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#### Abstract

W e study phonon-induced relaxation of quantum states of a particle (e.g., electron or proton) in a rigid double-well potential in a solid. R elaxation rate due to $R$ am an two-phonon processes have been com puted. W e show that in a tw o-state lim it, sym m etry argum ents allow one to express these rates in term $s$ of independently $m$ easurable param eters. In general, the two-phonon processes dom inate relaxation at higher tem perature. D ue to parity e ect in a biased tw o-state system, their rate can be controlled by the bias.


PACS num bers: $03.65 . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{z}}$, $66.35 .+\mathrm{a}, 73.21 \mathrm{Fg}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

R elaxation and decoherence in a two state system coupled to environm ent is a fundam ental problem of quantum physics. It was intensively studied in the past; see, e.g., the review of Leggett et al. [1] In. In the last years the interest to this problem has been revived by the e ort to build solid state qubits. Recently, sym $m$ etry im plications have been considered for the problem of a particle in a rigid doublew ell potential em bedded in a solid erle It w as dem onstrated that sym $m$ etry argum ents allow one to obtain param eter-fiee low er bound on the relaxation of quantum oscillations in a rigid double well, caused by the elastic environm ent. O ne of the argum ents is that the doublew ellpotential form ed by the local arrangem ent of atom $s$ in a solid is de ned in the coordinate fram e of that local atom ic environm ent, not in the laboratory fram e. A nother argum ent is that interactions of the tunneling variable w th phonons $m$ ust be invariant $w$ th respect to globaltranslations and rotations. W hen these argum ents w ere taken into account, a sim ple universal result for the relaxation rate was obtained constants of the solid, w ith no unknow $n$ interaction constants.
$T$ he above $m$ entioned universal result refers to the low tem perature lim it when the relaxation of a tw o state system is dom inated by the decay of the excited state due to the em ission of one phonon. In this paper we extend the $m$ ethod developed in Ref. two-phonon Ram an processes in double-w ell structures
 at higher tem peratures $\overline{1}, 1$ in the tem perature range bounded by the level splitting from below and by the D ebye tem perature from above, the rate of the $\mathrm{R} a \mathrm{~m}$ an process for a biased rigid double well is given by a universalexpression, very $m$ uch like the rate of the direct one-phonon process. TheR am an rate is proportional to the seventh power of tem perature, while the one-phonon rate is linear on tem perature. Interestingly, how ever, at sm allbias, the $R$ am an rate, unlike the one-phonon rate, is proportionalto the square of the bias. C onsequently R am an processes can be sw itched on and o by controlling the bias. This universalresult, which is
a consequence of the parity ofquantum states, $m$ ust have im portant im plications for solid-state qubits at elevated tem peratures. Indeed, for an electron in a quantum dot, the rate of a direct one-phonon process is usually sm all. If the rate of a two-phonon process can be $m$ ade sm all as well, this $m$ eans that one can elim inate phonons as a signi cant source of relaxation and decoherence of the electron states in solid-state qubits.

## II. PARTICLEAND PHONONS

Throughout this paper we shall use units where $\sim=$ $k_{B}=1$ unless stated otherw ise. In the absence of phonons, the H am iltonian in the laboratory fram e is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\circ}=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r$ is the radius vector, $p$ is the $m$ om entum, and $m$ is the $m$ ass of the particle (e.g., electron). A long-w ave phonon described by the displacem ent eld $u(r)$ translates the rigid double well in space. T he H am iltonian of the system (including the free phonon eld) in the laboratory fram e becom es

$$
H=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+V\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & u \tag{2}
\end{array}\right)+H_{p h}:
$$

Here, $H_{p h}$ is the Ham ittonian of the free phonon eld. We intend to obtain a H am iltonian of the form $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\circ}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ph}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ph where the last term describes the interaction of phonons w ith the electron in the double wellpotential. U sing the fact that $u$ is $s m a l l$, one can expand V (r u) in Taylor series to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+V(r)+H_{p h} \quad \frac{@ V}{@ r_{i}} u_{i}+\frac{1}{2!} \frac{@^{2} V}{@ r_{i} @ r_{j}} u_{i} u_{j}+::: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst three term $s$ form the interaction-free part of the total H am iltonian. The rest of the term s containing powers of $u$ com prise the electron phonon interaction. It is clear that Eq. ( $\overline{1} 1$ ) requires detailed know ledge of the potential and its derivatives. O ne can, how ever, obtain

Eq. (2,) by perform ing a unitary transform ation on Eq. (II) w ith the help of translation operator $R=e^{\text {ip }} u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=e^{i p} u^{u} H_{\circ} e^{i p} u_{+} H_{p h}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be expanded for sm allu as

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =H_{\circ}+H_{p h}+ \\
& \left.\left.+i \mathbb{H} \circ ; p_{i}\right] u_{i}+\frac{i^{2}}{2}\left[H_{\circ} ; p_{i}\right] i p_{j}\right] u_{i} u_{j}+:::: \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

W_orking out the commutators brings one back to Eq. $(\underline{3})$. H ow ever, the use of Eq. (5్S) that we are going to em ploy allow s one to obtain param eter-free results solely in term $s$ of the energy levels of our e ective tw o-state system w thout know ledge of the explicit form of $V(r)$.

W e consider the case in which the particle, w ith good accuracy, is localized near $r=R_{0}$, where $R_{0}$ are the energy $m$ inim a of the left or right wells. W thout loss of generality we assum e that $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{x}}$. The localization length of the state inside each well is sm all com pared to the distance betw een the $m$ inim a of the doublewell potential. The bare ground states (w hen tunneling is neglected) in the left and right wells, that we denote by $j X_{o}$ i, are approxim ately orthonom al,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x_{\circ} j x_{\circ} i=1 ; h x_{\circ} X_{\circ} i=0: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he tunneling betw een the wells leads to the hybridization of the states given by orthonorm alw ave functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad i=\frac{1}{p}\left(C \quad x_{\circ} i \quad C \quad j \quad x_{\circ} i\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=p \overline{1 \quad "=} ; \quad=p \frac{p}{{ }_{0}^{2}+"^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith obeing the tunnelsplitting in the unbiased double well and " being the energy bias betw een the wells. N ote that the double well also has states, $j i, w$ ith energies, $E$, other then $E$ corresponding to $j$ i. The energy splitting

$$
\begin{equation*}
=E+E \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is considered $s m$ all com pared to the distance from E to other E. A s we shall see, in this lim it the sum $m$ ation overallstates $j$ i renders result for phonon-induced transitionsbetw een $j$ i that is insensitive to the explicit form of the potential.

Below we shall deal w th the $m$ atrix elem ents of operators $p \quad p_{x}, x$, and their combinations. O ther com ponents of $p$ and $r$ are irrelevant. Localization of $j i$ allow s one to com pute $m$ atrix elem ents of pow ers of the operator $\mathrm{x} w$ ith the help of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x j x_{\circ} i=x_{\circ} j x_{\circ} i: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \quad j x j \quad i=x_{\circ} \frac{1}{2}\left(C_{+}^{2} \quad C^{2}\right)=x_{\circ}("=) \\
& h \quad \dot{x} j+i=x_{\circ} C_{+} C=x_{\circ}(o=) \\
& h \quad \dot{x}^{2} j \quad i=x_{\circ}^{2} ; \quad h \quad \dot{x}^{2} j+i=0: \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

To com pute other m atrix elem ents we shall use relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=m \underline{x}=\quad i m\left[x ; H_{0}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p x+x p=m(\underline{x} x+x \underline{x})=m \frac{d x^{2}}{d t}=\quad i m\left[x^{2} ; H_{\circ}\right]: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { h ipj oi= im (E Eo)h jxj oi } \\
& \text { h } \mathrm{p} x+x p j \text { oi }=i m(E \\
& \text { E0)h } \dot{x}^{2} j \text { oi (14) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, $h \quad j p j+i=0$.
A s we shall see, perturbation theory for R am an processes requires com putation of the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{X}_{\epsilon+}^{\mathrm{h}} \frac{\mathrm{p} j \text { ih } \mathrm{p} j+i}{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E}_{+} \mathrm{i} \text { : } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pplication of Eq. (1-2) elim inates the denom inator and yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
=i^{X} h \quad \dot{p} j \text { ih } \dot{x} j+i: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the com pleteness of $j$ iwe obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
=i m \llbracket h \quad j x j+i \quad h \quad j p j+i h+j x j+i]: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ inally, w ith the help of the above relations for $m$ atrix elem ents of $x, p$, and $p x$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
=m^{2} X_{o}^{2}(o=)^{\prime \prime}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a mechanism of elim ination of unspeci ed energy levels E from the problem, leading to a universal result.

## III. RAMAN MATRIX ELEMENT

W e are interested in the transition rate betw een the eigen states of $\mathrm{H}_{\circ}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ph}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad i=j \text { i } j i: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $j i$ are the tunnel split states of the double well given by Eq. $(\bar{T})$. The states $j$ i are the eigenstates of $H_{p h}$ w ith energies $E_{p h}$; . O ur goal is to study Ram an scattering processes involving absorption of a phonon of frequency $!_{k}$ and em ission of a phonon of frequency $!_{q}=!_{k}+$, accom panied by the transition of the particle j + i! j i; see Fig.1. The Ram an rate can be com puted w ith the help of the Ferm igolden rule in the second order in the interaction. The $m$ atrix elem ent for this process is the sum of tw om atrix elem ents,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=M^{(2)}+M^{(1+1)}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term denotes the rst order perturbation on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(2)} \mathrm{ph}^{(2}=\frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{H} \circ ; \mathrm{p}] ; \mathrm{p}\right] \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.1: R am an process on tunnel-split levels in a double-w ell potential, including virtualtransitions to higher levels E the nst term in Eq. (24) shown].
while the second term stands for the second order perturbation on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(1)} \mathrm{ph}=i \mathbb{H} \circ ; \mathrm{pJu}_{\mathrm{x}}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he explicit expressions for $M^{(2)}$ and $M^{(1+1)}$ are []$\left.^{[1]}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{(2)}=h \quad j H_{e p h}^{(2)} j+i \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{(1+1)}=\mathrm{X} \frac{h \quad j H_{e}^{(1)} \mathrm{ph} j \quad i h \quad j H_{e}^{(1)} \mathrm{ph} j+i}{E_{+}+\sim!k} \mathrm{E} \\
& +\mathrm{X} \frac{\mathrm{~h} \quad j H_{e}^{(1)} p h j \quad i h \quad j H_{e}^{(1)} \mathrm{ph} j+i}{E_{+}} \sim_{q}!_{q} \mathrm{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $j i$ is a direct product of the eigenstates of $H$ 。 $w$ ith the phonon states $\eta_{k} \quad 1 ; \eta_{\mathrm{q}}$ i in the rst term and $j_{k} ; n_{q}+1 i$ in the second term.

First, we calculate the phonon parts of $M{ }^{(2)}$ and $M^{(1+1)}$ using_canonical quantization of the phonon displacem ents [13]
where is the density of the solid, $V$ is its volum $e, e_{k}$ are unit polarization vectors, $=t_{1} ; t_{2}$; ldenotes polarizations, and $!_{k}=k$ is the phonon frequency that $w e$ $w$ ill usually write as $!_{k}$. W riting $j+i=$ nin $_{k} ; n_{q} i \quad j+i$ and $j \quad i=j_{k} \quad 1 ; n_{q}+1 i \quad j \quad i$; for the phonon $m a-$ trix elem ent in $M^{(2)}$ one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& h n_{k} \quad 1 ; n_{q}+1 j u_{x}^{2} \eta_{k} \eta_{n} n_{q} i \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{V} e_{k}^{x} e_{q}^{x} e^{i(k} \quad q\right) r \frac{n_{k}\left(n_{q}+1\right)}{!_{k}!_{q}} \quad M_{p h}: \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

For the phonon $m$ atrix elem ents in $M^{(1+1)}$ one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}+1 j \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}} \dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1 ; n_{\mathrm{q}} i \mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1 ; n_{\mathrm{q}} j \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}} \dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{i} \\
= & \mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1 ; n_{\mathrm{q}}+1 j \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}} \dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}+1 i \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}+1 j \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}} \dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}} i \\
= & \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ph}}=2 ; \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

(O ne can see from the com pleteness relation that the sum of these two expressions is $M_{\mathrm{ph}}$ :)

Next, we evaluate the particle parts of $M{ }^{(2)}$ and
 ing the com mutator explicitly and inserting the identity operator $I=\quad j$ ih jresults in

$$
=\begin{array}{lll} 
& \begin{array}{l}
h \\
X
\end{array} \quad j\left(H \circ p^{2}\right. & \left.2 p H_{\circ} p+p^{2} H \circ\right) j+i \\
\left(E_{+}+E\right. & 2 E) h \quad j p j \text { ih jpj+i:(28) }
\end{array}
$$

The particle part ofm ${ }^{(1+1)}$ sim pi es to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&h \quad j \mathbb{H} \circ ; p] j \\
&\text { ih } j \mathbb{H} \circ ; p] j+i \\
&=(E \quad E)\left(E \quad E_{+}\right) h \quad j p j \text { ih jpj+i:(29) }
\end{aligned}
$$

C ollecting the term S , for M of Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{1} \mathbf{1})$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{1}{2} M_{p h}^{X} \quad h \quad j p j \text { ih jipj+iQ ; } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Q } \quad E_{+}+E \quad 2 E+(E \quad E)\left(E \quad E_{+}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{E}_{+} \quad!_{k}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{E}_{+}+!_{q}} \text { : } \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

A com $m$ on $m$ istake that propagates through literature [14] is sum $m$ ation of rates due to $M^{(2)}$ and $M^{(1+1)}$, instead of adding $m$ atrix elem ents rst and then squaring the result and com puting the rate. $T$ hism istake is not innocent since $M^{(2)}$ and $M^{(1+1)} \mathrm{m}$ ay cancel leading parts of each other. Taking into account conservation of energy $!_{q}=!_{k}+$ and the relation $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{+}$, one can rew rite this expression as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q=\frac{2!_{k}\left(!_{k}+\right)\left(E \quad E_{+}+=2\right)}{\left(E \quad E_{+} \quad!_{k}\right)(E} E_{+}+!_{k}+\right): \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne has Q = . We consider the case ! $k$ $T \quad!_{D}$; where ! $D$ is the $D$ ebye frequency. Then for the energy di erences $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{E}_{+}$are considered to be large com pared to , that yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\sigma \quad \frac{2!_{k}\left(!_{k}+\right)}{E E_{+}}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (14-1) follows that the term $s w$ ith $=$ in Eq. ( 3 (30) disappear. U sing Eq. (1]

$$
\begin{align*}
M & =\frac{1}{2} M_{p h}^{X} h \text { jpj ih jpj }+i \widetilde{ } \\
& =M_{p h}!_{k}\left(!_{k}+\right) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

and nally, w th the help of Eqs. (2G) and (1-í),

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{1}{V} e_{k}^{x} e_{q}^{x} \overline{\frac{n_{k}\left(n_{q}+1\right)}{!_{k}!_{q}}} m^{2} X_{o}^{2} "-!_{k}\left(!_{k}+\right): \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have suppressed an irrelevant phase factor: T h is result for the $R$ am an $m$ atrix elem ent is insensitive to the explicit form of the doublew ell potential $V(x)$ : It would be a hopeless task to obtain it from Eq. (3).

## V. DOTFRAMECALCULATION

In this section we will check our result by calculating the R am an rate in the fram e of reference of the dot, as it was done for one-phonon processes [2]. In the laboratory fram e the Lagrangian of the particle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{P}=\frac{m}{2}\left(\underline{\underline{r}}^{0}+\underline{u}\right)^{2} \quad V(\underline{r}) ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r^{0}$ is the radius vector of the particle ofm ass $m$ in the coordinate fram e rigidly coupled to the double w ell. $T$ he linear $m$ om entum that is canonically conjugated to $r^{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}^{0}=\frac{@ L_{p}}{@ \underline{\underline{r}^{0}}}=m\left(\underline{\underline{r}}^{0}+\underline{u}\right): \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{P}\left(p^{0} ; r^{0}\right)=p^{0} \quad{ }^{0} r \quad L_{p}=\frac{p^{\complement}}{2 m} \quad p^{0} \quad \underline{u}+V\left(r^{0}\right): \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The full H am iltonian is $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P}}+\mathrm{H}_{-\mathrm{ph}}$. C ontrary to the previous m odel described by Eq. (הु) , we now have only one interaction term, $p^{0}$ u. Sim ilarly to Section III, one can w rite the $m$ atrix elem ent for the $R$ am an processes as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\frac{X \quad h \quad j p^{0} \underline{u} j i h \quad j p^{0} \underline{u} j+i}{E_{+}+\sim!_{k} \quad E}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}=\int_{k}^{\frac{1}{2 V}}{ }_{k} e_{k} e^{i k} \underline{p} \overline{!}_{k} \quad a_{k} \quad a_{k}^{y} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

into Eq. $(\overline{4} \overline{\mathbf{\sigma}})$ and evaluating the $m$ atrix elem ents, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{i}{M}}{ }_{p h}^{X} h \quad j p j \text { ih jpj}+i Q \quad ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which coincides with Eq. (3]) up to an insigni cant phase.

## V I. D ISC U SSIO N

W e have dem onstrated that the two-phonon relaxation of the tunnel-split states of a particle in a biased solidstate doublew ell potential can be expressed in term s of independently $m$ easured param eters and $w$ thout any unknown constants. Two-phonon processes $m$ ay dom inate relaxation at elevated tem peratures (see below). A n interesting observation, how ever, is that at a sm allbias the rate of Eq. (4) in in proportional to " ${ }^{2}$, while at a large
bias it becom es independent of ". This $m$ eans that one can sw itch R am an processes on and o by controlling the bias. This result $m$ ay seem strange at rst, how ever, it is a fundam ental consequence of quantum $m$ echanics. The reason for this e ect is parity. If we rem ove the bias, the potential w ell w illbecom e sym $m$ etric. C onsequently, the H am iltonian and the parity operator com $m$ ute which leads to eigenstates ofeven or odd parity. It is easy to see that the states $j$ and $j+i$ at $"=0$ have even and odd parity, respectively. T herefore, the $m$ atrix elem ents in Eq. (244') will all vanish.

To nd the range of param eters where two-phonon relaxation becom es im portant, the rate of the $R$ am an processes should be com pared w th one-phonon transition rate $[12]$ that can be w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\overline{3 \sim}{\frac{o}{E_{t}}}^{2}{\overline{E_{t}}}^{2} \text { coth } \overline{2 T}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that Eqs. ( $4 \bar{p} \overline{9})$ and $(4 \overline{9})$ do not contain any unknown interaction param eters. T he quantity of interest is the ratio ${ }_{2}=1 \mathrm{w}$ hich can tell us the im portance of the second order process versus the rst order process at various tem peratures. Ifwe take $\mathrm{T}>$, the coth $(=2 \mathrm{~T})$ in Eq. (4는) can be replaced by $2 \mathrm{~T}=$. T he abovem entioned ratio then yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{1}=\frac{16}{63}{ }^{4} \quad \text { " }^{2} \underline{E}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}}{ }^{2}{\frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}}}^{6}: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

At any given tem perature this ratio has a maxim um at " = 0. For, an electron in a doublewell dot with

X o $\quad 10 \mathrm{~nm}$ em bedded in (or deposited on) a solid with $5 \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, param eter $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is of order 300 K. Then, for, e.g., " o $1 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{R}$ am an processes, according to Eq. (501), w ill dom inate electron-phonon relaxation above 33 K , while below that tem perature the relaxation will be dom inated by direct processes. The actual phonon rates for an electron are not likely to exceed $10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ even at T 100 K . For a proton in a m olecular double well w ith X 。 $0: 3 \mathrm{~nm}$ in a solid w ith $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, one gets $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad 40 \mathrm{~K}$. At " $\quad$. 1 mK , according to Eq. ${ }^{\prime \prime}(5)$ ), Ram an processes will dom inate proton-phonon relaxation above 1 $K$, while direct processes willdom inate relaxation in the m illikelvin range.

Finally we should note that since our m odel is based upon bare quantum states that are well localized in space, it is rigorous for heavy particles, like, e.g., a proton or an interstitial atom, but is less rigorous for such a light particle as an electron. Nevertheless, even for an electron our form ulas should provide a good approxim ation in the lim it of weak tunneling betw een the wells. N ote also that at a large tunnel splitting, the actual rates for a heavy particle like proton, interstitial atom or defect, can becom e so large that the approxim ation based upon Ferm igolden rule will no longer apply [i] $\overline{1}]$. Even in this case, how ever, the $m$ atrix elem ents can $\bar{b} e$ expressed in term sofm easurable param eters of the quantum welland the solid.
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