# Light em itting single electron transistors

<sup>1</sup>D avid M .-T . K uo and <sup>2</sup> Y ia-chung Chang

<sup>1</sup>D epartm ent of E lectrical Engineering, National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan, 320, Republic of China

<sup>2</sup>D epartm ent of Physics University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801

(December 25, 2021)

# A bstract

The dynam ic properties of light-em itting single-electron transistors (LESETs) made from quantum dots are theoretically studied by using nonequilibrium G reen's function method. Holes residing at QD created by small ac signals added in the base electrode of valence band lead to the exciton assisted tunnelling level for the electron tunnelling from em itter to collector, it is therefore such small signals can be amplied. LESETs can be employed as electrode detectors.

### I. IN TRODUCTION

The single electron tunnelling devices made from quantum dots have been extensively studied not only for interesting physics such as K ondo e ect and C oulomb B lockade, but also for their promising applications in single-electron transistors (SETs) and single-photon generators (SPGs). SPGs provide the antibunching single-photon sources (SPSs) for being applied in quantum communications. Not only do SPSs crucial, but also single-photon detectors in the implementation of quantum communication systems. B lakesley et all have demonstrated resonant diodes as e cient single-photon detectors. Nevertheless, tunnelling current through the quantum wells are not sensitive enough to distinguish the intensity of SPS in the nsperiod. To detect single-photon train in a short period, we proposal to employ SETs to detect such a single-photon train.

The studied SET is shown in Fig. 1, where a single quantum dot (InAs) embedded in the GaAs matrix connected with three term inals (em itter, collector and base) W e consider only the ground states of conduction and valence band of the QD, which are above the Ferm i energy levels of three electrodes  $E_{F,i=e_{C,D}}$ . Conventional SETs are consisted of source, drain and gate electrodes, where the gate electrode is used to tune the energy levels of QDs, but not supplies carriers. In contrast, the base term inal will provide holes into the QD in this study. In the absence of holes, the applied voltage crossing the emitter and collector is insuicient to yield signicant current. Once holes residing at the QD creating new channels for electrons tunnelling from emitter to collector, the remarkable collector current ( $I_c$ ) is yielded. In addition, the base current ( $I_b$ ) arises from the photon emission via electron-hole recombination of the exciton complex state will be observed.

Unlike traditional transistors (electric output) and light em itting diodes (optical output), the lightem itting single-electron transistors (LESETs) with electric and optical outputs can readily reach high current gain ( =  $I_c = I_b$  1) (only voltage gain in conventional SETs). It is expected that 1 is a manifested demonstration of small signal amplier. Therefore, it could be applied to detect single-photon train. For a small semiconductor QD, particle collection signicantly in uncess the transport and optical properties of single electron tunnelling devices. Within the framework of the electron-electron interaction  $U_e$ , hole-hole interaction  $U_h$  and electron-hole

interaction  $U_{eh}$  for different exciton complex configurations. The electron-hole Coulomb energy  $U_{eh}$  in the exciton X is almost the same as that in X<sup>2</sup> and is omitted in this plot. Note that the magnitude of charging energies ( $U_e$  and  $U_h$ ) for electrons or holes in the same order of thermal energy of room temperature  $k_B$  T, where  $k_B$  is a Boltzmann constant. This indicates that the operation temperature of system should be much lower than room temperature.

Owing to the applied bias crossing the QD, the electric elde ect is not negligible in the variation of particle interactions. We adopt the size of QD with radius 7.5 nm and height 3 nm to study the electric elde ect on the particle Coulomb interactions. We assume that the z axis is directed from the base to the apex of the dot. Fig. 3 shows the Coulomb interaction strengths as functions of electric eld for dierent exciton complexes. We see that  $U_{\rm h}$ ,  $U_{\rm eh}$  and  $U_{\rm e}$  display asymmetric behavior of electric eld as a result of geometer of the dots. Increasing electric eld, the deduction of  $U_{\rm eh}$  indicates that the electron-hole separation increases. However, we note that  $U_{\rm h}$  increases in the positive direction of electric eld since the wave functions of holes become more localize. Even though the enhancement of  $U_{\rm e}$  is observed in the negative direction of electric eld, it only exists at very small electric eld region. When the electric eld is larger than a threshold value, the wave functions of electrons become debocalize and leak out the quantum dot. Consequently, electron-electron Coulomb interactions becomes weak. As mentioned,  $U_{\rm e}$  and  $U_{\rm h}$  denote the charging energies of QD for electrons and holes, respectively. Therefore, the constant interaction model used in the Anderson model is valid only for small electric eld case, otherwise we should take into account bias-dependent Coulomb interactions

# II.FORM ALISM

An Anderson model with two energy levels and constant interactions is used to describe the system as shown in Fig. 1,

$$+ \sum_{k;1}^{X} t_{k;2} a_{k}^{y} d_{2} + + \sum_{k}^{X} t_{k}^{y} b_{k}^{y} d_{2} + \sum_{k}^{X} t_{k;1}^{y} c_{k}^{y} d_{1} + h x$$

where  $a_k^Y(a_k)$ ,  $b_k^Y(b_k)$  and  $c_k^Y(q_k)$  create (destroy) an electron of momentum k in the emitter, collector and base electrodes, respectively. The free electron model is considered in the electrodes in which electrons have frequency-dependent energies  $a_{k;e}(c) = a_k + 1 = 2$  and  $a_{k;b} = a_k + 1 = 2 + v(t)$ . Timedependent modulation  $a_k^Y(d_k)$  denotes the time-dependent applied voltage in the base electrode.  $a_k^Y(d_k)$  creates (destroys) an electron inside the QD with orbital energy  $a_k = a_k + 1 = 2 + v(t)$ . In this study  $a_k = 1 + 2 + v(t)$  and  $a_k = 1 + 2 + v(t)$ . Timedependent modulation  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$  denotes the QD with orbital energy  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$ . Timedependent modulation  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$  is the ground states of valence band and conduction band of individual QDs. The fifth term describes the coupling of the QD with electrom agnetic eld of frequency  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$ . Timedependent modulation band of individual QDs. The fifth term describes the coupling of the QD with electrom agnetic eld of frequency  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$ . Timedependent modulation  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$  is the matrix element for the optical transition and energy levels of QD. Note that a unitary transform ation,  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$  is the electric eld per photon. The photon  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$  is the matrix element for the optical transition and energy levels of QD. Note that a unitary transform ation,  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$  is the electron explicit  $a_k = 1 + v(t)$ .

$$H = S^{-1}H (t)S iS^{-1}\frac{\theta}{\theta t}S$$
:

To investigate the exciton assistant process, the interlevel C oulomb interaction  $U_{12}$  ( $U_{eh}$ ) is taken into account in Eq. (1)

$$H_{U} = U_{12}d_{1}^{Y}d_{1}d_{2}^{Y}d_{2}; (2)$$

which is invariant under unitary transform ation. Because we restrict in the regime of applied voltage not su-cient to overcome the charging energies resulting from  $U_{ee}$  and  $U_{hh}$ , therefore, we ignore  $U_{ee}$  and  $U_{hh}$  terms in this study.

The em itter current can be calculated using the nonequilibrium K eldysh G reen's functions, which can be found in refs.[7,8]. W ang et al have pointed out that the displacement current arising from ac applied voltage is crucial to maintain gauge invariance, which will be satisted when the condition of total charge conservation is satisted. The time-dependent em itter current is consisted of the collector current and base current  $J_e(t) = J_c(t) + J_b(t)$ . The collector current satisfying the charge conservation and gauge invariance is given by

$$J_{c}(t) = \frac{e}{h_{e} + c} \frac{e}{c} \frac{d}{dt} [f_{e}(t) f_{e}(t)]$$

$$[Im P_{e}(t) f_{e}(t) f_{e}(t)]$$

$$[J_{c}(t) f_{e}(t) f_{e}(t) f_{e}(t)]$$

$$[J_{c}(t) f_{e}$$

where  $A_e^{r(a)}$  ( ;t) =  $^R$  dt<sub>1</sub>e  $^{i}$  (t;t<sub>1</sub>)  $G_e^{r(a)}$  (t;t<sub>1</sub>).  $G_e^{r(a)}$  (t;t<sub>1</sub>) denotes the retarded (advanced) G reen's function of electrons. In Eq. (3) we assume that tunnelling rates  $_{e(c)} = ^P_{k}$  (  $_{k}$ ) are energy-and bias independent. To solve the spectral function of electrons  $A_e^r$  (;t), the retarded G reen's function of electrons  $G_e^r$  (t;t<sub>1</sub>) is derived to obtain

$$G_{e}^{r}(t;t_{1}) = (1 N_{h}(t_{1}))g_{e}^{r}(E_{e};t;t_{1})$$

$$+ N_{h}(t_{1})g_{e}^{r}(E_{e} U_{eh};t;t_{1})$$

$$(4)$$

with

$$g_e^r(E_e;t;t_1) = i(t_1)e^{i(E_e i_e=2)(t_1)};$$
 (5)

Two branches exist in Eq. (4); one corresponds to the electron resonant energy level of  $E_e$  with a weight of  $(1 N_h(t_1))$ , and the other corresponds to the exciton resonant level of  $E_{ex} = E_e$   $U_{eh}$  with a weight of  $N_h(t_1)$ . Consequently, electrons injected into the energy levels of QDs depends on not only the em itter and collect voltages, but also on the hole occupation number  $N_h(t)$ , which is given by

$$N_{h}(t) = \frac{Z}{-\frac{d}{h}} A_{h}^{r}(t)^{2}$$
 (6)

where  $A_h^{r(a)}(\ ;t)={}^Rdt_1e^{i(t-t_1)}G_h^{r(a)}(t;t_1)$ . The retarded G reen's function of holes is given by

$$G_{h}^{r}(E_{h};t;t_{1}) = i (t_{1})e^{i(E_{h} i_{h}=2)(t_{1})i_{1}} R_{t_{1}}^{R} dt_{2}v(t_{2});$$
 (7)

where the time-dependent applied voltage v(t) denotes a rectangular pulse with duration time s and amplitude. The time translation symmetry of  $G_h^r(E_h;t;t_l)$  is destroyed by v(t) (note that it is a typical n-i-n SET case). Comparing to  $G_h^r(E_h;t;t_l)$ , the time translation symmetry of  $G_e^r(t;t_l)$  is destroyed by hole occupation number. Therefore, it is expected that  $I_c(t)$  will be very different

from the tunnelling current of typical n-i-n SET s. The expression of base current arising from the electron-hole recombination of exciton state is given by

$$J_{b}(t) = e^{\frac{Z}{d!}} d! !^{\frac{Z}{d}} f_{e}^{<} (" !=2) A_{e}^{a} (" !=2;t)^{2}$$

$$h_{h}(" !=2) A_{h}^{r} (" !=2;t)^{2}$$
(8)

where  $f_e^{<}() = (_ef_e() + _cf_c())$ ,  $= 4n_r^3 _r^2 = (6c^3 h^3 _0)$ , where  $n_r$  and  $_0$  are the refractive index and static dielectric constant of system, respectively. We see that  $J_b(t)$  is determined by the time-dependent interband joint density of states and the factors of  $f_e() f_h()$ . To simplify the calculation of Eq. (8), we approximate it as

$$J_{b}(t) = eR_{eh}N_{e}(t)N_{h}(t);$$
(9)

w here

$$N_{e}(t) = \frac{d}{d} f_{e}^{<}() \mathcal{A}_{e}^{r}(;t)^{2};$$
 (10)

In Eq. (9) we de ne the time-independent spontaneous em ission rate  $R_{eh} = {3 \atop ex}$ , where  $_{ex} = E_g + E_e + E_h$   $U_{eh}$ .

## III. R E SU LT S A N D D ISC U SSIO N

A lthough tunnelling currents are more interesting than electron and hole occupation numbers from the experimental viewpoint, we still numerically solve Eqs. (6) and (10) and show the occupation number of electrons and holes as a function of time for dierent amplitudes of applied rectangular pulse voltage with  $s = 3t_0$  at zero temperature in Fig. 4; the solid and dashed lines denote, respectively, the amplitude s = 30 mV and s = 20 mV. When holes are injected into the QD, the exciton resonant energy level for electrons is yielded. Consequently, the emitter supplies electrons into the QD via the exciton resonant energy level. In particular, some interesting oscillations superimpose on the charing and discharging processes of holes. Such a oscillatory behavior can not be observed for

electron tunnelling process. In addition,  $N_e$  (t) exhibits a retarded response with respect to time. For t=1 t<sub>0</sub>,  $N_e$  is still less than 0.2 although  $N_h$  already reaches 0.8. This is because  $N_e$  is in proportion to  $N_h^2$  (t) and the electron-channel behaviors as an opened system since e=0.5 meV. On the other hand the hole channel behaviors as a closed system since  $R_{eh} << 0.5$  h.

Once electrons are injected into the QDs, the collector current and base current occur. Fig. 5 (a) and (b) show the base current and collector current, respectively. When electrons tunnel into the QD from the emitter, photons are emitted from electron-hole recombination of exciton state, such photons should exhibit the antibounding feature with respect to time. Although in ref.[13] the antibouching feature of photons was reported, electrons and holes are injected into a single layer with dilute density of QDs. Therefore, in ref.[13] the tunnelling current arising from the spontaneous radiation of interband transition should be included the particle size distribution. If it is worth noting that the photon number correlation function used to examine the antibunching characteristics are relevant with the base current behavior. Comparing to the base current, the exponential growth and decay of collector current are not so faster as that of base current. However, the collector current still minimics the behavior of base current. Due to the collector current in the units of meV=h, the current gain defined as f(x) = f(x) = f(x) consequently, the response of small signal can be amplified through the output of collector electrode. As mentioned, LESETs can be used as experted into a current section.

Finally, Figs. 6(a) and (b) show the base current and collector current for two different tunnelling rates of hole. Other parameters used are the same as those employed in Figs. (4) and (5). Due to smaller tunnelling rate for solid line, hole occupation number is smaller in solid line than that of dashed line before pulse turns of a Subsequently, the electron occupation number also becomes smaller for h = 0.5 m eV. Consequently, the base current is suppressed for the second second the discharging time is enhanced for electrons and holes as h = 0.5 m eV, the base current at h = 0.5 m eV than h = 1 m eV. The physical picture of collector current can be understood through the interpretation of the base current. The results shown in Figs. 5 and 6 indicate that the shape of collector current can be tuned by the combination of tunnelling rate

and duration time of applied signal. This could be used to manipulate the shape of time-dependent current.

### IV.SUM M ARY

We have studied the dynam ic properties of LESETs made from a single QD embedded in a matrix connected with the emitter, collector and base electrodes. Electrons are transport carriers in the conduction band electrodes. As for valence band base electrode, holes created by light excition or carrier doping play a role of switch trigger for the base current and collector current. The high current gain  $= J_c = J_b$  can be readily reached using semiconductor engineering fabrication technique. Such two outputs transistors exist potential application for the next generation optoelectronics. <sup>15</sup>

# ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Science Council of Republic of China Contract Nos. NSC 94-2215-E-008-027 and NSC 94-2120-M-008-002.

# REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> D. Goldhabar-Gordon. H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, D. Abusch-magder, U. Meirav, and M. A. Kastner, Nature 391, 156 (1998).
- <sup>2</sup> J. H. F. Scott-Thom as, S. B. Field, M. A. Kastner, H. I. Smith, and D. A. Antoniadis, Phys. Rev. Lett, 62, 583 (1989).
- <sup>3</sup> D.M.T.Kuo and Y.C.Chang, Phys. Rev. B 72, 085334 (2005).
- $^4$  A. Im am oglu, and Y. Yam am oto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 210 (1994).
- <sup>5</sup> J.C.Blakesley, P.See, A.J.Shields, B.E.Kardynai, P.Atkinson, I.Farrer and D.A.Ritchie, Phys.Rev.Lett. 94,067401 (2005).
- <sup>6</sup> David.M.T.Kuo and Y.C.Chang, Phys. Rev. B 69, 041306 (2004).
- <sup>7</sup> H. Haug and A. P. Jauho, Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics of Semiconductors (Springer, Heidelberg, 1996).
- <sup>8</sup> H. Haug and S. W. Koch, "Quantum theory of the optical and electronic properties of semiconductors", (World scientic, Singgapor, 1990).
- <sup>9</sup> B.W ang, J.W ang and H.Guo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 398 (1999).
- $^{10}$  A.P.Jauho, N.S.W ingreen and Y.Meir, Phys.Rev.B 50, 5528 (1994).
- $^{11}$  N.S.W ingreen, A.P. Jauho and Y.M eir, Phys. Rev. B 48, 8487 (1993).
- <sup>12</sup> J.Q.You, C.H.Lam and H.Z.Zheng, Phys. Rev. B 62, 1978 (2000).
- $^{13}$  Z. Yuan et al, Science 295,102 (2002).
- $^{14}\ \mathrm{L.V.A}$  sryan and R.A. Suris, Sem icond. Sci. Technol, 11.554 (1996).
- <sup>15</sup> M .Feng, N .Holonyak, Jr.G .W alter and R .Chan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 131103 (2005).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. The schem atic band diagram for the single quantum dot (InAs) embedded in GaAsmatrix connected with emitter, collector and base electrodes. The exciton energy level  $E_{\rm ex}=E_{\rm e}-U_{\rm eh}$  is 35 meV above the Fermi energy of the emitter and collector electrodes  $E_{\rm F,e}=50$  meV. The resonant energy level of holes is 15 meV above the Fermi energy of base electrode  $E_{\rm F,h}=50$  meV. The voltage dierence between the emitter and collector is  $V_{\rm ec}=45$  mV. A smallac signal v(t) supplies holes into the quantum dot.
- Fig. 2:  $U_e$ ,  $U_{eh}$ , and  $U_h$  as functions of QD size for biexciton (solid), negative trion X (dotted) and positive trion X (dashed). Note that the ratio (h 15A)=(R<sub>0</sub> 60A) = 1 is used.
- Fig. 3: Particle Coulomb interactions as functions of strength and direction of electric eld for QD with radius of 7:5 nm and height of 3 nm. The solid line denotes the biexciton con guration, and dashed and dotted lines, respectively, denote a positive trion and a negative trion.
- Fig. 4. Carrier occupation number as functions of time for two dierent amplitude of a rectangular pulse with duration time s=3 t<sub>0</sub>. Time is in units of t<sub>0</sub> = h=m eV. Temperature k<sub>B</sub>T = 0 is considered throughout this study for simplicity.
- Fig. 5. D iagram (a) and (b) correspond, respectively, for the base current and collector current. All parameters used are the same as those in Fig. 4. Base current and collector current are given in units of  $J_0 = 2e R_{eh}$  and e m eV = h, where  $R_{eh}$  denotes the spontaneous emission rate.
- Fig. 6. D iagram (a) and (b) correspond, respectively, for the base current and collector current. Solid line ( $_h$  = 0.5 m eV) and dashed line ( $_h$  = 1.0 m eV). = 30m V.O ther parameters used are the same as those in Fig. 5. Base current and collector current are given in units of  $J_0$  = 2e R<sub>eh</sub> and e m eV=h, where R<sub>h</sub> denotes the spontaneous em ission rate.















