Comment on "Connection between the Burgers equation with an elastic forcing term and a stochastic process"

Piotr Garbaczewski

Institute of Physics, University of Zielona Gora, 65-516 Zielona Gora, Poland

In the above mentioned paper by E.M oreau and O.Vallee Phys. Rev. E 73, 016112, (2006)], the one-dimensional Burgers equation with an elastic (attractive) forcing term has been claimed to be connected with the O mstein-U hlenbeck process. We point out that this connection is valid only in case of the repulsive forcing.

PACS numbers: 02.50 Ey, 05.90.+m, 05.45.-a

Let us consider the Langevin equation for the onedimensional stochastic process in the external conservative force eld F (x) = dV(x)=dx:

$$\frac{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{dt}} = F(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{P}{2} \frac{1}{b(t)} \tag{1}$$

where b(t) stands for the norm alized white noise: b(t)i = 0, $b(t^0)b(t)i = (t t^0)$. The corresponding Fokker-P lanck equation for the probability density (x;t) reads:

$$Q_{t} = Q_{xx} \qquad Q_{x} (F) \qquad (2)$$

and by means of a standard substitution $(x;t) = (x;t) \exp[V(x)=2]$, [1], can be transformed into the generalized di usion equation for an auxiliary function (x;t):

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{t} = \mathfrak{Q}_{xx} \quad \nabla(x) \tag{3}$$

where

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{F^2}{2} + \theta_x F$$
 : (4)

As discussed in detail in Refs. [3, 4, 5], given the so-called forward drift b(x;t) of the M arkovian di usion process, in the above identi ed with $b(x;t) \stackrel{!}{=} F(x)$, one readily infers the so-called backward drift of this process

$$b_{2}(x;t) = b(x;t) - 2 \theta_{x} (\ln x)$$
 (5)

which is known to solve the forced Burgers equation:

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathsf{t}}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathsf{f}} + \mathfrak{b}_{\mathsf{f}}\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathsf{x}}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathsf{f}} \qquad \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathsf{x}\mathsf{x}}\mathfrak{b}_{\mathsf{f}} = \mathsf{F} : \tag{6}$$

where $F = +2 \ Q_x V$.

For the 0 mstein-U hlenbeck process b(x) = F(x) = x and accordingly

$$V(x) = \frac{{}^{2}x^{2}}{4} - \frac{1}{2}:$$
 (7)

Substituting the inferred V (x) into Eq. (3), we get Eq. (32) of Ref. [2]. We observe that the velocity eld u (x;t), de ned by Eq. (35) of Ref. [2], does coincide with our b_{2} (x;t), provided we set b(x;t) = x in Eq. (5).

The related V (x) gives rise to

$$F(x) = 2 \theta_x V(x) = + {}^2x;$$
 (8)

while an original elastic forcing problem addressed by [2], Eq. (2) therein, has the form :

$$\theta_t u + u \theta_x u \qquad \theta_{xx} u = {}^2 x \qquad (9)$$

and clearly di ers from Eq. (6), with the necessarily arising + 2x on its right-hand-side, by an innocent-looking but crucial in the present context sign of the forcing term .

Let us add that this particular sign issue has received due attention in Ref. [6]. A speci c class of di usiontype processes has been considered that would account for standard New tonian accelerations (of the form $\ell_x W$ with W (x) bounded from below) on the right-hand-side of Eq. (6). It is in principle possible at the price of introducing an additional pressure-type forcing term.

A cknow ledgem ent: This note has been supported by the Polish M inistry of Scienti c Research and Inform ation Technology under the (solicited) grant No PBZ-M IN-008/P03/2003.

- H.R isken, The Fokker-P lanck equation, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989)
- [2] E.M oreau and O.Vallee, Phys. Rev. E 73, 016112, (2006)
- [3] P. G arbaczew ski and G. K ondrat, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2608, (1996)
- [4] P. G arbaczew ski, G. K ondrat and R. O lkiew icz, Phys. Rev. E 55, 1401, (1997)
- [5] P.Muratore Ginanneschi, Physica D 115, 341, (1998)
- [6] P.Garbaczewski, Phys. Rev. E 57, 569, (1998)