of the BdG equations alone. More im plem entation details are given in the appendix.

For com pleteness of the discussion, we com pare in the next subsection the exact num erical solution of the BdG equations as obtained with our new m ethod, with som e low lying m odes com puted with the tim e-dependent variational m ethod [4, 5, 7, 34]. In the variational m ethod, one assumes a tim e-dependent G aussian ansatz:

$$(r;t) = A(t) e^{[0(t)]^2 = 2w^2 + i(t) + i^2(t)}; (30)$$
$$= x_i y_i z$$

with the parameters A (com plex am plitude), w (width), $_{0}$ (center of cloud), and are variational param eters. The resulting equations of motion give the equilibrium widths (variational Gaussian solution of the timeindependent GP equation) and frequencies of som e low lying modes. The modes that are described by the ansatz ofEq. (30) are, rst, the three \sloshing" or K ohn m odes corresponding to the movem ent of the center of the cloud $_0$. These are found to have the frequencies ! and $!_z$ of the harm onic oscillator and are not a ected by the interaction. In fact, Kohn's theorem [35] proves that the exact solution for these modes gives the same harm onic oscillator frequencies, and are not a ected by the interaction. To understand this, consider a sm all displacem ent of the center of the mass of the cloud without changing its shape. The inter-cloud forces are then unchanged, while the restoring force due to the harm onic trap is proportional to the displacem ent and is the same throughout the cloud. This results in a classical harm onic motion of the cloud as a whole. The constant frequency of these modes will provide a good check on the num erical accuracy of our algorithm . Secondly, one obtains three collective modes describing the oscillation of the widths, two m odes w ith m = 0 and one w ith m = 2. In the ideal gas lim it they correspond to m = 0 modes with frequencies 2! and $2!_{z}$, and m = 2 m ode with frequency 2!. These m odes have been illustrated graphically in [4, 5, 7], with the two m = 0 modes described as the breathing mode and the quadrupole mode.

B. Behavior and shape of BdG excitations

The parameter space of the dipolar BEC problem in a cylindrical trap is 3 dimensional: we have the aspect ratio of the trap, $\frac{1}{2}$, the dipolar parameter D , and the contact interaction parameter s. In this work we shall concentrate on dominant dipole-dipole interactions, and set the contact interaction to zero. We explore the behavior of the BdG modes with varying dipole-dipole interaction strength in di erent trap geometries, from pancake shaped to cigar shaped. For orientation, consider a



FIG. 1: Excitations frequencies as function of the dipole parameter pD for dipolar BEC in the JILA pancake trap $(!_z=!=8)$, with zero scattering length. P lotted are modes with m = 0 4. The 3 lines that extend to higher D are the variational results (cf. Fig. 2 of Ref. [7]).

 52 Cr gas [1] with m agnetic dipole m om ent 6 $_{\rm B}$. A ssum e it is con ned in a trap with ! = 2 200 Hz. Then D = 0:0024 (N 1). E.g. for N = 1000 atom s, D = 2:4. W e assume that it would be possible, through a Feshbach resonance, to m ake the scattering length zero [36, 37].

Let us rst consider a BEC in a JILA pancake trap [38] with $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{8}$. The results are presented in Fig. 1. For D = 0 we retrieve the ideal-gas results $\frac{!}{!} = n_z^{r} \overline{8} + n$ with n_z ; n = 0; 1; 2; \ldots The lowest mode has m = 1and corresponds to a transverse Kohn mode with frequency ! (in fact these are two degenerate m odes: m = 1and m = -1, or alternatively, sloshing motion in the x and y directions). The frequency is evidently constant as a function of D, in agreem ent with Kohn's theorem. Sim ilarly, the second lowest $m = 0 \mod a$ K ohn m ode in the z direction with frequency ! z. Next, consider the two modes that converge to ! = 2! in the ideal-gas $\lim it.$ One of them has m = 0 (solid line) and the second m = 2 (dashed line). The m = 0 mode is shifted down in frequency with increasing D while the m = 2mode is shifted up. The m = 0 mode goes to zero at D = 3.87. This point m arks the collapse of the condensate: for higher value of D , there is no stable solution of the GPE. These two modes are also described by the variationalm ethod outlined above, with good agreem ent with the exact num erical results up to about D = 22. However, the variational method signi cantly overestimates the D for collapse (giving D = 4:87 at collapse). Our exact num erical results are in agreem ent with the numerical results for these two modes obtained in Ref. [7] using the time-dependent response of the system to extemal perturbation, and m oreover, we are able to resolve them right down to the collapse point.

The behavior of the next few low modes is also inter-



FIG.2: Excitations frequencies as function of the dipole parameter D for dipolar BEC in a spherical trap with zero scattering length. Plotted are modes with m = 0 4. The 3 lines that extend to higher D are the variational results.

esting: som e m odes of di erent sym m etries cross each other, while two modes (one of the them the third m = 0m ode) converge together near to collapse. Note also the 5'th m = 0 mode, with the ideal-gas frequency of $2!_z$. This mode is also described by the variational method. W e see that the lowest m = 0 variational mode is also the lowest m = 0 exact m ode, but the second variational m = 0 m ode is much higher, and between them there are two m = 0 modes (excluding the Kohn mode), as well as other m > 0 m odes, which are not described at all by the variational method. As mentioned above, the variationalm ethod obtains only two m = 0 m odes (excluding a Kohn mode) that approach 2! and $2!_z$ in the ideal gas $\lim it$. But for $!_z > 2!$ there are at least two other (non-Kohn) m = 0 modes that are between them, and approach 2! + $!_z$ and 4! in the ideal gas lim it.

It is worth noting the computational e ciency of our algorithm in obtaining these BdG results. We obtained 100 converged modes for a given dipole moment and m in about half a minute on our PC, with a grid size of N xN_z = 34x64.

Let us now consider the case of a spherical trap $! = !_z !_0$, Fig. 2. The low est excitation (in the ideal gas lim it) consists of three degenerate K ohn modes corresponding to l = 1 with m = 0; 1;+1. Note that the K ohn frequencies are constant and maintain their degeneracy for D > 0, even though the dipolar interaction does not conserve the total angular momentum, and the ground state shape is elongated in the z direction. Next, consider the 4 modes that converge to $2!_0$: two with m = 0, and another two with m = 1 and m = 2. Actually, there are six, accounting for the degeneracy with negative m. In the ideal gas lim it these correspond to ve degenerate l = 2 modes and one l = 0 mode. The low est m = 0 of these causes the collapse of the condensate at



FIG.3: Excitations frequencies as function of the dipole parameter D for dipolar BEC in a cigar trap with $!_z = ! = 5=6$ and with zero scattering length. Plotted are modes with m = 0 4. The 3 lines that extend to higher D are the variational results.

D = 4:19. Note that this mode crosses the three K ohn m odes. This is possible whenever there are two di erent m modes or two modes with the same m but di erent parity with respect to the symmetry z ! z. The two m = 0 modes and the m = 2 mode are also described by the variational method. The variational frequencies agree quite well with the exact ones up to D 3, although, again, the variational method overestimates the critical D for collapse.

N ote that an isotropic short range interaction that conserves 1 would only split the degenerate ideal gas levels into di erent 1m odes. Thus, if there is a dom inant short range interaction and a sm aller dipolar interaction in a spherical trap, the dipolar interaction would not just shift the levels, but also split them into non-degenerate states of di erent jm j. This could provide an interesting and unam biguous experimental signature of dipolar interaction e ects. In such an experiment, it would be important to verify the spherical harm onicity of the trap, to exclude splitting due to anisotropy of the trap or nonharm onicity. This could be accomplished by measuring the K ohn (i.e., sloshing, or dipole) modes.

W e move now to a slightly cigar shaped trap, with $!_z=! = 5=6$ (Fig. 3); Here, the collapse occurs at D = 4:32. The interesting feature here is the avoided crossing between the second and third m = 0 m odes. W e nd that in the avoided crossing the nature of the lowest m ode changes from quadrupole-like m ode for small D to a breathing m ode close to collapse. How ever, we found the same change in the nature of the lowest m ode also in a spherical trap, where there is no such avoided crossing (see below. See also [7] for discussion of the nature of the m odes within the variationalm ethod [48]).

Finally, consider the JILA cigar trap [38] with $!_z = ! = 1 = 8$, Fig. 4. Here collapse occurs at D = 4.83. The



FIG. 4: Excitations frequencies as function of the dipole parameter D for dipolar BEC in a JILA cigar trap (! $_z$ =! = 1= $\frac{1}{8}$) with zero scattering length. Plotted are modes with m = 0 4. The 3 lines that extend to higher D are the variational results (cf. Fig. 3 of Ref. [7]).



FIG.5: The spatial density perturbation f = u + v (see text) for the lowest (non K ohn) m ode in a spherical trap. Left: for D = 1.0, right: for D = 4.1, close to collapse. The nodal line is the thick line.

two m = 0 m odes which are described by the variational m ethod have now a wide gap in energy and there is no longer a clear avoided crossing between them. There is an interesting pattern of crossing between some of the higher m odes. Note again, that the lowest variational m ode is the one that leads to collapse, but the two other two variationalm odes lie above others which are not accounted for by the variational ansatz.

We can also exam ine the shape and nature of the BdG eigenmodes. The two eigenmode functions u and

are real, and determ ine the time dependent oscillation through Eqs. (22) and (23). The oscillation of the density $(r;t) = j (r;t) \hat{j}$ is then given, to linear order in u; , by $(r;t) = 2(u(r) + (r))\cos(!t)$. The function f = u + therefore gives the shape of the density oscillations.

As an example, consider the lowest (non Kohn) mode in the spherical trap, the mode that goes to zero in Fig.2 and leads to the collapse of the BEC. In Fig. 5 we draw the contour plot of f for this mode, for two values of D, one small, and one close to the collapse point. If we exam ine the nodal line (f(r) = 0, heavy line), we seethat for small D it forms an open, hyperbolic-like contour. This signi es a quadrupole-like mode. In contrast, close to collapse, the nodal line form s a closed, elliptic contour, typical of a breathing m ode. W e conclude that the nature of the mode changes from quadrupole-like for small D to a breathing mode for D close to collapse. This conclusion is in agreement with analysis based on the variational method [7]. In between, the nodal line is parallel to the z axis, and the eigenmode character is essentially that of a pure transverse () excitation.

C. Collective versus single-particle excitations

Let us now exam ine in m ore detail the structure of the BdG excitations spectrum for a speci c case. In Fig. 6 we show the spectrum evaluated for a spherical trap with D = 4. Each state is characterized by angular m om entum projection m, and by even (positive) parity or odd (negative) parity with respect to rejection z ! z. The BdG states are represented by thick solid bars.

The BdG modes in each column of Fig. 6 are grouped in multiplets with increasing near degeneracy of 1; 2; 3; ::: near the harm onic oscillator frequencies. In the ideal-gas lim it these groups becom e exactly degenerate. Note that the for the 0^+ column the two lowest modes (which are far apart) become degenerate (with frequency $2!_0$) at the ideal gas lim it. The splitting between the groups in each given column is approximately 2! 0. The splitting between the towers of even and odd modes of the same m is approximately $!_0$. In the ideal gas limit, the tower of states with m^+ is degenerate with that of (m - 1). We can classify the states in the ideal gas lim it by (l;nr), with l the total angular m om entum , n_r the num ber of radial nodes (not counting a node at r = 0), and with energy $(2n_r + 1)h!_0$. The towers of m⁺ and (m 1) states become, in the ideal gas $\lim it$, a tower of $(l;n_r)$ states as follows: the lowest state is $(l;n_r) = (m;0)$. Above it there is a pair (m + 2;0); (m;2) degenerate in the ideal gas $\lim it$, followed by a triplet (m + 4;0); (m + 2;2); (m;4) and so on. Here, the ordering of the states inside each multiplet is conventional only and does not indicate their order of increasing energy when split by the interaction.

The BdG eigenmodes are given by the pair u; corresponding to positive and negative frequencies. A collective mode is characterized by non negligible com – ponent. It describes excitation of a quasi-particle, as opposed to an excitation of a single particle. However, the high-energy part of the spectrum is expected to be well reproduced by a single-particle description in the



FIG.6: Excitation spectrum in a spherical trap with D = 4. The eigenenergies of BdG equations (26) are represented by thick solid bars. D otted bars correspond to the single-particle spectrum of the HF H am iltonian (31).

m ean-eld approximation [30, 39], since the condensate as a whole has little time to respond to the fast oscillations of a single particle in a high-frequency mode. The single-particle, or Hartree-Fock (HF) picture is obtained by neglecting the coupling between u and in Eq. (25). This corresponds to setting = 0 in the rst equation of Eq. (25), which then reduces to the eigenvalue problem ($H_{\rm HF}$) = !u, with the HF Ham iltonian

$$H_{HF} = H_0 + C + X$$
: (31)

In this case, the eigenfunctions u(r) satisfy the norm alization condition $u_i(r)u_i(r) = i_i$.

The spectrum of H $_{\rm H\,F}$ is shown as dotted horizontal bars in Fig. 6. The general structure of the HF spectrum is very similar to that obtained with the BdG equations (25), apart from states with low energy and m, which are collective modes. Note that the HF spectrum fails to satisfy the Kohn theorem for the dipole modes. It is worth noting the good agreem ent between the HF and the BdG spectra form > 2 as well as for the odd m = 1modes. A close look at the near-degenerate groups of the even $m = 0; 1 \mod s$ shows that for the 0^+ symmetry, there is good agreem ent between the two spectra except for two modes in each group. For the 0 and 1^+ sym m etries, there is good agreem ent except for one m ode in each group. These observations can be understood as follows. In the ideal gas lim it, modes with 1 > 0, have zero am plitude at the center of the condensate, where the density is at its maximum. W ith increasing 1 the modes becom e m ore concentrated near the surface. The dipolar non-diagonal coupling term in Eq. (26) is proportional to the local ground state am plitude, and so becom es sm all for surface modes. This, assuming that modes having 1> 1 in the ideal gas lim it are well approxim ated by the

HF description, explains the pattern of Fig. 6, except for the even m = 0 tower.

To explain the fact that for the 0^+ modes we see two non single-particle m odes in each near-degenerate group, we observe that, to rst order of perturbation theory, the dipolar interaction m ixes the l = 0 and l = 2 m odes into two orthogonal linear combinations, each having some 1= 0 component. Thus, for example, the group of three 0^+ near degenerate m odes around $! = !_0 4$ corresponds to the ideal gas modes $(l;n_r) = (0;2); (2;1); (4;0)$. The rst two are mixed already in rst order of perturbation theory, so that both have som e l= 0 character, and as a consequence are not well described by the single-particle picture. This interpretation is supported by visual exam ination of the exact num erical eigenfunctions of the three states. Note that the state that goes to the (4;0) state in the ideal gas lim it is the second of the three in order of energy.

D. Quantum depletion

The quantum depletion, i.e, the number of particles \mathbb{N} out of the condensate, due to the interaction, is given by the B ogoliubov theory as:

$$\tilde{N} = drr(r); \qquad (32)$$

with the local depletion de ned by

$$n(r) = \begin{cases} X \\ j_{j}(r) \\ j \end{cases}$$
; (33)

where the \hole " components $_{j}$ are obtained by solving the BdG equations (26).

Typically, m any thousands of m odes need to be obtained in order to converge the sum in Eq. (33) [39]. A useful approximation in this context in the local density approximation (LDA) [39, 40], which is the leading order of a semi-classical approximation. It was employed for the description of BEC with a repulsive short range interaction. For an attractive short range interaction, or m ore generally, for a dipolar BEC with $d^2 > a=3$ [41], an hom ogeneous BEC is unstable to small m om entum perturbations. Thus, the LDA, which assumes local hom ogeneity, leads to unphysical com plex frequencies for sm allm om enta. How ever, it is still useful to describe the high m om entum m odes.

The LDA amounts to setting

$$u_{j}(r) ! u(p;r)e^{ip r};$$

$$_{j}(r) ! Z (p;r)e^{ip r};$$

$$X ! \frac{d^{3}p}{(2)^{3}};$$

where u(p;r); (p;r) are normalized by $j_1(p;r)^2_j$ j $(p;r)^2_j = 1$. In the sem i-classical lim it the functions u (p;r) and (p;r) are slow ly varying on the scale of the trap size, hence their derivatives are negligible. We then obtain the same structure of the BdG equations (26), with the operators H_0 ; X of Eqs. (27) replaced by their LDA versions:

$$H_{0}^{\text{ld}} = \frac{p^{2}}{2m} + U(r);$$
(X^{ld})(p;r) = (D ∇_{D} (p) + s) $_{0}^{2}$ (r) (p;r); (35)

where ∇_D (p) is given by Eq. (5). The operator C of Eq. (27) remains unchanged, while the exchange operator X ^{ld} becomes local. Thus, in the LDA all the operators are local, and the solution of the BdG equations becomes algebraic. The excitation frequency is given by:

$$! (p;r) = \frac{q}{!_{HF}^{2} (p;r) X^{2} (p;r)}; \qquad (36)$$

where $!_{\rm H\,F}\,$ is the HF frequency within the LDA, given by

$$!_{HF}(p;r) = H_0^{ld}(p;r) + C(r) + X^{ld}(p;r):$$
 (37)

In the sem i-classical approximation, one replaces the sum over the discrete states in Eq. (33) with integral over

$${}^{2}(p;r) = \frac{!_{HF}(p;r) ! (p;r)}{2! (p;r)}:$$
(38)

Since the LDA is inappropriate for the low lying modes, we may calculate the contribution to Eq. (33) from exact, discrete BdG modes up to a certain frequency cuto $!_c$, and use the LDA to obtain the contribution from higher frequency modes. Then Eq. (33) is replaced by

$$m(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^{X} (\mathbf{r})_{j}^{2} (!_{c} !_{j}) + d! m(!;\mathbf{r}); (39)$$

with

$$\mathbf{r}(!;\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \cdot (p;\mathbf{r}) \cdot (!(p;\mathbf{r}) \cdot !)$$

$$(!_{HF}(p;\mathbf{r})): \quad (40)$$

Note that the factor in the D irac delta function depends on the direction in momentum space. That is, the isoenergy surfaces are not surfaces of equal momentum p. Also, we use the Heaviside function $(!_{HF} (p;r))$ to exclude unphysicalLDA modes with $!_{HF} < 0$, even though the de nition (36) can assign them a real positive frequency, due to taking the positive root. How ever, this matters only for small momenta below $!_c$.

As an example, we consider the case of a BEC in a (34) spherical trap with D = 4.0 and s = 0. Using Eqs. (40) and (32), we nd that the total depletion is 1.4 particles. The fractional depletion depends on the number of condensate particles. Since D is proportional to (N 1)d², we can achieve the same value of D with many particles



FIG. 7: The spectral distribution g_{ld} (!) in the local density approximation, Eq. (41), is compared with the smoothed, numerically exact spectral distribution $g_{(!)}$, Eq. (42), for BEC in a spherical trap with D = 4.0.

with a small dipole moment, or a few particles with a large dipole moment. For the ${}^{52}Cr$ example mentioned in the beginning of section IV B, we obtain D = 4.0 with N = 1670, and the quantum depletion is entirely negligible. However, we may in agine a dozen or so molecules with high dipole moment in a micro-trap. In which, case, the depletion may be measurable.

To demonstrate the agreem ent between the LDA and the exact BdG spectrum for high frequencies, we dene the spectral distribution g_{ld} (!) as the num ber of depleted bosons per unit frequency. Thus g_{ld} (!) d! is the num ber of depleted bosons with frequency ! in the interval d!. We have

$$g_{ld}(!) = drn(!;r):$$
 (41)

 ${\tt W}$ e want to compare it with the spectral distribution g:

$$g(!) = \int_{j}^{X} drn_{j}(r) \frac{p}{2^{\frac{p}{2}}} \exp(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{!}{2})^{2}); (42)$$

obtained from the low-lying discrete modes by folding $n_j(r)$ with a Gaussian of standard deviation (some sm oothing of the discrete data is is necessary for mean-ingful comparison with the continuous LDA spectral distribution).

In Fig. 7 we compare g and g_{ld} for a BEC in a spherical trap, with dipolar interaction D = 4.0 and s = 0. We computed g from Eq. (42) with = $!_0$. For frequencies above $!=!_0$ 5 the two curves are essentially indistinguishable. This shows the e ciency of the LDA in describing the high energy part of the spectrum.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have calculated, for the rst time, many BdG excited modes of a dipolar BEC in a cylindrical trap, by direct solution of of the BdG equations. This achievem ent was made possible by a novel, highly e cient algorithm which takes advantage of the cylindrical sym metry. We showed how properties of the BdG spectrum depend on the shape of the trap; exam ined in detail the spectrum in a spherical trap and the nature of the modes (collective vs single-particle); and calculated the quantum depletion due to dom inant dipolar interactions, which is typically very sm all, but may become more signi cant in micro-traps containing a dozen or so molecules with high dipole moment.

We note that the form alism developed in this work m ay be easily extended to com pute properties of dipolar BEC and its depletion at non-zero tem perature using the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov-Popov method [40]. We intend to study the non-zero tem perature behavior in a future work.

A cknow ledgm ents

SR gratefully acknowledges nancial support from an anonymous fund, and from the United States-IsraelEd-ucational Foundation (Fulbright Program), DCEB and JLB from the DOE and the Keck Foundation.

APPENDIX

1. M odi cation of the dipolar potential

In this part of the appendix we analyze the num erical accuracy of the 3D FFT m ethod for calculating the dipolar interaction. A correction is suggested to increase the accuracy, and this correction also applies to our 2D algorithm.

The 3D FFT m ethod was used to calculate the m ean eld potential due to dipolar interactions via Eq. (4). To check its accuracy it is m ore convenient to consider the dipolar interaction energy for a dipole strength D = 1, given by:

$$E_{D} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{Z} dr dr^{0} V_{D} (r r^{0}) n(r^{0}) n(r) : \quad (A 1)$$

G iven n (r), this expression can be evaluated num erically on a 3D grid by rst performing the r^0 integration using Eq. (4), which requires FFT and inverse FFT, and then performing the r integration as discrete summation on the spatial grid. Note that ∇_D (k) in Eq. (4) is given analytically by Eq. (5) and does not require FFT.

Eq. (A.1) may be alternatively written in the form :

$$E_{D} = \frac{1}{2(2^{3})}^{Z} dk \nabla_{D} (k) n (k)^{2}$$
: (A 2)

This expression may be evaluated num erically by using one FFT to obtain $r_{k}(k)$ and then performing the integration as summation in momentum space. The two numerical procedures give the same result up to machine precision.

For a Gaussian density

n (r) =
$$\frac{1}{3^{-2} - 2} \exp((x^2 + y^2)) = 2 = z^2 = z^2$$
) (A.3)

it is possible to obtain an analytic expression for E_D using Eq. (A 2) [2, 42]. This enables us to check the accuracy of the num erical calculation.

For a spherically symmetric G aussian, = $_z$, de ned on a cubic grid with equal resolution in the three axis, we nd numerically the exact result $E_D = 0$. In fact, by noticing that $\nabla_D(k) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{2k_z^2 k_x^2 k_y^2}{k^2}$, it is seen that E_D evaluated on a cubic grid is guaranteed to be zero for any density n(x;y;z) which is symmetric under all permutations of (x;y;z).

For a pancake shaped density = 2; $_z$ = 1, the exact result is $E_D = 0.038670861 \dots$ W e have evaluated it on cubic grids of extent [R;R] [R;R] [R;R] with varying size R and number of points N xN xN . The relative error is tabulated in the rst row of table I. It is seen that the errors are small but far from machine accuracy. Note that convergence with respect to N is already achieved with a modest spatial resolution of 2R = N = 2 8 = 32 = 0.5, but there is a rather slow convergence with increasing R. This make it clear that the error is not due to failure to resolve the density function n(r). For cigar shaped densities sim ilar behavior was observed. The reason for the behavior of the numerical error is understood if we realize that V_D (k) is discontinuous at the origin, where n (k) obtains its m aximum. This discontinuity originates in the long range and non-isotropic nature of the interaction. Thus, the num erical accuracy converges slow ly with increasing grid resolution, proportional to 1=R, in m om entum space.

An alternative and equivalent way to understand the source of the error is that the use of FFT in plicitly assumes that we are dealing with a 3D periodic lattice of condensates, with unit cell of size 2R. Thus the error may be traced down to the long range interactions between copies of condensates in di erent unit cells.

A n obvious correction suggests itself: since our actual condensate is isolated and of a nite size, we can limit the range of the dipolar interaction V_D (r) such that it is the same as before for r < R and and zero for r = R. This should have no physical consequences as long as R is greater than the extent of our condensate. Then the Fourier transform of this interaction is continuous at the origin and resolved by the grid in momentum space. We obtain the following expression for the corrected dipolar interaction ∇_{D}^{cutR} (k):

$$\nabla_{\rm D}^{\rm cutR}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{4}{3} (1 + 3 \frac{\cos(R \, \mathbf{k})}{R^2 k^2} - 3 \frac{\sin(R \, \mathbf{k})}{R^3 k^3}) (3 \cos^2 - 1): \qquad (A.4)$$

U sing this corrected interaction, we obtain the much better accuracy demonstrated in the second line of table I. The remaining error depends on R only due to the spatial extent of the condensate, and fast convergence is achieved by increasing R while keeping appropriate grid resolution through increasing N . One may comprom ise on R and N, and still obtain at least a 100 fold increase in accuracy as compared to using the in nite range interaction.

For highly pancake/cigar traps the condensate has also a highly pancake/cigar shape. In this case it is natural to work with a grid whose extent [Z;Z] in the z direction is, respectively, smaller/larger than its extent [P;P] [P;P] in the (x;y) plane. Thus, few er grid points are needed along the shorter axis. In this case, we nd that without correction, num erical errors can be typically as large as one percent. However, truncating the interaction outside a sphere as in Eq. (A.4) is not very helpful in this case, since the condition R min(Z;P) must be met, which restricts the condensate extent to less than the shorter direction. The ideal x would be to cut the interaction exactly by the shape of the box, or a cylinder inscribed within it. We were unable to nd an analytic expression for the Fourier transform of a dipolar interaction bounded by a cylinder. A partial but still helpful solution for pancake traps is to truncate the interaction only for jzj> Z.We then nd:

$$V_{D}^{\text{cutZ}}(\mathbf{k}) = h$$

$$\frac{4}{3} (3 \cos^{2} 1) + 4 \exp(\mathbf{Z} \mathbf{k}) \sin^{2} \cos(\mathbf{Z} \mathbf{k}_{z})$$

$$i$$
sin \cos \sin(\mathcal{Z} \mathcal{k}_{z}) : \text{ (A.5)}

W ith this corrected interaction, a small Z may be used as long as it fully contains the condensate. Numerical convergence with the size P will still be slow, but typically the accuracy is improved by an order of magnitude at the least.

Finally, with our 2D algorithm combining Hankel transform in the transverse direction and Fourier transform in the z direction, we nd num erical errors of sim ilar behavior and m agnitude. In the case of 2D, sm all num erical errors exists even for a spherical sym m etric density, since there is no sym m etry of the grid that ensures getting the correct zero energy, as in the 3D case. All of these errors are signi cantly reduced by employing the same cuto interactions as in the 3D case.

2. Conjugate-gradients im plem entation

We describe here in some detail aspects of the conjugate-gradients in plem entation specic to the problem of nding the ground state of a dipolar condensate, see section III.The standard conjugate-gradients algorithm perform sunconstrained minimization. In principle a constraint could be implemented through a Lagrange multiplier. In our implementation we rather follow the

 pancake G aussian density (see text).

 R = 8;N = 32
 R = 8;N = 64
 R = 16;N = 64
 R = 16;N = 128

 original method
 2.7E -3
 2.7E -3
 8.6E -5
 8.6E -5

 corrected method
 -1.1E -5
 -1.1E -5
 1.8E -8
 -4.4E -14

TABLE I: Relative error of evaluating dipolar interaction energy using the 3D FFT method, before and after correction, for a

idea of [29]. To account more easily for the normalization constraint, Eq. (21), we let ! = k k so that the

energy can be obtained for condensate wave functions with a norm di erent from unity. This corresponds to dividing the terms of E [;] in Eq. (19) that are quadratic in by k k², and the interaction term quartic in by k k⁴. The modi ed energy functional reads:

$$E \begin{bmatrix} z \\ z \end{bmatrix} = dr \frac{(r)H_{0}(r)}{\frac{k}{2} \frac{k}{2}} + \frac{N}{2k} \frac{1}{k^{4}} dr dr^{0} j^{2} (r^{0}) j (r r^{0}) j^{2} (r) j (A.6)$$

This functional may now be minim ized directly with no constraints. During the minim ization process it may still be num erically advantageous to norm alize the wavefunction at each step.

One ingredient of the conjugate-gradients method is a line m inim ization of the energy functional, that is the m inim ization of

with respect to , where o is the current trial wavefunction and is the proposed direction along which to move. An important issue for our specic problem is to nd the rst m in im um encountered when m oving dow nhill in energy along the line: whenever $d^2 > a=3$, the global minimum is a collapsed state [2], while the condensate corresponds to a stable local minimum (if such exists). Therefore, it is in portant that the line m in im ization will not jump to an energy valley leading to the global one, but stay in the energy valley of the initial guess. This issue is usually not considered as in portant in the textbook in plem entation of the conjugate-gradientsm ethod. Following [29] we use the fact that the Eq. (A.7) is a rational function of . We then easily nd the roots of dE = d and the rst local minimum of E encountered when one moves along the line downhill in energy starting from = 0. The coe cients of the num erator in the rational function require calculation of dipolar interaction integrals with combinations of and such as

drdr⁰ (r) (r)V_D (r r⁰) 2 (r⁰), etc. These integrals can be computed in momentum space by using DHFT and the identity:

ΖŹ

$$\frac{dr dr^{0} n_{1} (r) V_{D} (r r^{0}) n_{2} (r^{0}) =}{\frac{1}{(2)^{3}}} \frac{Z}{dk n_{1} (k) V_{D} (k) n_{2} (k)} \qquad (A.3)$$

After nding the local m inim um along a given line we proceed with another line m inim ization along a conjugate direction, and so forth until we nd a local m inim um of the energy functional Eq. (A.6).

A n additional technical ingredient in our in plem entation of the conjugate-gradients algorithm is the use of pre-conditioning [28], a technique used to accelerate the convergence. O ur pre-conditioner is given in m om entum space as $\frac{1}{k^2=2+M}$, with $M = m ax (E; E_k)$, E is the energy given by Eq. (A.6), and E_k is the kinetic energy.

3. Excitations spectrum

An important issue in calculating the m > 0 excitations is that the grid points given by Eq. (9) are di erent for di erent m . The point is that the function f of Eq. (29a) is represented on the m > 0 grid, whereas the ground state wavefunction entering Eqs. (27), is dened on the m = 0 grid. Our solution is to interpolate the ground state wavefunction to the grid m > 0. The interpolation is facilitated by the fact that the roots of the Bessel function J_m (r) m arch to the right as m is increased, and those of m are interlaced between those of order m 1. Fortunately, a highly accurate interpolation scheme is available in this case [43]. Sim ilarly to the exact integration formula (16), one can derive an exact interpolation formula for band limited functions satisfying Eq. (15):

$$f(r) = \frac{X^{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{i}} \frac{2_{0i}J_{0}(2 K r)}{(2_{0i}^{2} (2 K r)^{2})} \frac{f(r_{i})}{J_{1}(0_{i})}; \quad (A.9)$$

with the grid points $r_i = \frac{0i}{2 K}$. This formula may be proved by writing f (r) as the Hankeltransform (of order 0) of f(k). Expanding f(k) in a Fourier-Bessel series, the coe cients are found to be proportional to f (r i). Evaluating the resulting expression gives Eq. (A.9). This formula, exact for band limited functions, still gives very accurate approxim ation for f (r) such that its H ankeltransform (i.e, its 2D Fourier transform) is small for k > K. It can be truncated to the rst N term s provided f (r) is small for R = 0; i+1 = K. We used this form ula to interpolate the ground state wavefunction to the required grid points of m > 0. Note that this interpolation need only be done once prior to solving the BdG eigensystem . An alternative method for calculating m > 0 modes using a xed grid corresponding to m = 0 with no need for interpolation was suggested in Refs. [22, 44].

In our application we have taken advantage of the structure of the BdG equations (section IV) to e ciently compute the low lying spectrum . We have used a variant of the A moldim ethod (the implicitly restarted A moldim ethod), which is implemented in the ARPACK software package [49], and enables noting the M largest or smallest eigenvalues of an operator A, where M is selected by the user. A need not be herm itian. For our purposes, the main advantage of this method is that it requires as input

- J. Stuhler, A. Griesmaier, T. Koch, M. Fattori, T. Pfau, S. Giovanazzi, P. Pedri, and L. Santos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 150406 (2005).
- [2] S.Yiand L.You, Phys. Rev. A 61, 041604 (2000).
- [3] K.Goral, K.Rzazewski, and T.Pfau, Phys. Rev. A 61, 051601 (2000).
- [4] S.Yiand L.You, Phys. Rev. A 63, 053607 (2001).
- [5] S.Yiand L.You, Phys. Rev. A 66, 013607 (2002).
- [6] M. Baranov, L. Dobrek, K. Goral, L. Santos, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Scr. T 102, 74 (2002).
- [7] K.Goraland L.Santos, Phys. Rev. A 66, 023613 (2002).
- [8] L. Santos, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev.Lett. 90, 250403 (2003).
- [9] D. H. J. O'Dell, S. G iovanazzi, and C. Eberlein, Phys. Rev.Lett. 92, 250401 (2004).
- [10] K.Nho and D.P.Landau, 023615, 023615 (2005).
- [11] N.R.Cooper, E.H.Rezayi, and S.H.Simon, Phys.Rev. Lett.11, 200402 (2005).
- [12] D.C.E.Bortolotti, S.Ronen, J.L.Bohn, and D.Blume, cond-m at/0604432 (2006).
- [13] S.Ronen, D.C.E.Bortolotti, D.Blume, and J.L.Bohn, cond-m at/0605315 (2006).
- [14] G.B.Arfken and H.J.W eber, M athem atical M ethods for Physicists (H arcourt: San D iego, 2001), 5th ed.
- [15] A.E.Siegman, Opt.Lett. 1, 13 (1977).
- [16] J.D. Talman, J.Com p. Phys. 29, 35 (1978).
- [17] A.J.S.Ham ilton, MNRAS 312, 257 (2000).
- [18] R. Bisseling and R. Koslo, J. Comp. Phys. 59, 136 (1985).
- [19] L.Yu, M. Huang, M. Chen, W. Chen, W. Huang, and Z.Zhu, Opt. Lett. 23 23, 409 (1998).
- [20] M. Guizar-Siciaros and J. C. Gutierrez-Vega, 21, 53 (2004).
- [21] D.Lemoine, J.Chem. Phys. 101, 3936 (1994).
- [22] D.Lemoine, Comp.Phys.Comm.99,297 (1997).
- [23] D.Lemoine, J.Chem. Phys. 118, 697 (2003).
- [24] C.Frappier and P.O livier, M athem atics of C on putation 60, 303 (1993).
- [25] G. R.G. rozev, M athem atics of Computation 64, 715 (1995).
- [26] H. O gata, Publications of the Research Institute for M athem atical Sciences 41, 949 (2005).
- [27] W. H. Press, B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling, Num erical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientic

only the evaluation of A x for som e vector x. The matrix elements of A need not be known. The user need only provide a function that accepts x and returns y = A x. The eigenvalues in the requested part of the spectrum are then found iteratively by repeated applications of A, starting from some random ly chosen x. In our case, A x represents the lhs of Eq. (29a), and the computation is facilitated, as usual, by using DHFT to move between space and momentum space representations.

C om puting (C am bridge U niversity P ress, 1992), 2nd ed. [28] M.C.Payne, M.P.Teter, D.C.Allan, T.A.A rias, and

- J.D.Joannopoulos, Rev.M od.Phys.64, 1045 (1992). [29] M.M odugno, L.Pricoupenko, and Y.Castin, Eur.Phys.
- J.D 22, 235 (2003).
- [30] L.P.P. itaevskii and S. Stringari, Bose-E instein Condensation (Oxford University Press, New York, 2003).
- [31] C. Huepe, L. S. Tuckerm an, S. Metens, and M. E. Brachet, Phys. Rev. A 68, 023609 (2003).
- [32] W .E.Amoldi, Q.Appl.Math.9, 17 (1951).
- [33] P. A. Ruprecht, M. Edwards, K. Burnett, and C. W. Clark, Phys. Rev. A 54, 4178 (1996).
- [34] V.M. Perez-Garcia, H.M. ichinel, J.I.C. irac, M. Lewenstein, and P.Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5320 (1996).
- [35] W .Kohn, 123, 1242 (1961).
- [36] J.W emer, A.G riesm aier, S.Hensler, J.Stuhler, T.P fau, A.Simoni, and E.Tiesinga, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 183201 (2005).
- [37] Z. Pavlovic, R. V. K rem s, R. Côte, and H. R. Sadeghpour, Phys. Rev. A 71, 061402 (2005).
- [38] D.S.Jin, J.R.Ensher, M.R.Matthews, C.E.W iem an, and E.A.Comell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 420 (1996).
- [39] F.Dalfovo, S.Giorgini, M.Guilleum as, L.Pitaevskii, and S.Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 56, 3840 (1997).
- [40] J.Reidl, A. Scordas, R. Graham, and P. Szepfalusy, Phys. Rev. A 59, 3816 (1999).
- [41] C. Eberlein, S. G iovanazzi, and D. H. J. O 'D ell, Phys. Rev. A 71, 033618 (2005).
- [42] J.P.Martikainen, M.Mackie, and K.A.Suominen, Phys. Rev. A 64, 037601 (2002).
- [43] L.Makowski, J.App.Cryst. 15, 546 (1982).
- [44] D. Lem oine, Chem. Phys. Lett. 224, 483 (1994).
- [45] Fast Hankel transform code available from http://casa.colorado.edu/ ajsh/FFTLog
- [46] Matlab code available from www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/leexchange as \Integer order Hankeltransform "
- [47] http://www.tw.org
- [48] Note a misprint there, switching \breathing" with \qquad (quadrupole-like" in the discussion for the aspect ratio range 0:75 < 1 < 1:29 (l (! =! z)¹⁼²).
- [49] available in M atlab through the function eigs