Unlayered graphenes in red-giant starsm oke

Eric M andell, N athaniel H unton, and P. Fraundorf D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y and Center for M olecular Electronics, University of M issouri - StL, St. Louis, M issouri 63121

Electron di raction, in aging, and energy loss provide evidence for unlayered graphene sheets in the core of certain interstellar graphite onions (from the meteorite Murchison) whose isotopes indicate form ation in the atm osphere of late-stage asymptotic giant branch stars (like those which nucleo-synthesized much of the earth's carbon). The data are compared to structuralm odels loosely associated with atom -by-atom, molecule-by-molecule, and dendritic-droplet solidi cation processes. In this context the observed density, di raction peak-shapes, and edge-on sheet patterns, along with theoretical limits on time for growth in the presence of outgoing radiation pressure, suggest nucleation of hexagonal sheets from pentagons, perhaps from a supercooled melt. These results warrant a closer exam ination of specim en structure, the energetics of unlayered graphene nucleation, and processes such as jets in late star atm ospheres.

I. IN TRODUCTION

This paper elaborates on a connection between two forefront areas of scienti c inquiry: (i) single-walled carbon nanostructure formation, and (ii) the laboratory study of astrophysical dust formed around stars other than our own. In the former context, our observations may be relevant speci cally to recent work on nucleation processes for single-walled carbon nanotubes¹, as well as evidence for the formation of single-walled conical² and multi-walled tubular³ carbon structures from liquid carbon droplets.

In the latter context, dust particles initially form ed in the neighborhood of other nucleosynthesis sources, incorporated without melting during the form ation of our solar system into future carbonaceous meteorites, and nally extracted for study in laboratories on earth, are proving to be a useful source of inform ation on various astrophysical objects and processes⁴. A long with diam ond, silicon carbide, and spinel, condensed phases identied as presolar include several graphite-related particle types. In particular, micron-sized \high-density" and isotopically heavy (i.e. with ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C < solar = 89$) graphite spheres from the Murchison meteorite⁵ have \carbonblack" or \graphite on ion" rim s characterized by the concentric arrangem ent of 0.34 nm graphite layers. A sizable fraction of these rims appear to have nucleated not on the inorganic grains that have been used to constrain condensation conditions^{6,7}, but on a spherical core com prised of a novel carbon phase^{6,8}. In addition to its relevance to our know ledge of carbon processing in general, this phase m ay provide insight into precipitation processes in the atm ospheres of AGB stars with surface tem peratures low com pared to our sun⁹, into astrophysical observations of $\cos 1$ stellar out ow $s^{10,11,12}$, and into the state of interstellar condensed carbon^{13,14,15}.

The carbonaceous core phase in these presolar onions is comprised of atom thick $\$ akes" around 4 nm across, with graphite (hk0) ordering but with no sign of the 0.34 nm (002) graphite layering characteristic of graphite, am orphous carbon, and multiwall carbon nanotubes, i.e. of m ost solid non-diam ond carbon phases^{6,16}. Intersecting line pairs in high resolution TEM images suggest that the sheets them selves m ay be bent by the occurence of single cyclopentane defects occuring in place of perhaps one of every 400 graphene hexagons⁸, as though a significant fraction of the carbon atom s are in wide cone-angle faceted carbon nanocones. How one m ight pack a high abundance of faceted nanocones into a dense solid, while supressing graphitic layering, is a mystery for earth-based processing. The fact that it happens in red-giant atm ospheres, m ost likely to a signi cant fraction of the carbon ejected into the interstellar m edium, only deepens the relevance of the mystery.

At one extrem e, the cores m ight form by addition of one carbon atom at a time, with layer defects added in random ly from time to time to lessen entropy loss during the condensation process^{17,18}. A lternatively, they m ight form by the \collisional agglom eration" of previouslyform ed planar PAH s^{19,20}. At the other extrem e, the cores m ight form by the dendritic crystallization of liquid carbon rain-drops condensed around m agnetohydrodynam ic jets in carbon-rich stellar atmospheres, before ejection by radiation pressure into the interstellar medium. In any of these cases, nucleation of the unlayered sheets might occur on low er-coordination (e.g. pentagonal) defects, or conversely the growing sheetsmight be converted from two into three dimensional structures by defects random ly-generated in the growing sheets. Observations which bear on these questions are reported in separate papers. Here, we look at what structural models linked loosely to these processes have to say in context of such observational data.

II. M ODELS

O ther papers associated with this work will focus rst on the experiments. Here we begin with a set of sim – plem odels, loosely connected to the form ation processes mentioned above. The models will be illustrated (Fig. 1) by high resolution electron microscope im ages, to which



FIG. 1: Random ly-positioned graphene sheets (upper left), relaxed pentacones (upper right), faceted pentacones (lower left) and pentacones with two adjacent sheets parallel (lower right), seen in HRTEM in age simulations of a specim en 22.5 nm square and 10 nm thick with a horizontal perforation.

they'll eventually be com pared.

A. Agglom erated PAH's

O neprocess suggested for the form ation of these unlayered graphene cores has been the collisional aggregation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) molecules. They've been detected in astrophysical settings, and (as we con m) they could explain the major features seen in electron di raction patterns. Our rst model for this is random ly-oriented graphene sheets like the 640 atom sheets illustrated in the upper left of Fig. 1.

The astrophysical di culty associated with this, as a source of the graphene cores, is that incoming molecules are likely to have signi cant velocities (due to them al, orbital, and radiation-pressure processes) relative to any prospective agglomerate. Even form ation of large porous clusters seem s di cult, given the expected kinetic energy of new arrivals. Form ation of spherical particles whose density is comparable to that of graphite seem s even less likely. Nonetheless, comparing the core material with random ly-oriented graphene sheets should be enlightening.

B. Corranulene Spirals

A second alternative is grow th of unlayered graphenes from the vapor phase. PAH's form in space so this is certainly possible, provided one can prod the particles to grow in three dimensions rather than as sheets, without resorting to the van der W aals layering process (graphetization) so ubiquitous in non-diam ond carbon on earth. The possibility of doing this with help from an occasional pentagon or heptagon in the sheet has already been proposed¹⁸. A lthough we are looking into atom -byatom growth models as well, we simulate this alternative here with the random collection of relaxed (circular crosssection) pentacones shown in the upper right of Figure 1. These pentacones are relaxed because we expect that in vacuo the energy preference for sheet atness will force all carbon atom s to share som e of the curvature, at least as sheet coherence widths approach the 4 nm values seen in these specimens.

If grow th occurs atom -by-atom, it is likely nucleation lim ited and the center of the particle m ight show som e special features (not yet reported). There is also the m ystery about suppressed graphite layering. If we have dif-

culty suppressing this layering even in am orphous carbon on earth, can radiation or som e other process in the star's atm osphere allow graphene sheets to grow edgewise without allowing atom s to start new van der W aals layered sheets as well. A though the energetic di erence between such sites makes this possible, no quantitative process (experimental or theoretical) has been dem onstrated to suppress layering so far.

C. Dendritic Solidi cation

A third alternative is dendritic solidi cation of a liquid carbon drop. A dvantages of this are that it explains observed densities²¹ and the spherical core shape. There is an astrophysical problem with the sticking coe cient of incoming atom s^{22} that may also be am eliorated if the target cluster is liquid. As mentioned above, even though liquid carbon cannot equilibrate at low pressure it has been implicated in the laboratory formation of both single walled nanohoms and carbon nanotubes in low -pressure non-equilibrium processes. The high energy of sheet-edge attachm ent and proxim ity of atom s in the liquid m ight easily explain why graphene layering is suppressed as well. We also expect growth of sheets in a melt (possibly from pentagonal nuclei) would result in faceted nanocones since interstitial carbon would leave no room to relax. Thus our model for this is simply the faceted pentacones shown in the lower part of Figure 1. On the lower left, you'll nd sym metric faceted cones like those you m ight expect if the pentagon is the nucleus. If pentagons also arise spontaneously during growth, at growth might be redirected into three (not four) additional directions resulting in the asymmetric nanocones shown on the lower right.





FIG. 3: Experim ental di raction pattern of polycrystalline graphite (left) and presolar unlayered graphene (right). Note the absence of all except (hk0) spacings in the latter, as well as the high frequency tails on each ring.

FIG. 2: Im age of three onion slices, showing one with a tom core suitable for electron phase contrast study.

The disadvantage of this model is glaring. No one expects it. Since we have no direct experimental access to stellar atmospheres cool enough to condense carbon, this may be a case of nding the raindrop before we hear the storm, but its unexpectedness also means that more evidence for such processes will be needed to make it convincing. That's why the focus of this paper has been on listening to what the specimens have to say.

III. EXPERIMENTAL

Figure 2 is an image of several graphite onions with an unlayered graphene core. They were from the Murchison meteorite graphite separate KFC1 (2.15-2.20 g/cm³), whose preparation has been described in detailby Amari et al.²³. The onions were microtom ed to a thickness of 70 nm, and deposited onto 3mm copper grids with a holey carbon support lm, by Bernatowicz et al.⁶. Specimens were examined in a 300 kV Philips EM 430ST TEM with point resolution near 0.2nm.

C leanly-sectioned cores large enough to minimize spillover di raction" from surrounding rims were the primary target for selected area di raction measurements (c.f. Fig 2) on a TEM specimen designated KFC 1A E. E lectron energy loss density measurements, and energy

ltered m aps, were taken on sim ilar specimens. On the

other hand, electron phase contrast (HRTEM) in ages focused instead on onions whose cores were torn during the sectioning, and positioned over a hole in the supporting carbon Im. Im age simulations were done using the strong phase object approximation. Further details of the data acquisition strategy are discussed elsewhere.

IV. DIFFRACTION DATA

Figure 3 compares the di raction pattern of an onion core (right half) to that from graphetized carbon. The (hk0) peaks in both have \high frequency halos", in the latter case because the carbon layers have graphitic onion morphology which makes it impossible for adjacent graphene sheets to retain coherence from layer to layer. Figure 4 shows one azium uthally-averaged \electron powder di raction pro le" from an onion core on a log-intensity scale. As you can see, all graphite re ections except for the six expected graphene (hk0) spacings are system atically absent from the patterns. This is true even for the graphite (002) spacing near 0.29 [A⁻¹], easily detected in both di raction and HRTEM im aging, and present in much terrestrial non-diam ond carbon (including evaporated \am orphous" carbon support Im s, singlewalled nanohom²⁴ and nanotube collections because of the propensity of such tubes to align with van der W aals bonding between adjacent tube walls).



FIG. 4: Log plot of azim uthally-averaged greyvalue from a graphite-onion core, showing the presence only of \in-sheet" graphite (hk0) spacings out to 1.7 reciprocal Angstrom s.

A. Flat sheet pro les

A zim uthally-averaged peak proles like that in Fig. 5 allow one to see the asymmetric peak broadening (i.e. halos on the high-frequency side) characteristic of atom – thick crystals that continue to di ract even when highly foreshortened by tilt with respect to the incident beam. As a result, the product of peak full-width at halfmaximum, and grain size is, is closer to 2 (= 1.84)²⁵ than to values near 1 typical of equant 3D crystal shapes²⁶. This rule estimates mass-weighted average sheet sizes of 3.8 to 4 [nm] for the (110) and (100) peaks of Figure 5, in agreement with sizes inferred from other onion core patterns by Bernatowicz et al.⁶. Further, com parable widths for the (110) and (100) re ections argues against system atic in-sheet anisotropy²⁷.

The Figure 5 analysisw as done by adding random layer lattice proles from W arrer²⁵ for (100) and (110) rows of a single-size graphene sheet, plus a simple $a + b=q^2$ background, to azim uthally-averaged di raction proles whose intensity varied linearly with electron exposure. The consistency in peak asymmetry between experiment and theory (i.e. the common high-frequency tail), and the absence of graphite layering spacings like (002) in our experimental patterns, support the interpretation by Bernatow icz et al.⁶ that di raction from this core material is indeed dom inated by random ly-oriented atom – thick graphene sheets. The t in Figure 5 is also shows that a single sheet-size alone does not explain details at the base of these peaks.

Similar ts were attempted using two di erent sheet sizes, or a log-norm al distribution, with limited success. In other words, problems at the base of each peak (like the sharp cusp on the right side of the valley between both large peaks) remained. At this point, it began to seem that the feature responsible for broadening the base of the peak does not carry with it the asymmetry expected for sheets of atom-thick graphene, even though feature locations indicate graphene-like spacings.

Figure 6 ts a two-parameter model that combines graphene sheets of one size with broader gaussian peaks



FIG.5: Least-squares t of core pattern 3184 (green) with a single-size sheet model (black) has the sm allest standard deviation (2.46 greyvalue units) using 3.1 [mm] sheets.



FIG.6: Least-squares t of core pattern 3184 (green) with a two-component mix (black) of graphene sheets and graphenelike carbon clusters has the sm allest standard deviation (0.98 greyvalue units) for 4.1 [mm] sheets and 0.6 [mm] clusters.

at the graphene peak locations to one of several experim ental spectra analyzed in this way. In each case the the system atic peak-shape problem was noticeably reduced, and the t quality in proved as well.

Instrum ental broadening of peaks cannot explain the sym metric base-broadening component for two reasons. First, patterns from polycrystalline Al under the sam e illum ination conditions lim it the instrum ental broadening full-width at half-maxim um to the 0.01 reciprocalAngstrom range, much less than the 0.16 reciprocal Angstrom width of the sym metric residual. Secondly, the sharp leading edge of the asym metric peaks of each core pro leitself lim its instrum ental broadening to well under 0.05 reciprocalAngstrom s.

Such peaks m ight also be due to \graphene-like carbon" clusters whose peaks do not show the asymmetry of atom -thick sheets. Since diam ond also has essentially the same C-C bond lengths and hence rst two re ections, one m ight also think of these as \diam ond-



FIG. 7: Experimental diraction data from a presolar core plotted with the best tprovided by a at sheet D ebyem odel.

like carbon" peaks although the lack of higher-order diam ond re ections in Fig. 4 like those from pre-solar nanodiam $onds^{28}$, and plasm on energies seen in electron energy loss spectra⁶, lim it the extent to which carbon-sp³ ordering is actually present.

B. Correlated Sheet Pro les

To consider more complicated e ects, proles for unlayered graphenem olecules were also calculated using the D ebye scattering equation²⁹. Here, the diraction intensity at each spatial frequency is computed by summing over all atoms in the structure. Since the D ebye model works for any list of atom positions (given enough computer time), powder diraction proles for any list of atom positions may be computed from a sum over atom pairs. By creating a sheet-size tting routine, we let the computer choose the best single-sheet size t to experimental data. Figure 7 illustrates that the at-sheet results are the same as those seen in the W arren model, where scattering on the low-frequency side and at the graphene periodicities remains unexplained.

A possible explanation for di erences between the at sheet model and experimental data are an elect which arises from the wide-range fringe visibility of atom-thick crystals³⁰, namely coherence elects from coordination between sheets. The D ebyem odel (unlike W arren) lets us exam ine the elects of such sheet-sheet correlations. This can be seen in prole by comparing D ebyem odels for one sheet of a faceted carbon nanocone with that for two adjoined facets, which make som e non-zero angle with one another, where the proleshave been scaled for scattering by the same number of atom s. Figure 8 shows the pro-

les and their di erence, where there is more scattering at the (110) peak due to the increased coherence width of that spacing across both facets, and a sm all satellite peak, corresponding to the preferential projection of this spacing across the facets, due to the angle between the two sheets. If we were to take m any facets at di erent



FIG.8: A comparison of D ebye di raction patterns for one and two facets of the faceted nanocone. Observe the occurrence of a satellite peak on the (110) high frequency tail, due to the orientation of the facets.

angles, the satellite peaks would blur, yet we would see a sm all am ount of extra scattering at the graphene periodicities. Early evidence from D ebye work on collections of random ly-oriented multi-sheet structures (e.g. pentacones) suggests that coherence e ects provide features sim ilar to the di erences seen between experim ental data and single sheet m odels.

V. DENSITY MEASUREMENTS

U sing a Leo-Zeiss 912 Energy Filtered TEM, we have obtained both bright eld TEM in ages, and zero-loss in ages of presolar graphite spherules. The bright eld im - ages are typical un ltered, phase contrast TEM in ages, while the zero-loss in ages are form ed only with electrons which have undergone elastic scattering. The inelastic mean-free path is de ned as the e-folding distance for zero-loss intensity with specim en thickness, and this in turn is proportional to projected potential and (for regions of sim ilar composition) to m ass densities in gram s per cm². Thus the ratio between inelastic mean free path at two locations (e.g. between the rim and core of a constant thickness slice) will to rst order give us a ratio of m ass densities per unit volume ().

SerialEELS spectra on separate core and rim regions, obtained with a G atan 607 series spectrom eter, has suggested core densities around 0.65 relative to the graphitic rim (' 2.2 g/cm³). However, the microtom e does not always slice uniform ly across an onion. Therefore we also obtained thickness in ages using the log ratios of bright eld and elastic im ages taken with an energy-

Itered TEM. Im ages were acquired with a CCD camera with no changes in illumination conditions between the two im ages, other than the energy Itering for the elastic im age. A veraged intensity pro les are then taken over windowed sections of the resultant thickness map. G reyvalue intensities for the core and rim can be compared,



FIG.9: A mean-free-path thickness map (a) calculated from a bright eld TEM in age (b). The resulting greyvalue intensity pro le (c) is shown for the windowed section in the thickness map. Intensities for the rim and core, relative to the hole, can be used to gauge density changes between the two regions.

relative to the greyvalue intensity of a hole in the image. Figure 9 shows the thickness map for two graphite spherules and the regular bright eld im age for com parison. We concentrate on the spherule showing a strong core-rim structure, and calculate a greyvalue pro le over the selected area shown. Com paring intensities for the rim and core, relative to the hole, intensity, we nd core to rim thickness ratios of 0.64. Thus the core material seem s to have a density near 1.5 gram sper cm³, i.e. low er than the 1.69 gram s per cm³ of buckm insterfullerene. This should be considered when comparing between the various proposed grow th models, and further emphasizes the di erence between presolar onion core and rim.

VI. HIGH RESOLUTION IMAGING

HRTEM work on core material⁸ has suggested the existence of coordinated graphene sheets, seen as endconnected linear features in in ages. Simulations (cf. Figure 1) show that edge-on graphene sheets, offen intersecting at a point, indeed dom inate the contrast. These simulations also show that relaxed nanocones (upper right) give noticably stronger contrast uctuations than do faceted cones or single sheets with the same num ber of atom s. Relaxed nanocones also give an appearance of curvature not reported in experimental im ages⁸, although m ore quantitative work is still needed to see if the experimental im ages indicate nanocone faceting, and perhaps even provide evidence for nucleation on pentagons.

Future work will be assisted by the availability of aberration-corrected m icroscopes. Figure 10 shows the center region of Figure 1 as it would look in a m icroscope with twice the point resolution and a correspondingly better damping envelope. The biggest gain may come from an ability to see atom columns in the edgeon sheets, so that the coherence of intersecting segments can be investigated. Contrast uctuations are also enhanced at higher resolution, so quantitative analysis of uctuations should reveal new information as well.

VII. DISCUSSION

The data here con ms previous observations but shows that random ly-oriented at sheets are not adequate to explain the di raction data. It also indicates that the density of the core m aterial is less than 2 gram s per cm³, closer to that expected for frozen liquid carbon than for graphite, and shows how high resolution TEM im aging should be able to distinguish between sin-



FIG.10: Random ly-positioned graphene sheets (upper left), relaxed pentacones (upper right), faceted pentacones (lower left) and pentacones with two adjacent sheets parallel (lower right), seen in HRTEM in age simulations at 1 A resolution.

gle sheets, faceted pentacones, and relaxed pentacones. It also connects these structural alternatives to three different types of form ation process: sheet agglom eration, atom -by-atom growth, or dendritic solidi cation of a liquid drop.

O therm ysteries include the abrupt transition from unlayered graphene to norm al onion growth (Fig. 2), the low \average" density of gas in grain-form ing regions of red giant atm ospheres which predicts too little time for growth prior to ejection by radiation pressure⁶, and the low sticking coe cient for carbon atoms incident onto solid surfaces from the gas $phase^{22}$. We are separately working to con rm interpretation of existing HRTEM data that suggests that \ at" graphene sheets³¹ connect pentagonal sheet defects, instead of the curved sheets one m ight expect if free-standing single-walled nanocones were allowed to relax before incorporation into the solid.

M ight undercooled liquid-carbon droplets^{2,3} crystallize by dendritic growth of individual graphene sheets? The proximity of liquid atom son both sides of a growing sheet could prevent both van der W aals layering, and sheet relaxation to accom odate strains caused by the occasional sheet defects which perturb sheet growth into new directions. Nucleation of this melt directly on pentagons m ight be consistent with recent studies of carbon nanotube energetics¹. Liquid carbon atom s left between \superstructure" sheets m ight have little choice but to form unsheetlike \gap-lling" structures on nalsolidi cation. The spherical core shape and density, a high sticking coe cient for incom ing carbon atom s, and the abrupt transition to graphitic onion grow th after solidi cation could all be explained nicely as well. Of course, if this unexpected conclusion pans out, the study of weather in cool stellar atm ospheres m ay have to open up a new chapter on carbon rain.

A cknow ledgm ents

Thanks to Tom Bernatowicz, Kevin Croat, and Roy Lew is for trusting us with specimens of unsliced and sliced graphite onions from M urchison for this work, and to Howard Berg for access to that energy-litered TEM. This work has also bene ted indirectly from support by the U.S.D epartment of Energy, the M issouri Research Board, as well as M onsanto and M EM C Electronic M aterials C om panies.

E lectronic address: pfraundorf@ um sl.edu

- ¹ X.Fan, R.Buczko, A.A.Puretzky, D.B.Geohegan, J.Y. Howe, S.T.Pantelides, and S.J.Pennycook, Phys.Rev. Lett. 90 (14), 145501 (2003).
- ² D. Kasuya, M. Yudasaka, K. Takahashi, F. Kokai, and S. Lijim a, J. Phys. Chem B 106, 4947 (2002).
- ³ W .A.de Heer, P. Poncharal, C. Berger, J. Gezo, Z. Song, J. Bettini, and D. Ugarte, Science 307, 907 (2005).
- ⁴ E.Zinner, Ann.Rev.Earth Planet.Sci.26,147 (1998).
- ⁵ S.Amari, E.Zinner, and R.S.Lew is, M eteoritics 30, 679 (1995).
- ⁶ T.Bematowicz, R.Cowsik, P.C.Gibbons, K.Lodders, B.F.Jr., S.Amari, and R.S.Lewis, Astrophysical Journal 472, 760 (1996).
- ⁷ T.K.Croat, T.Bernatowicz, S.Amari, S.Messenger, and F.J.Stadermann, Geochim.et.Cosmochim.Acta 67, 4705 (2003).
- ⁸ P.Fraundorf and M.W ackenhut, Ap.J.Lett. 578, L153

(2002).

- ⁹ J.M.W inters, T.L.Bertre, K.S.Jeong, C.Helling, and E.Sedlmayr, Astron. Astrophys. 361, 641 (2000).
- ¹⁰ M.Frenklach and E.D.Feigelson, Ap.J. 341, 372 (1989).
- ¹¹ I.Cherchne, J.R.Barker, and A.G.G.M.Tielens, Ap. J. 401, 269 (1992).
- ¹² D.K rueger, A.B.C.Patzer, and E.Sedlm ayr, Astron. Astrophys.313,891 (1996).
- ¹³ E. Sedlmayr, in Molecules in the Stellar Environment, edited by U.G. Jorgensen (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994), no. 146 in IAU Colloquium, pp. 163(185.
- ¹⁴ M. Jura, in Astrophysical implications of the laboratory study of presolar materials, edited by T.J.Bernatowicz and E.Zinner (American Institute of Physics, W oodbury NY, 1997), no. 402 in AIP Conference Proceedings, pp. 379{390.
- ¹⁵ M.Chhowalla, H.W ang, N.Sano, K.B.K.Teo, S.B.Lee, and G.A.J. Am aratunga, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 155504

(2003).

- ¹⁶ T. J. Bernatowicz, P. C. Gibbons, S. Amari, and R. S. Lewis, in Lunar and Planet. Sci. Conf. XXVI Abstracts (Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston TX, 1995).
- ¹⁷ E. Sedlm ayr and D. K ruger, in A strophysical im plications of the laboratory study of presolar m aterials, edited by T.J. Bernatow icz and E.Zinner (A m erican Institute of Physics, W oodbury NY, 1997), no. 402 in A IP C onference P roceedings, pp. 425{450.
- ¹⁸ H.W.K roto and K.McK ay, N ature 331, 328 (1988).
- ¹⁹ L.J. Allam andola, G.G.M. Tielens, and J.R. Barker, A strophysical Journal Supplem ent Series 71, 733 (1989).
- ²⁰ T.J.Bernatow icz and R.Cowsik, in A strophysical im plications of the laboratory study of presolar m aterials, edited by T.J.Bernatow icz and E.Zinner (American Institute of Physics, W oodbury NY, 1997), no. 402 in A IP Conference Proceedings, pp. 451 (474.
- ²¹ L.M. Ghiringhelli, J.H. Los, E.J.Meijer, A. Fasolino,

and D. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (14), 145701 (2005).

- ²² B.P.M ichael, J.A.Nuth, and L.U.Lilleleht, A strophys. J.590, 579 (2003).
- ²³ S. Amari, R. S. Lewis, and E. Anders, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 58, 459 (1994).
- ²⁴ S. Bandow, F. Kokai, K. Takahashi, M. Yudasaka, L. C. Qin, and S. Lijin a, Chem. Phys. Lett. 321, 514 (2000).
- ²⁵ B.E.W arren, Phys. Rev. 59 (9), 693 (1941).
- ²⁶ A.L.Patterson, Phys. Rev. 56, 978 (1939).
- ²⁷ A.L.G.Rees and J.A.Spink, Acta Cryst. 3, 316 (1950).
- ²⁸ P.Fraundorf, G.Fraundorf, T.Bernatow icz, R.Lew is, and T.M ing, Ultram icroscopy 27, 401 (1989).
- ²⁹ B.E.Warren, X-ray di raction (Addison-Wesley/Dover, New York, 1969/1990).
- ³⁰ P.Fraundorf, W.Qin, P.Moeck, and E.M andell, Journal of Applied Physics 98, 114308 (2005).
- ³¹ M. Liu and J.M. Cow ley, Ultram icroscopy 53, 333 (1994).