## O rbital Functionals in Current-Spin-Density-Functional Theory

N. Helbig,<sup>1,2,3</sup> S. Kurth,<sup>1</sup> S. Pittalis,<sup>1</sup> E. Rasanen,<sup>1</sup> and E.K. J. Gross<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Freie Universitat Berlin, Arnim allee 14, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4-6, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Unite de Physico-Chimie et de Physique des Materieaux,

Universite Catholique de Louvain, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

The description of interacting many-electron systems in external magnetic elds is presented in the fram ework of non-collinear current-spin-density-functional theory for exchange-correlation energy functionals which are explicit functionals of two-component K ohn-Sham spinors. The integral equations for the exchange-correlation components of the scalar and vector potentials and the magnetic eld are derived in the form alism of the optim ized e ective potentialm ethod and a sim plifying approxim ation to these equations is suggested. U sing the exact-exchange energy functional, the form alism is applied to study two-dimensional quantum dots in magnetic elds. An excellent agreement with quantum M onte C arb results is obtained, and the role of the exchange vector potential is found to be minor.

PACS num bers: 71.15 M b,71.15.-m

Since its introduction in 1964, density functional theory (DFT) [1,2] has become a standard tool to calculate the electronic structure of atom s, m olecules, and solids from rst principles. Early on, the original DFT form ulation has been extended to the case of spin-polarized system s [3] which also provides a description of manyelectron systems in an external magnetic eld. However, in this spin-DFT (SDFT) fram ework the magnetic eld only couples to the spin but not to the orbital degrees of freedom, i.e., the coupling of the electronic mom enta to the vector potential associated with the externalmagnetic eld is not taken into account. A proper treatm ent of this coupling requires extension to currentspin-density-functional theory (CSDFT) [4, 5] in terms of three basic variables: the electron density n(r), the spin magnetization density m (r), and the paramagnetic current density j. (r). These densities are conjugate variables to the electrostatic potential, the magnetic eld, and the vector potential, respectively.

In order to be applicable in practice, DFT of any avor requires an approximation to the exchange-correlation (xc) energy functional. The use of the local-vorticity approximation [4,5], which is an extension of the local spindensity approximation (LSDA), in CSDFT is problem atic: the xc energy per particle of a uniform electron gas exhibits derivative discontinuities whenever a new Landau level is occupied in an increasing external magnetic eld. This leads to discontinuities in the corresponding xc potential [6]. These discontinuities then incorrectly appear when the local values of the inhom ogeneous density and vorticity coincide with the corresponding values of the hom ogeneous electron gas. A popular way to circum vent this problem is to use functionals which interpolate between the lim its of weak and high magnetic elds [7,8].

In this work, we show that explicitly orbital-dependent functionals, which are successfully used in DFT and collinear SD FT [9], are natural candidates to approxim ate the xc energy in CSD FT for two reasons: rst, they are constructed without recourse to the m odel of the uniform electron gas and second, they are ideally suited to describe orbital e ects such as the lling of Landau levels. In this way, the problem inherent in any uniform gas-derived functional for CSD FT is avoided in a natural way.

The use of orbital functionals requires the so-called optim ized e ective potential (OEP) method [10] to calculate the e ective potentials. Here, we extend the OEP formalism which recently has been generalized to noncollinear SDFT [11] to the CSDFT fram ework and obtain three coupled integral equations for the xc contribution to the electrostatic and vector potentials as well as to the magnetic eld. Generalizing the approach of Krieger, Li and Iafrate [12, 13], we introduce a sim plifying approximation which transforms the OEP integral equations into a set of algebraic equations. An extension of DFT, similar to the one given here, is given in [14], where a larger set of basic densities [15] is considered to include spin-orbit coupling. In another work [16] our form alism has been used to study open-shell atom s at zero external magnetic eld. Here, we dem onstrate the applicability of our form alism by calculating the electronic structure of a quantum dot (QD) [17] in an externalm aqnetic eld. W e nd excellent agreem ent with quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) results.

The Kohn-Sham (KS) equation in CSDFT reads (atom ic units are used throughout)

$$\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{ir} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbb{A}_{s}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbb{V}_{s}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbb{B}_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbb{B}_{s}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbb{E}_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbb{E}_{s}(\mathbf{r})$$
(1)

The KS potentials are  $v_s(r) = v_0(r) + v_H(r) + v_{xc}(r) + 1=2c^2 \left[A_0^2(r) - A_s^2(r)\right]$ ,  $B_s(r) = B_0(r) + B_{xc}(r)$ , and  $A_s(r) = A_0(r) + A_{xc}(r)$ , where the xc potentials are

functional derivatives of the xc energy E  $_{\rm xc}$  with respect to the corresponding densities

$$v_{xc}(r) = \frac{E_{xc}[n;m; j_{c}]}{n(r)};$$
 (2)

$$B_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{E_{xc}[\mathbf{n};\mathbf{m};\mathbf{j}]}{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r})}; \qquad (3)$$

$$\frac{1}{c}A_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{E_{xc}[\mathbf{n};\mathbf{m};\mathbf{j}]}{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})} : \qquad (4)$$

The self-consistency cycle is closed by calculating the density

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{CC}} \sum_{k} (\mathbf{r})_{k} (\mathbf{r}); \qquad (5)$$

the magnetization density

and the param agnetic current density

$$j_{p}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{cc}h} \sum_{k}^{y}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{r} + k(\mathbf{r}) (\mathbf{r} - \sum_{k}^{y}(\mathbf{r})) + k(\mathbf{r}) : (7)$$

The ground state total energy of the interacting system can then be computed from

$$E [n;m;j] = T_{s}[n;m;j] + U [n] + E_{xc}[n;m;j]$$

$$+ d^{3}rn(r)v_{0}(r) d^{3}rm(r)B_{0}(r)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{c}^{Z} d^{3}rj(r)A_{0}(r) + \frac{1}{2c^{2}}^{Z} d^{3}rn(r)A_{0}^{2}(r); (8)$$

where  $T_s$  and U are the kinetic energy of the KS system and the Hartree energy, respectively.

G auge invariance of the energy functional in plies that E  $_{\rm xc}$  depends on the current only through the vorticity

(r) = r ( $\frac{1}{p}$  (r)=n (r)), i.e.,  $E_{xc}$  [h;  $\frac{1}{p}$ ;m ] =  $E_{xc}$  [h; ;m ] [5]. This immediately leads to the following relation for the xc vector potential

$$r (n (r)A_{xc}(r)) = 0$$
: (9)

If one has an approximate  $E_{xc}$  which is given explicitly in terms of the densities, the calculation of the corresponding xc potentials via Eqs. (2)-(4) is straightforward. Here, how ever, we dealwith approximations to the xc energy which are explicit functionals of the KS spinor orbitals  $_k$ . These functionals are, via the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, implicit functionals of the densities. In the spirit of the original OEP formalism, we derive the

corresponding OEP integral equations for the xc potentials by requiring that the elective elds optimize the value of the ground-state total energy (8). Therefore, the functional derivatives of the total energy with respect to the three KS potentials vanish. This procedure leads to three OEP equations which are most conveniently written as [16]

$$\begin{array}{c} X^{cc} \\ B \\ k=1 \end{array}$$
  $\begin{array}{c} y \\ k \end{array} (r) + h c := 0 ; \qquad (11) \end{array}$ 

and

$$\frac{1}{2i} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{cc}} h_{k}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{r}_{k}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{r}_{k}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{r}_{k}(\mathbf{r}) \quad h \mathbf{c} := 0;$$

(12)

where we have de ned the so-called \orbitalshifts" [9,18]

$$_{k}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{X^{k}}{\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \in k}}^{j=1} D_{kj \ j}^{y}(\mathbf{r})}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} j}; \qquad (13)$$

and

7

$$D_{kj}^{y} = d^{3}r^{0} v_{xc}(r^{0}) {}_{j}^{y}(r^{0}) {}_{k}(r^{0})$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{2ic}A_{xc}(r) {}_{j}^{h}(r^{0})r^{0} {}_{k}(r^{0}) r^{0} {}_{j}(r^{0}) {}_{k}(r^{0})$   
+  ${}_{B}B_{xc}(r^{0}) {}_{j}^{y}(r^{0}) {}_{k}(r^{0}) {}_{j}^{y}(r^{0}) {}_{j}^{E_{xc}} {}_{j}^{c}(r^{0})$  : (14)

The orbital shifts  $_{\rm k}$  have the structure of a rst-order shift from the unperturbed orbital  $_{\rm k}$  under a perturbation whose matrix elements are given by D  $_{\rm kj}^{\rm y}$ . Physically, the OEP equations (10)-(12) then im ply that the densities do not change under this perturbation. If A  $_{\rm xc}$  is set to zero, Eqs. (10) and (11) reduce exactly to the OEP equations for non-collinear SDFT [11].

Eqs. (10)-(12) are a set of coupled integral equations for the three unknown xc potentials. In standard (S)DFT, the OEP equations can be solved by a direct computation of the orbital shifts [18]. A similar technique has been used to solve the OEP equations in non-collinear SDFT [11]. Instead of taking this route, we employ the K rieger-Li-Iafrate (KLI) approach as a sim - plifying approximation [12, 13]. In SDFT it often yields potentials which are very close to the fullOEP ones. The KLI approximation can be derived by replacing the energy denominator  $k_{j}$  in the denition (13) of k by a constant . This leads to the KLI equations for the xc potentials which are written in matrix form as

$$D(r)V_{xc}(r) = R(r)$$
: (15)

Here, we have de ned the seven-component vector  $V_{\rm xc}\left(r\right)$  as

$$V_{xc}^{T}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{xc}(\mathbf{r}); B_{xc}^{T}(\mathbf{r}); \frac{1}{c} A_{xc}^{T}(\mathbf{r})$$
 (16)

and the 7 7 m atrix D (r) has the structure

where 1 is the 3 3 unit matrix. The matrix elements of the 3 3 matrices J and N are dened by

$$J (\mathbf{r}) = \frac{B}{2i} \frac{\mathbf{X}^{cc}}{\mathbf{k}=1} \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{k}(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{k}(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r});$$
(17)

and

where = 1;2;3 corresponds to the Cartesian coordinates x, y, and z, respectively. The seven components of the vector R (r) on the rhs of Eq. (15) are given by

$$R_{1}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{X}^{cc}}{\sum_{k=1}^{y} (\mathbf{r}) \frac{\mathbf{E}_{xc}}{\sum_{k=1}^{y} (\mathbf{r})} + n_{k}(\mathbf{r}) D_{kk}^{y} + h \mathbf{c}: ;$$
(19)

$$R_{1+} (\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{cc}}{\sum_{k=1}^{B} \frac{y}{k}(\mathbf{r})} + m_{k} (\mathbf{r}) \frac{E_{xc}}{\sum_{k=1}^{Y} \frac{y}{k}(\mathbf{r})} + h_{k} (\mathbf{r}) \sum_{k=1}^{Y} + h_{k} (\mathbf{r}) \sum_{k=1}^{Y} \frac{y}{k}(\mathbf{r})$$
(20)

$$R_{4+} (\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\chi^{\text{CC}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k-1}} \frac{1}{2i} \frac{y}{k} (\mathbf{r}) \frac{\theta}{\theta \mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathbf{E}_{xc}}{\frac{y}{k} (\mathbf{r})}$$
$$\frac{1}{2i} \frac{\theta}{\theta \mathbf{r}} \frac{y}{\frac{y}{k} (\mathbf{r})} \frac{\mathbf{E}_{xc}}{\frac{y}{k} (\mathbf{r})} + \mathbf{j}_{0k} (\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{D}_{kk}^{y} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{c}: \quad (21)$$

with the density  $n_k$  (r), m agnetization density  $m_k$  (r) and param agnetic current density  $j_{k}$  (r) of the single orbital  $_k$  (r). In order to arrive at this form of the KLI equations we made use of Eq. (9).

To demonstrate the applicability of our form alism, we use the KLI equations to solve the electronic structure of a quasi-two-dimensional semiconductor QD [17] in an external magnetic eld. The QD is described as a many-electron system restricted to the xy plane and con ned in that plane by an external parabolic potential  $v_0 =$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ m  $!_0^2 r^2$  with  $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ . The external magnetic eld is defined to be uniform and perpendicular to the xy plane, i.e., B<sub>0</sub>(r) = r A<sub>0</sub>(r) = B<sub>0</sub>e<sub>2</sub> with A<sub>0</sub>(r) = B<sub>0</sub>e = 2. We apply the electrive mass approximation with the material parameters for G aAs, i.e., the electrive mass m = 0.067, the dielectric constant, = 12:4, and the electric gyrom agnetic ratio g = 0:44.

In order to simplify the implementation we assume collinearity, i.e., both the KS magnetic eld B<sub>s</sub> and the magnetization m only have non-vanishing z-components. Then the Pauli-type KS equation becomes diagonal in spin space and can be decoupled into two separate equations for the spin-up and spin-down orbitals '<sub>k</sub> (r). We further assume that the xc potentials preserve the cylindrical symmetry of the problem, i.e.,  $v_{xc}$  (r) =  $A_{xc}$  (r)e. Due to the cylindrical symmetry we can separate the wave function into radial and angular parts as '<sub>j1</sub> (r) = exp(i1) R<sub>j1</sub> (r), where the radial wave functions R<sub>j1</sub> (r) are eigenfunctions of the H am iltonian

$$\hat{H}_{s1} = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{\theta^2}{\theta r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\theta}{\theta r} \frac{1^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} !_c + m \frac{2}{r^2} r^2 + \frac{1}{2} !_c + m \frac{2}{r^2} r^2 + \frac{1}{2} !_c + m \frac{2}{r^2} r^2$$

with the total con nem ent =  $p_{10}^{2} + 1c_{2}^{2} = 4$ , and the cyclotron frequency  $1c_{2} = B_{0} = m$  c. The radial wave functions are expanded in the basis of eigenfunctions of the corresponding non-interacting problem, i.e., the eigenfunctions of the H am iltonian (22) with the H artree and all xc potentials set to zero. In the following we have em – ployed the exact-exchange (EXX) approximation to  $E_{xc}$ , i.e.,

$$E_{x}^{EXX} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{cc}}{\int_{fing}^{fing}} Z_{d^{3}r}^{2} \frac{d^{3}r^{0}}{d^{3}r} \frac{'_{k1} (r^{0})'_{k1} (r)'_{jm} (r^{0})'_{jm} (r)}{\int_{fin}^{fin} r^{0} j} :(23)$$

For the given symmetry, the 7 7 KLIm atrix equation (15) reduces to a 3 3 m atrix equation for the two scalar exchange potentials  $v_x$  and the angular component of the exchange vector potential  $A_x$ . It can be shown that the KLI equations autom atically lead to a vanishing exchange vector potential (and therefore CSDFT reduces to the well-known SDFT result) if the system is in a state with vanishing current density [16].

Figure 1 shows the total energy of a six-electron QD (! $_0 = 5 \text{ meV}$ ) as a function of B $_0$ . The kinks correspond to changes in the ground-state con guration (L<sub>z</sub>;S<sub>z</sub>). Apart from the fully-polarized (S<sub>z</sub> = 3) states, the EXX energies are considerably too large when com – pared with the accurate QMC results [8]. EXX also



FIG.1: M agnetic-ekd dependence of the total ground-state energy (m inus 6 =  $6\sqrt{\frac{12}{0} + \frac{12}{c} = 4}$ ) in the six-electron quantum dot calculated with the exact-exchange (EXX), EXX plus LSDA correlation (EXX+cLSDA), and with EXX plus the corrected LSDA correlation (EXX+clSDA). The LSDA and quantum M onte C arlo (QMC) results [B] are shown for com parison.

leads to an erroneous occurrence of the ( 5;2) groundstate at  $B_0 = 1:5:::2:0$  T. However, adding the LSDA correlation (cLSDA) [19] post-hoc to the EXX energies yields the correct sequence of states as a function of  $B_0$ . This is a major in provement over the cLSDA-corrected Hartree-Fock calculation which does not give the correct ground states for a sim ilar system [20]. As seen in Fig. 1, the energies of EXX + cLSDA are consistently too low by 1:5 m eV. Hence, considering the good agreem ent 1:0 between QMC and the conventional LSDA, our results dem onstrate the inherent tendency of the LSDA to cancelout its respective errors in exchange and correlation. However, we are able to remove most of the error in cLSDA by applying a corrected functional where the likespin-correlation has been rem oved (EXX+c"#LSDA) as suggested by Becke [21].

Figure 2 shows the param agnetic current  $j_{b}$  and the diam agnetic current  $j_d$  (r) = n (r)A<sub>0</sub> (r)=m at B<sub>0</sub> = 11 T for the (21;3) state. The total current j = $j_p + j_d$  changes sign at r 350 a.u. due to the existence of a single vortex at the center of the QD that we nd in agreem ent with the LSDA and num erically exact calculations [22]. In Fig. 2 we also show the exchange vector potential Ax. Its maximum, located near the edge of the QD, reaches a value which is 10% of that of the external vector potential  $A_0$ . Despite the considerable relative m agnitude of  $A_x$ , its e ect on the physical quantities like the total energy, density, and current density is negligible. A sim ilar result has been observed for openshell atom s [16] and also for QD s on the level of LSDA [8].

In sum m ary, we have extended the optim ized e ective potential m ethod to current-spin-density functional theory allowing the use of orbital functionals in a currentdensity functional treatm ent. A major advantage of



FIG. 2: A ngular components of the param agnetic and diamagnetic currents,  $j_{\rm p}\,$  and  $j_{\rm d}\,$ , and their sum for the (21;3) state at B $_0$  = 11 T. The dashed line shows the exchange vector potential for the same conguration.

these functionals, as we have demonstrated for the exactexchange functional, is that the lling of Landau levels is taken into account in a natural way and causes no numerical di culties. We emphasize that the same approximation for  $E_{\rm xc}$  is used over the whole range of externalmagnetic elds without any interpolation between high- and low - eld approximations. Application of our approach to quantum dots yields excellent agreement of the ground-state energies, densities, and currents with the previously published data. In particular, adding a corrected LSDA correlation to the EXX energies in a post-hoc way leads to more accurate energies than those of conventional LSDA. Further development of the correlation functionals and their self-consistent implementation are left for future research.

The authors like to thank H.Saarikoski and A.Harju for providing us with their data published in Fig. 3 of Ref. [8]. We gratefully acknowledge nancial support through the Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft and by the EU's Sixth Fram ework Program through the Nanoquanta Network of Excellence (NMP4-CT-2004-500198).

- [L] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964).
- [2] W .Kohn and L.Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A 1133 (1965).
- [3] U. von Barth and L. Hedin, J. Phys. C 5, 1629 (1972).
- [4] G. Vignale and M. Rasolt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2360 (1987).
- [5] G.Vignale and M.Rasolt, Phys. Rev. B 37, 10685 (1988).
- [6] P. Skudlarski and G. Vignale, Phys. Rev. B 48, 8547 (1993).
- [7] A.W ensauer and U.Rossler, Phys. Rev. B 69, 155302 (2004).
- [8] H. Saarikoskiet al, Phys. Rev. B 67, 205327 (2003).
- [9] T. Grabo, T. Kreibich, S. Kurth, and E.K. U. Gross, in Strong Coulom b Correlations in Electronic Structure:

Beyond the Local Density Approximation, edited by V . Anisim ov (Gordon & Breach, Tokyo, 2000), pp.203{311.

- [10] JD. Talm an and W F Shadwick, Phys. Rev. A 14, 36 (1976).
- [11] S.Shamma et al., cond-m at/0510800 (2005).
- [12] R.J. Sharp and G.K. Horton, Phys. Rev. 90, 317 (1953).
- [13] JB.Krieger, Y.Li, and G J. Iafrate, Phys. Rev. A 46, 5453 (1992).
- [14] S. Rohra and A. Gorling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 013005 (2006).
- [15] K.Bencheikh, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 36, 11929 (2003).
- [16] S.Pittalis, S.Kurth, N.Helbig, and E.K.U.G ross, Phys. Rev.A 74, 062511 (2006).

- [17] S M .Reim ann, M .M anninen, Rev.M od.Phys.74, 1283
  (2002).
- [18] S.Kummeland J.P.Perdew, Phys.Rev.Lett.90,043004 (2003); Phys.Rev.B 68,035103 (2003).
- [19] C. Attaccalite, S. Moroni, P. Gori-giorgi, and G. Bachelet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 256601 (2002).
- [20] W. Xin-Qiang, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12, 4207 (2000).
- [21] A.Becke, Int.J.Quant.Chem . 27, 585 (1985).
- [22] H.Saarikoski, A.Harju, M.J.Puska, and R.M. Niem inen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 116802 (2004).