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#### Abstract

Nem atic liquid crystals possess three di erent phases: isotropic, uniaxial, and biaxial. The ground state of $m$ ost nem atics is either isotropic or uniaxial, depending on the extemaltem perature. Nev ertheless, biaxial dom ains have been frequently identi ed, especially close to defects or extemal surfaces. In this paper we show that any spatially-varying director pattem $m$ ay be a source of biaxiality. $W$ e prove that biaxiality arises naturally whenever the sym $m$ etric tensor $S=(r n)(r n)^{T}$ possesses two distinct nonzero eigenvalues. The eigenvalue di erence $m$ ay be used as a $m$ easure of the expected biaxiality. Furtherm ore, the corresponding eigenvectors indicate the directions in which the order tensor $Q$ is induced to break the uniaxial symmetry about the director $n$. We apply our general considerations to som e exam ples. In particular we show that, when we enforce hom eotropic anchoring on a curved surface, the order tensor becom e biaxial along the principal directions of the surface. The ect is triggered by the di erence in surface principal curvatures.


PACS num bers:
61.30 .G d - O rientational order of liquid crystals
61.30 H n - Surface phenom ena: alignm ent, anchoring, ..., surface-induced ordering, ...
61.30 Jf - D efects in liquid crystals

Nem atic liquid crystal ....... regates of rod-like molecules. E arly theories used a single order param eter, the director, a un II vector pointing along the averagem icroscopicm olecular orientation. M ost nem atic phenom ena twellw ithin the classicaldescription. H ow ever, the transition from ordered to disordered states escapes the director theory. T he classicalm icroscopic description of defects and surfaœ phenom ena yields undesired results a ${ }^{-17}$ The order-tensor theory put forw ard by de Gennes focuses on the orientational probability distribution, and introduces the $m$ easures of the degree of orientation and biaxially. $W$ ithin this theory, a nem atic liquid crystal possesses three di erent phases, which can be identi ed through their optical properties, since its Frmel ellipsoid is closely related to the order tensor itsel A isotropic liquid crystal is characterized by an isotropic order tensor, and optically behaves as an ordinary uid. A uniaxial nem atic possesses a unique optic axis. Its order tensor has two coincident eigenvalues. $F$ inally, in a biaxial nem atic the eigenvalues of the order tensor are alldi erent, and the Fresnelellipsoid possesses tw o optic axes.

W ithin the Landau-de G ennes theory, the ground state $m$ ay be either isotropic or uniaxial, depending on the extemaltem perature. H ow ever, biaxialdom ains have been predicted and observed, especially close to temalboundaries. Schopohl and Sluckin analyzed in detail the biaxialcore ofa $+\frac{1}{2}$ nem atic disclunation. M ore recent studied that a biaxial cl rounds m ost -i.- defect: and both analytic and num eric asym protical descriptions of olaxial defect cores nave oeen derived. O ther exam ples of defect-induced bi-

[^0]axiality involve integer-ch-and disclinations and cylindrical inclusions The onset or surrace braxiality is closely related to the presence of a sym $m$ etrybreaking sp direction, which coincides w ith the surface norm a: Indeed, biaxiali-nen predicted close to botn extemal boun and intemal isotropic-nem atic interfaces

In this paper we show that biaxiality e ects are closely related to, but not exclusively con ned to, the exam ples above. In fact, w ithin any spatially-varying director distribution, the director gradient itself breaks uniaxial sym $m$ etry about the director. $W$ e analyze in detail the structure of the elastic free energy density and com e up to the result that, given the director distribution, it is possible to predict the onset of biaxiality, to determ ine the direction of the secondary optic axis and to estim ate the intensity ofbiaxiality e ects. $W$ e then apply our general considerations to som e speci c exam ples, both w thin the bulk and close to an extemalboundary. W e rem ark that we are not dealing w ith intrinsically biaxial nem atic liquid crystals, that is system s in which the ground state itself becom erial. Such system s , rst obsmonn Yu and Saupe deserve a di erent treatm ent
since in them unaxial sym $m$ etry is broken already at a m olecular level.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section and re quickly review the order-tensor theory and thanee energy density we aim at $m$ inim izing. In Section je derive and describe ourm ain result, predicting a possible onset of biaxiality whenaror the dimector is not uniform . In the follow ing Section an re apply the nmand-
ing results to som e specl c examples. In Section ve ing results to som e specl c examples. In Section ve collect and discuss our m ain results, while som e Appendioes collect the technical details of the proofs.
I. ORDER TENSOR
II. FREE ENERGY FUNCTIONAL
$T$ he orientation of a single nem atic $m$ olecule $m$ ay be represented by a unit vectorn $2 S^{2}$, where $S^{2}$ is the unit sphere. $M$ icroscopic disorder is taken into account by introducing a probability $m$ easure $f_{x}: S^{2}!R^{+}$, such that $f_{x}(m)$ describes the probability that a m olecule placed in $x$ is oriented along $m$. The probability $m$ easure $f_{x}$ is even, since opposite orientations are physically equivalent.

Nem atic optics is determ ined by the variance tensor $M=\mathrm{hm} \quad \mathrm{m}$ i, where the tensor product is de ned in and the brackets denote averaging $w$ ith respect to $I_{x}$. By de nition, $M$ is sym $m$ etric and sem ide nite positive. Since, in addition, the trace of $M$ is equal to 1 , we de ne the traceless order tensor $Q=M \quad \frac{1}{3} I$, where $I$ is the identity.

W e label the nem atic as isotropic when all the eigenvalues of $Q$ coincide, which implies $Q$ iso $=0 . W$ hen at least tw o eigenvalues are equal, the nem atic is called uniaxial. Sim ple algebraic m anipulations allow to w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{u n i}=s \quad n \quad n \quad \frac{1}{3} I ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he scalar param eter $s$ is the degree of orientation $w$ hile the unit vector $n$ is the director. The eigenvanles of $Q$ uni are $\frac{2}{3} s$ (associated with $n$ ) and $\frac{1}{3} s$ ( $w$ th $m u l$ tiplicity 2). The director is then the eigenvector associated with the di erent eigenvalue. Equivalently, n could be also identi ed as the eigenvector associated w ith the eigenvalue whose sign is di erent from the other tw o.

W hen the eigenvalues of the order tensor are all di erent, the nem atic is labeled as biaxial. In this generalcase, we can use the above rem ark, and still identify the director as the eigenvector of $Q$ whose eigenvalue has a different sign w ith respect to the other two. This de nition $m$ ay induce an arti cial director discontinuity whenever the interm ediate eigenvalue crosses 0 . In tum, it yields an operative de nition that works well when the order tensor is possibly biaxial, but how ever close to being uniaxial. O nce we have introduced the director, we again de ne the degree of orientation $s=\frac{3}{2} n$, where $n$ is the eigenvalue associated w th n . The other two eigenvalues can be nally w ritten in term s of the degree of biaxiality : $=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~s}$. A s a result we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\text {bia }}=s n \quad n \frac{1}{3} I+\left(e_{+} e_{+} e \quad e\right):(2 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sign of is unessential, since it only involves an exchange betw een $e_{+}$and e. The degree of biaxiality does alw ays satisfy $j$ j $\frac{1}{3} \dot{j} j$. Indeed, when $j j=\frac{1}{3} \dot{j} j$ one of the eigenvalues vanishes, and greater biaxiality values would in fact announce an abrupt change in the director (and in the degree of orientation as well).

Equilibrium states of nem atic liquid crystals are identi ed as extrem als of the free-energy functional whose density, in the absence of extemal elds, com prises tw o term s

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q ; r Q)=\quad e \mathrm{l}(\mathrm{Q} ; r \mathrm{P})+\quad \operatorname{LdG}(Q): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Though all the calculations we report could be repeated in a m ore general fram ew ork, we will adopt the 1-constant approxim ation for the elastic contribution el

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { el }(Q ; r Q)=\frac{K}{2} \text { jr } Q f ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is an average elastic constant.
The Landau-de Gennes potential LdG is a tem pera-ture-dependent therm odynam ic contribution that takes into account the $m$ aterial tendency to spontaneously arrange in ordered or disordered states:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LdG}(Q)=A \operatorname{tr} Q^{2} \quad B \operatorname{tr} Q^{3}+C \operatorname{tr} Q^{4}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The m aterial param eter C m ust be positive to keep the free-onergy functionalbounded from below. T he potentia depends $a^{\text {r. }}$ n the eigenvahoc of and penal-
izes Dlaxial states Insertion of into retums

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{LdG}(s ;) & =\frac{2}{9} \mathrm{Cs}^{4} B s^{3}+3 \mathrm{~A} s^{2} \\
& +\frac{2}{9} 6 C s^{2}+9 B s+9 A \quad 2+2 C^{4}: \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $=3 A=\left(\mathrm{C} \mathrm{s}_{0}^{2}\right)$. The absolute $m$ inim um of LdG is located at the uniaxial con guration ( $\mathrm{s}_{0}>0$; $=0$ ), provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
2[2 ; 1] \text { and } B=\frac{2}{3} C s_{0}(+2): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen looking for $m$ inim izers of the free energy functional, we take into account that Landau-de G ennes' contribution usually dom inates the elastic one. This approxim ation holds as long as we do not get too close to a ne$m$ atic defect. Indeed, experim ental observations con $m$ that neither s nor depart easily from their preferred values ( $\mathrm{S}_{0} ; 0$ ).
$W$ e then envisage a two-step $m$ inim ization. In the rst step ( $\mathrm{s} ; ~$ ) are constrained to their optim al values. M inin ization proceeds exactly as in Frank's director theory and yields an optim al distribution $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r})$. In the second step, we $x$ the director distribution and determ ine the perturbative corrections it induces in the optim al values of the scalar order param eters. As a result, we prove that non-uniform director con gurations $m$ ay induce a nonzero degree of biaxiality, and a reduction in the degree of orientation. A s a by-product we determ ine how a non-zero director gradient breaks the local axial sym metry induced by the director, and which direction is chosen by $m$ ost $m$ olecules (am ong those orthogonalto $n$ ).

## III. BULK B IA X IA LIT Y

W e collect in the present section our m ain result. In order to ease the reader we defer $m$ ost of the technical proofs to the appendices below. W e assum e that a speci c director distribution $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r})$ has been determ ined by m inim izing Frank's free-energy functional, constrained by suitable boundary conditions. T he director distribution $m$ ay also take into account the ects of any possible extemal eld.

In A ppendi
ve prove the follow ing decom position for the director gradient

$$
\begin{align*}
r \mathrm{n} & =2 \mathrm{e}_{2} \quad \mathrm{e}_{2}+3 \mathrm{e}_{3} \quad e_{3}+\operatorname{curln}{ }^{\wedge} n \quad n \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{n} \quad \text { curln }) \mathrm{w} \quad(\mathrm{n}) ; \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where W ( n ) donates the skew tensor associated w ith n (see A ppendis Furthem ore, $f{ }_{2} ;{ }_{3} g, \mathrm{fe}_{2} ; \mathrm{e}_{3} \mathrm{~g}$ are respectively the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the sym $m$ etric part of $=r n \quad(r n) n \quad n$, the third eigenvector of sym $G$ being $n$, w ith null eigenvalue. W e rem ark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} n=\operatorname{trr} n=2+3: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S$ ha the sym $m$ etric tensor $S=(r n)(r n)^{T}$. By virtue of the director $n$ icon eigenvector of $S$ (w ith nulleigenvalue). In A ppendi e prove that the elastic free energy density $m$ ay be given the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { el }=K \quad \frac{1}{3} j r s \rho^{2}+j r \quad j+s^{2} j r n \jmath^{2} \\
& +{ }^{2} \dot{r} n{ }^{2}+4 j\left(r e_{+}\right)^{T} \text { e }{ }^{\rho} \\
& 2 s e_{+} \text {Se e } \operatorname{Se}^{i} \text { : } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Tint 1 s analyze in detail the di erent term s appearing in $T$ he rst two term s are trivial, since they sim ply penalize spatial variations of the scalar order param eters. They rem ind that, even in the presence of spatially-varying preferred values $s_{\text {opt }}(r)$; opt $(r)$, the equilibrium distribution $m$ ay not im itate the optim alvalues. The third tem is proportional to $s^{2}$ This term has been already extensively studied Its net e ect is a decrease in the degree of omentation in places where the director gradient is $m$ ost rapidly varying. In particular, it strongly pushes the system tow ards the isotropic state $s=0 \mathrm{w}$ hen the director gradient diverges. The second-last term is proportionalto ${ }^{2}$. Since it is positive-de nite, it sim ply enhances the character of
$=0$ as optim albiaxiality value. $T$ hus, were not for the nal term we will next consider, biaxiality would never arise naturally in a nem atic liquid crystal.
$T$ he last term in is linear in. It shifts the optim al biaxiality value aw ay irom $=0$. In order to m inim ize the com plete free energy density it is w orth to $m$ axim ize the multiplying factor depending on $S$. This condition determ ines the directions $f e_{+} ; e g$ in which the order tensor $Q$ is pushed to break uniaxial sym $m$ etry. Indeed,
the term within brackets ism axim ized when $f e_{+}$;e $\mathrm{g} 00-$ incide $w$ th the tw o eigenvectors of $S$ that are orthogonal to n . If we denote by + ; the correspondent eigenvalues, the linear term becom es simply proportional to ( $+\quad$ ). W e thus arrive at the follow ing result. C onsider the sym $m$ etric tensor $S=(r n)(r n)^{T}$. It always possesses a null eigenvalue (with eigenvector $n$ ). W henever its other two eigenvalues do not coincide, biaxial ity is naturally induced in the system, and the optim al eigendirections of $Q$ coincide with then of $S$.

If we take into account expression for $r n$, we can give the eigenyano-di erence ( + ) the follow ing expression (see

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(+\quad)^{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
c_{2}^{2} & c_{3}^{2}+ & 2 \\
3 & 2 \\
)^{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& +4 c_{2} c_{3}+\frac{1}{2} c_{n}(3 \tag{11}
\end{array}\right)^{2} ;
$$

where curln $=c_{n} n+c_{2} e_{2}+c_{3} e_{3}$, and 2 ; 3 are as in In the follow ing sections we w ill apply the above resulis to som e practical situations, in order to better intenpret their im plications.

IV . SPLAY, BEND AND TW IST BIAXIALITY
A. Pure splay
$W$ e begin by considering the splay eld $n(r)=e_{r}$, where $e_{r}$ is the radial unit vector in cylindrical coordinates. If we com plete an orthonom al basis by introducing the tangential and axial unit vectors e; $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{z}}$, standard calculations allow to prove that the relevant elds are gíven by

$$
\begin{align*}
r \mathrm{n} & \left.=\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{e} \quad=\right) \quad \text { curln }=0 \\
\text { sym } G & =\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{rn}=\frac{1}{r} e \quad \mathrm{e} \\
\mathrm{~S} & =(\mathrm{rn})^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} e \quad e \\
\left(\mathrm{re} \mathrm{e}_{+}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{e} & =(\mathrm{re})^{\mathrm{T}} e_{\mathrm{z}}=0: \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $+=r^{2},=0$, and the elastic free energy density is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \mathrm{el}=\mathrm{K} \quad \frac{1}{3} j r s^{2}+j r \quad \jmath+\frac{(s \quad)^{2}}{r^{2}}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Biaxiality favours the tangential direction with respect to the axial direction. The $r^{2}$ factor im plies that biaxiality (and the degree of orientation decrease as well) is expecter' how close to the sym $m$ etry axis. $F$ igures 3 and 50 : exactly con $m$ this result.
B. Pure bend

We again consider the same cylindrical coordinate fram e above, and analyze the bend eld $n(r)=e . W e$
obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
r n & \left.=\frac{1}{r} e_{r} \quad e \quad=\right) \quad \text { curln }=\frac{1}{r} e_{z} \\
\text { sym } G & =G=0 \\
S & =\frac{1}{r^{2}} e_{r} \quad e_{r} \\
\left(r e_{+}\right)^{T} e & =\left(r e_{r}\right)^{T} e_{z}=0: \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

A gain, $+=r^{2}, \quad=0$, and the elastic fipo onergy density can given exactly the sam e expression axiality now favours the radialdirection, and again concentrates close to the (disclination) sym $m$ etry axis.

## C. Pure tw ist

W e now introduce a $C$ artesian fram $\mathrm{fe}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{g}$ and consider the tw ist eld $n(r)=c o s k z e_{x}+\sin k z e_{y}$. If we introduce the unit vector $n_{\text {? }}(r)=\sin k z e_{x}+\operatorname{coskze} e_{y}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{rn} & =k \mathrm{n}_{?} \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad=\quad \text { curln }=\mathrm{kn} \\
\mathrm{G} & =\mathrm{rn} \quad=) \quad \text { sym } G=\mathrm{ksym} \mathrm{n}_{?} \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{z}} \\
\mathrm{~S} & =\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{n}_{?} \quad \mathrm{n}_{?} \\
\left(\mathrm{r} \mathrm{e}_{+}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{e} & =\left(\mathrm{r} \mathrm{n}_{?}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{z}}=0: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

W e now have $+=\mathrm{k}^{2}, \quad=0$. B iaxiality favours $\mathrm{n}_{\text {? }}$, that is, the $(x ; y)$ plane, $w$ ith respect to the transverse direction $e_{z}$. The elastic free energy density does again coincide with w th only a $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ replacing the $r^{2}$ factor. H ow ever, this coincidence m ust not induce to guess that el does alw ays depend on s and only through the com bination (s ), as we will evidence below .

## D . Third-dim ension escape

All the model cases analyzed above share a peculiar property. Indeed, the elds $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r})$ considered are all planar, in that they are all orthogonal to a xed direction (z-axis). Therefore it is of no surprise that we always nd some degree of biaxiality which penalizes the avoided direction. W e now consider a less trivial exam ple: the escape in the third-dim ension. eld was rst determ ined by $C$ ladis and $K$ lem an as an everywhere continuous director eld able to III 11 hom eotropic boundary conditions on a cylinder. Let $n(r)=\cos (r) e_{r}+\sin \quad(r) e_{z}$ be the director eld, and let $n_{?}(r)=\sin (r) e_{r}+\cos (r) e_{z} \cdot W$ e obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{rn}=\frac{\cos }{r} e \quad e+{ }^{0} \mathrm{n}_{?} \quad e_{\mathrm{r}} \\
& \text { curln }={ }^{0} \cos \mathrm{e} \\
& \operatorname{sym} G=G=\frac{\cos }{r} e \quad e \quad{ }^{0} \sin n_{?} \quad n_{?} \\
& \mathrm{~S}=\frac{\cos ^{2}}{r^{2}} e \quad e+{ }^{\infty} \mathrm{n}_{?} \quad \mathrm{n}_{?}: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Expression for $S$ show $s$ that, within the order tensor $Q$, either $n_{\text {? }}$ or $e m$ ay be preferred, depending on whether ${ }^{Q}$ is greater or $s m$ aller than $\cos ^{2}=r^{2}$. This result tums out to be particularly challenging, ifwe consider that in $C$ ladis $K$ lem an's escape in the third dim ension the tilt angle is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=\frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \arctan \frac{r}{R}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple calculation allows to show that implies $\mathscr{Q}=\cos ^{2}=r^{2}$. Thus, the third-dim ension escape tums out to be one of the few spatially-varying director elds which do not induce any biaxially. The elastic freeenergy density in Cladis $K$ lem an's third-dim ension escape is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
e l=k \quad \frac{1}{3} j r s \jmath^{2}+j \underset{\jmath}{f} & +\frac{8 R^{2} s^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+R^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{4\left(R^{4}+r^{4}\right){ }^{2}}{r^{2}\left(r^{2}+R^{2}\right)^{2}}: \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

## V. SURFACE B IA X IA LIT Y

In this section we estim ate the degree of biaxiality induced by an extemal surface on which strong anchoring is enforced. W e consider separately the cases of hom eotropic and planar anchoring. D i erential calcuhus form ulae that tur to be usefiul for both cases are collected in A ppendi

## A. H om eotropic anchoring

W e rst assum e that the surface director is parallel to the unit norm al to a given (sm ooth) surface. We also assum e that the director keeps its norm aldirection, at least in a thin surface slab. To be m ore precise, we param eterize bulk points through a coordinate set (u; v; ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(u ; v ; \quad)=P \quad(u ; v)+\quad(u ; v) ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the pro jection ofP onto, is the distance of $P$ from the yed surface, and is the unit norm al at P (see Figur If if sm ooth, the coordinate set is well-de ned in a nite neighborhood of . We assume that $n P(u ; v ;)=n P(u ; v)=(u ; v)$. Then, $r n$ tums out to be closely related to the curratim tensor. It is sym $m$ etric and can be w ritten as (see

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \mathrm{n}=\frac{1}{1 \quad 1} e_{1} \quad e_{1} \quad \frac{2}{1} e_{2} \quad e_{2} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f{ }_{1} ;{ }_{2} g$ and $f e_{1} ; e_{2} g$ denote respectively the principal curvatures and principal directions at P . From them we obtain curln $=0, G=r n$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{2_{1}^{2}}{(1 \quad 1)^{2}} e_{1} \quad e_{1}+\frac{2_{2}}{\left(1 \frac{2}{}\right)^{2}} e_{2} \quad e_{2}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1: G eom etric setting for the surface param etrization introduced in the text.

Equation show sthat biaxiality arises naturally close to an externalsurface w here hom eotropic anchoring is enforced. This e ect is triggered by the di erence betw een the principal curvatures. M ore precisely, the tangent direction preferred by the order tensor is the one along which the surface curves m ore rapidly. C lose to a arm $m$ etric saddle, where $1=2$, the denom inator of induces biaxiality along the direction which is convex tow ards the side occupied by the liquid crystal.

## B . P lanar anchoring

W hen planar anchoring is enforced on a curved surface, it is natural to assum e that the chosen direction coincides $w$ ith one of the principal directions along . W e then keep the sam e notations as above, and assum e $n \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{r}}\right)=\mathrm{n} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v})=\mathrm{e}_{1}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}) . \mathrm{W}$ hen this is the case, by we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{rn} & \left.=\frac{1}{1 \quad 1} \quad \mathrm{e}_{1} \quad=\right) \quad \text { curln }=\frac{1 e_{2}}{11_{1}} \\
\mathrm{G} & =0 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{S}=\frac{2}{(1 \quad 1)^{2}} \quad: \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, in the presence of planar anchoring, biaxiality arises whenever the curvature along the prescribed direction is di erent from zero. W hen this is the case, the biaxiality direction coincides w ith the unit norm al.

## V I. D ISC U SSIO N

W e have show $n$ that any spatially-varying director distribution $m$ ay induce the onset in biaxial dom ains even in nem atic liquid crystals whose gmund state is strictly uniaxial. In particular, in Section ve have stressed the crucial role played by $S=(r n)(r n)^{T}$. The tensor $S$, which is sym $m$ etric and positive-sem ide nite by construction, possessec 2 wrays a null eigenvalue, w ith eigenvector $n$. Equation show $s$ that biaxiality arises naturally whenever the otnor two eigenvalues of $S$ are different. T hen, equation show s that such eventuality
is closely related to the vector murln and the eigenvalues entering in thodecom position of the directorgradient.

In Sectio e have appled the considerations above to som e model cases. A s it could be easily predicted the pure splay, bend, and tw ist elds, being all planar, exhibit som e degree of biaxiality which privileges the director plane over the orthogonal director. A less trivial result is that there are spatially-varying director con gurations that do not induce biaxiality at all. C ladisK lem an's escape in the third dim ension yiolds a unexpected exam ple of this phenom enon. Section inalyzes the onset of surface biaxiality both in the case of hom eotropic and planar alignm ent. In the form er case, biaxiality is ruled by the di erence betw een the principal curvatures along the surface. In the latter, only one curvature counts, and m ore precisely the one along the prescribed direction in the tangent plane.

To conchude our analysis we w ant to give a num erical estim ate of the $m$ agnitude of the biaxiality phenom ena we are predicting. In all nontrivial cases, the free-energy density w illcontain a O ( ) term, which triggers the biaxiality onset. To obtain a rough estim ate, we can neglect the $O\left({ }^{4}\right)$ term in $L d G$, and the $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$ term in el, both $w$ ith respect to the dom inant $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$-tem, appearing in LdG . W hen this is the case, the (local) preferred value of $m$ ay be obtained by $m$ inim izing the function

$$
\begin{align*}
g() & \frac{2}{9} 6 C s^{2}+9 B s+9 A \quad 2 \quad 2 K s \\
& 2(2+) C s_{0}^{2} \quad 2 K s_{0}+ \\
= & \frac{2 K s_{0}}{2} 22{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+ \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have replaced $s \quad s_{0}$ and introduced the nematic coherence length

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n}^{2}=\frac{K}{C s_{0}(2+)}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (local) optim al value of the degree of biaxiality is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { opt } \frac{1}{2}{\underset{\mathrm{n}}{2}}_{2}+ \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Though opt $m$ ay vary from point to point, we have to keep in $m$ ind that in general the equilibrium con guration will not coincide with opt because of the in $\jmath$ term, and the boundary conditions. To m ake an explicit exam ple, let us consider a nem atic cylindric capillary of radius R , w ith hom eotropic conditions enforced at the surface. T hen, the di erence betw een the eigenvalues of $S$ at the surface is $R^{2}$ and the surface biaxiality is of the order of $(n=R)^{2}$. Since the nem atic coherence length hardly exceeds the tenths of a m , we obtain opt . $10^{2}$ for a m -capillary. T he scenario changes com pletely close to a nem atic defect, w here at least one of the eigenvahues of $S$ diverges. B oth the pure-splay and the pure-bend exam ples above yield $(+\quad)=r^{2}$, which implies opt $\quad(n=r)^{2}$.T he biaxiality cloud cannot be neglected if we com e too close to the defect.

APPEND IX A: D IRECOR GRADIENT

In order to characterize the tensor r n we begin by noticing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{rn})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{n}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}=0 \text {; } \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $n$ is a unit vector. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathrm{r} \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{n} & =\operatorname{sym} \mathrm{rn}+\operatorname{skw} \mathrm{rn} \mathrm{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{r} \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{curln} \wedge \mathrm{n} ; \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

and (rn)n $=$ curln ${ }^{\wedge} n$. Let $G=r n \quad(r n) n \quad n$. For any vector $v$,
(skw G ) v $=\operatorname{skw}(\mathrm{rn}) \quad \frac{1}{2}[(\mathrm{rn}) \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad(\mathrm{rn}) \mathrm{n}] \mathrm{v}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2} \text { curln } \quad \mathrm{n} \wedge(\text { curln } \wedge \mathrm{n}) \wedge \mathrm{v} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{n} \quad \text { curln }) \mathrm{n} \wedge \mathrm{v}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{n} \quad \text { curln }) \mathrm{W} \quad(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{v} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $W(n)$ denotes the skew tensor associated $w$ ith $n$, that is the tensor such that $W(n) v=n^{\wedge} v$ for any $v$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{rn} & =\operatorname{sym} G+\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{n} \quad \text { curln }) W(\mathrm{n})+\operatorname{curln} \wedge_{n} \mathrm{n} \\
& ={ }_{2} \mathrm{e}_{2} \quad \mathrm{e}_{2}+{ }_{3} \mathrm{e}_{3} \quad \mathrm{e}_{3}+\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{n} \quad \text { curln }) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{n}) \\
& +\operatorname{curln} \wedge \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n} ; \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f{ }_{2} ;{ }_{3} g$ and $f_{2} ; e_{3} g$ are respectively the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of sym \& The eigenvectors $f e_{2} ; e_{3} g$ are orthogonalto $n$, since im plies

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
(\operatorname{sym} G) \mathrm{n}= & \mathrm{Gn} \quad(\operatorname{skw} \mathrm{G}) \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{rn} \quad(\mathrm{rn}) \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n} \mathrm{n} \\
& \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{n} \quad \text { curln }) \mathrm{W} \quad(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{n}=0: & \text { (As } \tag{A5}
\end{array}
$$

Let us consider the symmetric tensor $S=(r n)(r n)^{T}$. In view of the crucial role it plays in inducing biaxiality we now analyze it in m ore detail

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{S} & ={ }_{2} \mathrm{e}_{2} \quad \mathrm{e}_{2}+{ }_{3} \mathrm{e}_{3} \quad \mathrm{e}_{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{n} & \operatorname{curln}) W & (n) \\
& +\quad \operatorname{curln} \wedge n & \mathrm{n}
\end{array}{ }_{2} \mathrm{e}_{2} \quad \mathrm{e}_{2}+{ }_{3} \mathrm{e}_{3} \quad \mathrm{e}_{3}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Let f0; + ; $g$ be the eigenvalues of $S$. The onset of biaxiality depends whether they latter two are-mill or not. Let curln $=c_{n} n+c_{2} e_{2}+c_{3} e_{3}$. From obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(+\quad)^{2} & =\left(c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2}+\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 2 \\
2
\end{array}\right)^{2} \\
& +4 c_{2} c_{3}+\frac{1}{2} c_{n}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 2
\end{array}\right)^{2}: \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

W e nally rem ind that, given two vectors $u, v$, the tensor product ( $u \quad v$ ) is de ned as the second order tensor such that
(u v) a = (v a) u for any vector a:

APPENDIX B:ORDER TENSOR GRADIENT

Let us di erentiate equation W e obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
r Q & =n \quad n \quad \frac{1}{3} I \quad r s+s(r n \quad n+n \quad r n) \\
& +\left(e_{+} \quad e_{+} \quad e \quad e\right) r+r e_{+} \quad e_{+} \\
& +e_{+} r e_{+} r e \quad e \quad e \quad r e \quad \text { (B1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where, given the second order tensor $L$ and the vector $u$, ( $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{u}$ ) is de ned as the third order tensor such that
( $L u$ ) a $=\mathrm{La} u$ for any vector $a$ :
$W$ hen com puting the square nom ofr $Q$, we can $m$ ake extensive use of the property and also take into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \quad v=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left(r u^{T}\right) v=(r v)^{T} u: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a consequence, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& +2^{2} j r e_{+} \jmath^{2}+j r e \jmath^{2}+2 j\left(r e_{+}\right)^{T} e \jmath^{2} \\
& 4 \mathrm{~s} \quad j(r n)^{T} e_{+} \jmath^{2} \quad j(r n)^{T} e{ }^{f}: \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

W e can further sim plify expression if we consider that

$$
\begin{align*}
j r e_{+} \jmath^{2}+j e \jmath^{2} & =\left(r e_{+}\right)^{T}{ }^{2}+(r e)^{T}{ }^{2} \\
& =\left(r e_{+}\right)^{T} n^{2}+\left(r e_{+}\right)^{T} e^{2} \\
& +(r e)^{T} n^{2}+(r e)^{T} e_{+}^{2} \\
& =r n^{2}+2\left(r e_{+}\right)^{T} e^{2} \tag{B5}
\end{align*}
$$

and that

$$
j(r n)^{T} u J^{2}=(r n)^{T} u \quad\left(r n^{T}\right) u=u \quad S u ; \quad(B 6)
$$

provided we de nes it is $\mathrm{im} m$ ediate to give
) $(r n)^{T} \cdot B y u s i n g$ the expression quoted In

## APPENDIX C:CURVATURE TENSOR

Let be the sm ooth surface, which bounds the system we are interested in. Let be the unit norm al, everyw here pointing in the direction of the bulk. We param eterize points in the bulk through a coordinate set (u;v; ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(u ; v ;)=P \quad(u ; v)+\quad(u ; v) ; \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the projection of $P$ onto, and is the distance ofP from the sam e surface. Such coordinate set is well-de ned in a nite neighborhood of .

Let us consider the vector eld everyw here de ned as $P(u ; v ;)=P$ (u;v). The second-order tensor
$r$ is sym $m$ etric. It generalizes the curvature tensor $r$, which is de ned only on the tangent bundle of . $T$ he eigenvectors of $r$ coincide $w$ ith those of the curvature tensor, and are thus the unit nom al (w ith null eigenvalue) and the (tangent) principal directions on . If we introduce $f{ }_{1} ;{ }_{2} g$, the principal curvatures on , and their corresponding eigenvectors $f e_{1} ; \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{~g}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
r & =\frac{1}{1{ }_{1}^{1}} e_{1} \quad e_{1} \quad \frac{2}{1} e_{2} e_{2}  \tag{C2}\\
r e_{1} & =\frac{1}{1 \quad e_{1}} \quad \text { and } r e_{2}=\frac{2}{1} \quad e_{2}:
\end{align*}
$$
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