## W igner Supersolid of Excitons in Electron-hole Bilayers

Y ogesh N. Joglekar<sup>1</sup>, A lexander V. Balatsky<sup>2</sup>, S. Das Sarm a<sup>3</sup>

Department of Physics, Indiana University - Purdue University Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

Theoretical Division, Los A lam os National Laboratory, Los A lam os, New Mexico 87545

Condensed Matter Theory Center, Department of Physics,

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

(Dated: December 23, 2021)

B ilayer electron-hole systems, where carriers in one layer are electrons and carriers in the other are holes, have been actively investigated in recent years with the focus on Bose-E instein condensation of excitons. This condensation is expected to occur when the layer separation dismuch smaller than the interparticle distance  $r_s a_B$  within each layer. In this note, we argue for the existence of a state, Wigner supersolid, in which excitons are phase-coherent but form a Wigner crystal due to dipolar repulsion. We present the qualitative phase diagram of bilayer system, and discuss properties and possible signatures of the Wigner supersolid phase.

Introduction: The phenomenon of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) where the many-body wavefunction for the ground state of a macroscopic number of bosons is described by a uniform phase and acquires phase rigidity, is a rem arkable m anifestation of interplay between quantum mechanics and statistics of the particles. This phenom enon does not depend on the microscopic structure of bosons or their interactions, and does not make assum ptions about possible broken symmetries in the ground state. Based on these observations, Moskalenko, Blatt, and Keldysh predicted that excitons -m etastable bound states of electron-hole pair - in sem iconductors will undergo BEC under appropriate circum stances. Electronhole bilayers are expected to exhibit a uniform BEC of excitons - dipolar super uid - when the distance between the two layers d is much smaller than the typical interparticle distance  $r_s a_B \cdot ^{2,3,4}$  Here  $a_B = \sim^2 = m e^2$  is the Bohr radius for a particle with band mass m in a sem iconductor with dielectric constant (For typical sem i-13 and m 0:10 me implies that aB 100 A). In recent years, advances in sample preparations have made it possible to fabricate samples in which the two layers are close (d 100-300 A), the exciton lifetime is relatively long, and the carrier mobilities at low densities are high or, equivalently, disorder e ects are sm all. $^{5,6,7,8,9}$  Therefore, an experimental exploration of the entire phase-diagram of electron-hole bilayer system will be feasible in near future. 10

Recent experiments have sparked interest in two disparate aspects of BEC, namely its realization in semi-conductors and in solid Helium under pressure. These two aspects, condensation of (metastable) bosons in a strongly interacting semiconductor environment and non-uniform Bose-Einstein condensates, address fundamental questions such as what are necessary and sucient conditions for Bose-Einstein condensation? Is super uidity related to a uniform Bose-Einstein condensate? In this note, we show that electron-hole bilayers support a ground state which combines these two features, namely Bose-Einstein condensation and broken translational symmetry.

In the following, we st discuss zero-temperature

phase diagram of electron-hole bilayer system. Then we present qualitative description of various phase boundaries and focus on the transition from the dipolar superuid phase to the W igner supersolid phase. We end the note with discussion regarding experimental signatures of the Wigner supersolid phase and conclusions.

Phase Diagram: Let us consider a bilayer system with electrons in the top layer and holes in the bottom layer. W e choose a convention such e<sup>y</sup> (r) denotes an operator which creates electron at position r and hy (r) denotes an operator which creates a hole at position r in the bottom layer. The density matrix of this system has four components.  $_{ee}(r;r^0) = he^y(r)e(r^0)i$  and  $_{hh}(r;r^0) =$ hhy (r)h (r0)i denote density matrices for electrons and holes, and  $(r;r^0) = he^y(r)h^y(r^0)i = (r^0;r)$  denotes the interlayer phase-coherence matrix elements. This system is characterized by two dim ensionless param eters which can be tuned independently. The rst,  $d=(r_sa_B)$ , is the ratio of intralayer and interlayer C oulom b interactions (PE/PE2), and is a measure of \phase coherence" between the two layers. This parameter, for  $d=(r_s a_B)$ 1, drives the quantum phase transition from uncorrelated bilayers, = 0, to a state with phase-coherent bilayers,

6 0. We emphasize that here we have assumed the simplest scenario, in which the formation of individual excitons and the establishment of long-range phase coherence happen simultaneously. In a more general case, there will be two phase transitions, one corresponding to each of the two possibilities outlined above. The second param eter  $r_s$  is the ratio of potential energy  $e^2 = (r_s a_B)$ and kinetic energy  $\sim^2 = m (r_s a_B)^2$  of carriers within a single layer (PE/KE). This param eter, for rs 1, drives the quantum phase transition from a uniform density state,  $_{ee}$  (q) =  $_{hh}$  (q) /  $_{q;0}$ , to the W igner crystal state with broken translational sym m etry,  $_{ee}$  (q) =  $_{hh}$  (q) /  $_{q,G}$ where G is a reciprocal lattice vector for W igner crystal. Therefore, in the simplest scenario, we expect  $2^2 = 4$ possible distinct ground states (Figure 1). For small  $d=(r_s a_B)$  and  $sm \ all \ r_s$  ( $d=a_B$ r<sub>s</sub> 1), the ground state of the system is a uniform Bose-Einstein condensate of excitons or a dipolar super  $uid_{\cdot}^{12,13}$  At large d=( $r_sa_B$ ) and small rs, the ground state is a uniform 2-component

(electron-hole) plasm a. At large values of  $d=(r_s a_B)$  and large r<sub>s</sub> (d=a<sub>B</sub>  $r_s$ 1) the system consists of W igner crystals in respective layers which are weakly correlated. These three phases have been considered in the literature.12 In particular, excitonic super uid and W igner crystal, being broken symmetry states, have been extensively studied. For example, spontaneous interlayer coherence has been observed in quantum Hallbilayers near total lling factor one although compelling evidence for true o -diagonallong-range super uid order is still som ewhat am biguous. Sim ilarly, it is widely believed 14 that a single electron (or hole) layer becom es a W igner crys-40 although the experim ental tal for large  $r_s = r_{s0}$ evidence is not conclusive.




FIG. 1: Schematic phase diagram of a system with two dimensionless parameters  $d{=}\,(r_s\,a_B\,)$  and  $r_s$ , showing four possible phases. We emphasize that the details of the topology, for example, the dependence of Wigner crystallization threshold  $r_s$  on the ratio of intralayer-to-interlayer potential energy  $d{=}\,(r_s\,a_B\,)$ , are not known. Therefore, the intersection of the two lines should not be considered a multi-critical point. The four possible ground states are i) uniform dipolar super uid ii) electron-hole plasma iii) uncorrelated Wigner crystals, and iv) phase-coherent bilayers with broken translational symmetry. The rst three have been extensively discussed in the literature.

In this note, we focus on the fourth possible ground state, which will occur when  $d=(r_sa_B)-1$  and  $r_s-1$ . We point out that the two broken-symmetry states – dipolar excitonic condensate and W igner crystal – will coexist in this regime. Based on general principles, this phase will have a ground state with phase-coherence between the two layers and a broken translational symmetry: 60 and  $_{ee}(q)=_{hh}(q)/_{q,Q}$  We propose that this state is a W igner crystal of phase-coherent excitons: a W igner supersolid.

Now, we motivate the existence of this phase and show how various phase boundaries can be qualitatively understood. Figure 2 shows the (same) phase diagram of a bilayer electron-hole system  $^{12}$  as a function of d=a<sub>B</sub>




FIG. 2: Schematic phase diagram of the electron-hole bilayer. Standard phases discussed in the literature are electron-hole W igner crystal (d=aB  $1, r_{\rm s}$ 1), 2-com ponent plasm a 1) and dipolar super uid (d=a<sub>B</sub> r<sub>s</sub> 1). We postulate that a W igner crystal of phase-coherent excitons exists in the region  $\frac{p}{r_s}$  d=a, r<sub>s</sub>, between the dipolar super uid and the uncorrelated W igner crystals. We call this phase Wigner supersolid (WS) because it shows broken translational symmetry in the diagonal density m atrix elements, ee(q) = hh(q) / qG, as well as interlayer phase coherence, & 0, in the o -diagonal density m atrix elements. The transition between dipolar super uid and W S is of rst order as any liquid-to-solid transition; on the other hand, the transition between W S and electron-hole W igner crystal is of second order as it is associated with the disappearance of phase coherence.

and r<sub>s</sub> (solid lines only). We choose these variables, instead of the ratio of energies, as the axes because experim entally these two can be tuned independently. This phase diagram does not take into account the transition from phase-coherent bilayers ( 6 0) to uncorrelated bilayers ( = 0) which happens at  $d=a_B$ blue line). Let us consider the region between the solid (green and red) lines from two di erent lim its, increasing  $d=a_B$  at moderate  $r_s$  and increasing  $r_s$  at moderate  $d=a_B$ . First, we start from the uniform dipolar super uid state characterized by uniform phase coherence € 0 and uniform density  $_{ee}(q) = _{hh}(q) = n_{0 q;0}$ . The kinetic energy per exciton<sup>15</sup> is given by  $\sim^2 = m (r_s a_B)^2$  whereas the potential energy due to dipolar repulsion is given by  $e^2 d^2 = (r_s a_B)^3$ . Therefore, when  $rac{r_s}{r_s}$ energy dom inates the kinetic energy and the excitons will form a hexagonal Wigner crystal 16,17 to minimize the potential energy. This argum ent gives the phase boundary between the uniform dipolar super uid state, and the Wigner crystal of excitons characterized by € 0 and  $_{e}e(q) = _{h}h(q) / _{q;G}$  (solid red line). Such a phase with dipolar exciton condensate and crystalline structure within each layer is, by de nition, a supersolid. Since this is a transition from a uniform state to a crystalline state, in the absence of disorder, it will be a rst-order

transition.

The existence of this phase can also be argued if we start with system in the uniform 2-component plasma state characterized by = 0 and  $_{ee}(q) = _{hh}(q) =$ n<sub>0 q:0</sub>. As r<sub>s</sub> increases, each layer undergoes hexagonalW igner crystallization at a critical value of  $r_s$  (d= $a_B$ ), leading to a ground state with no phase coherence, = 0, but crystalline density modulations,  $_{ee}(q) = _{h}h(q) /$ q; G . At large d=a<sub>B</sub> 1, this value of r<sub>s</sub> is roughly independent of the value of  $d=a_B$ , and will approach the single-layer value r<sub>s0</sub> 38 asymptotically (solid green line). The behavior of this phase boundary at small d=a<sub>B</sub> > r<sub>s</sub> will, in general, be nontrivial, due to interlayer interactions playing a role in determining the ground state. Now as d=a<sub>B</sub> is reduced or, equivalently,  $r_s$  is increased, when  $d=a_B$ rs (dotted blue line), the two Wigner crystals will become phase-coherent, 60, and will still maintain the broken translational symmetry,  $_{ee}(q) = _{hh}(q) / _{q;G}$ , thus form ing a hexagonal W igner crystal of phase-coherent excitons. 14,16,17 This transition between two layers with identical crystal structure become is associated with the appearance of phase coherence and is therefore continuous.

We emphasize that this novel phase, a Wigner supersolid, is possible only due to speci c properties of electron-hole bilayers. Traditionally, supersolid phase discussed in the literature has been mostly in the context of 4He. 11 Some recent work has introduced the possibility of a supersolid phase in cold-atom optical lattice systems with extended Hubbard interactions 18 as well as in lattice models of hard-core bosons with repulsion. 19 Since excitons in bilayer systems have dipolar repulsion, which provides the incentive for localization, a Bose-E instein condensate with broken translational symmetry is possible. In a single layer system, carriers undergo W igner crystallization but since they are ferm ions there is no phase coherence. On the other hand, bulk sem iconductors support excitonic condensation. However, since the exciton interaction is not necessarily repulsive (due to random orientation of exciton dipoles), there is no W igner crystallization. Electron-hole systems, in which all excitons have the same dipole moment, o er a unique realization of hard-core bosons with repulsive interactions in a sem iconductor environm ent.

Now we sketch a simple model for phase transition from the uniform dipolar super uid phase to the W igner supersolid phase. The dipolar super uid is characterized by a nonzero orderparam eter = j jexp[i] where is the dipolar phase. Note that the density of this super uid is uniform. The long-wavelength low-energy dynam ics of a dipolar super uid is described by the action  $^{13}$ 

$$S_0 = \begin{bmatrix} X & & & & \\ & ! & & \\ & k : ! & & \\ & k : ! & & \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{2} k^2 & & & \\ & k ! & & \\ & k ! & & \\ & k ! & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ k ! & & \\ k ! & & \\ & k ! & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ k ! & & \\ k ! & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ k ! & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ k ! & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ k ! & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 &$$

Here,  $_{\rm d}$  is the super uid phase sti ness,  $_{\rm k\,!}$  is the Fourier transform of the dipolar density uctuation, and the dipolar phase and condensate number satisfy [;]

i. We introduce  $S_{k!}^{\ 1}$   $_{k!}$   $_{k!}$  term in the action to account for the dipolar density-density interaction. At small wavevectors, this interaction gives the capacitive mass-term for uniform density uctuations. Integrating the density uctuations, we arrive at the action for the dipolar phase,

$$S = \frac{1}{2} X (S_{k!}!^2 - d^2)_{k! k!}$$
 (2)

The dispersion of the collective mode is given by  $!_k$  =  $k^{\Gamma}$   $d=S_{k!}$ . When k! 0, this gives the linearly dispersing sound mode,  $!_{k}=k^{\Gamma}$   $d=C=v_{c}k$ , where  $C = S_{k=0; l=0}$  is the capacitance. Since the dipolar uid is a uniform Bose-Einstein condensate, the phase collective mode will have a roton minimum at a wavevector inversely proportional to the interparticle distance. 17,20 In the vicinity of this m in im um, for  $k' k_0$ , the dispersion will be gapped with  $l_k$  '  $r + (k k_0)^2 = 2m r$  where r is the energy gap at the roton m in im um and m r is the roton m ass. W e postulate, based on results for collective m ode dispersion in sim ilar system  $s_1^{17,21}$ , that as  $d=a_B$  increases the roton gap r is suppressed, re ecting the tendency towards a state with a broken translational symmetry with characteristic wavevector  $k_0 = (r_s a_B)^{1}$ . It follows (from the existence of a ring of roton modes which are softening) that the system "jumps" into Wigner supersolid phase via a rst-order phase transition, as it does in the case of liquid-to-solid transition in <sup>4</sup>H e under pressure. 22 Sim ilarly, we postulate that the roton gap remains nite when the crystalline order emerges, as it does in <sup>4</sup>He and in quantum Hallbilayers; the magnitude of the roton softening can only be addressed by a microscopic calculation. 17

How to detect a Wigner Supersolid? Observation of a W igner supersolid, with two nonzero order parameters, will require separate measurements of phase coherence and crystalline order. Let us consider how the observable properties change when we enter Wigner supersolid phase from the uniform dipolar super uid phase or the electronhole Wigner crystal phase. The uniform dipolar superuid phase is characterized by dissipationless counter ow currents proportional to the in-plane magnetic eld, increased exciton recombination rate, 5,6,7 and, because the excitons are delocalized, an enhanced interlayer tunneling conductance, 8 W hen the system becomes supersolid, the phase coherence will be manifest in enhanced recombination rate and dissipationless counter ow. However, since the excitons are localized, interlayer tunneling conductance will be suppressed compared to its value in the super uid phase. Since the exciton recombination in the W igner crystal is restricted to lattice sites, Fourier transform of spatially resolved photolum in escence will re ect the crystalline structure. The uncorrelated Wigner crystal phase is characterized by insulating behavior for inplane and interlayer transport, as well as phonons with dispersion  $!_p$  /  $k^{1=2}$  since the Coulomb interaction is  $V(r) = e^2 = r$ . When the two Wigner crystals become

phase coherent and the system becomes a supersolid, the in-plane transport will support dissipationless counterow , and the phonon dispersion will change to  $!_p\ /\ k^{3=2}$  since the dipolar interaction is  $V_d\left(r\right)=e^2d^2=r^3$ . In addition, the interlayer tunneling conductance will be enhanced compared to its value in the electron-hole W igner crystal state, due to increased recombination rate.

Thus, the existence of a W igner supersolid can be conmed by transport measurements (interlayer tunneling conductance, counter ow super uidity) and spatially resolved photolum inescence measurements.

Conclusion: We have shown, based on general principles, the existence of a supersolid phase in low-density electron-hole bilayers with moderate interlayer separations. Since the critical temperature for BEC in two dimensions is T = 0, we have focused on quantum phase transitions between possible ground states of this system in the absence of disorder; thus, our discussion is only applicable at low temperatures and to ideally pure system s. Experim ental observation of the proposed supersolid phase will require studying the e ects of disorder and nite temperature, which are beyond the scope of this note. We believe that at nite temperatures and in the presence of nite disorder, our proposed Wigner supersolid is likely to be a crossover phase with the strict supersolid existing only at T = 0. More theoretical work will be needed to address this question.

We have shown that experimental observation of the Wigner supersolid will require separate probes looking at the phase-coherence response and intralayer Wigner crystal response. Such a supersolid behavior should exhibit strong dependence on layer separation, tempera-

ture, and  $r_s$  since, as we have show p, the supersolid phase will only be stable in the region  $\frac{p}{r_s}$  d=a,  $r_s$ , at low tem peratures. A lthough the experimental observation of our proposed W igner supersolid may be dicult, we believe that its existence in electron-hole bilayers is a robust conclusion. The exact values of d=a, and  $r_s$  at which W igner supersolid is realized will have to be determined via M onte C arlo simulations. Wigner crystallization in electron-hole bilayers occurs  $r_s$  20 compared with  $r_s$  40 in the single-layer system and is thus energetically favorable in bilayer systems. This observation makes the case stronger for the existence of our proposed Wigner supersolid phase.

W igner supersolid of excitons in sem iconductors is a natural extension of the excitonic condensate, just as a supersolid is a natural extension of B ose-E instein condensate with repulsive interactions. 16,17,18,19 . It will be interesting to explore the consequences of a similar analysis in electron-electron (or hole-hole) bilayers where spontaneous interlayer phase coherence may exist in the absence of a magnetic eld, as well as in the case of quantum Hall bilayers . The veri cation (or falsi cation) of our proposed Wigner supersolid will further our understanding of the interplay between interparticle interactions and quantum statistics.

It is a pleasure to thank to A  $\rm H.M$  acD onald, S  $\rm M.G$  irvin and Jinwu Ye for useful conversations. This work was supported by USDOE through LDRD (AVB), and NSF and ONR (SDS). AVB and SDS are grateful to Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality at the earlier stages of the work on this paper.

L.V. Keldysh, in Bose-Einstein Condensation, edited by A.Grin, D.W. Snoke, and S. Stringari (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995) and reference therein.

Yu E. Lozovik and V J. Yudson, Pis'm a Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 22, 556 (1975) [JETP Lett. 22, 274 (1975)]; Solid State Commun. 19, 391 (1976); Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 71, 738 (1976) [Sov. Phys. JETP 44, 389 (1976)].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> T M . R ice, Solid State Phys. 32, 1 (1977); J.C. Hensel et al., ibid. 32, 88 (1977).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> S.I. Shevchenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3242 (1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> L.V. Butov, A.C. Gossard, and D.S. Chem la, Nature (London) 417, 47 (2002); ibid. 418, 751 (2002).

O . Snoke, S.D enew, Y. Liu, L.N.P fei er, and K.W. W est, Nature (London) 418, 754 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> C.W. Lai, J. Zoch, A.C. Gossard, and D.S. Chem la, Science 303, 503 (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> JP. Eisenstein and A.H. MacDonald, Nature (London) 432, 691 (2004); JP. Eisenstein, Science 305, 950 (2004) and references therein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> U. Sivan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1196 (1992); B.E. K ane et al., App. Phys. Lett. 65, 3266 (1994); S.V. ijendran et al., J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 17, 3226 (1999); M. Pohlt et al., App. Phys. Lett. 80, 2105 (2002).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 10}$  Z.Voros, R.Balili, D W . Snoke, L N . P fei er, and K W .

W est, Phys.Rev.Lett. 94, 226401 (2005); Z.Voros, D W. Snoke, L N. Pfei er, and K W. W est, Phys.Rev.Lett. 97, 016803 (2006); M. P. Lilly, private com munication.

E. Kim and M. H. W. Chan, Nature (London) 427, 225 (2004); Science, 305, 1941 (2004) and references therein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SDePalo, F.Rapisarda, and G.Senatore, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 206401 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> A.V.Balatsky, Y.N.Joglekar, and P.B.Littlewood, Phys. Rev.Lett. 93,266801 (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> B. Tanatar and D M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B 39, 5005 (1989).

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$  W e assume, without any loss of generality, that the electron-hole bilayer is described by a single  $r_{\rm s}$  parameter, i.e. the electrons and holes have the same band mass. We also assume that the exciton mass is the same as the carrier band mass. This does not change the qualitative form of the phase boundary.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 16}$  P.H artm ann et al., Europhys. Lett. 72, 396 (2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> SDe Palo, S. Conti, and S. Moroni, Phys. Rev. B 69, 035109 (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> V W . Scarola and S. Das Sama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 033003 (2005).

M. Boninsegni and N. Prokofev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 237204 (2005); D. Heidarian and K. Dam le, Phys. Rev.

- Lett. 95, 127206 (2005).
- R P. Feynm an, Statistical M echanics: A Set of Lectures (Addison W esley, New York, 1972).
- <sup>21</sup> H A . Fertig, Phys. Rev. B 40, 1087 (1989).
- P. Nozieres, J. Low. Temp. Phys. 137, 45 (2004); private communication.
- $^{23}\,$  L. Zheng, M  $\,$  W . O rtalano, and S.D as Samm a, Phys. Rev. B 55, 4506 (1997).
- E.Dem ler, C.Nayak, and S.Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1853 (2001).