Exact solution of the one-dimensional spin $-\frac{3}{2}$ Ising model in magnetic eld

Adolfo Avella and Ferdinando Mancini

Dipartimento di Fisica \E.R. Caianiello" - Laboratorio Regionale SuperMat, INFM

Universita degli Studi di Salemo, I-84081 Baronissi (SA), Italy

(D ated: February 28, 2022)

In this paper, we study the Ising m odel with general spin S in presence of an external m agnetic eld by means of the equations of motion method and of the Green's function formalism. First, the model is shown to be isomorphic to a fermionic one constituted of 2S species of localized particles interacting via an intersite C oulom b interaction. Then, an exact solution is found, for any dimension, in terms of a nite, complete set of eigenoperators of the latter H am iltonian and of the corresponding eigenenergies. This explicit know ledge makes possible writing exact expressions for the corresponding G reen's function and correlation functions, which turn out to depend on a nite set of parameters to be self-consistently determ ined. Finally, we present an original procedure, based on algebraic constraints, to exactly x these latter parameters in the case of dimension 1 and spin $rac{3}{2}$. For this latter case and, just for com parison, for the cases of dimension 1 and spin $rac{1}{2}$ F.M ancini, Eur. Phys. J. B 45, 497 (2005)] and spin 1 F. Mancini, Eur. Phys. J. B 47, 527 (2005)], relevant properties such as m agnetization hS i and square m agnetic m om ent hS²i, susceptibility and speci c heat are reported as functions of tem perature and external magnetic eld both for ferrom agnetic and antiferrom agnetic couplings. It is worth noticing the use we made of composite operators describing occupation transitions among the 3 species of localized particles and the related study of single, double and triple occupancy per site.

I. IN TRODUCTION

In a previous article¹, we have shown that a system constituted of q species of particles, satisfying Ferm i statistics, subject to nite-range interactions and localized on the sites of a Bravais lattice, is exactly solvable in any dimension. By exactly solvable we mean that it is alwayspossible nding a complete, nite set of eigenenergies and eigenoperators of the H am iltonian which closes the hierarchy of the equations of motion. Then, form al exact expressions for the corresponding G reen's functions and correlation functions can be derived. The solution is only form alas these latter turn out to depend on a nite set of param eters to be self-consistently determ ined. In Refs. 2,3, we have shown how is possible to exactly x such param eters, by means of algebraic constraints, for the one-dimensional q = 1 and q = 2 cases, respectively.

In Ref. 1, we have also shown that this system is isomorphic to a spin- $\frac{q}{2}$ Ising-like model in presence of an external magnetic edd. A coording to this, the exact know ledge of a complete set of eigenoperators and eigenenergies of the system in any dimension acquires an evident relevance with respect to the hoary problem of solving the two-dimensional (in presence of an external magnetic

eld) and the three-dimensional Ising models. In particular, the exact know ledge of the eigenenergies of the system can shed some light on the energy scales ruling the physical properties and the magnetic response of the system and can nd an application as unbiased check for the approximate solutions present in the literature. Moreover, this approach can eventually open a new route in the quest for an exact solution for these systems in higher dimensions as we have shown that it is always possible

nding a form all exact expressions for the corresponding G reen's functions and correlation functions. The solu-

tion is only form al as these latter turn out to depend on a nite set of parameters to be self-consistently determined. We have also shown how is possible to exactly x such parameters, by means of algebraic constraints, for the one-dimensional case and we are now working on the possibility to use other algebraic constraints and topological relations for higher dimensions.

In this article, we apply this formulation to the onedimensional q = 3 case. This model is isomorphic to the one-dimensional Ising model for spin S = $\frac{3}{2}$ in presence of an external magnetic eld. This latter model can be exactly solved by means of the transfer matrix m ethod which reduces the solution to an eigenvalue problem of the fourth order (generally, we have an eigenvalue problem of the 2S + 1-th order). Unfortunately, the analytic solutions of a fourth order equation are well known, but absolutely untractable and any result, along this way, could be obtained only by means of num erical techniques, which surely do not facilitate a microscopic understanding of the properties of the system (see, for instance, Ref. 4). In 1967, by means of a perturbation method, Suzuki, T sujiyam a and K atsura managed to reduce the order of the problem to the largest integer sm aller than S + 1 in the case of zero external magnetic

eld and exactly com puted the energy, the speci c heat and susceptibility⁵. On year later, O bokata and O guchi⁶ m anaged to apply the Bethe approximation, which becomes exact in one dimension, to the system and to recover the same exact results obtained by Suzuki. These latter were also recovered, few years later, by Silver and Frankel⁷, whom anaged to form ulate the problem as a difference equation of order 2S + 1 by an inductive technique and to reduce the order to the largest integer sm aller than S + 1 in the case of zero external magnetic eld.

The spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ Ising model in one dimension shows mag-

netic plateaus^{8,9,10,11}, i.e. topological quantization of the magnetization at the ground state of the system due to magnetic excitations, leading to the qualitatively same structures of the magnetization pro les of the Heisenberg m odel. A coording to this, the study of this classical system can shed some light on the plateau mechanism and if it has purely quantum origin or can also depend on dimerization, frustration, single-ion an isotropy or periodic eld. These plateaus have been predicted not only in theoretical study but also have been observed in experim ental studies. For exam ple, N arum i et al.12,13 observed the magnetic plateaus in the magnetization curve for both $[N_{12} (M_{20})_2 (m_{20})_2] (C_{10_4})_2 2H_2 O$ and \mathbb{N} i₂ (M edpt)₂ (ox)(N_{3}](C IO_{4})_{0:5}H₂O. Goto et al.¹⁴ reported the existence of the magnetization plateau at 0:25 in spin-1 3,3,5,5-tetrakis (N-tert-butylam inxyl) biphenyl (BIP-TENO). In three dimensions and with additional terms in the Hamiltonian, the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ Ising model have been initially introduced to give a qualitative description of phase transition observed in the com pound D yV O $_4$ ¹⁵ and also to describe ternary m ixtures¹⁶. In particular, the phase diagram is not well known in contrast to the case S = 1, which represents a special case of the B lum e-C apel 17,18,19,20 and of the B lum e-Emery-Gri ths21 models often used to study a variety of interesting physical systems and, in particular, ³He ⁴H e m ixtures, uid m ixtures and critical phenom ena. The mean eld treatm en^{2^2} predicts that the phase diagram di ers for integer and half-odd-integer spins and does not present any multicritical point. W hile renorm alization-group calculations^{23,24} and M onte C arb simulations²⁵ suggest the existence of a multicritical point, transferm atrix and conform al invariance studies²⁶ show that there is no multicritical point in the phase diagram. Unfortunately, Bethe-Peierls^{27,28} and two-spincluster approxim ations²⁹ have not been perform ed in the low-tem perature region. In qualitative agreem ent with the mean-eld analysis, we can also nd results from the self-consistent 0 mstein-Zemike approxim ation³⁰.

In this manuscript, we present the exact solution of the model in presence of an external magnetic eld. In the rst section, we present the model. In the following section, we give the general solution in terms of the eigenoperators and of the eigenenergies. In the third section, we specialize the solution to the one-dimensional $q = 3-S = \frac{3}{2}$ case. In the fourth section, we show how to close the self-consistent equations and compute all relevant correlation functions. In the fib section, we present relevant properties such as magnetization hS i and square magneticm on ent hS² i, susceptibility and specic cheat as functions of the temperature and the external magnetic

eld both for ferrom agnetic and antiferrom agnetic couplings. Concluding rem arks follow.

We have analyzed a system constituted of q species of interacting particles obeying Ferm i statistics, localized on the sites of a B ravais lattice and whose dynam ics is nuled by the following grand-canonical H am iltonian

II. THE MODEL

$$H = \sum_{\substack{i \neq a \\ i \neq a}}^{X} n_{a} (i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i \neq a \\ i \neq a}}^{X} V_{ab} (i; j) n_{a} (i) n_{b} (j)$$
(2.1)

where i stands for the lattice vector R_i and i = (i;t). $n_a(i) = c_a^y(i)c_a(i)$ is the particle density operator of particles of species a at the site i. $c_a(i)$ and $c_a^y(i)$ are annihilation and creation operators, respectively, of particles of species a at the site i. They satisfy canonical anticommutation relations

$$fc_{a}(\mathbf{i};\mathbf{t});c_{b}^{V}(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{t})g = ab ij$$

$$fc_{a}(\mathbf{i};\mathbf{t});c_{b}(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{t})g = fc_{a}^{V}(\mathbf{i};\mathbf{t});c_{b}^{V}(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{t})g = 0$$
(2.2)

is the chem ical potential and V_{ab} (i; j) is the strength of the interaction between particles of species a and b at distance ji jj. We have supposed that the particles are frozen on the lattice sites as their m asses are very large and/or the interactions are so strong that the kinetic energy is negligible.

In this manuscript, we have focused on the case in which the interaction V_{ab} (i; j) does not depend on the particle species and is e ective only between nearest-neighbor sites. That is, V_{ab} (i; j) = 2dV $_{ij}$ where d is the dimensionality of the system , $_{ij}$ is the projector on the nearest-neighbor sites and V is the bare strength of the interaction. For a hyper-cubic lattice of lattice constant a we have

where N is the number of sites.

H ereafter, for a generic operator $\quad (i)\,,\,w\,e\,\,w\,\,ill\,\,u\,se$ the follow ing notation

$$(i;t) = \sum_{j=1}^{X} (j;t)$$
 (2.4)

. It is also useful to introduce the vectorial notation 0

$$c(i) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1} & (i) \\ B \\ C_{2} & (i) \\ C_{2} & (i) \\ C_{2} & (i) \\ C_{3} & (i) \\ C_{4} & (i) \\ C_{2} & (i) \\ C_{2} & (i) \\ C_{4} & (i) \\ C$$

and to de ne the total particle density

$$n(i) = \begin{cases} X & X \\ n_{a}(i) = \\ a & a \end{cases} c_{a}^{V}(i)c_{a}(i) = c^{V}(i)c(i)$$
(2.6)

Then, the Hamiltonian (2.1) becomes

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ n(i) + dV & n(i)n(i) \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.7)

Let us consider now the transform ation

$$n(i) = \frac{q}{2} + S(i)$$
 (2.8)

It is clear that

$$\begin{array}{l} n(i) = 0, \quad S(i) = q = 2 \\ n(i) = 1, \quad S(i) = 1 \quad q = 2 \\ \vdots \\ n(i) = q \quad 1, \quad S(i) = q = 2 \\ n(i) = q, \quad S(i) = q = 2 \end{array} \tag{2.9}$$

Under the transformation (2.8), the Hamiltonian (2.7) can be cast in the form

$$H = dJ S (i)S (i) h S (i) + E_0$$
(2.10)

where we de ned

$$J = dV$$

$$h = qdV$$

$$E_0 = \frac{q}{2} \left(+ \frac{q}{2} dV \right) N$$
(2.11)

The Ham iltonian (2.10) is just the d-dimensional spin- $\frac{q}{2}$ Ising model with nearest-neighbor interaction in presence of an external magnetic eld. In this manuscript, we have chosen to use the particle notation (2.7), but the results that we have obtained are obviously valid for both the particle (2.7) and spin (2.10) systems after the transformation (2.8) and the de nitions 2.11).

III. COM POSITE OPERATORS AND EQUATIONS OF MOTION

In order to apply the equations of motion method and the Green's function formalism, we need to identify a suitable operatorial basis^{31,32}. It is immediate to verify that the particle density operator n (i) has no time dependence

$$\frac{i\theta}{\theta t}n(i) = [n(i);H] = 0$$
(3.1)

A coording to this, the operator n (i), although it would have been a natural choice as component of the operatorial basis for such a system, is not suitable for this purpose. Let us introduce, instead, the following series of composite eld operators

 $p(i) = c(i) [n(i)]^{p-1}$ p = 1;2;... (3.2)

whose rst element is just c(i). These elds satisfy the following hierarchy of equations of motion

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t}_{p}(i) = p(i) + 2dV_{p+1}(i)$$
 (3.3)

Now, because of the anti-commutation relations (2.2), it can be shown that the operators $[n \quad (i)]^p$ satisfy the following relation

$$[n (i)]^{p} = A_{m}^{(p)} [n (i)]^{n}$$
(3.4)
$$m = 1$$

where the coe cients $A_m^{(p)}$ are rational numbers that can be easily determ ined after the algebra and the actual structure of the lattice (see Appendix A for d = 1 and q = 3). Then, for p = 2qd + 1, the hierarchy of equations of motion (3.3) closes as the additionally generated operator $_{2qd+2}$ (i) = c(i) [n (i)]^{2qd+1} can be rewritten in term s of the rst 2qd + 1 elements of 3.2) through the relation (3.4).

A coording to this, the n-component composite eld operator (i), de ned as (i) = 1

where n = 2qd+1, is an eigenoperator of the H am iltonian (2.7) as it satis es the equation of motion

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t}$$
 (i) = [(i);H] = " (i) (3.6)

where the n $\,$ n energy matrix " has the following expression

The eigenvalues ${\tt E}_n$ of the energy matrix " read as

 $E_m = + (m \ 1)V \qquad m = 1;2;:::;n \quad (3.8)$

A fler (3.6), we can claim to have form ally, but exactly, solved both H am iltonians (2.7) and (2.10) as we have determ ined for them a complete set of eigenoperators and eigenvalues in any dimension d. The solution is only formalas we have still to compute all correlation functions.

Let us de ne the therm al retarded G reen's function

$$G^{R}(i;j) = hR[(i)^{y}(j)]i = (t_{i} t_{j})hf(i); ^{y}(j)gi$$

(3.9)

where h the grand canonical ensemble. By introducing the Fourier transform

$$G^{R}(i;j) = \frac{1}{(2)^{2d+1}} ZZ$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2)^{2d+1}} d! dk e^{ik (R_{i} R_{j}) i! (t_{i} t_{j})} G^{R}(k;!)$$
(3.10)

and by m eans of the equation (3.6), we obtain the equation

$$[! "]G^{R}(k;!) = I(k)$$
(3.11)

where I (k) is the Fourier transform of the norm alization m atrix

$$I(i;j) = hf(i;t); ^{y}(j;t)gi$$
 (3.12)

Equation (3.11) gives

$$G^{R}(k;!) = \frac{X^{n}}{\prod_{m=1}^{m} \frac{(m)(k)}{! - E_{m} + i}}$$
(3.13)

The spectral density matrices (m) (k) can be calculated by means of the form $u la^{31}$

$$^{(m)}_{ab}(k) = {}^{X}_{am} {}^{1}_{mc} I_{cb}(k)$$
 (3.14)

where is the n n m atrix whose colum ns are the eigenvectors of the matrix ". The correlation functions

$$C(i; j) = h(i)^{y}(j)i$$
 (3.15)

can be immediately calculated after (3.13) and read as

$$C(k;!) = T_{m}^{(m)}(k) (! E_{m})$$
(3.16)

where

$$T_m = 1 + \tanh \frac{E_m}{2T}$$
(3.17)

T is the temperature. By similar techniques we can calculate multi-point correlation functions as C $(i; j; l_1; l_2; :::; l_s) = h$ $(i) ^y (j)n (l_1)n (l_2)$ nil For an example, see Ref. 2.

Form ally, (3.13) and (3.16) constitute the exact solution of the problem under analysis. The solution is only form al as the com plete know ledge of the G reen's function and of the correlation functions is not fully achieved ow ing to unknown static correlation functions appearing in the norm alization matrix I (k) because of the complex non-canonical algebra satis ed by the eld (i). The unknown correlators are expectation values of operators not belonging to the chosen basis (i) and should be self-consistently calculated in order to de nitively conclude the analysis and get the complete exact solution.

i denotes the quantum -statistical average over Unfortunately, the derivation of a set of self-consistent equations capable to determ ine the unknown correlators is not an easy task at all. M oreover, it depends on the particular model under analysis and, in particular, on its dim ension d. In R ef. 1, we have developed a technique to obtain a complete exact solution in the one-dimensional case (d = 1) by determining the unknown correlators by means of algebraic constraints. W ithin this fram ework, we have studied the 2-state² (q = 1) and the 3-state³ (q = 2) models. In this article, we consider the onedim ensional 4-state (q = 3) m odel.

IV. THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL 4-STATE MODEL

W e now apply the general form ulation given in the previous Section to an in nite homogeneous chain (d = 1)with q = 3. The derivation of the relation (3.4) for this speci c case and the explicit expressions of the coe cients A_m^(p) are given in Appendix A. Accordingly, the last row of the energy matrix " has the following nonzero entries

$$\mathbf{"}_{72} = \frac{45}{2} \mathbf{V} \quad \mathbf{"}_{73} = \frac{441}{4} \mathbf{V} \quad \mathbf{"}_{74} = 203 \mathbf{V}$$

$$\mathbf{"}_{75} = \frac{735}{4} \mathbf{V} \quad \mathbf{"}_{76} = \frac{175}{2} \mathbf{V} \quad \mathbf{"}_{77} = +21 \mathbf{V}$$

(4.1)

The matrix has the expression

(4.2)

and the norm alization matrix I reads as

$$\mathbf{I}_{11} \quad \mathbf{I}_{12} \quad \mathbf{I}_{13} \quad \mathbf{I}_{14} \quad \mathbf{I}_{15} \quad \mathbf{I}_{16} \quad \mathbf{I}_{17} \quad \mathbf{I}_{17} \quad \mathbf{I}_{18} \quad \mathbf{I}_{11} \quad \mathbf{I}_{12} \quad \mathbf{I}_{13} \quad \mathbf{I}_{14} \quad \mathbf{I}_{15} \quad \mathbf{I}_{16} \quad \mathbf{I}_{17} \quad \mathbf{I}_{27} \quad \mathbf{I}_{37} \quad \mathbf{I}_{16} \quad \mathbf{I}_{17} \quad \mathbf{I}_{27} \quad \mathbf{I}_{37} \quad \mathbf{I}_{47} \quad \mathbf{I}_{57} \quad \mathbf{I}$$

where

 \cap

$$I_{1,p} = {}^{(p \ 1)}$$
 (4.4)

$$I_{p;7} = \prod_{m=1}^{X^{\circ}} I_{1,m+1} A_{m}^{(p+5)}$$
(4.5)

$$^{(p)} = h[n (i)]^{p}i$$
 (4.6)

Then, the spectral density matrices (m) can be easily computed by means of Eq. (3.14) and the correlation functions by means of Eq. (3.17).

It is worth noticing that, according to the structure of the normalization matrix I $[(4.3) \text{ and } (4.5)]_r$ which is dictated by the relation (3.4), there exist only seven independent spectral density matrices $\binom{(m)}{1,p}$ and seven independent two-point correlation functions $C_{1:p} =$ hc(i) c^{v} (i) [n (i)]^{p 1} i. All others can be obtained as com binations of these latter according to (3.4) and (4.5).

As regards the spectral density matrices, we have

$$\binom{(m)}{m} = \binom{(m)}{m}$$
 (4.7)

+ $\frac{1}{90}$ (441I_{1,2} + 812I_{1,3} 735I_{1,4} + 350I_{1,5} 84I_{1,6} + 8I_{1,7})

 $_{2} = \frac{2}{15} (90I_{1;2} \quad 261I_{1;3} + 290I_{1;4} \quad 155I_{1;5} + 40I_{1;6} \quad 4I_{1;7})$

where m are functions of the elements $I_{1;p}$ with p =1;:::;7 and ^(m) are num erical matrices. In particular, we have the expressions

 $_{1} = I_{1;1}$

To the purpose of de nitively computing the correlation functions $C_{1,k}$, let us introduce the follow ing operators

$$\begin{array}{l} a(i) = [1 \quad n(i) + D(i)]_{c_{a}}(i) \\ a(i) = [n(i) \quad 2D(i)]_{c_{a}}(i) \\ a(i) = D(i)_{c_{a}}(i) \end{array}$$
(5.1)

where the double D (i) and the triple T (i) occupancy operators at the site i read as

$$D (i) = \begin{cases} X^{3} \\ n_{a} (i)n_{b} (i) \\ a; b = 1 \\ a < b \end{cases}$$
(5.2)
$$T (i) = \begin{cases} Y^{3} \\ n_{a} (i) \\ a = 1 \end{cases}$$

 $_{3} = \frac{1}{6} (90I_{1;2} + 35II_{1;3} + 274I_{1;5} + 76I_{1;6} + 8I_{1;7})$ The operators a (i), a (i) and a (i) rule the transitions am ong states with di erent particle num ber at the site i: $_{4} = \frac{4}{2} (30I_{1;2} \quad 127I_{1;3} + 186I_{1;4} \quad 121I_{1;5} + 36I_{1;6} \quad 4I_{1;7}) \quad 0, \quad 1, 1, 2, 2, 3,$ respectively. Their complex algebra is presented in detail in Appendix B. In particular, they $_{5} = \frac{1}{6} (45I_{1;2} + 198I_{1;3} \quad 307I_{1;4} + 214I_{1;5} \quad 68I_{1;6} + 8I_{1;7})$ satisfy the following relevant relations

$${}_{6} = \frac{2}{15} (18I_{1;2} \quad 81I_{1;3} + 130I_{1;4} \quad 95I_{1;5} + 32I_{1;6} \quad 4I_{1;7}) \qquad C_{a}(i) = {}_{a}(i) + {}_{a}(i) + {}_{a}(i)$$

$${}_{7} = \frac{1}{90} (30I_{1;2} + 137I_{1;3} \quad 225I_{1;4} + 170I_{1;5} \quad 60I_{1;6} + 8I_{1;7}) \qquad {}_{a}^{Y}(i) {}_{b}(i) = {}_{a}^{Y}(i)$$

and (4.8)

(4.9)

for any choice of the indices a and b.

After (5.4), it is possible to show that (see Appendix C)

$${}^{Y}(\mathbf{i})e^{H} = {}^{Y}(\mathbf{i})e^{H} {}^{01} {}^{(1)}(\mathbf{i}) = {}^{H} {}^{(1$$

As regards the two-point correlation function, we have

 ${}^{(5)}_{1\,m}$ = 1 (1=2) ¹ (1=2) ² (1=2) ³ (1=2) ⁴ (1=2) ⁵ (1=2) ⁶

 ${}^{(6)}_{1\,m}$ = 1 (2=5) 1 (2=5) 2 (2=5) 3 (2=5) 4 (2=5) 5 (2=5) 6 ${}^{(7)}_{1\,\mathrm{m}}$ = 1 (1=3) 1 (1=3) 2 (1=3) 3 (1=3) 4 (1=3) 5 (1=3) 6

C (i; j) =
$$\lim_{i \neq 2} \frac{1}{2} X^7 T_m$$
 (m) e $\lim_{m \to \infty} (t_i t_j)$ (4.10)

V. SELF-CONSISTENT EQUATIONS

The correlation functions $C_{1,k}$ depend, through the spectral density matrices that are functions of the entries of the norm alization matrix (3.14), on the correlators $(p) = h[n (i)]^p i w ith p = 1; \dots; 6. At this stage, the$

where H_{0i} is a reduced part of the Ham iltonian

$$H_{0i} = H H_{1i}$$

 $H_{1i} = 2V n (i)n (i)$ (5.6)

We have used for (i), (i) and (i) the same vectorial notation that has been used for c(i) (see (2.5)). The

coe cients $f_{\rm m}$ and $g_{\rm m}$ are de ned in Appendix C.We will exploit the relations (5.5) in order to compute the correlation functions

$$C_{1;k} = h \quad (i) \stackrel{y}{(i)} [n \quad (i)]^{k-1} i$$

$$C_{1;k} = h \quad (i) \stackrel{y}{(i)} [n \quad (i)]^{k-1} i \qquad k = 1; \dots; 7 \quad (5.7)$$

$$C_{1;k} = h \quad (i) \stackrel{y}{(i)} [n \quad (i)]^{k-1} i$$

that, after the relations (5.3), sum up to $C_{1;k} = C_{1;k} + C_{1;k} + C_{1;k}$. By m eans of (5.5), we have

$$C_{1;k} = \frac{h (i)^{y} (i) h (i)^{k-1} i_{0i}}{he^{-H \pi i} i_{0i}}$$

$$C_{1;k} = \frac{h (i)^{y} (i) h (i)^{k-1} i_{0i}}{he^{-H \pi i} i_{0i}}$$

$$+ \frac{X^{6}}{m = 1} f_{m} \frac{h (i)^{y} (i) h (i)^{k-1 + m} i_{0i}}{he^{-H \pi i} i_{0i}}$$

$$C_{1;k} = \frac{h (i)^{y} (i) h (i)^{k-1} i_{0i}}{he^{-H \pi i} i_{0i}}$$

$$+ \frac{X^{6}}{m = 1} (2f_{m} + g_{m}) \frac{h (i)^{y} (i) h (i)^{k-1 + m} i_{0i}}{he^{-H \pi i} i_{0i}}$$
(5.8)

where for a generic operator O the notation hO $i_{0\,i}$ denotes the therm all average with respect to H $_{0\,i}$

$$hO i_{0i} = \frac{TrfO e^{-H_{0i}}g}{Trfe^{-H_{0i}}g}$$
(5.9)

Now, we observe that H $_{0\,\rm i}$ describes a system where the site i is not connected to any other site of the chain. Then, at the site i the local operators enjoy a free dynamics

$$[(i);H_{0i}] = (i)$$

$$[(i);H_{0i}] = (i) (5.10)$$

$$[(i);H_{0i}] = (i)$$

By m eans of these equations of m otion and by m aking use of the relations (B8), it is possible to derive

$$(i) {}^{y}(i) [n (i)]^{k} {}^{1}_{0i} = \frac{1}{(1 + e)^{3}} [n (i)]^{k} {}^{1}_{0i}$$

$$(i) {}^{y}(i) [n (i)]^{k} {}^{1}_{0i} = \frac{2e}{(1 + e)^{3}} [n (i)]^{k} {}^{1}_{0i}$$

$$(i) {}^{y}(i) [n (i)]^{k} {}^{1}_{0i} = \frac{e^{2}}{(1 + e)^{3}} [n (i)]^{k} {}^{1}_{0i}$$

$$(5.11)$$

and rewrite (5.8) as

$$C_{1;k} = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-})^{3}} \frac{h[n (i)]^{k-1} i_{0i}}{he^{-H_{Ti}} i_{0i}}$$

$$C_{1;k} = \frac{2e}{(1 + e^{-})^{3}} \frac{h[n (i)]^{k-1} i_{0i}}{he^{-H_{Ti}} i_{0i}}$$

$$+ \frac{2e}{(1 + e^{-})^{3}} \frac{X^{6}}{m^{-1}} f_{m} \frac{h[n (i)]^{m+k-1} i_{0i}}{he^{-H_{-Ti}} i_{0i}}$$

$$C_{1;k} = \frac{e^{2}}{(1 + e^{-})^{3}} \frac{h[n (i)]^{k-1} i_{0i}}{he^{-H_{-Ti}} i_{0i}}$$

$$+ \frac{e^{2}}{(1 + e^{-})^{3}} \frac{X^{6}}{m^{-1}} (2f_{m} + g_{m}) \frac{h[n (i)]^{m+k-1} i_{0i}}{he^{-H_{-Ti}} i_{0i}}$$
(5.12)

Therefore, we are left with the problem of computing the functions h[n (i)] $p_{i_{0i}}$.

Now, we observe that H $_{0i}$ describes a system where the original in nite chain is split into two disconnected in – nite sub-chains (the in nite chains to the left and to the right of the site i) plus the site i. Then, in the H $_{0i}$ -representation, correlation functions which relate sites belonging to di erent sub-chains and/or the site i, can be decoupled:

$$ha(j)b(l)i_{0i} = ha(j)i_{0i}hb(l)i_{0i}$$
(5.13)

when, for instance, j i l. a(j) and b(l) are any functions of n(j) and n(l), respectively. Let us recall Eqs. (A7) and (A8). By using the property (5.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} hZ_{0}(i) i_{0i} &= 2X_{1} \\ hZ_{1}(i) i_{0i} &= 2X_{2} + X_{1}^{2} \\ hZ_{2}(i) i_{0i} &= 2X_{3} + 2X_{1}X_{2} \\ hZ_{3}(i) i_{0i} &= 2X_{1}X_{3} + X_{2}^{2} \\ hZ_{4}(i) i_{0i} &= 2X_{2}X_{3} \\ hZ_{5}(i) i_{0i} &= X_{3}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.14)$$

with

$$X_{1} = hn$$
 (i) i_{0i}
 $X_{2} = hD$ (i) i_{0i} (5.15)
 $X_{3} = hT$ (i) i_{0i}

Therefore, we have

$$h[n (i)]^{p} i_{0i} = \frac{1}{2^{p}} \sum_{1}^{h} b_{1}^{(p)} (2X_{2} + X_{1}^{2}) + 2b_{2}^{(p)} (X_{3} + X_{1}X_{2}) + b_{3}^{(p)} (2X_{1}X_{3} + X_{2}^{2}) + 2b_{4}^{(p)}X_{2}X_{3} + b_{5}^{(p)}X_{3}^{2}$$
(5.16)

The problem of computing all two-point correlation functions is thus reduced to the problem of computing just three parameters: X $_1$, X $_2$ and X $_3$. If we suppose the system to be hom ogenous, we can use the following three self-consistent equations in order to determ ine the unknown parameters

By means of Eqs. (C2) and (B9), we have

$$n (i)e^{H_{Ii}} = B_{1} + \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m = 1}}^{X^{6}} [f_{m} (B_{1} + 2B_{2})] + g_{m} (2B_{2} + 3B_{3}) + h_{m} 3B_{3}]h[n (i)]^{n} i_{0i}$$
(5.18)

$$n (i)e^{H_{Ii}} = X_{1} + \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m = 1}}^{X^{6}} [f_{m} B_{1} + g_{m} B_{2}] + h_{m} B_{3}] [n (i)]^{n+1} = 0i$$

$$\begin{array}{c} X^{6} \\ \text{hD (i)e} & {}^{\text{H}_{11}} i_{0i} = B_{2} + & [(2B_{2} + 3B_{3})f_{m} \\ & {}^{\text{m}=1} \\ + (B_{2} + 6B_{3})g_{m} + 3B_{3}h_{m}]h[n (i)]^{n} i_{0i} \\ \text{hD (i)e} & {}^{\text{H}_{11}} i_{0i} = X_{2} + & B_{1}f_{m} + B_{2}g_{m} \\ & {}^{\text{m}=1} \\ + B_{3}h_{m}]\text{hD (i)[n (i)]^{n} i_{0i} \\ \end{array}$$

$$(5.19)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} & \text{"} & X^{6} \\ \text{hf (i)e} & {}^{H_{\text{II}}}i_{0i} = B_{3} & 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{X^{6}} (3f_{m} + 3g_{m} \\ & + h_{m})]h[n (i)]^{n}i_{0i} \\ \text{hf (i)e} & {}^{H_{\text{II}}}i_{0i} = X_{3} + \sum_{m=1}^{X^{6}} (B_{1}f_{m} + B_{2}g_{m} \\ & + B_{3}h_{m})hf (i)[n (i)]^{n}i_{0i} \end{array}$$
(5.20)

where

$$B_{1} = hn (i) i_{0i} = \frac{3e}{e + 1}$$

$$B_{2} = hD (i) i_{0i} = \frac{3e^{2}}{(e + 1)^{2}}$$

$$B_{3} = hT (i) i_{0i} = \frac{e^{3}}{(e + 1)^{3}}$$
(5.21)

We need to calculate the averages hD (i) [n (i)]ⁿ i_{0i} and hT (i) [n (i)]ⁿ i_{0i} . By using (A 7)

$$\begin{split} & \text{HD} \quad (i) \, [n \quad (i)]^{p} \, i_{0i} = \frac{1}{2^{p}} \sum_{m = 0}^{X^{5}} b_{m}^{(p)} \, \text{HD} \quad (i) Z_{m} \quad (i) \, i_{0i} \\ & \text{HT} \quad (i) \, [n \quad (i)]^{p} \, i_{0i} = \frac{1}{2^{p}} \sum_{m = 0}^{X^{5}} b_{m}^{(p)} \, \text{HT} \quad (i) Z_{m} \quad (i) \, i_{0i} \end{split}$$

By recalling the de nitions (A 8) and using the property (5.13)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{hD} \quad (i) \, [n \quad (i) \,]^{p} \, i_{0\,i} = \frac{1}{2^{p}} \, [2X_{2} + 3X_{3} + X_{1}X_{2} \\ + \, b_{1}^{(p)} \, (X_{2} + 6X_{3} + X_{2}^{2} + 2X_{1}X_{2} + 3X_{1}X_{3}) \\ + \, b_{2}^{(p)} \, (3X_{3} + 2X_{2}^{2} + X_{1}X_{2} + 6X_{1}X_{3} + 4X_{2}X_{3}) \\ + \, b_{3}^{(p)} \, (3X_{1}X_{3} + X_{2}^{2} + 8X_{2}X_{3} + 3X_{3}^{2}) \\ + \, 2b_{4}^{(p)} \, (2X_{2}X_{3} + 3X_{3}^{2}) + \, 3b_{5}^{(p)}X_{3}^{2} \end{array}$$

(5.23)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{hT} & (i) \left[p & (i) \right]^{p} i_{0i} = \frac{1}{2^{p}} \left[3X_{3} + X_{1}X_{3} \right. \\ & + b_{1}^{(p)} \left(3X_{3} + 3X_{1}X_{3} + X_{2}X_{3} \right) \\ & + b_{2}^{(p)} \left(X_{3} + X_{3}^{2} + 3X_{2}X_{3} + 3X_{1}X_{3} \right) \\ & + b_{3}^{(p)} \left(3X_{2}X_{3} + X_{1}X_{3} + 3X_{3}^{2} \right) \\ & + b_{4}^{(p)} \left(3X_{3}^{2} + X_{2}X_{3} \right) + b_{5}^{(p)}X_{3}^{2} \end{array}$$
 (5.24)

Sum m arizing, the three parameters X_1 , X_2 , X_3 are determined by the coupled self-consistent equations (5.18)-(5.20), where the averages h[n (i)]ⁿ i_{0i} , hD (i) [n (i)]ⁿ i_{0i} and hT (i) [n (i)]ⁿ i_{0i} are computed by m eans of (5.16), (5.23) and (5.24), respectively. Once we know the three parameters, we can calculate the correlation functions and all the properties. For example,

$$C_{1;k} = \frac{1}{he} \frac{B_1 + B_2 B_3}{he^{-H_T} i_{0i}} [h_{(i)}]^{k-1}_{0i}$$

$$C_{1;k} = \frac{2B_1 4B_2 + 6B_3}{3he^{-H_T} i_{0i}} [h_{(i)}]^{k-1}_{0i}$$

$$+ \frac{X^6}{f_m} [h_{(i)}]^{m+k-1}_{0i}]_{m=1}$$

$$C_{1;k} = \frac{B_2 3B_3}{3he^{-H_T} i_{0i}} [h_{(i)}]^{k-1}_{0i}$$

$$+ \frac{X^6}{(2f_m + q_m)} [h_{(i)}]^{m+k-1}_{0i}]_{0i}$$

$$+ \frac{X^6}{(2f_m + q_m)} [h_{(i)}]^{m+k-1}_{0i}]$$

$$C_{1;k} = C_{1;k} + C_{1;1} + C_{1;1}$$

$$hn i = 3 3C_{1;k}$$

$$hD i = 3 3(C_{1;1} + \frac{3}{2}C_{1;1} + 2C_{1;1})$$

$$hT i = 1 3(\frac{1}{3}C_{1;1} + \frac{1}{2}C_{1;1} + C_{1;1})$$

$$e^{0} = \frac{h[h_{(i)}]^{p} i_{0i}}{he^{-H_T} i_{0i}}$$

$$+ \frac{X^6}{(B_1 f_m + B_2 q_m + B_3 h_m) \frac{h[h_{(i)}]^{n+p} i_{0i}}{he^{-H_T} i_{0i}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\ln (i) \left[n \quad (i) \right]^{p} i = B_{1} \frac{h \left[n \quad (i) \right]^{p} i_{0i}}{h e^{-H_{-1}} i_{i0}} \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{X^{6}} \left[(B_{1} + 2B_{2}) f_{m} + (2B_{2} + 3B_{3}) g_{m} \right] \\ &+ 3B_{3} h_{m} \left[\frac{h \left[n \quad (i) \right]^{m+p} i_{0i}}{h e^{-H_{-1}} i_{0i}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.26)$$

The coe cients f_m , g_m and h_m are de ned in Appendix C. The average $e^{-H_{-1}}$ or can be computed by m eans of (C 2) and has the expression

$$e^{H_{I}} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{X^{6}} (B_{1}f_{m} + B_{2}g_{m} + B_{3}h_{m}) h[n (i)]^{m} i_{0i}$$
(5.27)

VI. RESULTS

A. Ferrom agnetic coupling J = 1

In Fig. 1, we report the magnetization per site m as a function of the magnetic eld h at J = 1, the double D and the triple T occupancies per site as functions of the chemical potential at V = 1, the square magnetic m om ent hS² i per site as function of the magnetic eld h at J = 1 and the magnetic susceptibility as a function of the magnetic eld h at J = 1 and T = 0 (only in middle bottom panel), 0:5 (except form iddle bottom panel), 1 and 2. At the lower tem perature (T = 0.5), going from negative to positive values of the magnetic eld, the magnetization jum ps from $\frac{3}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{2}$ and the susceptibility diverges exactly at zero eld. This is the signature of a quite sharp transition between spin con gurations with maximum possible magnetization and direction dictated by the value of the external magnetic eld. This im mediately re ects on the values of the double and triple occupancies that quite sharply jumps from zero to their maximum possible values: 3 and 1, respectively. The tem perature just makes the transition smoother and forces the system to pass through excited states with a lower value of the absolute m agnetization as it results clear by looking at the values of the square m agnetic m om ent.

In Fig. 2, we report the inverse m agnetic susceptibility ¹ at h = 0 and the m agnetic susceptibility at h = 0:1 as functions of the tem perature T at J = 1 and spin 1=2, 1 and 3=2. The sharp transition at zero tem – perature in zero external magnetic eld is here clearly signalled by the divergence of the magnetic susceptibility for any value of the spin. At nite external magnetic eld h = 0:1, the susceptibility presents a maximum at a – nite tem perature w hose value depends on the value of the spin. B oth at very low and very high tem peratures, the magnetization stays constant at its maximum value or at zero, respectively, alm ost independently on the value of



FIG.1: (top) M agnetization per site m as a function of the magnetic eld h at J = 1. (m iddle top) D ouble D and triple T occupancies per site as functions of the chem ical potential at V = 1. (m iddle bottom) Square magnetic m om ent hS²i per site as function of the magnetic eld h at J = 1. (bottom) M agnetic susceptibility as a function of the magnetic eld h at J = 1. In all panels, T = 0 (only in m iddle bottom), 0:5 (except for m iddle bottom), 1 and 2.



FIG.2: (top) Inverse magnetic susceptibility 1 at h = 0 and (bottom) magnetic susceptibility at h = 0:1 as functions of the tem perature T at J = 1 and spin 1=2, 1 and 3=2.



FIG.3: Specic heat C as a function of the tem perature T at J = 1 and h = 0.1, 0.5, 1 and 1.5.

the external magnetic eld. A coording to this, in these two regimes the susceptibility is zero or practically zero. At intermediate temperatures, the value of the magnetization de nitely depends on the value of the external magnetic eld. This explains the bell-shape of the susceptibility curve and the presence of a maximum.

In Fig.3, we report the speci c heat C as a function of the tem perature T at J = 1 and h = 0.1, 0.5, 1 and 1.5. The exponential behavior at low tem peratures clearly

signals the presence of at least one gap in the excitation spectrum between the fully polarized state, which is the ground state for any non-zero value of the external magnetic eld, and the rst excited state to which corresponds a low ervalue of the magnetization. This behavior also explains why we have been able to see a sharp transition in the magnetization as a function of the external magnetic eld at temperatures as high as T = 0.5. The tem perature T_M , at which is clearly observable a maximum in all curves, strongly depends on the value of the external magnetic eld h. In particular, T_M increases on increasing h: the gap between the ground state and the rst excited state also increase on increasing h.W e can $\frac{1}{2}$ (h + 2J), that is half of the gap speculate that T_M existing between the fully polarized states with spin $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$.

B. A ntiferrom agnetic coupling J = 1

In Fig. 4, we report the magnetization per site m as a function of the magnetic eld h at J =1, the square magnetic moment hS²i per site as function of the magnetic eld h at J = 1 and the double D and the triple T occupancies per site as functions of the chem ical potential at V = 1 and T = 0, 1 and 2. At zero tem perature, going from negative to positive values of the magnetic eld, the magnetization rst jumps from $\frac{3}{2}$ to 0 at h = 3J and then from 0 to $\frac{3}{2}$ at h = 3J.At these values of the external magnetic eld, the state of lower energy changes from the fully polarized one with $\frac{3}{2}$ (E = 0) to the antiferrom agnetically aligned one spin with spins $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ (E = $\frac{3}{2}h + \frac{9}{2}J$) and from this latter to the the fully polarized one with spin $\frac{3}{2}$ (E = 3h), respectively. The double and the triple occupancies behave accordingly. The tem perature just makes the transition sm oother as it results clear by looking at the values of the square magnetic moment. These latter also show the typical antiferrom agnetic behavior that will be easily identi ed in the features of the susceptibility discussed below.

In Fig. 5, we report the magnetic susceptibility at J = 1 as a function of the external magnetic eld h for T = 0.8, 1, 1.5, 2, 3 and the tem perature T for h = 0and spin 1=2, 1 and 3=2. As we could expect from the m agnetization curves, the susceptibility diverges, at zero tem perature, at the critical values discussed above and is null for all other values. On increasing the tem perature, the susceptibility becomes nite for any value of the external magnetic eld, although not negligible only in an increasing, but nite, range of values. A coording to this, as the integral of the curves over the whole axis should give a constant value, 3, that is, the larger jump in the m agnetization, the heights of the two peaks steadily decrease on increasing the temperature. As a function of the tem perature, the susceptibility has the typical therm al activated behavior of the parallel antiferrom agnetic



FIG. 4: (top) M agnetization per site m as a function of the magnetic eld h at J = 1. (middle) D ouble D and triple T occupancies per site as functions of the chemical potential at V = 1. In both panels, T = 0, 1 and 2. (bottom) Square m agnetic m om ent hS^2i per site as function of the magnetic eld h at J = 1.

susceptibility for any value of the spin. A coording to this, we can interpret the position of the maximum as the tem perature at which the system loses any memory of its antiferrom agnetic ground state at zero tem perature.

In Fig. 6, we report the speci c heat C as a function of the temperature T at J = 1 and h = 5) 1.5. The curves seem to show the typical pattern caused by the interplay between at least two gaps in the excitation spectrum : h 3J and h 2J. These would correspond to transitions between the fully polarized state with spin $\frac{3}{2}$ and the antiferrom agnetically aligned state with spins



FIG.5: M agnetic susceptibility at J = 1 as a function of (top) external magnetic eld h for T = 0.3, 1, 1.5, 2, 3 and (bottom) temperature T for h = 0 and spin 1=2, 1 and 3=2.

 $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ and the fully polarized state with spin $\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. The vanishing value of the rst gap, in the range of values of the external magnetic eld presented in the gures, could explain the much larger sensitivity of the magnetization to temperature with respect to what happens in the ferrom agnetic state. It is worth noticing the appearance of crossing points³³ for 3J < h < 2:5J and 2:5J < h < 1:5J.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the Ising model with general spin S in presence of an external magnetic eld by means of the equations of motion method and of the Green's function form alism. First, the model has been shown to be isom orphic to a ferm ionic one constituted of 2S species of localized particles interacting via an intersite C oulom b interaction. Then, an exact solution has been found, for any dimension, in term sofa nite, complete set of eigenoperators of the latter H am iltonian and of the corresponding eigenenergies. This explicit know ledge has made possible writing exact expressions for the corresponding G reen's function and correlation functions, which turn out to depend on a nite set of parameters to be self-consistently determ ined. Finally, we have presented an original proce-



FIG.6: Specic heat C as a function of the tem perature T at J = 1 and h = 5) 1:5.

dure, based on algebraic constraints, to exactly x these latter parameters in the case of dimension 1 and spin $\frac{3}{2}$. For this latter case and, just for comparison, for the cases of dimension 1 and spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and spin 1, relevant properties such as magnetization hS i and square magnetic moments hS² i, susceptibility and speci cheat are reported as functions of temperature and external magnetic eld both for ferrom agnetic and antiferrom agnetic couplings. G round state properties and relevant transitions and gaps have been studied. C rossing points in the speci c heat have been identi ed.

APPENDIX A: ALGEBRAIC RELATIONS

1. Form ula for n^p (i)

We want to nd a recurrence relation for the operator r^{p} (i), with p 1. At rst we note that

$$n^{p}(\mathbf{i}) = (n_{1} + n_{2} + n_{3})^{p} = \frac{P_{p}}{n=0} \frac{p}{n} \frac{P_{n}}{n} \frac{n}{1} \frac{n}{1} \frac{n}{n} \frac{n^{m}}{2} \frac{n^{n}}{2} \frac{1}{n^{3}} = [n_{1}^{p} + n_{2}^{p} + n_{3}^{p}] + \frac{P_{p}}{n=1} \frac{p}{n=1} \frac{p}{n} \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{n^{n}}{2} \frac{n^{n}}{2} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n$$

Because of the property $n_k^{\rm p}$ = $\,n_k$, (A 1) takes the form

$$n^{p}(i) = n(i) + D(i) \qquad p + T(i) \qquad p \\ n = 1 \qquad n = 2 \qquad n = 1 \qquad (A2)$$

where D (i) and T (i) are the double and triple occupancy operators as de ned in (2). The sum s in (A2) can be analytically performed

$$b_{1}^{(p)} = {\begin{array}{c} P & p & 1 & p \\ n = 1 & n & \end{array}} = 2^{p} 2$$

$$b_{2}^{(p)} = {\begin{array}{c} P & p & 1 & n \\ n = 2 & n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & 1 \\ n & \rule{0mm}{0mm} l = 1 & n \\ n & n$$

and we have the algebraic relation

$$n^{p}(i) = n(i) + b_{1}^{(p)}D(i) + b_{2}^{(p)}T(i)$$
 (A4)

2. Form ula for $[n (i)]^p$

By recalling that

$$[n (i)] = \frac{1}{2} [n(i+a) + n(i-a)]$$
(A5)

we have for p = 2;3

$$[n (i)]^{p} = \frac{1}{2^{p}} \prod_{m=0}^{p} \prod_{m=0}^{p} n^{p} (i+a)n^{m} (i+a)n^{m} (i-a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{p}} n^{p} (i+a) + \frac{1}{2^{p}} n^{p} (i-a) + \frac{1}{2^{p}} \prod_{m=1}^{p} n^{p} n^{m} (i+a)n^{m} (i-a)$$
(A 6)

Because of the algebraic relation (A 4) we obtain

$$[n (i)]^{p} = \frac{1}{2^{p}} \sum_{m=0}^{X^{5}} b_{m}^{(p)} Z_{m} (i)$$
(A7)

where the operators Z_m (i) are de ned as

 $Z_{0}(i) = 2n (i)$ $Z_{1}(i) = 2D (i) + n (i + a)n (i a)$ $Z_{2}(i) = 2T (i) + n (i + a)D (i a) + n (i a)D (i + a)$ $Z_{3}(i) = n (i + a)T (i a) + D (i + a)D (i a) + n (i a)T (i + a)$ $Z_{4}(i) = D (i + a)T (i a) + D (i a)T (i + a)$ $Z_{5}(i) = T (i + a)T (i a)$ (A 8)

and the new coe cients $b_n^{(p)}$ have the expressions

$$b_{0}^{(p)} = 1 \\ b_{3}^{(p)} = {}^{p} p_{m=1} p_{m} b_{2}^{(m)} = 4 (1 + 2^{2p} + 3 2^{1} 3^{p}) \\ b_{4}^{(p)} = {}^{p} p_{m=1} p_{m} b_{1}^{(pm)} b_{2}^{(m)} = 5 (1 2^{2p} 2^{p+1} + 2 3 5^{p-1}) \\ b_{5}^{(p)} = {}^{p} p_{m=1} p_{m} b_{2}^{(pm)} b_{2}^{(m)} = 6 (1 + 5 2^{1} + 5 2^{p-1} 10 3^{1} 5^{p} + 6^{p-1})$$
(A9)

By solving (A7) with respect to the quantities Z_m (i) we obtain the recurrence relation

$$[n (i)]^{p} = \int_{m=1}^{X^{6}} A_{m}^{(p)} [n (i)]^{n}$$
(A 10)

where the coe cients $A_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\,(p)}$ are rational numbers de ned as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1}^{(p)} &= \frac{1}{2^{p}} \left[2b_{0}^{(p)} \quad b_{1}^{(p)} + \frac{2}{3}b_{2}^{(p)} \quad \frac{1}{2}b_{3}^{(p)} + \frac{2}{5}b_{4}^{(p)} \quad \frac{1}{3}b_{5}^{(p)} \right] \\ A_{2}^{(p)} &= \frac{1}{2^{p}} \left[2b_{1}^{(p)} \quad 2b_{2}^{(p)} + \frac{11}{6}b_{3}^{(p)} \quad \frac{5}{3}b_{4}^{(p)} + \frac{137}{90}b_{5}^{(p)} \right] \\ A_{3}^{(p)} &= \frac{1}{2^{p}} \left[\frac{4}{3}b_{2}^{(p)} \quad 2b_{3}^{(p)} + \frac{7}{3}b_{4}^{(p)} \quad \frac{5}{2}b_{5}^{(p)} \right] \\ A_{4}^{(p)} &= \frac{1}{2^{p}} \left[\frac{2}{3}b_{3}^{(p)} \quad \frac{4}{3}b_{4}^{(p)} + \frac{17}{9}b_{5}^{(p)} \right] \\ A_{5}^{(p)} &= \frac{1}{2^{p}} \left[\frac{4}{15}b_{4}^{(p)} \quad \frac{2}{3}b_{5}^{(p)} \right] \\ A_{6}^{(p)} &= \frac{1}{2^{p}} \frac{4}{45}b_{5}^{(p)} \end{aligned}$$
(A 11)

W e note that

$$P_{m=1}^{6} A_{m}^{(p)} = 1
 A_{m}^{(p)} = m_{p} \quad (p \quad 6)$$
(A 12)

In table 1 we give some values of the coe cients $A_m^{(p)}$ (p > 6).

р	A ₁ ^(p)	A ₂ ^(p)	$A_3^{(p)}$	$A_4^{(p)}$	A 5	$A_5^{(p)}$
7	<u>45</u> 4	441 8	<u>203</u> 2	735	$\frac{175}{4}$	$\frac{21}{2}$
8	<u>945</u> 8	9081 16	8085	<u>13811</u> 16	735 2	$\frac{133}{2}$
9	<u>5985</u> 8	<u>56763</u> 16	<u>98915</u> 16	81585 16	<u>32739</u> 16	<u>1323</u> 4
10	<u>59535</u> 16	559503 32	480375 16	774575 32	<u>37485</u> 4	22827 16

APPENDIX B:ALGEBRA OF THE PROJECTION OPERATORS

On the basis of the canonical anti-commutation relations (2.2) it is straightforward to derive the algebra satis ed by the operators $_{a}$ (i), $_{a$

$$f (i); {}^{y(j)}g = {}^{B}_{ij} {}^{B}_{ij} {}^{C}_{ij} {}^{(i)}_{1} {}^{(1)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{1} {}^{(1)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{1} {}^{(1)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{1} {}^{(i)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{2}$$

$$f (i); {}^{y}(j)g = {}^{0}_{ij} {}^{0}_{ij} {}^{(i)}_{ij} {}^{(i)}_{1} {}^{(i)}_{1} {}^{(i)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{1} {}^{(i)}_{3} {}^{(i)}_{1} {}^{(i)}_{2} {}^{(i)}_{$$

It easy to verify that

$$f (i); {}^{y}(j)g + f (i); {}^{y}(j)g + f (i); {}^{y}(j)g = {}^{1}_{ij} {}^{0} {}^{0} {}^{1} {}^{0} {}^{0} {}^{C} {}^{C} {}^{C} {}^{O} {}^{1} {}^{0} {}^{O} {}^{C} {}^{C} {}^{O} {}^{O} {}^{O} {}^{O} {}^{C} {}^{O} {}^{O$$

0 ther relevant algebraic properties are

$$P_{3} = 1 \quad a(i) \quad y_{a}(i) = D(i) \quad 3T(i) \\
 P_{3} = 1 \quad y_{a}(i) \quad a(i) = 3T(i)
 (B7)$$

By m eans of these relations we can express the particle density operator, the double and triple occupancy operators as

 $n(i) = 3 \qquad \begin{array}{c} P_{3} \\ P_{a=1}^{a=1} (a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + a(i)_{a}^{y}(i)) \\ P_{3}^{a=1} (a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + \frac{3}{2} a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + 2 a(i)_{a}^{y}(i)) \\ P_{a=1}^{a=1} (\frac{1}{3} a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + \frac{1}{2} a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + a(i)_{a}^{y}(i)) \end{array}$ (B8) $T(i) = 1 \qquad \begin{array}{c} P_{3} \\ P_{a=1}^{a=1} (\frac{1}{3} a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + \frac{1}{2} a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + a(i)_{a}^{y}(i)) \\ P_{a=1}^{a=1} (\frac{1}{3} a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + \frac{1}{2} a(i)_{a}^{y}(i) + a(i)_{a}^{y}(i)) \end{array}$

A lso, the following relations hold

$$\begin{array}{ll} n^{2}(i) = n (i) + 2D (i) & D^{2}(i) = D (i) + 6T (i) \\ n (i)D (i) = 2D (i) + 3T (i) & D (i)T (i) = 3T (i) \\ n (i)T (i) = 3T (i) & T^{2} (i) = T (i) \end{array}$$
 (B9)

APPENDIX C:CALCULATION OF e $^{\rm H\ {\scriptstyle Ii}}$

From the de nition $H_{Ii} = 2V n (i)n$ (i) we have

$$e^{H_{II}} = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{M} \frac{1}{p!} (1)^{p} (2 V)^{p} n^{p} (i) [n (i)]^{p}$$
(C1)

Recalling (A4) and (A10) we can write

$$e^{H_{II}} = 1 + \frac{P_{1}}{P_{1}} \frac{1}{p!} (1)^{p} (2 \ V)^{p} \ln (i) + h_{1}^{(p)} D (i) + h_{2}^{(p)} T (i)]^{P_{m}} \frac{6}{m=1} A_{m}^{(p)} \ln (i) I^{m}$$

$$= 1 + n (i) \frac{P_{1}}{m=1} f_{m} \ln (i) I^{m} + D (i) \frac{P_{1}}{m=1} g_{m} \ln (i) I^{m} + T (i) \frac{P_{1}}{m=1} h_{m} \ln (i) I^{m}$$
(C2)

where

$$f_{m} = \frac{P_{1}}{P_{1}} \frac{1}{p!} (1)^{p} (2 \ V)^{p} A_{m}^{(p)}$$

$$g_{m} = \frac{P_{1}}{P_{1}} \frac{1}{p!} (1)^{p} (2 \ V)^{p} b_{1}^{(p)} A_{m}^{(p)}$$

$$h_{m} = \frac{1}{P_{p=1}} \frac{1}{p!} (1)^{p} (2 \ V)^{p} b_{2}^{(p)} A_{m}^{(p)}$$
(C3)

By using the explicit expression (A 11) of the ∞e cients $A_m^{(p)}$, the in nite sum sin (C 3) can be analytically performed. Straightforward calculations show that the parameters f_m , g_m and h_m are linear combinations of e^{n-V} with n ranging from 0 to 18.

E-m ail: avella@ sa.infn.it; G roup H om epage: http://scs.sa.infn.it

- ¹ F.M ancini, Europhys. Lett. 70, 485 (2005).
- ² F.Mancini, Eur. Phys. J.B 45, 497 (2005).
- ³ F.Mancini, Eur. Phys. J.B 47, 527 (2005).
- ⁴ E.Aydmer, C.Akyuz, M.Gonulol, and H.Polat (2005), cond-m at/0507177.
- ⁵ M. Suzuki, B. T su jiyam a, and S. K atsura, J. M ath. Phys. 8, 124 (1967).
- ⁶ T. Obokata and T. Oguchi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 25, 322 (1968).
- ⁷ H. Silver and N. E. Frankel, Prog. Theor. Phys. 46, 737 (1971).
- ⁸ X. Y. Chen, Q. Jiang, W. Z. Shen, and C. G. Zhong, J.M agn. M agn. M at. 262, 258 (2003).
- ⁹ V.R.Ohanyan and N.S.Ananikian, Phys. Lett. A 307, 76 (2003).
- ¹⁰ E.Aydmer, Chin.Phys.Lett. 21, 2289 (2004).
- ¹¹ E.Aydmer and C.Akyuz (2005), chin.Phys.Lett. (in press).
- ¹² Y. Narum i, M. Hagiwara, R. Sato, K. Kindo, H. Nakano, and M. Takahashi, Physica B 246, 509 (1998).

- ¹³ Y. Narumi, R. Sato, K. Kindo, and M. Hagiwara, J. Magn. Magn. Mat. 177, 685 (1998), and references therein.
- ¹⁴ T.Goto, M.I.Bartashevich, Y.Hosokoshi, K.Kato, and K.Inoue, Physica B 294, 43 (2001).
- ¹⁵ J.Sivardiere and M.Blume, Phys.Rev.B 5, 1126 (1972).
- ¹⁶ S.K rinsky and D.M ukam el, Phys. Rev. B 11, 399 (1975).
- ¹⁷ M.Blume, Phys. Rev. 141, 517 (1966).
- ¹⁸ H.Capel, Physica 32, 966 (1966).
- ¹⁹ H.Capel, Physica 33, 295 (1967).
- ²⁰ H.Capel, Physica 37, 423 (1967).
- ²¹ M.Bhume, V.Emery, and R.Griths, Phys.Rev.A 4, 1071 (1971).
- ²² J. A. Plascak, J. G. Moreira, and F. C. de Sa Barreto, Phys.Lett. A 195, 188 (1993).
- ²³ P.M.C.de O liveira, Europhys.Lett. 20, 621 (1992).
- $^{24}\,$ S.M.de O liveira, P.M.C.de O liveira, and F.C.de Sa

Barreto, J. Stat. Phys. 78, 1619 (1995).

- ²⁵ F.C. de Sa Barreto and O.F. de A lcântara Bon m, Physica A 172, 378 (1991).
- ²⁶ J.C.Xavier, F.C.A loaraz, D.P.Lara, and J.A.P lascak, Phys. Rev. B 57, 11575 (1998).
- ²⁷ T.Kaneyoshi, J.W. Tucker, and M. Jascur, Physica A 186, 495 (1992).
- ²⁸ G.L.Gal, T.K aneyoshi, and A.K hater, Physica A 195, 174 (1993).
- ²⁹ M .Jurcisin, A .B obak, and M .Jascur, Physica A 224, 684 (1996).
- ³⁰ S.Grollau, Phys. Rev. B 65, 056130 (2002).
- ³¹ F.M ancini and A.Avella, Eur. Phys. J.B 36, 37 (2003).
- 32 F.M ancini and A.A vella, A dv.P hys. 53, 537 (2004).
- ³³ D.Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1307 (1997).