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#### Abstract

The Jahn-Teller distortive transition of $\mathrm{LaM}_{\mathrm{nO}}^{3}$ is described by a m odi ed 3-state P otts m odel. $T$ he interactions between the three possible orbits depends both on the orbits and their relative orientation on the lattioe. Values of the two exchange param eters which are chosen to give the correct low tem perature phase and the correct value for the transition tem perature are shown to be consistent $w$ ith $m$ icroscopy theory. The m odelpredicts a rst order transitions and also a value for the entropy above the transition in good agreem ent w ith experim ent. T he theory w th the sam e param eters also predicts the tem perature dependence of the order param eter of orbital ordering agreeing well with published experim ental results. Finally, the type of the transition is show to be close to one of the $m$ ost disordered phases of the generalised Potts $m$ odel. The short range order found experim entally above the transition is investigated by this m odel.


PACS num bers: $75.30 \mathrm{Et}, 75.47 \mathrm{Lx}, 75.10 \mathrm{Hk}$
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## I. INTRODUCTION

O roitalordering and Jahn-T eller (JT ) distortions are observed in som e perovskite-type $3 d$ transition m etal com pounds such as the vanadates (e.g. $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \frac{1}{1} \mathrm{LiVO}_{3} \frac{2}{r}$ and $\mathrm{LaVO}_{3} \frac{3}{4}$ ) cuprates ${ }^{4}$ (e.g. $\mathrm{KCuF}_{3}$ ) and derivatives of the colossal m agnetoresistive in the com pound $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3} r^{5,6} \mathrm{w}$ hich is the sub ject of this paper.

A single electron, or hole, in cubic sym m etry w illbe in a two-fold or three-fold degenerate state if it occupies a $e_{g}$ or $t_{2 g}$ orbit. $T$ his degeneracy $w$ illbe lifted at a transition w here the local sym $m$ etry is low ered and long range onbital order occurs in the low tem peraturephase ${ }^{7,8}$. T he local orbital order w ill be accom panied by local structure distortions because of a linear coupling betw een the occupations of the di erent orbital states and the lattioe ${ }^{9}$. $T$ his is the JT e ect. In a perovskite structure this is a distortion and rotation of the oxygen octahedra w here in the lattige structure $\mathrm{ABO}_{3}$ (where $A=$ rare-earth cation and $B=M n$ ) the $B$ atom is octahedrally coordinated to the oxygen. The localdistortion around one B ion a ects other ions in the crystalbecause the oxygen ions are shared betw een tw o octahedra. If a large fraction of the energy of the orbitalordering com es from the energy lowering due to the interaction $w$ ith the lattioe the interaction is known as a cooperative JT e ect ${ }^{10}$. There is a linear coupling between an $e_{g}$ orbital and the $E$ distortion modes of the octahedron. The coupling $m$ ay be determ ined from a classical harm onic approxim ation to the lattige dynam icc ${ }^{10}$.

The subject has attracted much interest recently because of the interplay betw een the $m$ agnetism and the lattioe. T he coulom b repulsion alone which gives rise to m agnetic superexchange 3 can also drive onbital order and hence there has been $m$ uch $e$ orts to determ ine the relative im portance of the lattige e ects, cooperative JT, and the electronic energies in driving the transition.

W e consider $L^{2} \mathrm{MnO}_{3}$, where $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ has a $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ con guration, i.e., four electrons in d orbitals. The wave functions, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}} \mathrm{y}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{3 z^{3} \mathrm{r}^{2}}$, are called $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals, whereas, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}$, and $d_{z x}$ are called $t_{2 g}$ orbitals. The level splltting is shown in F ig. 1a in a cubic crystal and w ith a tetragonal distortion. The orbital ordering is show in gure 10. W hen electrons occupy these wave functions, the ground state is determ ined by the sem iem pirical H und's rule in $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ because the exchange energy is greater than the crystal eld energy, of . H und's rule coupling causes all of the spins of the electrons to be aligned parallel, that is


FIG.1: (a) Splitting of 3d-levels in a cubic crystal eld with an additional tetragonal distortion. E lectron occupation of the four 3d-electrons in $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ is show n by arrow S , (b) the orbital ordering of the $e_{g}$ states in an octahedralcrystalofLaM nO 3 at $T \quad T_{J T}$. O ne of the two bonds of $M n-M n$ bond in the x y plane contracts and the other expands. The La ${ }^{3+}$ ion in the centre of the cell is om itted for clarity
$S=2$, where three electrons are in the $t_{2 g}$ onbitals and one electron occupies one of the $e_{g}$ orbitals. The $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{6}$ octahedra in the low tem perature phase have two short, two medium, and two long bonds ${ }^{11}$ while above $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Jт}}$ the octahedra have six alm ost equalbond lengths.

This paper develops a model for the tem perature dependence of the orbital ordering. There have been several studies of the e ect of tem perature in the onbitally ordered phase. The orbital ground state is well known as a C-type, antiferrom agnetic in $x-y$ plane and ferrom agnetic in z -direction, w ith long-range ordered, JT distorted, $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{6}$ octahedra ${ }^{7,8}$ as sketched in Fig. [1b. The elongated occupied eg orbitals altemate between pointing along x and y directions, the so-called orthorhom bic structural phase ${ }^{7,8}$. Above $\mathrm{T}_{\text {JT }}$, the structural phase is pseudocubic w ith alm ost regular $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{6}$ octahedra. A lso above $\mathrm{T}_{\text {JT }}$ the long-range orbital ordering disappears. The structure was studied using the them al analysis high-resolution neutron-pow der di raction pattems ${ }^{8}$ and $R$ am an phonons as function of tem perature ${ }^{12}$. Evidence has also been presented ${ }^{6}$ for orbital ordering in $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ which is based on the splitting of the Mn 4 p levels by the M n 3 d orbitalordering.

LaM nO 3 undergoes a structural phase transition ${ }^{8,13}$ at the JT tem perature, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {JT }}=$ 750K. M urakam iet all showed that the order param eter of orbital ordering as a function of tem perature decreases rapidly for $T \quad 0: 4 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$ and disappears at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}=780 \mathrm{~K}$ in a rst order transition. These results have been re-interpreted and tted $\frac{14}{}$ by a critical exponent,
$=0: 16(1)$, at $T_{\text {JT }}$ when the tem perature dependence of the electron-spin resonant in $L a_{0: 95} \mathrm{Sr}_{0}: 05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO} 3$ has been investigated.

Sanchez et all ${ }^{15}$ show ed by $m$ eans of $X$-ray absonption near edge structure and extended X -ray absonption ne structure at the Mn K -edge that the structural transition originates by the ordering of tetragonally distorted octahedra and they analysed the entropy content of the transition w thin the fram ew ork of the three-state Potts $m$ ode $\frac{16,17,18}{}$ w ith nearestneighbour antiferrodistortive coupling. It is con $\mathrm{m}^{10 \mathrm{~d}^{19}}$, by using neutron di raction data and a combined $R$ ietveld and high real space resolution atom ic pair distribution function analysis, that the nature of the JT transition around $T_{J T}$ is to be orbitalorder-disorder and the interm ediate structure suggests the presence of local ordered clusters im plying strong nearest-neighbour JT antidistortive coupling.

M illis ${ }^{10}$ derived a classicalm odel, which was based on previous work by K anam or ${ }^{20}$, for the lattioe distortions in $m$ anganites. T hem odelm ay be approxim ated either by an antiferrom agnetic $x y m$ odelw th a $m$ odest threefold anisotropy or by a 3-state P otts $m$ odelw ith an antiferrom agnetic rst-neighbour interaction and a weak second-neighbour interaction. This di ens from ourm odelwhidh deals only w ith the nearest neighbour interaction.
$W$ e study the orbital ordering appropriate for $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ obtained from our $m$ odel and the JT distortion which occurs above JT tem perature in that phase. The m odel proposed here for the disordered phase is an array of localised distortions w ith no long range order. This di ers from the model of Zhou-G oodenough ${ }^{21}$ who postulated that dynam ic JT e ects occur in the high tem perature phase. Zhou and G oodenough suggested that the onbital order-disorder transition at $T_{J T}$ is to a dynam ic JT stabilisation at the $e_{g}$ electrons persisting into the high-tem perature $O$-orthorhom bic phase, and they proposed a vibronic $m$ echanism to explain the electrical resistivity and them oelectric power above and below $\mathrm{T}_{\text {JT }}$ respectively.

It is well know $n$ that the phenom enologicalm odels such as pseudo spin orP ottsm odels are very useful to study order-disorder transitions. In the LaM nO 3 system, the $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{6}$ octahedra are not independent as they share oxygen atom $s$ w ith their nearest neighbours. The standard 3-state Potts m odel alone does not have the correct orbital ordering for $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ as a possible ground state. In this paper, we describe a phenom enologicalm odel that gives the correct ground state for the orbitalordering and a good value for high-T entropy for the $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ phase. The m odel is called the anisotropic P otts m odel and has been presented
earlier ${ }^{22}$.
In section II, the anisotropic P otts m odel and its phase diagram are presented and its application to $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ is in section III. T he model is used to give understanding of the orbital ordering just above $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}$ in section $\mathbb{I V}$. Finally, we conclude the results in the last section.

## II. METHODOLOGY

A. Them odel

W e m odel the transition at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$ considering only the largest distortions that leave the crystal tetragonal in the low tem perature phase. If the tetragonal axis is chosen along $\hat{z}$ direction the orbital ordering is characterised by the vector $Q=(110)$. There is a staggered order in the $x \quad y$ plane and the orbits are stacked ferrom agnetically up the $\hat{z}$ axis as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 (b). H ow ever, in $F$ ig. 1(b) we show ed the ordering for a pure JT system where the orbits shown were $\dot{j x i}=j 3 x^{2} \quad r^{2} i$ and $\dot{y} i=j 3 y^{2} \quad r^{2} i$. These orbits are found from the $e_{g}$ doublet for the case $=\frac{2}{3}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=\infty \quad \cos _{2} j 3 z^{2} \quad r^{2} i+\sin -\dot{x}^{2} \quad y^{2} i: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Experim entally $\mathrm{I}^{14}$ it is found that if the tetragonal axis is along $\hat{z}$ direction, then, the staggered orbits are given by j expi where exp is closer to $=2$ than $2=3$. W e note that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad \frac{-}{2} i=\cos -\frac{j}{4} 3 z^{2} \quad r^{2} i+\sin -j^{2} \quad y^{2} i: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives, when $j \quad \frac{-1}{2}$ is used, $\frac{1}{6} 2: 7 x^{2} \quad 0: 7 y^{2} \quad 2 z^{2} i$ which has its main lobe along $\hat{x}$ direction. Sim ilarly, using j+ $\frac{1}{2}$ gives a state, $\frac{1}{6} 2: 7 y^{2} \quad 0: 7 x^{2} \quad 2 z^{2} i$, which has its main lobe along $\hat{Y}$ direction. W e use the JT states in our phenom enologicalm odel because these allow us to use the same states below $T_{J T}$ for any of the three possible $Q$ vectors, (110), (101) and (011), and also above $\mathrm{T}_{\text {J }}$. T he $m$ odelpresents the correct dim ension of the order param eter.

There are six equivalent orderings that can occur. These correspond to the three choiges for the tetragonal axis and, then, the phase ( 1 ) of the order param eter. In the high tem perature phase of the P otts m odel the orbits are in one of states $=0$ or $=\frac{2}{3}$.

This di ers from Zhou and G oodenough ${ }^{21}$ who assum ed that above $T_{J T}$ the orbit is rotating, thus, all vahues of are accessed dynam ically. Because the orbital ordering in the transition $m$ etal oxides has three anisotropic states it is natural to set up a three states Potts m odel. The standard $q$-state $P$ otts $m$ ode ${ }^{\underline{116}}$ consists of a lattioe of spins, which can take $q$ di erent values from 1 to q , and whose H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\mathrm{J}}{2}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{hi} ; j \mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{N}}}^{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{s}_{j}} ; ~ ; ~} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i}=1 ; 2 ; \ldots$. is one of the $q$ states on site $i, s_{i} ; S_{j}$ is the $K$ ronecker function which is equal to 1 when the states on sites $i$ and $j$ are identical, $S_{i}=S_{j}$, and is zero otherw ise, hi; ji m eans that the sum is over the nearest neighbour pairs, $J$ is the exchange integral and $N$ is the total num ber of sites in the lattice. For $q=2$, this is equivalent to the Ising m odel. The Potts m odel is, thus, a sim ple extension of the Ising m odel, how ever, it has a $m$ uch richer phase structure, which $m$ akes it an im portant testing ground for new theories and algorithm $s$ in the study of critical phenom ena ${ }^{17}$.

(a) favoured

(b) $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ (disfavoured)

(c) $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ (favoured)

FIG . 2: The exchange interactions used in the anisotropic Potts m odel to give orbital ordering in $L a M \mathrm{nO}_{3}$, (a) if $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}_{1}-\mathrm{O}$ bond expands, the oxygen shared $\mathrm{O} M \mathrm{n}_{2}$ bond should contract, (b) Two identical orbits in the sam e lattice vector, $J_{1}>0$, disfavoured, (c) Two identical orbits in di erent lattice vector, $J_{2}=0$, favoured.

W e have presented ${ }^{22}$ a m odi ed Potts model on a cubic lattice where the interaction between two sites depends on both the orbitals on these sites and also the directions of the nearest neighbour bond betw een them. W e have found that this general model describes the order seen in $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ for a range of param eters. In $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO} 3_{3}$, as we explained above, the $\mathrm{MnO}_{6}$ octahedra are not independent as they share oxygen atom s w ith their nearest neighbours (see F ig. [2(a)). This introduces a constraint in the degrees of freedom for each octahedron ${ }^{15}$. If a $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}_{1}-\mathrm{O}$ bond expands, the oxygen sharing $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}_{2}$ bond should contract
and vige versa. A ccording to that, Mn orbitalordering is not isotropic. N am ely, if $\mathrm{M} n-0 \mathrm{Mn}$ was located along $\hat{x}$ direction in $x$ y plane, the $3 d$ orbit of $M n$ in the expanded $M n_{1}-0$ bond willbe $d_{3 x^{3}} r^{2}, x$-state, but in the contracted $O M n_{2}$ bond it could be either $d_{3 y^{3}} r^{2}$ , $y$-state, or $d_{3 z^{3}} r^{2}, z$-state, and the sam e order $m$ ay exist in the next sublattice, as show $n$ in $F$ ig. [1(b). The energy of a pair of identical onbits is $J_{1}$ only if the lattice vector joining them is along the lobe of the orbit as shown in Fig. 2(b). The interaction energy of two identical orbits separated by a lattioe vector that is not along the lobe is $J_{2}$ as shown in Fig. [2(c). In 3-state 3-dim ensions anisotropic P otts m odel each site is occupied by one of the orbits $x, y$ or $z$ and the energy is described by the follow ing $H$ am iltonian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {an iso }}=\frac{1}{2}_{h i ; j i}^{X^{\mathrm{N}}} J_{S_{i}}\left(\left(_{i j}\right) s_{i ;} ; \mathrm{S}_{j} ;\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{-i j}=\underline{R}_{i} \quad \underline{R}_{j}$ is the direction of the nearest neighbour bond betw een two states.
There are two exchange interactions for the anisotropic $m$ odel. The head to head' interaction $J_{1}$ is de ned by $J_{x}\left(\underline{)}=J_{1}\right.$ for $\quad=\hat{x}, J_{y}\left(\underline{)}=J_{1}\right.$ for $\quad=\quad \hat{y}$ a and $J_{z}\left(\underline{)}=J_{1}\right.$ for _ $=\hat{z a}$. The side to side' interaction $J_{2}$ is de ned by $J_{x}\left({ }_{C}\right)=J_{2}$ for $=\hat{y}$ a or
 $T$ hus each site has a coupling $J_{2}$ to four neighbours and a coupling $J_{1}$ to tw o neighbours. $T$ his is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2(b) and 2k. It is worth $m$ entioning that these types of interaction do not a ect the overall cubic sym $m$ etry of the lattice.

## B . M onte C arlo Sim ulations

This m odelhas been studied using M onte C arlo (M C) sim ulations on 3-d nite lattioes (w th linear sizes $L=8,10$ and 12) for a range of values of $J_{1}$ and $J_{2} w$ th periodic boundary conditions. A ll our sim ulations have $m$ ade use of the $M$ etropolis algorithm and $w$ ith averaging perform ed over from $10^{5}$ to $10^{7} \mathrm{M}$ onte C arlo steps per site. R esults w ere obtained by either cooling down from a high-tem perature random con guration as discussed by B anavar et $\mathrm{al}^{23}$ or heating up from the ground state. The results from the two procedures agree.

C ombination of the analytic $m$ ethod ${ }^{24}$ in the range $T_{H}<T<1$ and com putational results in the range $\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}$ can be used to obtain the high-T lim it to get the starting point, $T_{H}$, for our sim ulations. The high-T expansion of the intemalenergy as a function of


FIG.3: Energy, $u$, per site versus $j J_{1} j$ (where $=1=k_{B} T$ ) for the anisotropic 3-state 3-d P otts $m$ odel $w$ ith $L=8$ in the low lim it. The suitable starting point for our sim ulation is obtained, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}$, $32 \mathrm{j}_{1} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$. For sim plicity we show the case where $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0$.
tem perature, $u(T)$, from the $H$ am ittonian in Equation 4 for the case $j J_{1} j \gg J_{2}$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(T)=u(1) \frac{1}{2 k_{B} T_{H}} \quad \frac{z^{0} J_{1}^{2}}{4} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z^{0}$ is the num ber of the sim ilar nearest neighbours, $z^{0}=2$, and $u(1)=\frac{J_{1} 2 J_{2}}{3}$. The value of $u(T)$ can be w rilten as follow,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(T)=\frac{\mathrm{J}_{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{~J}_{2}}{3} \frac{A}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H}}} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a constant. $F$ ig. 3 show $s$ a plot of $u(T)$ in units of $J_{1}$ against $j J_{1} j$. For sm all $j j_{1} j \quad j J_{1} j=\frac{j_{1} j}{k_{B} T_{H}} \quad 0: 03125$, then, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}, 32 j j_{1} j \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$.

W e use the sim ulations to evaluate the therm odynam ic quantities in the range $0<$ $\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}$, and nd the contribution for $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}$ analytically. A full phase diagram w ith six regional phases in the $J_{1} J_{2}$ plane has been obtained num erically and analytically.22, see Fig. 4.
III. SIM ULATION FOR LaM nO 3

The phase of interest in $F$ ig. 4 is phase-5 where $J_{1}<0$ so that the head-to-head con guration is disfavoured and $J_{2}>0$,so that, side-to-side con guration is favoured and $0<\frac{J_{2}}{j J_{1} j}<0: 5$. This has the correct low tem perature pattem of orbital ordering seen in


FIG. 4: $J_{1}-J_{2}$ phase diagram $\frac{22}{}$ of the orrital structures in a simple cubic lattice for general Ham iltonian (Eq. (4). The ground state of $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ occurs when $J_{1}$ is $A F, J_{2}$ is $F M$ and $0<\frac{J_{2}}{\mathrm{jJ}_{1} j}<$ $0: 5$ which is phase- 5 above.
$\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, as shown in Fig . 1 (b). In this phase we have an x ; y checkerboard pattem in the x y plane and this repeats itself so that the x and y states are in ordered chains up the $\hat{z}$ axis. The contribution to the energy com es from these ferrom agnetic chains so the ground state energy is given by $u(0)=J_{2}$. We nd that at high- $T$ the intemal energy is $u(1)=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ll}J_{1} & 2 J_{2}\end{array}\right)$ as expected from a random orbital array in the Potts $m$ odel. The stabilisation energy of this phase is obtained as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u(1) \quad u(0)=\frac{1}{3}\left(J_{1} \quad J_{2}\right): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The behaviour of the speci cheat, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{j} \mathrm{J}_{1} \mathrm{j}\right)$, in LaM nO 3 phase produced by this model (see Fig. [5(a)) show s that it has a shanp peak corresponding to a rst order transition in the range $0<\mathrm{J}_{2}=\mathrm{j}_{1} \mathrm{j}<0: 5$ and in the range $0<\mathrm{J}_{2}=\mathrm{j} \mathrm{J}_{1} \mathrm{j}<0: 25$ there is a second, broader, peak. In the range $0: 25<\frac{J_{2}}{j J_{1} j}<0: 5$ the two peaks $m$ erge. The rst peak obtained along the whole region of $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ phase is at the transition from the orbital order to orbital disorder con guration occurring above $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$. T he second peak obtained along the region $0<J_{2}=\mathrm{j}_{1} j<0.25$ is caused by the short range order occurring because of the large value of the head-to-head interaction, $J_{1}$. W e identify the short range order below the broad



F IG . 5: (a) Tem perature dependence of speci c heat, $C_{V}$, per site for the 3-state 3-d anisotropic P ottsm odelat $L=12$ where $J_{2}=J_{1} j=0: 01 ; 0: 05$, and 0.1 and $J_{1}<0 . T_{m}$ is the tem perature at the $m$ inim um value of $C_{V}$ betw een the two peaks. (b) the dependence of the transition tem perature on $J_{2}=j J_{1} j$.
peak w ith the short range order observed experim entally just above $T_{J T}$. In section IV we com pare this short range order to the short range order at $T=0$ in the phase where $\frac{J_{2}}{j J_{1} j}=0$. $W$ e obtain the $m$ agnitudes of $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ as follow $s$. The experim ental value of $T_{J T}$ is known, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$, 750K, and from Fig . 5, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}=750=\mathrm{J}_{2} \mathrm{where}$ is a constant whidh is obtained from the slope, $J_{2}$, $0: 12 \mathrm{eV}$. We nd $J_{1}$ from the condition $0: 05<\frac{J_{2}}{j_{1} j}<0: 25$, which gives $J_{1}, 1: 4 e V>j_{1} j>0: 3 e V$, which includes the value obtained by $M$ illis et al ${ }^{10}$, $0: 6 \mathrm{eV}$.

The speci cheat curves have a $m$ in im um at a tem perature, $T_{m}$, betw een the two peaks. $W$ e evaluate the entropy at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ by integrating the speci c heat obtained from the sim ulation above $T_{m}$ and a tem perature $T_{H} \gg J_{1}=k_{B}$ where the entropy is given by $k_{B} \log _{e} 3$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{B} \log _{e} 3 \quad s\left(T_{m}\right)={ }_{T_{m}}^{Z_{1}} \frac{C_{v}(T)}{T} d T: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $s\left(T_{m}\right)$ obtained in this way are plotted in $F$ ig. 6 as a function of $J_{2} / J_{1}$.
$F$ igure 6 show $s$ that $s\left(T_{m}\right)$ values are alm ost independent of $J_{2}=j j_{1} j$ along the tw opeak region. T he average value $s\left(T_{m}\right)=(0: 50 \quad 0: 02) k_{B}$ is in good agreem ent $w$ th the experim ental value obtained by Sanchez et al. who studied the local structure of $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ across the JT transition at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}=750 \mathrm{~K}$ by m eans of X -ray absonption near edge structure and extended X ray absonption ne structure at the M n K edge. They obtained from the heat capacity m easurem ents of $\mathrm{LaMnO}_{3}$ that the change in entropy between low T and


FIG. 6: The entropy for $\mathrm{LaM}_{\mathrm{nO}}^{3}$ phase versus $J_{2}={ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{1}$ jabove $T_{J T}$ where $J_{2}=0.0,0.01,0.05$ and 0.1 and $J_{1}<0$.
above $T_{J T}$, which is given as $s\left(T_{m}\right)$ in our theory, is given by (0:515 $\left.0: 02\right) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$.
Since the relevant Potts $m$ odel has $J_{2}=j J_{1} j s m$ all it is instructive to consider, in next section, the lim iting case of $J_{2}=0$.


FIG.7: (a) The square of the order param eter, ${ }^{2}$, of the orbital ordering in $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ vs $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\text {JT }}$ at $J_{2}=j J_{1} j=0: 05 ; 0: 10 ; 15$ and 020 w th $\mathrm{L}=12$, (b) $T$ he experim ental results for the square of the order param eter of the onbital ordering as function of the reduced tem perature, $T / T_{J T}$, (after M urakam iet al ${ }^{6}$ ).

T he square of the order param eter of the onbital ordering, ${ }^{2}$, in $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ is found as a function of the reduced tem perature, $\frac{T}{T_{J T}}$, from the $\operatorname{sim} u l a t i o n$ as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 7. W e obtain the sam e value of ${ }^{2}\left(T=T_{J T}\right)$ from $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations independent of the
direction of tem perature change taking account of the fact that there are three nontrivial inequivalent ordered phases, $j j^{2}$, corresponding to a choige of $Q$.

For ordering characterised by $Q=(110)$ we de ne a site $(n, m, l)$ as belonging to the odd (even) sublattioe if $n+m$ is an odd (even) integer. W e de ne the probability, $p_{x}^{(0)}$, by de ning the total num ber of odd sites that are occupied by an x orbital, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\circ}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mathrm{x}}^{(0)}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{L}^{3}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This de nition is extended to de ne $p_{y}^{o}$ and $p_{z}^{o}$ and sim ilarly for the even sites $p_{x}^{e}, p_{y}^{e}$ and $p_{z}^{e}$. $T$ he order param eter of the orbital ordering for an $x$ or $y$ orbit in an odd site is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{x}}^{(0)}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}^{(0)} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}^{(0)}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(0)}\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{y}^{(0)}=p_{y}^{(0)} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(p_{z}^{(0)}+p_{x}^{(0)}\right): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we are able to obtain the ordering on the even sites (when $m+n$ is even) e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{x}}^{(\mathrm{e})}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}^{(\mathrm{e})} \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}^{(\mathrm{e})}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\mathrm{e})}\right) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{x}^{(e)} ; p_{y}^{(e)}$ and $p_{z}^{(e)}$ are the probability to $n d$ the even site occupied by $x ; y$ or $z$ orbit respectively. The total order param eter of the orbital ordering in $\mathrm{LaM}_{\mathrm{nO}}^{3}$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(110)\left(T=T_{J T}\right)=\frac{(x+y)}{2} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 included in (110) ( $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$ ) when $\mathrm{Q}=(110)$. W hen we start the sim ulation from high- T , we nd that the system goes into one of the three equivalent Q ordered phases, (110), (101) or (011) at the sam e value of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {JT }}$.
$F$ igure [(a) show s that the square of the order param eter of orbital ordering in this $m$ odel phase has an unusual behaviour. The orbital ordering is essentially perfect for $T$ $0: 4 \mathrm{~T}_{\text {JT }}$. It decreases through the range $0: 4<\frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{T}_{\text {JT }}}<1$ faster than an Ising m odel. F inally, it goes rapidly to zero at $\mathrm{T}_{\text {Jt }}$ because there is phase transition at this tem perature which appears to be rst order (but this is not proved rigorously because of the nite size of the sim ulation). The order param eter is plotted as a function of the reduced tem perature, $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\text {JT }}$, in F ig. 7 (a). It m ay be seen that the order param eter is rem arkably insensitive to the value of $J_{2} / J_{1}$ provided that $J_{2}=J_{1} \ll 1$. Figure 7(b) show $s$ the experim ental results from

M urakam iet $\operatorname{al}$. ${ }^{6}$. There is a qualitative agreem ent between the sim ple m odel proposed here and the experim ents. The size of the rst order jum $p$ at $T_{\text {Jт }}$ is well reproduced by the P otts m odel. The experim ental results have a strong concave region for $0.25 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~T}_{J T}} \cdot 0: 9 \mathrm{which}$ is reproduced qualtatively by the theory. This is unusual behaviour of an order param eter as in $m$ ost cases (for exam ple Ising $m$ odel) the value of $\frac{d M}{d T} j$ increases $m$ onotonically $w$ ith tem perature.
IV. PHASEFOR $J_{2}=0$

In this section we show how a study of the phase obtained for $J_{2}=j J_{1} j=0$ gives further understanding of the orbital ordering in $\mathrm{LaMnO}_{3}$ just above $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$. W hen we set $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ as AF and put $J_{2}=0$ (at $J_{2}=0$ there is no phase transition) a phase which has som e physics of LaM nO $3_{3}$ phase above $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$ is obtained. N am ely, there is no longer side-to side interaction but the head-to-head con guration is still disfavoured.

The energy varies from the high-T lim it, $u(1)=J_{1}=3$ to zero at $T=0$ where there are no head-to-head con gurations. In com parison of the new modelw th AF Pottsmodel, because the anisotropic case has few er antiferrom agnetic interaction we expect higher value for the ground state entropy, $\mathrm{s}(0)$, at $\mathrm{T}=0$.

Therefore, the con guration of $\mathrm{LaM}_{\mathrm{nO}}^{3}$ above $\mathrm{T}_{J T}$ is a ected by the short range order obtained by the head-to-head interaction. It is know $n$ that the entropy of the 3 -state P otts m odel is $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \log 3$ at high- T and nearly $\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}}{2} \log _{\mathrm{e}} 2$ at $\mathrm{T}=0.23 \mathrm{~T}$ he anisotropic P otts m odel has an entropy at $T=0$ equalto $s(0)=(0: 6 \quad 0: 02) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$ which is dram atically higher than $\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ that for $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ phase above $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$ through the range $0<\frac{\mathrm{J}_{2}}{j \mathrm{~J}_{1 j}}<0: 25$.
V. CONCLUSION

A modied model called the anisotropic Potts model has been presented to study the orbital ordering and the local JT distortion above JT tem perature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$, in $\mathrm{LaM}_{\mathrm{nO}}^{3}$. The interactions betw een the three possible orbits depended both on the type of orbits and their relative direction on the lattioe. Suitable values of the exchange interaction have been chosen to give the correct low tem perature ground state for the orbital ordering phase in $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$. The phase obtained from these interaction values has a rst order transition at
the JT tem perature, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {JT }}$ •
Short range order was obtained above $T_{J T}$ for a $w$ ide ratio of the exchange interactions $0<J_{2}=j J_{1} j<0.25$. The short range order reduced the entropy from the value of three random ly occupied orbitals, $k_{B} \log _{e} 3=1: 098 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$, to be $(0: 5 \quad 0: 02) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$ whidh is in fair agreem ent w ith the experim ental value obtained by Sanchez et al ${ }^{15}$. W hen the side-to-side interaction was put to zero, $J_{2}=0$, we obtained a phase that does not order dow $n$ to the low est tem perature. This phase had an entropy at low tem perature that was higher than that obtained for $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$ phase above $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Jt}}$.

W e obtained the order param eter of the orbital ordering phases as a function of tem perature in good agreem ent w ith the published results. T he square of the order param eter, ${ }^{2}\left(T=T_{J T}\right)$, of the orbital ordering decreases faster for $T>0: 4=T_{J T}$ than an Ising model. At $T_{J T}$ 迷 goes to zero discontinuously in a rst order transition.

The ratio of $J_{2} / J_{1}$ necessary to give the $L a M n O{ }_{3}$ ground state are $0<J_{2}=j J_{1} j \quad 0: 25$ and w thin this range we nd that the results are alm ost independent of the exact value of $J_{2} / \mathrm{j}_{1} j$. In particular we nd sim ilar shapes of the curve ${ }^{2}$ as a function of the reduced tem perature, $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$, as shown in Fig . 7 and also that entropy above $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JT}}$ as shown in F ig. 6 is also approxim ately constant. Furtherm ore, the range of values of $J_{2} /$ j $J_{1}$ jrequired to give the observed ordering tem perature is consistent $w$ th those proposed from a microscopic m odel. Thus we have shown that the tem perature dependence of the orbital ordering in LaM nO 3 is represented well by a phenom enological three state P otts model.
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