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#### Abstract

In the above m entioned paper by E.M oreau and O.Vallee $\mathbb{P}$ hys. Rev. E 73, 016112, (2006)], the one-dim ensional B urgers equation $w$ ith an elastic (attractive) forcing term has been claim ed to be connected w ith the O mstein-U hlenbeck process. W e point out that th is connection is valid only in case of the repulsive forcing.


PACS num bers: 02.50 Ey , $05.90 .+\mathrm{m}, 05.45 . \mathrm{a}$
Let us consider the Langevin equation for the onedim ensional stochastic process in the extemal conservative force eld $F(x)=d V(x)=d x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=F(x)+{ }^{p} \overline{2} b(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $b(t)$ stands for the norm alized white noise: hb $(t) i=$ $0, \mathrm{hb}\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right) \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}=\left(\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{t}^{0}\right)$. The corresponding Fokker$P$ lanck equation for the probability density $(x ; t)$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\mathrm{t}}=\varrho_{\mathrm{xx}} \quad \varrho_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathbb{F}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by $m$ eans of a standard substitution $(x ; t)=$
$(x ; t) \exp [V(x)=2], 1]$, can be transform ed into the generalized di usion equation for an auxiliary function $(x ; t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}=@_{x x} \quad V(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{F^{2}}{2}+@_{x} F \quad: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As discussed in detail in Refs. [3, 4, 5], given the so-called forw ard drift $b(x ; t)$ of the $M$ arkovian di usion process, in the above identi ed with $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) \stackrel{!}{=} \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$, one readily infers the so-called backw ard drift of this process

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) \stackrel{\vdots}{=} \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) \quad 2 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{x}}(\ln )(\mathrm{x}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is know $n$ to solve the forced Burgers equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} b_{?}+b_{?} @_{x} b_{?} \quad @_{x x} b_{?}=F: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}=+2 \mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V}$.
For the $O$ mstein-U hlenbeck process $b(x)=F(x)=$ x and accordingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{{ }^{2} x^{2}}{4} \quad \frac{1}{2}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the inferred $V(x)$ into Eq. (3), we get Eq. (32) ofR ef. [2]. W e observe that the velocity eld $u(x ; t)$, de ned by Eq. (35) of Ref. [2]], does coincide with our bs $(x ; t)$, provided we set $b(x ; t)=x$ in Eq. (5).
$T$ he related $V(x)$ gives rise to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=2 @_{x} V(x)=+{ }^{2} x ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

while an originalelastic forcing problem addressed by [2], Eq. (2) therein, has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{t} u+u @_{x} u \quad \varrho_{\mathrm{x} x} u=\quad{ }^{2} x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and clearly di ens from Eq. (6), w th the necessarily arising $+{ }^{2} x$ on its right-hand-side, by an innocent-looking but crucialin the present context sign of the forcing term .

Let us add that this particular sign issue has received due attention in Ref. [6]. A speci c class of di usiontype processes has been considered that would account for standard $N$ ew tonian accelerations (of the form $@_{x} W$ w th W ( $x$ ) bounded from below) on the right-hand-side of Eq. (6). It is in principle possible at the price of introducing an additionalpressure-type forcing term.
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