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#### Abstract

W epresent an approxim ate calculation for the distribution ofthem axim um of a sm ooth stationary tem poral signal $X(t)$ ．A s an application，we com pute the persistence exponent associated to the probability that the process rem ains below a non－zero levelM．W hen $X(t)$ is a $G$ aussian process， our results are expressed explicitly in tem sof the two－tim e correlation function， $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{hX}(0) \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}$ ．


The problem of evaluating the distribution of the max － im um of a tim e－correlated random variable $X$（ $t$ ）has elicited a large body of work by mathem aticians［ī1，＂，＂，
 and experim entalists $[13,12,12,16,1]$ literature，this is related to the persistence problem，the probability that a tem poralsignalX（and hence itsm ax－ im um ）rem ains below a gíven levelM up to tim et．Per－ sistence properties have been $m$ easured in as di erent system $s$ as breath gures $\left.[1] 3_{1}^{1}\right]$ ，liquid crystals $\left.[1] 1\right]$ laser－ polarized X e gas［15］，uctuating steps on a S i surface ［1G］，or soap bubbles［17］．
$T$ he $m$ athem atical literature has $m$ ainly focused on evaluating $P_{<}(t)=P$ rob $\left(X\left(t^{0}\right)<M\right.$ ；$\left.t^{0} 2[0 ; t]\right)$ for $G$ aussian processes and for large $\mathbb{M} j$ a regim ewhere ef－
cient bounds or equivalent have been obtained $\overline{\underline{1}} \overline{1}$ 刓． Recently［3］${ }^{3}$ ，and for $G$ aussian processes only，a num eri－ calm ethod to obtain valuable bounds has been extended to allvalues of M ，although the required num ericale ort can becom e quite considerable for large $t$ ．

Physicists have also concentrated their attention to
 or exact description of actual physical processes．For in－ stance，the total m agnetization in a spin system［1］ or the height pro le of certain uctuating interfaces
 general $m$ ethods have been developed，focusing on the case $M=0$ ，which applies to $m$ any physical situations．
 process around the $M$ arkovian $G$ aussian process，which has been extended for sm all values of M ［⿴囗十力$]$ ．W ithin this $m$ ethod，only the large tim e asym ptotics of $P_{<}(t)$ is known，leading to the de nition of the persistence ex－ ponent（see below）．The altemative $m$ ethod，using the independent interval approxim ation［1］d］，gives very ac－ curate results for sm ooth processes，but is restricted to $\mathrm{M}=0$ ．

In addition，this problem has obvious applications in m any other applied and experim entalsciences，where one has to dealw ith data analysis of com plex statistical sig－ nals．For instance，statistical bounds of noisy signals are extrem ely useful for im age processing（for instance in m edical im aging or astrophysics［1］$[1]$ ，in order to obtain
cleaner im ages by correcting spurious bright or dark pix－
 the $m$ axim um of a correlated tem poral or spatial signal originating from experim ental noise．The sam equestion can arise $w$ hen the signal lives in a $m$ ore abstract space． For instance，in the context of genetic cartography，sta－ tisticalm ethods to evaluate the maxim um of a com plex signal has been exploited to identify putative quantita－ tive trait loci［1］］．Finally，this sam e problem arises in econophysics or nance，where the probability for a gen－ erally strongly correlated nancialsignal to rem ain below or above a certain level is of great concem．

O ne considers a general（i．e．not necessarily $G$ aus sian）stationary process $X(t)$ of distribution $g(X)$ ，and zero $m$ ean．The process is assum ed to be $\backslash$ sm ooth＂，so that its velocity $X^{0}(t)$ is continuous，ensuring that the num ber of tim es $N(t)$ ，where $X=M$ ，rem ains nite for any bounded tim e interval［ $0 ; t$ ］．For a given levelM，one de nes as the average tem poral interval betw een two crossings of the level $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{M}$ ．O ne also introduces P （ t ） （respectively $P_{+}(t)$ ）as the distribution of tim e intervals during which X （ t ） M （resp． X （ t ） M ）．The average of $P$（ $t$ ）are denoted by ．F inally，one de nes $P<(t)$ （resp．P＞（t））as the probability，starting at X（ 0 ）＜M （resp．X $(0)>M$ ），that the process $X$ rem ains below the levelM（resp．above the levelM）up to timet．T he distribution of the $m$ axim um（resp．$m$ inim um）of the process $X(t)$ in the interval $[0 ; t]$ is clearly the derivative of $\mathrm{P}_{<}(\mathrm{t})$（resp． $\mathrm{P}>(\mathrm{t})$ ） w ith respect to M ．The di culty of obtaining analytic form $s$ for the above quantities lies in the fact that pow erfiulm ethods like the Fokker－P lanck approach are useless fornon -M arkovian processes．In this letter，we obtain closed expressions for $\mathrm{P}<(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{P}>(\mathrm{t})$ ，and $P$（ $t$ ）from a m inim al know ledge of the statistical prop－ erties of the process X．This is achieved by analyzing the tra jectories of $X$ and using the sole assum ption that the lengths of the intervals betw een successive crossings of the levelM are uncorrelated．

Let us assum e that one knows the tw o follow ing quan－ tities $A(t)$ and $N$＜（ $t$ ）from experim ent，num erical sim $u$－ lations，or even analytically：A（ $t$ ）is the autocorrelation function of $M \quad X(t)]$（ is $H$ eaviside＇s function），

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)=h \mathbb{M} \quad X(t)] \mathbb{M} \quad X(0)] i ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N$ < $(t)$ is the average num ber of crossings at levelM up to timet, averaged over the starting position X (0) < M. For large tim e, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
N<(t) \quad N(t)=\frac{t}{-} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
N(t)=\int_{0}^{Z} X^{0}\left(t^{0}\right) j\left(X\left(t^{0}\right) \quad M\right) d t^{0}:
$$

If the process is smooth, $X^{0}\left(t^{0}\right)$ is not correlated with $X\left(t^{0}\right)$, and using stationarity, we nd $N(t)=$ $t$ hjx ${ }^{0}(t)$ f̈h ( X ( t ) M )i, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{1}=g(M) h \not X^{0}(t) \ddot{\mu}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, $\mathrm{N}>(\mathrm{t})$, the average num ber of crossings at levelM up to timet, starting from $X(0)>M$, satis es the sum rule

$$
G(M) N<(t)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & G(M)) N>(t)=\frac{t}{-} ; ~ \tag{5}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $G(M)=R_{1} g(x) d x$. N ote that are sim ply related to $=\frac{++}{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 G(M) ; \quad+=2(1 \quad G(M)): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing, we obtain closed form $s$ for $P_{<}(t)$, $P_{>}(t)$, and $P(t)$ from the know ledge of $A(t)$ and $N<(t)$, for any levelM. W hen X is a G aussian process of correlator $f(t)$, we shall see later that A $(t), N<(t), N\rangle(t)$, and can be explicitly w ritten in term soff. $H$ ence, the $m$ inim al know ledge of the two-tim e correlation function of a G aussian process w ill grant access to the yet unknow $n$ quantities $P<(t), P>(t)$, and $P \quad(t)$. H ow ever, the present approach has a wider range of applications and does not rely on the G aussian property of the process.

O ur central approxim ation consists in assum ing that the interval length between crossings are uncorrelated [ $\left[\right.$ Ol' ${ }^{-1}$. The probability $P_{<}(\mathbb{N} ; t)$, starting from $X(0)<M$, that there are exactly N crossings in the interval $[0 ; t]$, can then be written, for odd $N=2 n \quad 1(n \quad 1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P<\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 n & 1 ;
\end{array}\right)={ }_{1} R_{0}^{R_{t}} d t_{1} Q \quad\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& { }_{R_{t}}^{t_{1}}{ }_{R_{t}} d t_{2} P+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t_{2} & t_{1}
\end{array}\right)_{t_{2}}^{R_{t}} d t_{3} P \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t_{3} & t_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{t}_{2 n} & 3
\end{array} d t_{2 n} \quad 2 P_{+}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
t_{2 n} & 2 & t_{2 n} & 3
\end{array}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where Q $\quad(t)={ }_{t}^{R_{+1}} P \quad\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}$ is the probability that a interval is larger than $t$. For even $N=2 n\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1) \text {, one }\end{array}\right.$ obtains a sim ilar expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{t} P_{<}(2 n ; t)={ }_{1} R_{t} R_{0} d t_{1} Q \quad\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& \left.R_{t}^{R_{1}} \begin{array}{lllll}
t_{1} t_{2} P+\left(\begin{array}{llll}
t_{2} & t_{1}
\end{array}\right)_{t}^{R_{2}} d t_{3} P & \left(t_{3}\right. & t_{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

For any function of time $F$ ( $t$ ) introduced in this letter, one de nes its Laplace transform $\hat{R^{\prime}(s)}=$ $0_{0}^{R_{+1}} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{e}^{\text {st }} \mathrm{dt}$. T he convolution products in Eqs. (7, (1, take a $m$ uch sim pler form in the Laplace variable $s$

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{P_{<}}(2 n \quad 1 ; s) & \left.={ }^{1} \hat{Q}+\hat{Q} \hat{P_{+}} \hat{P^{\prime}}\right]^{1} ;  \tag{9}\\
\hat{P_{<}}(2 n ; s) & \left.={ }^{1} \hat{Q}^{2} P+\hat{P^{2}} \hat{P^{n}}\right]^{n} ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{Q} \quad(s)=\frac{1 \hat{P^{\wedge}}(s)}{s}$. O ne can now express the conservation of probability, $\mathrm{P}_{<}(\mathrm{t})+{ }_{\mathrm{N}=1}^{+1} \mathrm{P}_{<}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{t})=1$; which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P_{<}}(s)=\frac{1}{s} \quad \frac{1 \hat{P^{\prime}}(s)}{s^{2}}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, E q. (121) is an exact relation, which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{<}(t)=1_{t}^{Z+1}\left(t^{0} \quad t\right) P \quad\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the tim e variable. Indeed, if X ( t ) has not crossed the levelM up totimet, itbelongsto a intervalofduration $t^{0}>t$, starting at an initialposition uniform ly distributed betw een 0 and $t^{0} \quad t$. $N$ ote that $\hat{P_{>}}(s)$ and $\hat{P_{>}}(\mathbb{N} ; s)$ are
 ing the indices and + .

N ow , $\hat{P}$ (s) can be calculated by expressing the known quantities $\hat{A}(s)$ and $\hat{N}<(s)$ as a function of $\hat{P} \quad(s)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{N_{<}}(s)=\frac{\left(1+\hat{P_{+}}\right)\left(1 \hat{P^{\prime}}\right)}{s^{2}\left(1 \hat{P_{+}} \hat{P}\right)_{\#}} \\
\hat{\#}(s)=G(M) \frac{1}{s} \frac{1 \hat{P_{+}}}{1+\hat{P_{+}}}<(s): \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing} \hat{P}^{0}(0)=\quad$ and Eq. $\left(\overline{\sigma_{i}}\right)$, one obtains the follow ing estim ates, valid for sm all $\overline{\mathrm{s}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N^{2}}<(s) \quad \frac{1}{s^{2}} ; \hat{A}(s) \quad \frac{G^{2}(M)}{s}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst expression in Eq. (1, (1) whereas the second relation expresses that for large $t$, A (t) $\quad G^{2}(M)$. For large $s, \hat{N}_{<}(s) \quad\left[2 G(M) s^{2}\right]^{1}$, which corresponds to the sm all tim e behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
N<(t) \frac{t}{2 G(M)} ; \quad N>(t) \frac{t}{2(1 G(M))}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $G(M) \frac{1}{2}$ (i.e. $M \in 0$, when $g(X)$ is sym $m$ etric), Eq. (1-1) di ens from the large tim e asym ptotics given by Eq. (2). H ow ever, the sum rule of E . ( (G) is preserved by the sm all tim e estim ates of Eq. (1- (1). Finally, w riting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{M}) \mathrm{sA}(\mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{M}) \mathrm{sN}<(\mathrm{s})} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using Eqs. (13) , the interval distributions read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathrm{P}_{+}}(\mathrm{s})=\frac{1 \hat{\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}(\mathrm{s})}}{1+\hat{\mathrm{F}^{(s)}}} \text {; }  \tag{18}\\
& \hat{P^{\prime}}(s)=\frac{2}{2} \quad s^{2} N^{2}<(s)\left(1+\hat{F^{\prime}}(s)\right), \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting these expressions ofP in Eq. (1] $\overline{1}$ ), one obtains our nal result for P < (and P > ), from the sole know ledge of $A(t)$ and $N<(t)(o r N>(t))$.

The persistence exponent is de ned as the asym p totic decay rate of $\mathrm{P}_{<}(\mathrm{t})$ e ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}$. The term lexponent" arises from the fact that in $m$ any physical system $s$
 of interest is stationary in the variable $t=\ln T$, where $T$ is the actual physical tim e. T hus the persistence decays as a power law $\mathrm{P}_{<}(\mathrm{T}) \quad \mathrm{T}$, as a function of the real time T (see below for practical exam ples). W thin our approach, is the rst pole of $\hat{\mathrm{P}_{<}}$(s) (or equivalently
 one nds that satis es the implicit equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\mathbb{M})[1+N<(\quad)]+{ }^{2} A(\quad)={ }^{1}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen M is large, goes to zero, and Eq. (20) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad 1=(2 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{M}))^{1}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a G aussian process, the sam e expression w as obtained
 the intervaldistributions are found to becom eP oissonian. W e con jecture that the present approach becom es exact for large M, the intervals being so large that the + intervals are indeed uncorrelated.

Let us move on to the case where X ( t ) is a stationary $G$ aussian process. The properties of $X(t)$ (and hence $A(t)$ and $N<(t)$ ) are com pletely determ ined by the sole know ledge of its tw o-tim e correlator $f(t)=h X(0) X(t) i$. For a general process, this connection is only approxi$m$ ate and can only be $m$ ade by $m$ eans of the IIA $\left.{ }_{[20}^{2} 0^{\prime}\right]$. For convenience, we set hX ${ }^{2}(t) i=f(0)=1$. The process is $s m$ ooth iff is tw ioe di erentiable. $W$ e also assum e that for large tim e $t$, the correlator $f(t)$ decays fast enough so that $R_{0}^{R_{1}} f(t) d t$ is nite $\left.\bar{R}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{1}_{2}^{\prime}\right]_{1}^{\prime}$. For $t>0$, the positionvelocity correlator is $h X(0) X^{0}(t) i=f^{0}(t)$, which vanishes for $t=0$, since $f(t)$ is an even function, tw ice di erentiable at $t=0$. The velocity-velocity correlation function is $h X^{0}(0) X^{0}(t) i=f^{\infty}(t)$. The m ean tim e interval betw een crossings is com puted using Eq. (4َ)

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{1}=\underline{\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{f}^{\infty}(0)}} e^{\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2}}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a $G$ aussian process, $A(t)$ has been derived in $\left.\left.{ }^{2}\right]_{1}^{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)=Z_{1}^{Z_{M}} g(x) G \int_{P}^{M} \frac{x f(t)}{1 f^{2}(t)} \quad d x: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large tim e, so that $f(t)$ is small, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)=G^{2}(M)+\frac{f(t)}{2} e^{M^{2}}+O\left(f^{2}(t)\right): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $N_{<}(t)$ can be calculated after introducing the correlation $m$ atrix of the $G$ aussian vector (X (t); $\left.\mathrm{X}(0) ; \mathrm{X}^{0}(\mathrm{t})\right)$, which reads

$$
C(t)=\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & f(t) & 0 & 1  \tag{25}\\
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}) & 1 & f^{0}(t) & A \\
& 0 & f^{0}(t) & f^{\infty}(0)
\end{array}
$$

O ne nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
N<(t)=G^{1}(M) \int_{0}^{Z} h X^{0}\left(t^{0}\right) \ddot{i}<d t^{0} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h \mathrm{x}^{0}(\mathrm{t}) \ddot{\mathrm{H}}$ < is the average of the velocity m odulus, know ing that $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{M}$, and averaged over $\mathrm{X}(0)<\mathrm{M}$ :
where $U=\left(M ; x_{0} ; v\right)$. In practice, this integralhas to be com puted num erically, but can be reduced to a cum bersom e one-dim ensional integral over $x_{0}$, involving $g$ and G. For large tim e or sm all $f(t)$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \overrightarrow{x^{0}}(t) \ddot{\mathfrak{j}} \quad \quad \quad \frac{1}{G}=\frac{M g(M)}{G(M)} f(t)+O\left(f^{2}(t)\right): \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that for num erical purposes, the Laplace transform of $N$ < ( $t$ ) can be e ciently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{<}(s)=\frac{1}{s}_{0}^{Z+1} \quad h X^{0}(t) \ddot{j}<\quad \underline{1} e^{s t} d t+\frac{1}{s^{2}}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The various analytical asym ptotic form s obtained above can be usefiul to com plem ent the partial know ledge of $A(t)$ and $N<(t)$ from a partial experim entalor num erical sam pling of the process $X$ ( $t$ ) $\left[{ }^{2} 0_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.

A s an application, Table 'i reports the theoretical and num ericalvalues (the latter ōbtained by direct sim ulation of the tem poral process) of the persistence exponent for $M=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3$ and for the two $G$ aussian processes $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ associated to the correlators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{\cosh \frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}} ;  \tag{30}\\
& \mathrm{f}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{2} 3 e^{\frac{j \mathrm{j} j}{2}} e^{\frac{3 j \mathrm{jj}}{2}}: \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ are two exam ples of non -M arkovian G aussian processes arising from physical system s. Indeed, up to a multiplicative term, $X_{1}(t)$ can be show $n$ to be equal to $(x ; T)$ (at some arbitrary position $x$ ), where the density eld $(x ; T)$ evolves according to the twodim ensional di usion or heat equation $\left.[1] 0_{1}^{1}\right] \frac{\varrho}{\varrho T}=r^{2}$;

| M | ${ }_{1}^{\text {th }}$ |  | ${\underset{1}{\mathrm{sim}}}^{\text {and }}$ |  | ${ }_{2}^{\text {th }}$ |  | $2_{2}^{\text {sim }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0:18 |  | 0.188 |  | 02 |  | 1/ |  |
| 1 | 5:914 | $10^{2}$ | 5:91(1) | $10^{2}$ | 8:625 | $10^{2}$ | 8:31 (4) | $10^{2}$ |
| 2 | 1:084 | $10^{2}$ | 1:09 (1) | $10^{2}$ | 1:665 | $10^{2}$ | 1:61 (2) | $10^{2}$ |
| 3 | 8:769 | $10^{4}$ | 8:77 (2) | $10^{4}$ | 1:420 | $10^{3}$ | 1:42 (1) | $10^{3}$ |

TABLE I: Exponents from theory ( ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) and sim ulations ( ${ }^{\text {sim }}$ ), for di erent values of $M$, calculated for the processes $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ introduced in the text. For $M=0$, the three rst results were rst reported in [101], while the exact value $2(\mathrm{M}=0)=\frac{1}{4}$ was obtained in [22 $\left.2^{+}\right]^{-}$.
starting from an arbitrary (although not too strongly correlated) initial condition. Here, the actual tim e T is again related to our stationary time $t$ by the relation $t=\ln T . N$ ote that the out of equilibrium dynam ics of a tw o-dim ensional Ising $m$ odel after a quench at a tem perature $T_{0}<T_{c}$ (where $T_{c}$ is the ferrom agnetic critical tem perature) can be approxim ately $m$ apped to this problem $\left[10_{1}^{\prime}, 21_{2}^{1}\right]$, by assim ilating the spin $S(x ; T)=1$ to $S(x ; T)=\operatorname{sign}[(x ; T)] . W e$ nd that the theoretical values are w thin the num erical error bars, except $m$ aybe for $M=0$. O verall, the accuracy is better than 1\%. In addition, the asym ptotic result of Eq. (211 ) already leads to fair estim ates for $M=2$ and $M=3$ $\left({ }_{1}(M=2)=1: 102 \quad 10^{2}\right.$ and $\left.{ }_{1}(M=3)=8: 852 \quad 10^{4}\right)$. O ur theoretical and num erical results are also consistent $w$ th the num erical bounds com puted in $\left[\mathbb{\beta}_{1}\right]$, for $\mathrm{M}=1$ and $\mathrm{M}=2(0: 0586<1(\mathrm{M}=1)<0: 0684$ and $0: 0106<1(M=2)<0: 0119)$.

As for $X_{2}$, it is associated $w$ ith the random acceleration process [ [2] $2,1, \frac{d^{2} x}{\mathrm{~T}^{2}}=(T)$, where $(T)$ is a correlated white noise, in the variable $T=e^{t}$. C ontrary to $X_{1}$, the process $X_{2}$ is not in nitely di erentiable ( $f_{2}^{\infty}(t)$ is not de ned at $t=0$ ), although it is just sm ooth enough for the present approach to be applicable. H ence, it is not sunprising that the theoretical results are not as good as for the process $X_{1}$. H ow ever, the theoretical estim ates are clearly becom ing $m$ ore accurate as $M$ increases, and presum ably exact for large M.

In conclusion, the present work develops a powerful approxim ation leading to explicit expressions for the Laplace transform of the probability to rem ain above or below a certain levelM (and hence the distribution of the $m$ inim um or $m$ axim um of the process). This approach also gives the distribution of tim e intervals during which the process rem ains above or below $M$, and leads to the determ ination of the persistence exponent.
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