arXiv:cond-mat/0605458v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 18 May 2006

Weighted scale-free network with self-organizing link weight dynamics

G. Mukherjee[1,2] and S. S. Manna[1]
[1] *Satyendra Nath Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences Block-JD, Sector-III, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700098, India*
[2] *Bidhan Chandra College, Asansol 713304, Dt. Burdwan, West Bengal, India*

All crucial features of the recently observed real-world weighted networks are obtained in a model where the weight of a link is defined with a single non-linear parameter α as $w_{ij} = (s_i s_j)^\alpha$, s_i and s_j are the strengths of two end nodes of the link and α is a continuously tunable positive parameter. In addition the definition of strength as $s_i = \Sigma_j w_{ij}$ results a self-organizing link weight dynamics leading to a self-consistent distribution of strengths and weights on the network. Using the Barabási-Albert growth dynamics all exponents of the weighted networks which are continuously tunable with α are obtained. It is conjectured that the weight distribution should be similar in any scale-free network.

PACS numbers: 89.20.Hh, 89.75.Hc, 89.75.Fb, 05.60.-k

Representing widely different large interacting systems as networks and identifying common generic characteristics in them made a significant advance in the study of complex systems in recent years [1, 2, 3, 4]. However in most cases, link weights, representing the strength of ties between nodes, are not similar and may even be highly heterogeneous. E.g., number of passengers between two airports [5, 6], strength of pair-interaction between two species in ecological system, number of coauthored paper of two authors, data traffic in a link of the Internet or volume of trade between two countries differ widely [7]. Present availability of empirical data allows to study the weighted networks having weights associated with links. Weighted networks are usually described by the adjacency matrix W, ij-th element w_{ij} of which represents the link weight between i and j-th node. Recent analysis of the World Airport Network (WAN) data shows that the link weights defined by the passenger traffic has power law distribution: $P(w) \sim w^{-\gamma_w}$ [6].

Evolution of these weighted networks and the dynamics associated with it is a matter of general interest. In a traffic network like WAN when a new airport emerges with few links the passenger traffic associated with these new links affect the traffic of the other links also. In turn the effect of the other links also change the traffic of the new links and this mutual interaction takes its time to reach a steady state. Similar effects are there in many weighted networks. In this paper we try to explore this self-organisation of link weights and node strengths with the evolution of the network.

A large number of models have been proposed to generate different type of networks. Most well known among them is the Barabási and Albert (BA) network which grows by sequential addition of new nodes using a linear preferential attachment probability. These are unweighted networks where each link has the same weight.

Strength of a node is measured by the total amount of weight supported by the node: $s_i = \Sigma_j w_{ij}$. The WAN data shows non-linear scaling between average strength of a node with its degree: $s(k) \sim k^\beta$ with $\beta \sim 1.5$. On the other hand average link weight again scales with the product of the degrees of the two end-nodes : $\langle w_{ij} \rangle \sim (k_i k_j)^\theta$, where $\theta = 0.5$ for WAN and 0.8 for E. Coli metabolic networks where link weights represents the optimal metabolic fluxes [8, 9, 10].

Barrat, Barthélemy and Vespignani (BBV) proposed a model[11] for the evolution of the weighted network similar to the BA model. A new node n selects a node i of the network with a probability proportional to its strength: $\Pi_{n\to i} \sim s_i$. In addition, δ amount of weight is distributed proportionally among the already existing links of the target node, hence with the topological evolution of the network link weights also evolve. BBV model produces power-law distribution of link weights, node degree and strength scales linearly and both exhibit power-law with same exponent.

Even on an unweighted network one can assign link weights as $w_{ij} \sim (k_i k_j)^\theta$. This is a static assignment for a given structure. The idea is, large degree nodes process large amount of traffic and in the level of links, traffic through a link grows with the degree of its two end nodes. Using this idea we propose a model where the weight of a link depends jointly on the strengths of the end nodes which modifies the strengths of the nodes and which recursively modifies the link weights and so on until all link weights and strengths converges to a specific set of fixed values. Apart from power law degree distribution we find power-law distributed probability of nodal strengths and link weights and the exponents decays with the model parameter α. The other crucial empirical observation for weighted networks (a) degree and strength of a node scales in a non-linear way and (b) a sub-linear variation of link weight w_{ij} with the product of the end-node degrees is also found to be present and the respective exponents β and θ varies with α.

In our model, the growth of the network structure having weights associated with every link is executed by two mutually independent processes as follows: (i) The network is a BA network and is grown using the simple algorithm described in [12]. Starting from a small fully con-




FIG. 1: Scaling plot of probability distribution for (a) node strengths for $N = 2^{12}, 2^{14}, 2^{16}$ for $\alpha = 0.40$, (b) link weights for $\alpha = 0.40$, for $N = 2^{16}, 2^{18}, 2^{20}$.




FIG. 2: (a) Variation of strength distribution exponent γ_s with parameter α and (b) variation of link weight distribution exponent γ_w with α for $N = 2^{16}$ in both cases. Circles represents the data points and the continuous lines are the theoretical prediction of eqn.(6) and the exponential fitting function, drawn for reference.

nected m-clique, at every time step one link is selected with uniform probability and the new node is connected to one of the two end nodes with probability 1/2. (ii) After every node is added to the network, the weights of all links are re-determined by a self-consistent iterative process such that the weight w_{ij} of the link between the i-th and the j-th nodes depends on the nodal strengths s_i and s_j as:

$$s_i = \Sigma_j w_{ij} \qquad \text{and} \qquad w_{ij} \sim (s_i s_j)^\alpha \qquad (1)$$

where α is a continuously tunable parameter. Indeed the dependence of link weight on the strengths of the end nodes has been observed in the International Trade Network with $\alpha \approx 1.33$ [13].

At every time step a new node i and a new link ij with unit weight is added to the network increasing the degree as well as the strength of the node j attached. As a result the weights of the other links meeting at j increases as well, which in turn enhance the strengths of the neighbors of j. In this way the effect of inserting a new node spreads throughout the network. One can imagine two widely apart time scales are involved in this process: the slow time scale characterize the network growth process whereas the fast time scale determines the re-organization of the link weights and strengths.

Given a connected network, the distribution of weights over all links and the distribution of strengths over all nodes consistent with Eqn. (1) can be calculated in a self-consistent iterative process. Let s_i^n and w_{ij}^n denote the n-th update of the strength of the i-th node and the weight of the ij-th link. In the self-consistent procedure, initially all links are assigned unit weights, $w_{ij}^0 = 1$. The nodal strengths are then determined as: $s_i^0 = \Sigma_j w_{ij}^0$. In the first stage of iteration process, the weights are determined as: $w_{ij}^1 = (s_i^0.s_j^0)^\alpha$ and consequently the strengths as: $s_i^1 = \Sigma_j w_{ij}^1$, and so on. More specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{ij}^0 &= 1 \qquad \rightarrow s_i^0 = \Sigma_j w_{ij}^0 = k_i; \\ w_{ij}^1 &= (s_i^0.s_j^0)^\alpha \rightarrow s_i^1 = (s_i^0)^\alpha \Sigma_j (s_j^0)^\alpha; \\ w_{ij}^2 &= (s_i^1.s_j^1)^\alpha \rightarrow s_i^2 = (s_i^0)^{\alpha^2} \Sigma_j [(s_j^0)^{\alpha^2} (\Sigma_j (s_j^0)^\alpha)^\alpha \\ &\qquad (\Sigma_k (s_k^0)^\alpha)^\alpha] ... \end{aligned}$$

Under this successive iteration process, the distribution of link weights as well as nodal strengths gradually converge to fixed values when further iterations do not change their values any more. In practice we associate a tolerance $\delta = 10^{-6}$ for the maximal difference of weights in a single link between two successive iterations. As described above that in s_i^2 sums run up to the second neighbours which implies that estimation of s_i^n should have sums running up to the n-th neighbours to consider the contribution up to n-th shell neighbours. Since BA network has the small-world properties of small diameters (diameter $\mathcal{D}(N)$ of the network grows logarithmically with system size N) therefore from an arbitrary node the whole network is reached within a few steps.

Let us first calculate how the mean nodal strength $\langle s(k) \rangle$ of the k-degree nodes depends on the degree k as a function of the tuning parameter α. Let an arbitrary node i have degree k_i and the mean degree of its nearest neighbours be k_{nn}. Following the self-consistent iterative procedure, the strength s_i of the node i after




FIG. 3: (a) Variation of strength degree correlation exponent β with α, open circles are data points and the solid line is the theoretical prediction of eqn.(5). (b) Plot of link weight degree correlation exponent θ with α, $N = 2^{16}$, solid line is the plot of the fitting function $\theta = a + b\alpha^c$.

n-th iteration is:

$$\begin{aligned} s_i(n) &= k^{1+\alpha(1+2\alpha(1+2\alpha(.....n-th(1+\alpha))))} \\ & \quad k_n^{\alpha(1+2\alpha(1+2\alpha(1+2\alpha(...n-th))))} k_{nn}^{2(n-2)\alpha^n} \\ s_i(n) &= k^{M(n)} k_n^{D(n)} k_{nn}^{2(n-2)\alpha^n} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where n is an arbitrarily large integer. Now for a steady state value of $s_i(n)$ both the series $M(n)$ and $D(n)$ must converge and reach a steady state value for any arbitrarily large n. The convergence condition gives:

$$\begin{aligned} M(n) &= 1 + \alpha(1 + 2\alpha(1 + 2\alpha(.....n - th(1 + \alpha)))) \\ &= 1 + \frac{\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D(n) &= \alpha(1 + 2\alpha(1 + 2\alpha(1 + 2\alpha(...n - th)))) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For finite steady state value of $M(n)$ and $D(n)$ both of the above equation leads to the condition: $\alpha < 0.50$, beyond which link weights and node strengths will diverge.

Now for strength-degree dependence $s \propto k^\beta$ we assume k_n has no significant dependence on k, which is true for BA topology, hence

$$\beta \approx M(n) = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha} \quad (5)$$

To find the exponent γ_s of the probability distribution of node strengths $P(s) \sim s^{-\gamma_s}$ we use the general relation




FIG. 4: Plot of (a) Weighted average neighbour degree $\langle k_{nn}^w \rangle$ with k for α =0.00, 0.10, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, 0.40, 0.45 from bottom to top, serially (b) average disparity measure $< k * Y(k) >$ with k for the same α values from bottom, to top, $N = 2^{14}$.

$\beta = \frac{\gamma_k - 1}{\gamma_s - 1}$. Which gives,

$$\gamma_s = 1 + \frac{(\gamma_k - 1)(1 - 2\alpha)}{1 - \alpha} \quad (6)$$

Probability distribution of strengths shows power law decay: $P(s) \sim s^{-\gamma_s}$ over several decades in Fig. 1(a). We find that a 'knee'-like step is developed in the distribution near $\alpha \sim 0.25$ and remains up to $\alpha \sim 0.45$. With the increase of α from 0.25 the position of the knee shifted to wards the higher value of strength and become more prominent. In Fig.1(a) we have shown the scaling plot of $P(s) \sim s$ at $\alpha = 0.40$, where the 'knee' is quite prominent. The scaling function :

$$P(s) \sim N^\lambda \mathcal{G}(s/N^\mu) \quad (7)$$

where $\lambda \approx 1.55$ and $\mu \approx 0.93$ are estimated giving $\gamma_s = \lambda/\mu \approx 1.67$. This value is consistent with the value found from slope measurement of the $\log P(s)$ vs $\log(s)$ plot (≈ 1.68).

The probability distribution of the link weights again shows power-law variation: $P(w) \sim w^{-\gamma_w}$. For very small values of $\alpha \approx 0.10$ link weights are very small, even for a system of size $N = 10^6$ maximum value of w is around 10 and power-law region is not well-defined. In Fig. 1(b) scaling plot of $P(w)$ is shown for $\alpha = 0.40$ and with $N = 2^{16}, 2^{18}, 2^{20}$. Weight exponent γ_w, found from scaling analysis ($= 1.45/0.93 \approx 1.56$) is close to the value found from slope measurement of $\log P(w)$ vs $\log w$ plot, (≈ 1.55).

In fig. 2(a) variation of strength exponent γ_s with α is plotted. Open circles are experimental points and the solid line is the theoretical prediction. At larger values

of α maximum value of strength will increase and the probability of occurrence of large strength nodes should be increased. Drop in the exponent γ_s of the power-law decay represents this trend. The data points and the expression of γ_s both indicates the value of γ_s at $\alpha \approx 0.50$ as 1.0. From the measurement of the exponent β also it was found that the value of β is asymptotically large as $\alpha \to 0.50$. In fig.2(b) variation of link weight distribution exponent γ_w with α is shown. The initial high γ_w value shows that indeed for small α the distribution decays very fast. Data points are compared with a fitting function: $\gamma_w = a.\exp(-b.\alpha)$, $a = 7.98, b = 4.16$. This exponential decay of γ_w again signifies that link weights grow fast with α in general but specially the growth of large links are much faster.

Fig. 3(a) shows strength-degree exponent β increases with α. While $\beta = 1$ implies that link weights are uncorrelated, for $\beta > 1$ large weights prefer to join the large degree nodes. Increase in the value of β means more aggregation of large weight links on large degree nodes. That signifies that α systematically increases the preference of large weights to converge on high degree hubs. The other factor is that with the increase of α degree values of the nodes remains unchanged but links of very large weights and hence very large node strengths appear and contributes to β. The plot show a steep rise in β in the region $\alpha > 0.40$. In fig. 3(b) link weight-end degree exponent θ is plotted with α. Exponent θ found to grow with α. It is expected here as with α link weights increases but the degree distribution and hence the degree of any two end nodes remains unchanged. Plot is fitted with a function of the form: $\theta = a + b\alpha^c$, with $a = 0.06, b = 5.23, c = 2.07$ where $a \approx 0.00$ is more appropriate as it corresponds to $\alpha = 0.00$ value.

The degree-degree correlation $\langle k_{nn} \rangle$ is [6]:

$$k_{nn,i} = \frac{1}{k_i} \Sigma_j k_j \tag{8}$$

where the sum j runs over the nearest neighbours of i. Now when averaged over the nodes according to degree k we find $k_{nn}(k)$ which is related to the conditional probability $P(k_1|k)$ as that a node of degree k is connected to a node of degree k_1:

$$k_{nn}(k) = \frac{1}{N_k} \Sigma_{k_i=k} k_{nn,i} = \Sigma_{k_1} k_1 P(k_1|k) \tag{9}$$

If there is no degree-degree correlation $P(k_1|k)$ is a function of k_1 only and $k_{nn}(k)$ is a constant. If $k_{nn}(k)$ increases (decreases) with k, the network is said to be 'assortative' ('disassortative'). In weighted networks weighted average nearest neighbour degree is defined as follows:

$$k^w_{nn,i} = \frac{1}{s_i} \Sigma_j w_{ij} k_j \tag{10}$$

and similarly the $k^w_{nn}(k)$.

It is known that in BA model there is no significant degree correlation. This has been observed in our calculation also that in Fig. 4(a) for $\alpha = 0$, the curve is nearly horizontal for a large portion of the whole range of variation of the degree k. Therefore the underlying BA network structure cannot be responsible for additional correlation in $k^w_{nn}(k)$ in Fig. 4(a) for $\alpha > 0$ and it must be due to the self-consistent procedure with which the links are updated.

Our understanding is that the assignment of link weights as $w_{ij} \propto (s_i s_j)^\alpha$ induces this assortative nature. As initially $s_i = k_i$, weights of the links between large degree nodes become large and that produces the assortative nature in the weighted degree correlation as $k^w_{nn,i} = \frac{1}{s_i} \Sigma_j w_{ij} k_j$.

The nodal disparity or inhomogeneity is $Y_i = \Sigma_j (w_{ij}/s_i)^2$. When all the links contributed equally $Y_i \sim 1/k_i$ and when one link gives the major contribution and others are not significant then $Y_i \sim 1$. Network disparity $Y(k)$ is the nodal disparity averaged over all nodes of degree k, shown in Fig. 4(b). For small values of α the plot is almost horizontal and the value is 1, indicating homogeneity of links for different node degrees. But for $\alpha > 0.35$ there is inhomogeneity within a certain region of k value. First it starts growing then decreases to 1 again for hub nodes. This signifies that for a broad region of k values nodes have highly heterogeneous link weights but the links of the hub nodes are in general have very large weights and not heterogeneous in nature, that again is the effect of large link weights aggregation on hubs.

To summarize, we have shown that starting from an unweighted network one can have non-trivial distribution of link weights as well as nodal strengths using only the definition of strength as well as the relation describing the non-linear dependence of link weights on the strengths of end nodes. The distribution of weights defines the strengths which in turn modifies the link weights - as a result link weights and nodal strengths self-organise themselves to a steady-state distribution through a recursive process. Study of this process on the BA network shows rich properties like power-law distributed probability distribution of nodal strengths as well as link weights, with associated exponents varying continuously with the model parameter α. The model exhibits all non-trivial features of real-world weighted networks, e.g., non-linear strength-degree relation, correlation between link weights and the product of degrees of the end-nodes etc. We conjecture that similar non-trivial weight and strength distributions can be obtained in general for any scale-free network and therefore may be useful to study different real-world weighted networks.

GM thankfully acknowledged facilities at S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences.
Electronic Address: manna@boson.bose.res.in

[1] R. Albert and A.-L. Barabási, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 47 (2002).

[2] R. Albert, H. Jeong and A.-L. Barabási, Nature (London).**401**, 130 (1999).

[3] E. Almaas, B. Kovács, T. Vicsek, Z. N. Oltvai and A.-L. Barabási, Nature .**427**, 839 (2004).

[4] H. Jeong, S. Mason, A.-L. Barabási and Z. N. Oltvai, Nature. **411**, 41 (2001).

[5] R. Guimera and L. A. N. Amaral, Eur. Phys. Jour. B, **38**, 381 (2004).

[6] A. Barrat, M. Barthélémy, R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vespignani, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA),**101**, 3747 (2004).

[7] D. Garlaschelli and M. Loffredo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 188701 (2004).

[8] W. X. Wang, B. H. Wang, B. Hu, G. Yan and Q. Ou, Phys. Rev. Lett., **94**, 188702 (2005).

[9] G. Bianconi, arxiv/condmat:0412399.

[10] K.-I. Goh, B. Kahng and D. Kim, arxiv/condmat 0410078.

[11] A. Barrat, M. Barthélémy and A. Vespignani, Phys. Rev. Lett., **92**, 228701 (2004)

[12] G. Mukherjee and S. S. Manna, arxiv/condmat 0503697 .

[13] G. Mukherjee, K. Bhattacharya, J. Saramaki, S. S. Manna and K. Kaski (under preparation).