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#### Abstract

W e show that $m$ any hydrodynam ical properties of the BEC/BCS crossover in the presence of a Feshbach resonance at $T=0$ can be derived easily from the derivative expansion of the (exact) fully renorm alized one-loop e ective action. In particular, we calculate the velocity of sound throughout the BCS and BEC regim es and derive the generalized super uid continuity equations for the com posite two - uid system.


PACS num bers: $03.70 .+\mathrm{k}, 05.70 \mathrm{Fh}, 03.65 . \mathrm{Yz}$

As the strength of ferm ionic pairing increases in cold alkali atom $s$ there is a continuous evolution from the BC S-like behavior of C ooper pairs to B ose $E$ instein $C$ ondensation (BEC) of molecules. This crossover phenom enon becom es controllable experim entally [1] when the atom s interact through a Feshbach resonance [2, 3, [4, [5, 6, 7]. In both regim es, condensation is a consequence of global $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry breaking $w$ ith a concom itant gapless $G$ oldstone $m$ ode (the phonon).

A though the s-w ave scattering length diverges at the crossover, the speed ofsound changes sm oothly, as do the hydrodynam icalproperties of the condensate. Them ain result of this letter is to show that, at $T=0$, all of these can be determ ined straightforw ardly from the derivative expansion of the exact, fully renom alized one-loop e ective action, in the spirit of [7], sidestepping the m ore com plicated derivationsbased on a fullm ulti-channelanalysis (e.g. see [4]).

Consider a condensate comprising a mixture of ferm ionic atom $s$ and $m$ olecular bosons. The ferm ions
(x), with spin $=(" ; \#)$, undergo self-interaction through an swave BCS-type term . In addition, two ferm ions can be bound into a molecular boson ( x ) through a Feshbach resonance e ect. To exem plify our $m$ ethod, we take the Lagrangian density to be [2, 3] (U > 0,g xed)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L={\underset{n}{\text { n }} \mathrm{\#}}_{X} \quad(x) \quad i @_{t}+\frac{r^{2}}{2 m}+ \\
& +\mathrm{U} \quad{ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \text { \# }(\mathrm{x}) \quad \text { \# }(\mathrm{x}) \quad "(\mathrm{x}) \\
& +\quad(x) \quad i @_{t}+\frac{r^{2}}{2 M}+2 \\
& \mathrm{~g} \quad(\mathrm{x}) \quad \#(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})+(\mathrm{x}) \quad \#(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x}):(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Them ass ofthe bosons is tw ice that of the ferm ions, $\mathrm{M}=$ 2 m . The kinetic energy of the ferm ions is $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$
and the kinetic energy of the bosons is $\mathrm{k}^{2}=2 \mathrm{M}+\quad$, where
is the threshold energy of the Feshbach resonance. To dem onstrate the $m$ ethod we restrict ourselves to a narrow resonance approxim ation [2, 3] w here quantum loop e ects from them olecularboson can be safely ignored 7], although this is often an idealization. The e ective fourferm ion interaction is determ ined by the value of , which is controllable by an extemalm agnetic eld. On tuning the eld, the condensate can be varied in form from BCS C ooper pairs to BEC m olecules as the scattering length changes sign.

On introducing the auxiliary eld (x) = U \# $(x)$ " ( x ), a Hubbard-Stratonovich transform ation leads to an e ective Lagrangian density, to which the ferm ionic contribution is ${ }^{y}(x) G^{1} \quad(x)$, where $(x)$ is the $N$ am bu spinor, ${ }^{y}(x)=(\stackrel{y}{n} ; \#)$, and $G^{1}$ is the inverse $N$ am bu $G$ reen function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1}=\underset{\sim}{i @_{t}} \underset{(x)}{\|} \quad \underset{\sim}{\sim}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with " $=\frac{r^{2}}{2 m} \quad$. The combined condensate of the theory is ${ }^{\sim}(x)$, given in term $s$ of the biferm ion and m olecular condensates and as $\sim(x)=(x)$ $g(x)$ : The gapless $m$ ode of the theory is encoded in the phases of $(x)$ and $(x)$ for which we write $(x)=$ $j(x) j e^{i}{ }^{(x)} ; \quad(x)=j(x) j e^{(x)}$ : The amplitude and phase of $\sim^{\sim}(x)=j^{\sim}(x) j e^{i \sim(x)}$ can be determ ined from those of $(x)$ and $(x)$ by its de nition above. W e now perform a $U$ (1) gauge transform ation on the ferm ion eld $(x)=e^{i \sim(x)=2} \quad(x)$. Integrating out $(x)$ leads to a non-locale ective action $S_{\mathrm{e}}$ [ ; ; ; ]. The action possesses a $U$ (1) invariance under the phase change ! + and ! + (or $\sim!\sim+$ ). This sym m etry is spontaneously broken when and respectively acquire the non-vanishing constant values 0 and 0 determ ined by the gap equations obtained
from extrem izing the e ective action. In these, $j^{\sim}{ }_{0} j=$ joj+ j gojsatis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{U_{e}}=\frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $U_{e}=U+g^{2}=(2)$ and $E_{p}=\left({ }_{p}^{2}+j \sim{ }_{0} f\right)^{1=2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \circ j=\frac{g}{2} \frac{j^{\sim}{ }_{0} j}{U_{e}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $m$ icroscopic theory under consideration is $G$ alilean invariant, any e ective theory derived from it $m$ ust respect this sym $m$ etry [8]. C onsider the dynam ics of the phonon carried in the angular variables. To preserve $G$ alilean invariance at each step, the variations in the condensate $m$ agnitudes can be written as $j j=j 0 j+j j j j=j 0 j+j j$ [ $, 10,11]$. We assume that terms in $\tilde{j} j \quad j j$ and $(\quad)^{2}$ are of the sam e order in their de ning equation, although and are large variables. W e now use the fact that $e^{i}{ }^{3} \sim(x)=2 G^{1} e^{i}{ }^{3} \sim(x)=2=G_{0}{ }^{1} \quad$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left(\mathrm{ir}^{2} \sim=4 \mathrm{~m}+(\mathrm{r} \sim)(\text { ir })=2 \mathrm{~m}\right) \mathrm{I}  \tag{5}\\
& +\left(-=2+(r \sim)^{2}=8 \mathrm{~m}\right) 3 \quad \text { J̃j } 1 ;
\end{align*}
$$

 free inverse $N$ am bu $G$ reen function $w$ ith the sam $e$ form as $G^{1}$ in Eq. (2) , except that ${ }^{\sim}(x)$ is replaced by $j^{\sim}{ }_{0} j$. $S_{e}[$; ; ; ] then perm its the derivative expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{e} & =\quad \operatorname{iTrun}\left(G_{0}{ }^{1}\right)+i \operatorname{iTr} r_{n=1}^{x^{4}} \frac{\left(G_{0}\right)^{n}}{n} \quad Z \\
& d^{4} x \frac{j j^{2}}{U} \\
& +d^{4} x \quad(x) \quad i @_{t}+\frac{r^{2}}{2 M}+2
\end{aligned}
$$

the rst two term s of which are no m ore than the expansion of $\operatorname{ircn}\left(\mathrm{G}^{1}\right)$. For our purposes it is sufcient to truncate the sum in $n$ at second order, to yield an action $S_{e}^{(2)}[j ; j$ j j ; ~ $]$ after elim inating
in favor of $\sim$, and in terms of $\sim$, on using ( ~ ) ( $\left.j_{0} 0 j^{\sim} j\right)(\quad)$ D iagram $m$ atically, this am ounts to taking account of ferm ionic one-loop e ects in the e ective action.
$T$ he action of the gapless phonon $m$ ode is that part of the quadratic contribution to $S_{e}^{(2)}$ in which the derivatives of j jand J̃jare om itted. A fter straightforw ard $m$ anipulations this takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\text {phonon }}=\mathrm{Z}^{4} \mathrm{X} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{4} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \quad \frac{1}{8 m}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{r} \quad \sim)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{8 m}{ }_{0}^{B}(\mathrm{r} \quad)^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{2}{ }^{2}(\sim \quad)^{2} \quad 2 j o j j j-\quad=\quad \tilde{j} \\
& +2 \quad \frac{U_{e}}{U}\left(j j^{2}+\frac{2 g}{U}\right. \text { Jjj j j } \\
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{U} \quad\left(\mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{J}}\right)^{2} \text { : } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

This lends itself to a very sim ple m echanicalpicture of a coupled 'wheel' and 'axle'. The radius of the wheel is
 values $j^{\sim} 0 j$ and $j \quad g o j w i t h$ angles ofdisplacem ent $\sim$, , respectively. There is slippage betw een the wheel and axle w ith an elastic restoring forøe ${ }^{2}=(2 g=U) j \circ j^{2}{ }_{0} j$. $T$ he ferm ion num ber density at $n=1$ is

$$
0=\begin{align*}
& F  \tag{8}\\
& 0
\end{aligned}+\begin{aligned}
& B \\
& 0
\end{align*} ;
$$

where ${ }_{0}^{F}={ }^{R} d^{3} p=(2)^{3} \quad\left[1 \quad{ }_{p}=E_{p}\right]$ is the explicit ferm ion density, and ${ }_{0}^{B}=2 j 0{ }_{j}^{j}$ is due to $m$ olecules (tw o ferm ions per molecule). The other §oe cients are straightforw andly derived as $\mathrm{N}=$ $d^{3} p=\left(2_{R}\right)^{3}\left(j^{\sim}{ }_{0} \jmath^{2}=2 E_{p}^{3}\right) ; \quad=d^{3} p=(2)^{3}\left(j^{\sim} 0_{0} J_{p}=2 E_{p}^{3}\right)$; and $=d^{3} p=(2)^{3}\left({ }_{p}^{2}=E_{p}^{3}\right)$ :

A further straightforw ard eigenvalue calculation gives the long wavelength dispersion relation for the phonon as $!^{2}=v^{2} \widetilde{k}^{2}+O\left(k^{4}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}=\frac{0=2 m}{N+A=B} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& A={ }^{2}\left(2 \quad \underset{U}{\frac{U}{U}} \quad 2 \text { joj } \frac{2 g}{U} \quad 2 j_{0} j^{2} \frac{2}{U} \quad ;\right. \\
& B=\frac{g}{U}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{U} \quad\left(2 \quad \frac{U_{e}}{U}:\right. \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that $v$ is independent of the slippage strength $\approx 0$.
In fact, is $U V$-singular, as are $U_{e f f} ; U$ and $g$ from the gap equations (3) and (4). Renorm alization is $i m$ plem ented by de ning the renorm alized coupling $U_{e}$ in term $s$ of the $s$-w ave scattering length $a_{s}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{N_{0}}{k_{F} a_{s}} & =\frac{1}{U_{e}}=\frac{1}{U_{e}} \quad Z \quad \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{2 p} \\
& =\frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{2 E_{p}} \frac{1}{2 p} ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{F}$ is the Ferm im om entum and is a UV cuto. $U V$ - nite renom alized couplings $U$ and $g$ are de ned sim ilarly in the lim it ! 1 ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{U}=\frac{1}{U} \quad Z \quad \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{2 p} ; \frac{1}{g}=\frac{1}{g} \quad \frac{U}{g}^{Z} \quad \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{2 p}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In tum, we now de ne a renorm alized threshold energy through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{U}+\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{2}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The outcom e of this renorm alization is that $S_{\text {phonon }}$ of (7) and the gap equation for the condensate (4) are rendered UV nite term by term by replacing the unrenorm alized


FIG. 1: The behavior of the sound velocity $v$ throughout $B E C$ and BCS regim es as a function of the threshold energy
. W e choose $\mathrm{U}=7: 54 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{g}=4: 62_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{3=2}$ as an exam ple [12]. T he dotted line is obtained $w$ ith the approxim ate solution of the sound velocity, Eq.(15) for the BEC regim e and Eq.(14) for the BCS regim e. T he lower inset show s how the scattering length $a_{s}$ varies from BEC to BCS as the threshold energy increases, while the upper inset reveals the evolution of the ferm ion density ${ }_{0}^{F}$ (solid line) and the m olecule density ${ }_{0}^{B}$ (dotted line).
$\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}$; g ; ; by their renorm alized counterparts. H enceforth we drop the overbars for sim plicity, and understand all quantities in (9) as renorm alized. N ecessarily, the renorm alization prescription we propose abovem akes not only the gap equations free ofU V -divergence, but also the sound velocity. T hus, com bining the renorm alized equations (4) and (11) w ith the num ber equation (8) allow sus to study the behavior of the condensate num erically w hen the threshold energy varies so that the scattering length goes from $a_{s}!0^{+}$, the deep BEC regim e, to $a_{s}!0$, that of deep BCS, through a BCS/BEC crossover. This is show $n$ in the inset in $F$ ig.(1) [6, 12]. Solving rst for the chem ical potential as a function of the threshold energy , the sound velocity (9) can be com puted, as depicted in the $m$ ain $F$ ig. (1), in agreem ent with [13].

To understand the num ericalbehavior show $n$ in $F$ ig. (1) we evaluate $v^{2}$ analytically in both deep BCS and BEC regim es. In the deep BCS regim e, there is considerable simpli cation as all m om entum integrals are dom inated by the k m odes near the Ferm i surface. In this $\lim$ 止, $j \circ j 0$, where few $m$ olecules are present, and 0 , the ignorable am plitude-phase coupling due to the particlehole sym $m$ etry near the Ferm i surface. W e obtain $A=B \quad N$, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}, \frac{0}{2 \mathrm{mN}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ th the total ferm ion density $0=k_{F}^{3}=3^{2}$ and $N$ $N_{0}=m k_{F}=2^{2}$, the ferm ion density of states at the Ferm i surface, the sound velocity is given by $v^{2}, V_{F}^{2}=3$. $T$ his is the result we obtain from conventionalBCS theory with no Feshbach resonance (e.g. see [9]), for which $g=0$ and $j_{0} j=0$.

On the other hand, in the deep BEC lim it U $g^{2}=j \quad 2$ juhich gives rise to $j \quad 2 j^{2}{ }_{0}=k_{F} a_{s}$, as obtained from $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}$. To m aintain this relation for sm all $a_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{U}$ cannot be too large. From Eqs. (10), the sound velocity $v^{2}$ can be approxim ated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}, \frac{1}{8 m} \frac{j^{\sim} 0 j}{j j} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in this deep BEC regim e as a result of $A=B \quad N$. We nd that $j 0 j$ increases as goes increasingly negative, while the com bined conden sate $j^{\sim} 0 j$ jecreases to keep the number of ferm ions xed. A s a result j~of' $\mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{f}$ ' $\left(g^{2}=2\right)$ o for largely negative (i.e. $\left.a_{s}!0^{+}\right)$when all ferm ions are in the form ofm olecules as seen in the inset in $F$ ig. (1). Thus, the behavior of $v^{2}$, which is found to approach zero at $s m$ all $a_{s}$, is now determ ined by how the chem ical potential increases negatively as $a_{s}$ deceases.

In the centralregion, the behavior is sm ooth across the 'unitarity lim it' $^{\prime}$ at $\dot{a}_{s} j$ ! 1 when $=2$. In this case, the gap and num ber equations are reduced to involving only one param eter $g$ in the one-channel form ulation of Ref. [14]. If $g$ is large, 'universalbehavior' is found where $v^{2} \quad 0.2 v_{F}^{2}$. N evertheless, the renorm alization of the $m$ olecular boson is expected to contribute sizable corrections to the sound velocity obtained above in such a strongly coupled regim e [15].

M ore generally, the BEC /BCS system perm its a hydrodynam ic interpretation as a two-com ponent super uid. $T$ he crucial ingredient is the $G$ alilean invariance of the derivative expansion. It is not di cult to rederive the relevant angular parts of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(2)}$ from $\mathrm{S}_{\text {phonon }}$. W e restore $G$ alilean invariance by the substitutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
-!-+\frac{(r)^{2}}{4 m} ; \frac{(r)^{2}}{4 m}!-+\frac{(r)^{2}}{4 m} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for both phase angles.
Taking the variation of $S_{e}^{(2)}$ with respect to the condensate phase ~ leads to a single $m$ ean- eld equation of $m$ otion which can be rew ritten in term $s$ of the explicit ferm ion number density $F$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}_{F}+r_{F j} \quad 2^{2}(\sim \quad)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
and $\dot{F}=\mathrm{Fr} \sim=2 \mathrm{~m}$. There is a sim ilar equation for the ferm ion num ber density due to $m$ olecules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}{ }_{B}+r{ }_{B} j+2^{2}(\sim \quad)=0 ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (to low est order)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}={ }_{\mathrm{B}}^{0}+4 j 0 j=2 j \hat{j} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\dot{B}_{B}={ }_{\mathrm{B}} r=4 \mathrm{~m}$. Putting these together gives the continuity equation for total ferm ion num ber

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}+r \quad j=0 ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

describing a coupled two-com ponent super uid, where
$=F+B$ and $j=\dot{F}+\dot{B}$. The behaviour of the $m$ ean explicit and $m$ olecular ferm ion num ber densities ${ }_{F}^{0}$ and ${ }_{B}^{0}$ as varies is given in the inset of Fig.1.
O urearlierde nitionsgive ${ }^{2}$ (~) / jo方 0 j (
), show ing that the coupling between the super uid com ponents is due to the di erence in the phase of the ferm ionic pairs and the molecular eld. It vanishes in both the deep BCS and BEC regim es, when jojand jojtend to zero respectively. Further, with $F \quad$ B and ${ }^{j} j \quad j \quad j_{B} j$ in the deep BCS regim $e$ and $F \quad B$ and $\ddot{j}_{j} j \quad \ddot{j}_{B} j$ in the deep BEC regime, the system is described by a single uid in each case. Away from these extrem es the situation gets $m$ ore com plicated, $w$ ith the coupling strongest in the transition regim $e$, but still tractable for vortiges $w$ th phase coupling $=$, whose properties w ill.be pursued elsew here.

Finally, we brie y consider $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii ( $G P$ ) equations in the BEC regim e. (For the BCS regim e the results of [9] can be simply extended to $g(0)$. The relationship of super uid equations to GP equations is well established, in principle. To each ( ; j) pair there is allocated a com plex GP (or non-linear Shroedinger) eld . In our case the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii elds underlying (17) and (19) are $F=P \frac{F_{F}}{\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{i}} \sim=} \overline{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}=$ $\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{B}}{} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{P} 2$. A though, in general, F has the phase of the com bined condensate, but the $m$ agnitude due to the explicit ferm ion density only, it happens that, in the BEC regime,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{F} \jmath^{2}=\frac{1}{32} \frac{\left(2 m j j^{3=2}\right.}{j \hat{j}} j^{\sim} j^{2}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, now F / ~, linking its phase to the condensate density.

Furtherm ore, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {phonon }}$ is all that is needed to extract the coupling constant of tw o-body interactions betw een the condensate. $T$ he form of this two-body interaction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim\left(\sim y \eta^{2}=\sim\left(j \sim 0 j^{4}+4 j^{\sim} \tilde{J}^{2}(\tilde{\jmath})^{2}+:::\right)\right. \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the second term we can read o ~ directly from $S_{\text {phonon }}$ as $\sim(2=U \quad)=8 \tilde{\jmath} 0$ Ju . Again in the BEC regim e, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim^{\prime} \quad \frac{1}{256} \frac{(2 m j)^{3=2}}{j \jmath^{3}}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us rew rite $\sim\left(\sim y y^{2}\right.$ as a GP self-interaction $j_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{4}$, using the renom alization of (22). On using (24)
we nd a weakly repulsive interaction $=2$ д. $\ddagger \mathrm{M}$, where $\mathrm{a}_{\sim}=2 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{M}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, as follow from the strongcoupling B ogoliubov-de $G$ ennes equations 16].

The extension ofour approach to non-zero tem perature is straightforw ard, in principle, and will be considered elsew here. It has yet to be seen whether, in general, the e ect of Landau dam ping can be interpreted as a norm al uid com ponent in addition to the coupled super uids of (21), as happens for pure B C S theory [17].
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