## Current uctuations near to the 2D superconductor-insulator quantum critical point

A.G.Green, <sup>1</sup> J.E.Moore, <sup>2</sup> S.L.Sondhi, <sup>3</sup> and A.Vishwanath<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Physics and Astronom y, University of StAndrews, North Haugh, StAndrews KY16 9SS, UK

<sup>2</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, University of California, LeConte Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

(D ated: M arch 23, 2022)

System s near to quantum critical points show universal scaling in their response functions. We consider whether this scaling is rejected in their uctuations; namely in current-noise. Naive scaling predicts low temperature Johnson noise crossing over to noise power /  $E^{z=(z+1)}$  at strong electric elds. We study this crossover in them etallic state at the 2d z= 1 superconductor/insulator quantum critical point. Using a Boltzmann-Langevin approach within a 1=N expansion, we show that the current noise obeys a scaling form  $S_j = T [T=T_{eff}(E)]$  with  $T_{eff} / E$ . We recover Johnson noise in them alequilibrium and  $S_j / E$  at strong electric elds. The suppression from free carrier shot noise is due to strong correlations at the critical point. We discuss its interpretation in terms of a diverging carrier charge / 1 = E or as out-of-equilibrium Johnson noise with electric tem perature / E.

PACS num bers:

The notion of quantum criticality provides one of the few general approaches to the study of strongly correlated quantum many-body system s[1]. The scale invariance that characterizes the zero temperature critical point leads to characteristic, universal power-law dependences for various quantities in its proximity; these dependences can be computed within a continuum eld theory. A number of theoretical works have calculated the impact of such universality upon conductivity [2, 3, 4]. These calculations provide robust experimental predictions. Two recent works of D alidovch and P hilips[5], and G reen and Sondhil[6], have extended the analysis to see whether universality persists out of equilibrium. Surprisingly it does; at least in transport.

In this work we consider another experim entally measurable quantity: current uctuations. Measurements of current uctuations are usually restricted to mesoscopic samples in order that the relative uctuations of current be signi cant. M esoscopic sam ples have relatively few conducting channels giving a total conductance of order the conductance quantum  $e^2 = h \cdot Q$  uantum critical systems may also satisfy this criterion. For example, at the two-dimensional superconductor-insulator (SI) transition the conductance is also of order the conductance quantum [2, 3, 5, 6]. It would be revealing, therefore, to compare the current uctuations at the m etallic critical point of bosons with the more familiar results for non-equilibrium current noise in di usive Ferm i liquids[7]. Transport properties are readily measurable and theoretical predictions regarding their scaling and universality at two-dimensional quantum critical points (in charged system s such as SI, and Q uantum HallE ect transitions) have stimulated many experiments. Nonequilibrium current uctuationsm ay provide an independent window upon these quantum critical points.

There are two contributions to current noise; John-

son noise and Shot noise. Since it is a relection of the uctuation-dissipation relation, Johnson noise is expected to be una ected by correlations between carriers. On the other hand, shot noise relects the fact that charge is carried in quanta. If there are strong correlations between carriers, we expect it to be signilicantly altered.

The situation in a non-equilibrium steady state is trickier; Johnson and shot noise cannot easily be separated. On the one hand, one may identify an elective temperature scale  $T_{\rm eff}$  for the out-of-equilibrium distribution and treat the current uctuations as Johnson-like. Dimensional analysis suggests  $T_{\rm eff}$  / E  $^{z=(1+z)}$  and current noise proportional to E  $^{z=(1+z)}$ . On the other hand, one may identify the out-of-equilibrium noise with shot noise and a carrier charge that diverges as E  $^{1=(1+z)}$ .

We present a microscopic calculation that recovers these results for the super uid to insulator transition of two-dimensionalbosonswith particle-hole symmetry. We use a Boltzm ann-Langevin [9, 10] approach within a 1=N expansion [4] to analyse current noise near this transition. This \kinetic theory of uctuations" approach is known to give the correct result for the leading noise correlations in the diusive metal, but needs to be modied for higher moments [11]. The system is considered to be in them al contact with a substrate. This, in conjunction with the internal scattering in the system, leads to a uniform, non-equilibrium, steady-state distribution, provided that the length of the sam ple is greater than the correlation length [6]. This situation is quite di erent from that considered elsewhere, where the only coupling to the outside world is through the leads. It perm its the current-noise properties to be entirely determ ined by the internal scattering and so to be universal.

Our main results are as follows: in thermal equilibrium, we recover Johnson noise with noise power  $S_j = 4 T$  (as we must in order to satisfy the equilibrium uc-

tuations dissipation relation)  $\stackrel{T}{p}$  T his crosses over at very large electric elds to S<sub>j</sub> /  $\stackrel{T}{E}$ . This is strongly suppressed from the usual shot noise result of S<sub>j</sub> / j / E due to the strong correlations at the quantum critical point. This large eld result may be considered as either shot noise with a carrier charge which diverges as  $1=\stackrel{T}{E}$  or alternatively as a non-equilibrium Johnson noise with e ective temperature  $T_{\rm eff}$  =  $\stackrel{h}{hoeE}$   $^2$ =4k. Between these two limits, we expect the current noise to obey a universal scaling form S<sub>j</sub> = T [ $\Gamma_{\rm eff}$  (E)=T].

We begin by outlining the eld theoretical form ulation of the symmetric, bosonic, super uid to insulator transition. We then give Boltzm ann and Boltzm ann-Langevin equations for the system in thermal equilibrium. The latter is used to deduce the Johnson noise. Next, we turn to the Boltzm ann and Boltzm ann-Langevin equations for the zero-tem perature system under a nite electric eld. This is used to deduce the out-of-equilibrium current- uctuations. Finally we turn to a discussion of the im plications of these results.

Field Theory The critical region of the symmetric super uid to M ott insulator transition phase diagram is described by a charged scalar eld with a quartic interaction [1, 2]:

$$H = d^{d}x y + r yr + m^{2}y + (y)^{2}; (1)$$

where is the complex scalar eld and is its conjugate m om entum. These satisfy the usual com m utation relations [ (x;t); (y;t)] = i (x y). It is convenient to choose the bare interaction to have its xed point value u <sup>3 d</sup> with momentum cuto ,[1]although we will not need the precise, regularization-dependent, value. At the zero-tem perature critical point, the renorm alized m ass is zero which corresponds to a particular choice m of the bare mass. The e ects of applying an electric eld, E, are included by minimally coupling to a vector potential; r ! D = (r + ieA = h). We choose the gauge A = Et. This is equivalent to a contact-free measurement (for example by placing the system on a cylinder and inducing an EMF on its surface by uniform ly increasing the ux through it). We believe that our results carry over unchanged to the case with good contacts at the ends.

The norm alm odes of this H am iltonian (in the absence of interaction) are charge density uctuations. These occur with positive and negative charges, corresponding to decrease or increase in charge density from the average. We determ ine the current uctuations near to the critical point by considering a Boltzm ann equation for the occupation of these modes. We use  $a^{y}/b^{y}$  and a/b to represent the creation and annihilation of positively/negatively charged density uctuations.

The Boltzmann equation in thermal equilibrium and within a 1=N -expansion of the Hamiltonian Eq.(1) is

given by [2, 8]

$$= dq \begin{array}{c} [\underline{\theta}_{t} + (\underline{e}E = h) : \underline{\theta}_{k}] f_{k} \\ = dq \begin{array}{c} k_{q} f_{k} (1 + f_{q}) & _{qk} f_{q} (1 + f_{k}) \\ & _{kq} f_{k} f_{q} & _{qk} (1 + f_{q}) (1 + f_{k}) \end{array}$$

 $_{k,q}$  and  $\sim_{k,q}$  describe the matrix elements for particle-particle and particle-anti-particle scattering, respectively [12] and

$$f(k;t) = dqha_{k+q=2}^{y}(t)a_{k-q=2}(t)i$$

denotes the distribution function, with a similar distribution f'(k;t) for the negatively charged modes. Particlehole symmetry implies the relation f(k;t) = f'(k;t). We restrict our explicit consideration to the positively charged channel; nalexpressions include the negatively charged channel through appropriate factors of two.

The Boltzmann-Langevin equation is an equation describing the stochastic evolution of uctuations,  $f_q(r;t)$ , in the distribution function about its equilibrium or steady-state. In the present case, it is given by

$$[Q_t + v : Q_r + (eE = h) : Q_q] f_k = k f_k f_k + q;$$

$$= \begin{array}{cccc} Z \\ dq & _{kq} \frac{1 + f_{q}}{1 + f_{k}} & f_{k} & _{qk} \frac{1 + f_{k}}{1 + f_{q}} & f_{q} + _{q} \\ Z \\ dq & \sim_{kq} \frac{f_{q}}{1 + f_{k}} & f_{k} & \sim_{qk} \frac{1 + f_{k}}{f_{q}} & f_{q} \end{array}$$
(3)

where

7

$$f_{k} = dqM_{kq} f_{q}$$

$$M_{kq} = \frac{q_{k}}{Z} \frac{1 + f_{k}}{1 + f_{q}} + \frac{\sim q_{k}}{k} \frac{1 + f_{k}}{f_{q}}$$

$$k = dq_{kq} \frac{1 + f_{q}}{1 + f_{k}} + \sim_{kq} \frac{f_{q}}{1 + f_{k}} : (4)$$

The position and time labels of  $f_k(r;t)$  and q(r;t) have been suppressed for compactness and the detailed balance conditions  $q_{jk}f_q(1 + f_k) = k_{jq}f_k(1 + f_q)$  and  $\sim_{q,k}(1 + f_q)(1 + f_k) = \sim_{k,q}f_kf_q$  have been used in order to simplify the right-hand-side. The time and momentum derivatives on the left-hand-side of Eq. (3) follow directly from the Boltzm ann equation (2). The rst term on the right hand side is the linearised form of the scattering integral. The second is a stochastic term describing uctuations in occupation number. It is determ ined by assuming that the scattering processes are independently Poisson distributed. Under this assumption, the quadratic correlations of q(r;t) are given by [13]

$$= 2_{r;r^{0} t;t^{0} q^{0}} f_{q} (1 + f_{q}) [_{q;q^{0}} M_{q^{0}q}]$$
(5)

where the detailed balance conditions and Eq. (4) have been used in order to simplify these expressions. The factor of two comes from the contributions of in- and out-scattering processes.

Current uctuations may be calculated using the Boltzmann-Langevin equation as follows: rst we determine the local uctuations in occupation. In the limit of long times and long length-scales, we may neglect the derivative terms on the left-hand-side of Eq. (3). The uctuation in the distribution function is then given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{k} \qquad \mathbf{f}_{k} = \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k} : \tag{6}$$

This integral equation has a form al solution given by

$$f_{k}(x;t) = dq [1 \quad M ]_{kq q}^{1}(x;t) = q; \qquad (7)$$

where we are using a matrix notation for functions of momentum with  $[\!\!1]_{k\,q}= \frac{1}{R}\!\!(2)^2$  (k q) and the inverse of a matrix N de ned as dq N  $l_{k\,q}^1$  N  $l_{q\,k^0}=$  [1  $l_{k\,k^0}$ .

The resulting uctuation in current is given by:

$$j = dpv_p f_q; \qquad (8)$$

where  $v_k = @_{k \ k}$ . Using the noise correlations from Eq. (5), after some algebra, we obtain the following expression for the correlation of current uctuations in thermal equilibrium [14]:

h j (r;t) (r<sup>0</sup>;t<sup>0</sup>)i = ; 
$$r_{rr^{0}} t_{rt^{0}} dp dq v_{p} w_{q}$$
  
[1 M  $l_{pq}^{1} f_{q} (1 + f_{q}) = q$  (9)

This result should be compared with the result for ferm ions[7] where the Bose enhancement factor f + 1 is replaced by a Fermi factor 1 f. The low frequency current noise  $S_j$  can now be expressed as:

$$S_{j} = dtdrhj(r;t):j(0;0)i$$
 (10)

In Therm al equilibrium, the current uctuations reduce to the Johnson result  $S_j = 4_T T$ , with the universal conductivity T determined by a linear response expansion of the Boltzm ann equation (2) [16]. As the electric eld is increased away from zero, symmetry under E ! E requires that the lowest order correction to this result must be proportional to  $E^2$ . A closed form expression for this correction may be obtained by including the lowest order, static distortion of the distribution function by the electric eld,  $f^s$  [16]. The resulting expression is cumbersome and not very revealing. We note, how ever, that the corrections to the distribution function are proportional to powers of here =  $(kT)^2$  (to see this, rescale the momentum integral in the expression for

 $f^s$  in [16] and use the fact that for critical, relativistic bosons  $jv_k j = c$ ). The current noise is, therefore, expected to be a universal scaling function of heee = (kT)<sup>2</sup>;

$$S_{j} = Tf h ceE = (kT)^{2} = T [T_{eff}(E) = T];$$
 (11)

where we have de ned  $T_{eff}(E) = \frac{p}{h ceE}^{2} = 4k$  (the reason for the numerical factor in this expression will become apparent later). The low-tem perature lim it of this scaling function is (0) = 4 T, where T = 0.2154e^{2}=h given by the universal conductance found in thermal equilibrium [1, 2]. Next, we turn to a calculation of the high-eld lim it of this scaling function.

O ut-of-E quilibrium . Under the application of a strong electric eld, the system is driven far from therm al equilibrium . In this situation, the Boltzm ann equation (2) m isses important eld-induced tunneling processes (precisely analogous to Zener breakdown or the Schwinger m echanism). A Boltzm ann equation for this situation was previously derived within a 1/N -expansion in R ef.[6];

$$[\mathfrak{Q}_{t} + (e = h) \mathfrak{Q}_{k}] f(k) = {}_{k} f(k) + e^{hc} {}_{k}^{2} = e \frac{hk E}{e E^{2}};$$

$$(12)$$

where to lowest order in 1/N [15],  $\frac{2}{k} = k^2$  and

$$k (t) = \frac{8c}{N} \frac{p}{\frac{k}{N} \frac{j + k_{k}}{k}}^{2} dk^{0} \frac{q}{\frac{k^{0} j + k_{k}^{0}}{k^{0}}} f(k^{0}; t)$$

$$= \frac{2}{p} \frac{1}{N k_{k}} (eE = h)^{3=4} c^{1=4} :$$
(13)

Eq.(12) may be integrated to obtain an explicit solution for the out-of equilibrium distribution function [6, 17].

Following the same procedure as previously, we may derive a Boltzm ann-Langevin equation describing uctuations in occupation number. This has a simpler form than in therm al equilibrium since there is just one process that dom inates the contribution to the uctuations in occupation number in this strongly out-of-equilibrium lim it. The result is (suppressing position and time labels)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_{t} + v \cdot \theta_{r} + E \cdot \theta_{k} \end{bmatrix} f_{k} = {}_{k} f_{k} + {}_{k}$$
  
h<sub>q</sub>(r;t)<sub>q<sup>0</sup></sub>(r<sup>0</sup>;t<sup>0</sup>)i = (2)<sup>2</sup> r;r<sup>0</sup> t;t<sup>0</sup> (q q<sup>0</sup>)<sub>q</sub>f<sub>q</sub> (14)

Solving, as before, in the lim it of long times and large distances, the uctuation in occupation number is given by  $f_q = {}_q = {}_q$  and the correlation of uctuations in current are given by

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{hj } (\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{t})_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{j}} (\mathbf{r}^{0}; \mathbf{t}^{0}) \mathbf{i} \\ = 2e^{2} ; \quad d\mathbf{p} d\mathbf{q} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{q}}}{\sum_{\mathbf{j}} q} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{p}} (\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{t})_{\mathbf{q}} (\mathbf{r}^{0}; \mathbf{t}^{0}) \mathbf{i} \\ = 2e^{2}c^{2} ; \quad r_{\mathbf{r}r^{0}} t_{\mathbf{r}t^{0}} d\mathbf{p} \frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}}}{\sum_{\mathbf{p}} r}; \quad (15) \end{array}$$

where we have used the fact that  $v_p^2 = c^2$  for relativistic bosons in the large N limit and the factor of two comes from the contribution of particles and holes. Using the explicit form of the non-equilibrium distribution function and performing the integrals, one obtains the high-eld contribution to current noise as

hj (r;t)j (r<sup>0</sup>;t<sup>0</sup>)i = ; 
$$t_{r}t^{0} r;r^{0} \frac{N}{8} e^{2} \frac{r}{e^{2}} \frac{ecE}{h}$$
(16)

The large eld current noise (16) is dram atically reduced compared with the linear E - eld dependence of shot noise expected for uncorrelated charge carriers. It is tempting to ascribe this to an e ective charge of carriers near to the quantum critical point proportional to 1= E. This e ective charge shows a dram atic divergence as one approaches the quantum critical point reecting the strong correlations of the system . W hether this picture can be carried through requires an analysis of higher order statistics of the current noise [11]. An alternative, and perhaps more revealing, interpretation of Eq. (16) is as a non-equilibrium equivalent of Johnson noise. The non-equilibrium analogue of the uctuation dissipation relation is recovered if we identify an e ective tem perature  $T_{eff} = p^{P} \frac{h ceE^{-2}}{eeE^{-2}} = 4k \cdot T h is is deduced from 4 E T_{eff} = 2 (N = 8)^{2} eeE^{-2} eeE^{-2$ is the large-eld conductivity [6]. The current-noise scaling function has high - eld asymptote  $[x ! 1] = 4_E x$ . To estim ate the magnitude of this e ect in a physical setting such as the SI transition in MoGethin lms [18], we can take E = 0.5V/m, and estimate c  $v_F = 10^6 \text{ m/s}$ . 400m K, which is an order of magni-We obtain T<sub>eff</sub> tude larger than experim entally accessible tem peratures. The current noise will, therefore, be enhanced over its therm alvalue.

In conclusion, we have considered the current noise at the 2-dimensional, z=1 superconductor to insulator quantum critical point. We nd that this noise follows a universal scaling function,  $S_j = T [T_{eff}(E)=T]$ ; with  $T_{eff} = \frac{P}{hoeE}^2 = 4$ . This scaling function recovers Johnson noise in them al equilibrium and crosses over to an unusual E-dependent non-linear shot noise or non-equilibrium Johnson noise at high elds. This is a particular case of a more general  $E^{z=(1+z)}$  scaling expected for high-eld current noise. In this way, current-noise may reveal the universal non-linear scaling exponents predicted near to quantum phase transitions.

A cknow ledgments: This work was completed with the support of the RoyalSociety, NSF DM R-0238760 and DM R-0213706, the LD RD program of LBNL under DOE grant DE-AC 02-05C H 11231 and the A.P.Sloan Foundation (A.V.). We would like to thank D.G utm an and N. M ason for discussions.

- S.Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions, CUP (1999); S. L.Sondhi, S.M. Girvin, J.P.Carini and D.Shahar, Rev. M od. Phys. 69, 315-333 (1997)
- [2] K.Dam le and S.Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 56, 8714 (1997)
- [3] M.-C.Cha, M.P.A.Fisher, S.M.Girvin, Mats Wallin and A.P.Young, Phys. Rev. B 44, 6883 (1991).
- [4] S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev B 57, 7157 (1998).
- [5] D. D alidovich and P. Phillips Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 027004 (2004).
- [6] A.G. Green and S.L.Sondhi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 267001 (2005).
- [7] K.E.Nagaev, Phys. Rev. B 57, 4628 (1998), K.E.Nagaev, Phys. Rev. B 66, 075334 (2002)
- [3] W e use the shorthand notation  $dq = d^2q = (2)^2$ . for the momentum -space integration measure.
- [9] M.Bixon and R.Zwanzig, Phys. Rev, 187, 267 (1969).
- [10] A Ya. Shulm an and Sh M .K ogan, Sov. Phys. JETP 29, 3 (1969).
- [11] D. B. Gutman, Yuval Gefen and A. D. Mirilin cond-mat/0210076 (2002), K. E. Nagaev, Phys. Rev. B66, 075334 (2002).
- [12] Explicit form s for these in the 1/N -expansion are given in Ref.[4];

$$z_{i;q} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d \operatorname{Im}^{1}(q \ k;)}{4_{k \ q}}$$

$$[1 + n()] (k_{q} \ q + )$$

and

$$_{k,q} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d}{d} \frac{\text{Im}^{1}(k+q;)}{4_{k,q}}$$

$$[1+n()](k+q)$$

(q; ) is the polarization loop for particles or antiparticles and is determ ined by the critical scattering; we will give no further details of it here except to use its critical form in our nal calculations. For a complete comparison with the expressions given in Ref.[4], one must make use of the identity n() = e  $=^{T} (1 + n())$ .

)

[13] In fact, there is a subtly here. Particle-hole scattering induces correlations between noise in the particle and hole channels. Eq. (5) incorporates these e ects such that we m ay treat particle and hole scattering as if they were independent obtaining the correct result. Strictly, the noise correlations are given by (suppressing space and time labels)

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & (2 )^{2} & _{q;q^{0}} & dk & _{q;k} f_{q} (1 + f_{k}) \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

 $h_{q}^{a} f_{q}^{b} \circ i = 2_{r;r^{0}} f_{q} \circ f_{q} f_{q} \circ f_{q}$ 

writing the total contribution to the current uctuation as 7

$$j = dpv_p \frac{a}{p} \frac{b}{p}$$

with these noise correlators we recover the results derived in the text.

[14] In matrix notation the equation (5) reads:

$$h_{q}(\mathbf{r};t)_{q^{0}}(\mathbf{r}^{0};t^{0})i=2_{r;r^{0}t;t^{0}q^{0}}f_{q}(1+f_{q})[1 M]_{q^{0}q}$$

Combining this with Eq. (7) we nd the uctuations of the distribution function are correlated as:

h f<sub>p</sub> (r;t) f<sub>q</sub> (r<sup>0</sup>;t<sup>0</sup>)i = 2 <sub>r;r<sup>0</sup> t;t<sup>0</sup></sub> [1 M 
$$p_q^1 \frac{f_q (1 + f_q)}{q}$$

hence correlators of the current uctuations (8) are:  $$\mathbf{Z}$$ 

h j (r;t) 
$$(r^{0};t^{0})i = ; r;r^{0} t;t^{0}$$
 [l M  $l_{q}^{1}f_{q}(1 + f_{q}) = q$ 

- [15] W ithin the 1/N -expansion for the out-of-equilibrium system, the gap does not contribute to the current response at lowest order in 1=N and is neglected here (See also [6]).
- [16] The linear response conductivity m ay be calculated from the Boltzm ann equation (2) in the usualway. Expanding to linear order in the applied electric eld, we nd

$$\mathbf{f}_{k}^{s} = \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k} \mathbf{f}_{k}$$

where  $f^s$  now indicates the static, linear distortion of the distribution from the thermal distribution f in response to the electric eld. W e may form ally invert this integral with the result

$$\mathbf{f}_{k}^{s} = dq \left[ L \quad M \right]_{q}^{1} \frac{1}{q} \mathbf{E} \left[ \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{q} \right]_{q}^{1}$$

Finally, using  $j = \begin{bmatrix} R \\ dkv_k \\ f_k^s \end{bmatrix}$ ; and  $e_q f_q = v_q (1 + f_q)f_q = kT$  we may deduce the following linear response solution for the conductivity in therm all equilibrium : Z

$$kT = 2 \quad dp dq v_p v_q [1 \quad M]_q^1 f_q (1 + f_q) = q$$

the factor of 2 arising from the contribution of both particles and anti-particles to the conductivity. This may be compared against Eq.(9).W hen calculated within a  $1/N - \exp(1)$  expansion, the result of carrying out these integrals is a universal conductivity in therm al equilibrium, given by  $_{\rm T}$  = N 0:1077e <sup>2</sup>=h[1].

- [17] The explicit solution for the distribution function is  $f_k = (K_k) \exp K_2^2$   $2K_k$ ; where the momenta have been rescaled according to  $K = k = p \frac{eE = hc}{2k_k}$  is the explicit of these variables, Eq. (12) may be integrated to obtain the above solution. The integrals in Eq. (13) may be carried out noting that  $k_k$   $k_2$  to obtain  $2 = 8 = N^2$ .
- [18] N.M ason and A.Kapitulnik, Phs. Rev. Lett. 82, 5341 (1999).