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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the Ising $m$ odel $w$ ith general spin $S$ in presence of an extemalm agnetic eld by $m$ eans of the equations of $m$ otion $m$ ethod and of the $G$ reen's function form alism. First, the m odel is show n to be isom orphic to a ferm ionic one constituted of 2 S species of localized particles interacting via an intersite $C$ oulom $b$ interaction. Then, an exact solution is found, for any dim ension, in tem s of a nite, com plete set of eigenoperators of the latter H am ilton ian and of the corresponding eigenenergies. This explicit know ledge $m$ akes possible writing exact expressions for the corresponding $G$ reen's function and correlation functions, which tum out to depend on a nite set of param eters to be self-consistently determ ined. F inally, w e present an originalprocedure, based on algebraic constraints, to exactly $x$ these latter param eters in the case of dim ension 1 and spin $\frac{3}{2}$. For th is latter case and, just for com parison, for the cases of dim ension 1 and spin $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{F} . M$ ancini, Eur. Phys. J. B 45, 497 (2005)] and spin 1 F.M ancini, Eur. Phys. J. B 47, 527 (2005)], relevant properties such as m agnetization $h S i$ and square $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent $h S^{2} i$, susceptibility and speci c heat are reported as functions of tem perature and extemalm agnetic eld both for ferrom agnetic and antiferrom agnetic couplings. It is w orth noticing the use wem ade of com posite operators describing occupation transitions am ong the 3 species of localized particles and the related study of single, double and triple occupancy per site.


## I. INTRODUCTION

In a previous artic we have shown that a system constituted of q species of particles, satisfying Ferm i statistics, subject to nite-range interactions and localized on the sites of a B ravais lattice, is exactly solvable in any dim ension. By exactly solvable we m ean that it is alw ayspossible nding a com plete, nite set ofeigenenergies and eigenoperators of the $H$ am iltonian which closes the hierarchy of the equations of $m$ otion. Then, form al exact expressions for the corresponding $G$ reen's functions and correlation functions can be derived. The solution is only form alas these latter tum out to depend on a nite set coman eters to be self-consistently determ ined. In Refs we have shown how is possible to exactly $x$ such param eters, by $m$ eans of algebraic constraints, for the one-dim ensional $q=1$ and $q=2$ cases, respectively.

In Re: we have also shown that this system is isom orphic to a spin $-\frac{q}{2}$ Ising-likem odelin presence of an extemalm agnetic eld. A ccording to this, the exact know ledge of a com plete set of eigenoperators and eigenenergies of the system in any dim ension acquires an evident relevance w th respect to the hoary problem of solving the tw o-dim ensional (in presence of an extemalm agnetic
eld) and the three-dim ensional Ising $m$ odels. In particular, the exact know ledge of the eigenenergies of the system can shed som e light on the energy scales ruling the physicalproperties and the $m$ agnetic response of the system and can nd an application as unbiased check for the approxim ate solutions present in the literature. M oreover, this approach can eventually open a new route in the quest for an exact solution for these system $s$ in higher dim ensions as we have shown that it is alw ays possible
nding a form al exact expressions for the corresponding G reen's functions and correlation functions. The solu-
tion is only form alas these latter tum out to depend on a nite set of param eters to be self-consistently determ ined. W e have also show $n$ how is possible to exactly x such param eters, by $m$ eans of algebraic constraints, for the one-dim ensional case and we are now working on the possibility to use other algebraic constraints and topological relations for higher dim ensions.

In this article, we apply this form ulation to the onedim ensional $q=3$ case. $T$ his $m$ odel is isom orphic to the one-dim ensional Ising $m$ odel for spin $S=\frac{3}{2}$ in presence of an extemal magnetic eld. This latter model can be exactly solved by $m$ eans of the transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod which reduces the solution to an eigenvalue problem of the fourth order (generally, we have an eigenvalue problem of the $2 \mathrm{~S}+1$-th order). U nfortunately, the analytic solutions of a fourth order equation are well know $n$, but absolutely untractable and any result, along this way, could be obtained only by means of num erical techniques, which surely do not facilitate a microscopic understan ${ }^{-1 .}$ of the properties of the system (see, for instance, $R$ ef . In 1967, by $m$ eans of a perturbation $m$ ethod, Suzukı, T su jyam a and K atsura m anaged to reduce the order of the problem to the largest integer sm aller than $S+1$ in the case of zero extemalm agnetic
eld and exactly com puted the energy, the speci c heat and susceptibili O n year later, O bokata and O guc $m$ anaged to apply the Bethe approxim ation, which becom es exact in one dim ension, to the system and to recover the sam e exact results obtained by Suzuki. T hese latter w ere also recovered, few years later, by Silver and Frank whom anaged to form ulate the problem as a difference equation oforder $2 \mathrm{~S}+1$ by an inductive technique and to reduce the order to the largest integer sm aller than $S+1$ in the case of zero extemalm agnetic eld.
$T$ he spin $-\frac{3}{2}$ Ising $m$ odel in one dim ension show $s m$ ag-
netic plateal i.e. topologicalquantization of the $m$ agnetization at the ground state of the system due to $m$ agnetic excitations, leading to the qualitatively sam e structures of the $m$ agnetization pro les of the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel. A ccording to this, the study of this classical system can shed some light on the plateau mechanism and if it has purely quantum origin or can also depend on dim erization, frustration, single-ion anisotropy or periodic eld. These plateaus have been predicted not only in theoretical study but also have been observed in experim ental studies. For exam ple, N anum i et $\overline{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{ob}-$ served the $m$ agnetic plateaus in the $m$ agnetization curve forboth $\mathbb{N} i_{2}(\mathrm{M} \text { edpt })_{2}\left(\quad\right.$ ox) $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{C} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathbb{N} i_{2}(\mathrm{M} \text { edpt })_{2}\left(\quad\right.$ ox) $\left.\left(\quad N_{3}\right)\right]\left(C l_{4}\right)_{0: 5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. G oto et c reported the existence of the $m$ agnetization plateau at $0: 25$ in spin-1 3,3,5,5-tetrakis ( $N$ tert-butylam inxyl) biphenyl ( $B \mathbb{P}-T E N O$ ). In three dim ensions and with additional term $s$ in the H am iltonian, the spin- $-\frac{3}{2}$ Ising m odel have been initially introduced to give a qualitative description of phase transition observed in the com pound D YV O and also to describe temary m ixtun In particular, the phase diagram is not well know $n$ in contrast to the case $S=1$, which represents a special case of the B lum e-C ap and of the B lum e-Emery-Gri th models often used to study a variety of interesting physical system $s$ and, in particular, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He} \quad{ }^{4} \mathrm{H}$ e m ixtures, uid m ixtures and critical phenom ena. The m ean eld treatm $\in$ predicts that the phase diagram di ens for integer and half-odd-integer spins and does not present any m ulticriticalpoint. W hile renorm alization-group calculatior and $M$ onte $C$ arlo simulatior suggest the existence of a multicritical point, transferm atrix and conform alinvariance studi show that there is no multicritical point in the phase diagram . U nfortunately, B ethe $P$ eier and two-spincluster approxim atior have not been perform ed in the low -tem perature region. In qualitative agreem ent with the $m$ ean- eld analysis, we can also nd results from the self-consistent $O$ mstein-Zemike approxim atid

In this m anuscript, we present the exact solution of the $m$ odel in presence of an extemalm agnetic eld. In the rst section, we present the m odel. In the follow ing section, we give the general solution in term $s$ of the eigenoperators and of the eigenenergies. In the third section, we specialize the solution to the one-dim ensional $q=3-S=\frac{3}{2}$ case. In the fourth section, we show how to close the self-consistent equations and com pute all relevant correlation functions. In the fth section, we present relevant properties such asm agnetization hS i and square $m$ agnetic $m$ om enths ${ }^{2} i$, susceptibility and speci cheat as functions of the tem perature and the extemalm agnetic
eld both for ferrom agnetic and antiferrom agnetic couplings. C oncluding rem arks follow .
II. THEMODEL

W e have analyzed a system constituted of q species of interacting particles obeying Ferm i statistics, localized on the sites of a B ravais lattice and whose dynam ics is nuled by the follow ing grand-canonical H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\quad X_{i ; a} n_{a}(i)+\frac{1}{2}_{i j ; a b}^{X} V_{a b}(i ; j) n_{a}(i) n_{b}(j) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $i$ stands for the lattice vector $R_{i}$ and $i=(i ; t)$. $n_{a}(i)=c_{a}^{y}$ (i) $c_{a}$ (i) is the particle density operator of particles of species a at the site i. $c_{a}$ (i) and $c_{a}^{y}$ (i) are annihilation and creation operators, respectively, of particles of species a at the site i. They satisfy canonical anticom mutation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{fC}_{a}(i ; t) ; \mathrm{C}_{b}^{y}(j ; \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{ab} i j \\
& \mathrm{fC}_{a}(i ; \mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{b}}(j ; \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{fc}_{a}^{\mathrm{y}}(i ; \mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{y}}(j ; \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{g}=0 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

is the chem ical potential and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ab}}(i ; j)$ is the strength of the interaction betw een particles of species $a$ and $b$ at distance ï jj. W e have supposed that the particles are frozen on the lattice sites as their $m$ asses are very large and/or the interactions are so strong that the kinetic energy is negligible.

In this $m$ anuscript, we have focused on the case in which the interaction $V_{a b}(i ; j)$ does not depend on the particle species and is e ective only between nearestneighbor sites. That is, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ab}}(i ; j)=2 d V \quad i j$ where $d$ is the dim ensionality of the system, ij is the projector on the nearest-neighbor sites and $V$ is the bare strength of the interaction. For a hyper-cubic lattioe of lattice constant a we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& i j=\frac{1}{N}_{k}^{X} e^{i k\left(R_{i} R_{j}\right)}(k) \\
& (k)=\frac{1}{d}_{n=1}^{X^{d}} \cos \left(k_{n} a\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where N is the num ber of sites.
H ereafter, for a generic operator (i), we w ill use the follow ing notation

$$
(i ; t)={ }_{j}^{X} \quad i j \quad(j ; t)
$$

. It is also usefult to introduce the vectorial notation
$C(i)=\begin{array}{ccc}C_{1} & \text { (i) } \\ B & C_{2} & \text { (i) } \\ C \\ B & C \\ B & \vdots & C\end{array}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{q}(i) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
c^{y}(i)=c_{1}^{y}(i) \quad c_{2}^{y}(i) \quad{ }_{q}^{y}(i \phi
$$

and to de ne the total particle density

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(i)=\int_{a}^{X} n_{a}(i)=C_{a}^{X} \quad(i) c_{a}(i)=c^{y}(i) c(i) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Let us consider now the transform ation
$n(i)=\frac{q}{2}+S$ (i)
It is clear that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{i})=0, \quad \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{q}=2 \\
& \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{i})=1, \quad \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{i})=1 \quad \mathrm{q}=2 \\
& \vdots  \tag{2.9}\\
& \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{q} \\
& \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{q}, \\
& 1, \quad \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{q}=2
\end{align*}
$$

 can be cast in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=d J{ }_{i}^{X} S(i) S \text { (i) } h_{i}^{X} S(i)+E_{0} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we de ned

$$
\begin{align*}
& J=d V \\
& h=q d V  \tag{2.11}\\
& E_{0}=\frac{q}{2}\left(\quad+\frac{q}{2} d V\right) N
\end{align*}
$$

The Ham iltonian is just the d-dimensional spin $-\frac{g}{2}$ Ising $m$ odelw ith nearest-neighbor interaction in presence ofan extemalm agnetic eld. In thism anuscript, we have chosen to use the particle notation but the results that we have obtained am obviousty valid for both the particle and spin sy atom after the transfor-
$m$ ation

> III. COMPOSITE OPERATORSAND EQUATIONSOFMOTION

In order to apply the equations ofm otion $m$ ethod and the $G$ reen's function form alism, we need to identify a suitable operatorial bas

It is im m ediate to verify that the particle density operator $n$ (i) has no time dependence

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t} n(i)=[n(i) ; H]=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to this, the operator $n$ (i), although it would have been a natural choice as com ponent of the operatorial basis for such a system, is not suitable for this purpose. Let us introduce, instead, the follow ing series of com posite eld operators

$$
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{i})\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & \text { (i) }]^{p 1} \tag{3.2}
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{p}=1 ; 2 ;:::\right.
$$

whose rst elem ent is just c(i). These elds satisfy the follow ing hierarchy of equations ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t} p(i)=p(i)+2 d V p+1 \text { (i) } \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, because of the anti-com $m$ utation relations it can be show $n$ that the operators $[n \text { (i) }]^{p}$ satisty the follow ing relation
where the coe cients $A_{m}^{(p)}$ are rationalnum bers that can be easily determ ined after the algebra and the actual structure of the lattice (see A ppendix A ford = 1 and $q=$ 3). Thpn for $p=2 q d+1$, the hierarchy of equations of m otion $2 \mathrm{qd}+2(1)=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{i})$ [in loses as the additionally generated operator the rst 2 qd +1
(i) $]^{2 q d+1}$
rst 2qd +1 elem ents 0

rew ritten in term s of A ccording to this, the n-com ponent com posite eld operator (i), de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \quad \text { (i) }^{1} 0 \quad \mathrm{C} \text { (i) } \quad 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& n \text { (i) } \quad C \text { (i) }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & \text { (i) }]^{n} 1
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

whomen $=2 q d+1$, is an eigenoperator of the $H$ am iltonian as it satis es the equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t} \quad(i)=[(i) ; H]=" \quad \text { (i) } \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $n \quad n$ energy $m$ atrix " has the follow ing expression

The eigenvalues $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ of the energy m atrix " read as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}=\quad+(\mathrm{m} \quad 1) \mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{~m}=1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 term ined for them a com plete set or elgenoperators and eigenvalues in any dim ension d. The solution is only for$m$ alas we have still to com pute all correlation functions.

Let us de ne the them al retarded $G$ reen's function

$$
G^{R}(i ; j)=h R\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (i) } & \left.{ }^{y}(j)\right] i=\quad\left(t_{i}\right.  \tag{3.9}\\
t_{j}
\end{array}\right) h f \quad \text { (i); }{ }^{y}(j) g i
$$

where h i denotes the quantum-statistical average over U nfortunately, the derivation of a set of self-consistent the grand canonical ensemble. By introducing the Fourier transform

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{R}(i ; j)=Z Z \\
& =\frac{i}{(2)^{2 d+1}} d!d k e^{i k\left(R_{i} R j\right) i!\left(t_{i} t_{j}\right) G^{R}(k ;!)} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and by $m$ eans of the equation we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[!\quad "] G^{R}(k ;!)=I(k) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $I(k)$ is the Fourier transform of the norm alization m atrix

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad I(i ; j)=\text { hf } \quad(i ; t) ;{ }^{y}(j ; t) g i  \tag{3.12}\\
& \text { Equation } \\
& G^{R}(k ;!)=X_{m=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{(m)(k)}{!E_{m}+i} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he spectraldensity $m$ atrices ${ }^{(m)}(k)$ can be calculated by $m$ eans of the form $u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a b}^{(m)}(k)={ }_{a m}{ }_{c}^{X} \quad{ }^{1}{ }_{c}^{1} I_{c b}(k) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is then $n m$ atrix whose colum ns are the eigenvectors of the $m$ atrix ". T he correlation functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(i ; j)=h \quad(i){ }^{y}(j) i \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be im m ediately calculated after and read as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(k ;!)=X_{m=1}^{X^{n}} T_{m}^{(m)}(k) \quad\left(!\quad E_{m}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}=1+\tanh \frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ is the tem perature. By sim ilar techniques we can calculate multi-point correlation functions as $C\left(i ; j ; l_{1} ; l_{2} ;::: ; l_{s}\right) \quad$ (i) ${ }^{y}(j) n\left(l_{1}\right) n\left(l_{2}\right) \quad$ still For an exam ple,

Form ally, ana constitute the exact solution of the problem under analysis. The solution is only form al as the com plete know ledge of the $G$ reen's function and of the correlation functions is not fully achieved ow ing to unknown static correlation functions appearing in the norm alization $m$ atrix $I(k)$ because of the com plex non-canonical algebra satis ed by the eld (i). The unknown correlators are expectation values of operators not belonging to the chosen basis (i) and should be self-consistently calculated in order to de nitively conclude the analysis and get the com plete exact solution.
equations capable to determ ine the unknown correlators is not an easy task at all. M oreover, it depends on the particularm odelun analysis and, in particular, on its dim ension d . In Re we have developed a technique to obtain a com plete exact solution in the one-dim ensional case ( $\mathrm{d}=1$ ) by determ ining the unknow n correlators by m eans of algebraic constraints. $W$ thin this fram ew ork, we have studied the 2 -sta $(q=1)$ and the 3 -sta $(q=2) \mathrm{m}$ odels. In this article, we consider the onedim ensional 4-state $(q=3) \mathrm{m}$ odel.
IV. THEONE-D IM ENSIONAL 4-STATE MODEL

W e now apply the generalform ulation given in the previous Section to an in nite hom ogeneous ( $d=1$ ) $w$ th $q=3$. $T$ he derivation of the relation for this speci c case and the explicit expressions of the coe cients $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{p})}$ are given in A ppendix A. A ccordingly, the last row of the energy $m$ atrix " has the follow ing nonzero entries

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
"_{72}=\frac{45}{2} \mathrm{~V} & "_{73}=\frac{441}{4} \mathrm{~V} & "_{74}= & 203 \mathrm{~V} \\
7_{75}=\frac{735}{4} \mathrm{~V} & "_{76}= & \frac{175}{2} \mathrm{~V} & "_{77}= \tag{4.1}
\end{array}
$$

The matrix has the expression
and the norm alization $m$ atrix I reads as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{0} \mathrm{I}_{11} \mathrm{I}_{12} \quad \mathrm{I}_{13} \quad \mathrm{I}_{14} \quad \mathrm{I}_{15} \mathrm{I}_{16} \mathrm{I}_{17}{ }^{1} \\
& I=\begin{array}{llllllll}
\mathrm{B} & \mathrm{I}_{12} & \mathrm{I}_{13} & \mathrm{I}_{14} & I_{15} & I_{16} & I_{17} & I_{27} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{I}_{13} & \mathrm{I}_{14} & \mathrm{I}_{15} & I_{16} & \mathrm{I}_{17} & I_{27} & I_{37} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{I}_{14} & \mathrm{I}_{15} & \mathrm{I}_{16} & I_{17} & I_{27} & I_{37} & I_{47} \\
\mathrm{~B} \\
\mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{I}_{15} & \mathrm{I}_{16} & \mathrm{I}_{17} & I_{27} & I_{37} & I_{47} & I_{57} \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{I}_{16} & \mathrm{I}_{17} & I_{27} & I_{37} & I_{47} & I_{57} & I_{67} & A
\end{array}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \begin{array}{llllllll}
I_{17} & I_{27} & I_{37} & I_{47} & I_{57} & I_{67} & I_{77}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1 ; p}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
1
\end{array}\right) \\
I_{p ; 7} & =X^{6} \\
m=1 \\
I_{1 ; m}+1 A_{m}^{(p+5)} \\
(p) & =h\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(i)
\end{array}\right]^{p} i
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the spectral density matrioes (m) can be easily com puted by means of Es functions by $m$ eans of $E q$.

It is worth noticing that, accordinc
 seven independent spectral density $m$ atrioes ${ }_{1 ; \mathrm{p}}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ and seven independent tw o-point correlation functions $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {;p }}=$ hc (i) $\mathrm{c}^{y}$ (i) $[\mathrm{n} \text { (i) }]^{1}$ i. All others can he ohtained accom binations of these latter according to

A s regards the spectral density m atroes, w e nave

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m)=m^{(m)} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ are functions of the elem ents $I_{1 ; p} w$ th $p=$ $1 ;::: ; 7$ and $(\mathrm{m})$ are num ericalm atrices. In particular, we have the expressions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
1=\mathrm{I}_{1 ; 1} \\
+\frac{1}{90}\left(\begin{array}{lllll} 
& 441 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 2}+812 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 3} & 735 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 4}+350 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 5} & 84 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 6}+8 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 7}
\end{array}\right) \\
2=\frac{2}{15}\left(90 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 2} \quad 261 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 3}+290 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 4} \quad 155 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 5}+40 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 6} \quad 4 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 7}\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$$
{ }_{4}=\frac{4}{9}\left(30 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 2} \quad 127 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 3}+186 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 4} \quad 121 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 5}+36 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 6} \quad 4 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 7}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { am ong states } \mathrm{w} \text { th di erent particle num ber at the site i: } \\
& 0,1,1,2,2,3, \text { respectively. } \mathrm{T} \text { heir com plex algebra }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
5=\frac{1}{6}\left(45 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 2}+198 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 3} \quad 307 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 4}+214 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 5} \quad 68 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 6}+8 \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 7}\right) \text { satisfy the follow ing relevant relations }
$$

(4.8) and
and

A s regards the tw o-point correlation function, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(i ; j)=i_{i j} \frac{1}{m=1}_{X^{7}}^{T_{m}}{ }^{(m)} e^{i E_{m}\left(t_{i} t_{j}\right)} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## V. SELF-CONSISTENTEQUATIONS

The correlation functions $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{k}}$ depend, through the spectral density $m$ atrioes that aro finctions of the entries of the nom alization $m$ atrix $\quad$ on the correlators ${ }^{(p)}=h[n(i)]^{p}$ iw th $p=1 ;::: ; 0$. At this stage, the
correlators (p) are unknown acthe pperators $[\mathrm{n} \text { (i) }]^{\text {p }}$ do not belong to the chosen basis

To the punpose of de nitively com puting the correlation functions $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{k}}$, let us introduce the follow ing operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(i)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & n(i)+D(i)
\end{array}\right] c_{a} \text { (i) } \\
& a(i)=[n(i) \quad 2 D \quad \text { (i) }] c_{a}(i)  \tag{5.1}\\
& a(i)=D \quad \text { (i) } c_{a}(i)
\end{align*}
$$

where the double D (i) and the triple $T$ (i) occupancy operators at the site i read as

$$
D(i)=^{X^{3}} n_{a}(i) n_{b}(i)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(i)=Y_{a=1}^{Y^{3}} n_{a}(i) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is presented in detail in A ppendix B. In particular, they

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{a}(i)=a(i)+a_{a}(i)+a(i) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\underset{a}{y}(i)_{b}(i)=\frac{y}{a}(i)_{b}(i)=\frac{y}{a}(i)_{b}(i)=0
$$

| $\frac{y}{a}(i) n(i)=0$ | $\frac{y}{a}(i) D(i)=0$ | $\frac{y}{a}(i) T(i)=0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{y}{a}(i) n(i)=\frac{y}{a}(i)$ | $\frac{y}{a}(i) D(i)=0$ | $\frac{y}{a}(i) T(i)=0$ |
| $\frac{y}{a}(i) n(i)=2 \underset{a}{y}(i)$ | $\frac{y}{a}(i) D(i)={ }_{a}^{y}(i)$ | ${ }_{a}^{y}(i) T(i)=0$ |

for any choice of the indices $a$ and $b$.
A fter it is possible to show that (see A ppendix C)
where $\mathrm{H}_{0 i}$ is a reduced part of the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0 i}=H^{H i} \quad H_{I i} \\
& H_{I i}=2 V_{n(i) n}^{(i)} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have used for (i), (i) and (i) the camo vectorial notation that has been used for $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{i})$ (see . The

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{y} \text { (i) } e^{H}={ }^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{(\mathrm{i})} \mathrm{e}_{( }^{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{0 \mathrm{i}} \\
& y_{\text {(i) }}{ }^{H}=y_{\text {(i) }} 1+X^{6} f_{m}\left[n^{(i)}\right]^{m} e^{H \quad i_{i}} \\
& y_{\text {(i) }} e^{H}=y_{\text {(i) }} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{oi}^{\mathrm{i}} \\
& +{ }^{y}{ }_{(i)}^{X^{6}}\left(2 f_{m}+g_{m}\right)\left[n(\text { (i) }]^{m} e^{H \text { oi }}\right. \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{1 ; \mathrm{m}}^{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} 000\right) \\
& { }_{1 ; \mathrm{m}}^{(2)}=12^{1} 2^{2} 2^{3} 2^{4} 2^{5} 2^{6} \\
& { }_{1 ; \mathrm{m}}^{(3)}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array} 11\right. \text { ) } \\
& { }_{1 ; \mathrm{m}}^{(4)}=1(2=3)^{1} \quad(2=3)^{2} \quad(2=3)^{3} \quad(2=3)^{4} \quad(2=3)^{5} \quad(2=3)^{6} \\
& { }_{1 ; \mathrm{m}}^{(5)}=1(1=2)^{1} \quad(1=2)^{2} \quad(1=2)^{3} \quad(1=2)^{4} \quad(1=2)^{5} \quad(1=2)^{6} \\
& { }_{1 ; \mathrm{m}}^{(6)}=1(2=5)^{1} \quad(2=5)^{2} \quad(2=5)^{3} \quad(2=5)^{4} \quad(2=5)^{5} \quad(2=5)^{6} \\
& { }_{1 ; \mathrm{m}}^{(7)}=1(1=3)^{1} \quad(1=3)^{2} \quad(1=3)^{3} \quad(1=3)^{4} \quad(1=3)^{5} \quad(1=3)^{6} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

coe cients $f_{m}$ and $g_{m}$ am do ned in Appendix C.We w ill exploit the relations in order to com pute the correlation functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1 ; k}=h \quad \text { (i) }{ }^{y} \text { (i) }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { n (i) }]^{k}{ }^{1} i
\end{array}\right. \\
& C_{1 ; k}=h \text { (i) }{ }^{y} \text { (i) }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { (i) } \left.]^{1}{ }^{1} \text { i } \quad k=1 ;::: ; 7\right]
\end{array}\right.  \tag{5.7}\\
& C_{1 ; k}=h \text { (i) }{ }^{y} \text { (i) }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (i) }]^{k} & i
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { that, after the relations } & \text { sum up to } C_{1 ; k}=C_{1 ; k}+ \\ C_{1 ; k}+C_{1 ; k} \text {. By m eans of } & \text { we have }\end{array}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1 ; k}=\frac{h \quad \text { (i) }{ }^{y} \text { (i) }[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{k}{ }^{1} \dot{i}_{0 i}}{h e^{H{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{i}_{0 \mathrm{i}}}} \\
& \left.C_{1 ; k}=\frac{h^{(i)}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \text { (i) }[\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{h}} \text { (i) }\right]^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{i}_{0 \mathrm{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1 ; k}=\frac{h(i){ }^{y} \text { (i) }[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{k}{ }^{1} \dot{i}_{0 i}}{h^{H}{ }^{H \quad{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{i}_{0 i}}} \\
& +X_{m=1}^{X^{6}}\left(2 f_{m}+g_{m}\right) \frac{h^{(i)}{ }^{y} \text { (i) }\left[h^{\text {(i) }]^{k} 1+m} \dot{i}_{0 i}\right.}{h^{H}{ }^{H i} i_{0 i}} \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where for a generic operatoro the notation ho $i_{0 i}$ denotes the them alaverage $w$ ith respect to $\mathrm{H}_{0 i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ho} \mathrm{i}_{0 \mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{TrfO}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{O}^{0_{i}} \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{Trfe}^{\mathrm{H}{ }^{0 i}} \mathrm{~g}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we observe that $H_{0 i}$ describes a system where the site $i$ is not connected to any other site of the chain. Then, at the site i the local operators en joy a free dynam ics

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\text { (i) } ; \mathrm{H}_{0 i}\right]=} \\
& {\left[\text { (i) } ; \mathrm{H}_{0 i}\right]=}  \tag{5.10}\\
& {\left[\text { (i) } ; \mathrm{H}_{0 i}\right]=}
\end{align*}
$$

By means of these omations of $m$ otion and by $m$ aking use of the relations it is possible to derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) }{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \text { (i) }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & \text { (i) }]^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1}{ }_{0 i}=\frac{1}{(1+e \quad)^{3}}\left[n^{(i)}\right]^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1} 0 i
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (i) }{ }^{y} \text { (i) }[n \\
& \text { (i) }]^{k}{ }_{0 i}=\frac{e^{2}}{(1+e \quad)^{3}} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & \text { (i) }]^{k} 1 \\
0 i
\end{array}\right. \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and rew rite

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1 ; k}=\frac{1}{(1+e \quad)^{3}} \frac{h\left[n^{(i)}\right]^{k}{ }^{1} \dot{i}_{0 i}}{h^{H}{ }^{H i} \dot{i}_{0 i}} \\
& C_{1 ; k}=\frac{2 e}{(1+e)^{3}} \frac{h[n(i)]^{k}{ }^{1} i_{0 i}}{h^{H}{ }^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{i}_{0 i}} \\
& +\frac{2 e}{(1+e)^{3}}{ }_{m=1}^{X^{6}} f_{m} \frac{h[n(i))^{m+k}{ }^{1} \dot{i}_{0 i}}{h^{H{ }^{I i} \dot{I}_{0 i}}} \\
& C_{1 ; k}=\frac{e^{2}}{(1+e)^{3}} \frac{h[n \quad(i)]^{k}{ }^{1} \dot{i}_{0 i}}{h e^{H}{ }^{\mathrm{I}^{i}} \dot{i}_{0 i}} \\
& +{\frac{e^{2}}{(1+e)^{3}}}_{m=1}^{X^{6}}\left(2 f_{m}+g_{m}\right) \frac{h[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{m+k}{ }^{1} i_{0 i}}{h e^{H{ }^{I i} i_{0 i}}} \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ herefore, we are left $w$ ith the problem of com puting the functions hnin (i) ${ }^{1} i_{0 i}$.

N ow , we observe that $\mathrm{H}_{0 i}$ describes a system where the original in nite chain is split into two disconnected in nite sub-chains (the in nite chains to the left and to the right of the site i) plus the site i. Then, in the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Oi}^{-}}$ representation, correlation functions which relate sites belonging to di erent sub-chains and/or the site $i$, can be decoupled:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ha }(j) b(1) i_{0 i}=h a(j) i_{0 i} h b(1) i_{0 i} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

when, for instance, $j$ i $\quad a(j)$ and $b(1)$ are any $\begin{array}{lll}\text { functioncof } n(i) & \text { and } n(1) \text {, respectively. Let mall } \\ \text { Eqs. } & \text { and } & \text { By using the property } \\ \text { have } & & \text { we }\end{array}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& h Z_{0} \text { (i) } i_{0 i}=2 X_{1} \\
& h Z_{1}(i) i_{0 i}=2 X_{2}+X_{1}^{2} \\
& h Z_{2}(i) i_{0 i}=2 X_{3}+2 X_{1} X_{2} \\
& h Z_{3}(i) i_{0 i}=2 X_{1} X_{3}+X_{2}^{2}  \tag{5.14}\\
& h Z_{4}(i) i_{0 i}=2 X_{2} X_{3} \\
& h Z_{5}(i) i_{0 i}=X_{3}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{1}=h n & \text { (i) } i_{0 i} \\
X_{2}=h D & \text { (i) } i_{0 i}  \tag{5.15}\\
X_{3}=h T & \text { (i) } i_{0 i}
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& h[n(i)]^{p} i_{0 i}={\frac{1}{2^{p}}}^{h} 2 X_{1}+b_{1}^{(p)}\left(2 X_{2}+X_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +2 b_{2}^{(p)}\left(X_{3}+X_{1} X_{2}\right)+b_{i}^{(p)}\left(2 X_{1} X_{3}+X_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{5.16}\\
& +2 b_{4}^{(p)} X_{2} X_{3}+b_{5}^{(p)} X_{3}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The problem ofcom puting alltw o-point correlation functions is thus reduced to the problem of com puting just
three param eters: $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$. If we suppose the system to be hom ogenous, we can use the follow ing three self-consistent equations in order to determ ine the unknown param eters


$$
\begin{equation*}
n(i) e^{H_{r i}}{ }_{0 i}=B_{1}+X_{m=1}^{X_{m}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}+2 B_{2}\right) \tag{5,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.+g_{m}\left(2 B_{2}+3 B_{3}\right)+h_{m} 3 B_{3}\right] h\left[n(i) f^{m} i_{0 i}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \text { (i)e }{ }_{0 i}^{H{ }_{I i}}=X_{1}+X^{6} \quad\left[f_{m} B_{1}+g_{m} B_{2}\right. \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~B}_{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & \text { (i) }]^{m+1} 0 i \tag{5,24}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
h D \text { (i)e }{ }^{H{ }_{I i}} i_{0 i}=B_{2}+{ }^{X^{6}}\left[\left(2 B_{2}+3 B_{3}\right) f_{m}\right.
$$

$$
\mathrm{m}=1
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\left(B_{2}+6 B_{3}\right) g_{m}+3 B_{3} h_{m}\right] h[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{m} i_{0 i} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
h D \quad \text { (i) } e^{H}{ }_{I i} \dot{I}_{0 i}=X_{2}+X_{m=1}^{X^{6}} \mathbb{B}_{1} f_{m}+B_{2} g_{m}
$$

$$
\left.+\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{m}}\right] \mathrm{hD} \quad \text { (i) }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & \text { (i) }]^{m} i_{0 i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{B}_{1}=\mathrm{hn}(\mathrm{i}) i_{0 i}=\frac{3 e}{\mathrm{e}+1}  \tag{525}\\
& \mathrm{~B}_{2}=\mathrm{hD}(\mathrm{i}) i_{0 i}=\frac{3 e^{2}}{(\mathrm{e}+1)^{2}}  \tag{521}\\
& \mathrm{~B}_{3}=\mathrm{hT}(\mathrm{i}) i_{0 \mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{3}}{(\mathrm{e}+1)^{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e need to calculate the avemanc $h D \quad$ (i) $[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{m} i_{0 i}$ and hT (i) $[n \text { (i) }]^{m} i_{0 i}$. By using
hD

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (i) }[n & \text { (i) }]^{p} i_{0 i}=\frac{1}{2^{p}}{ }_{m=0}^{X^{5}} b_{m}^{(p)} h D \quad \text { (i) } Z_{m} \quad \text { (i) } i_{0 i} \tag{522}
\end{array}
$$

hT (i) $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { n } & \text { (i) }]^{p} \dot{i}_{0 i}={\frac{1}{2^{p}}}_{m=0}^{X^{5}} \mathrm{~b}_{m}^{(p)} h T \quad \text { (i) } Z_{m} \quad \text { (i) } i_{0 i}\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { " } X^{6} \\
& h T \text { (i)e }{ }^{H}{ }^{\mathrm{Ii}} \mathrm{i}_{0 i}=B_{3} 1+{ }_{m=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{6}}\left(3 f_{m}+3 g_{m}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+h_{m}\right)\right] h(n \quad \text { (i) }]^{m} i_{0 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+B_{3} h_{m}\right) h T \quad \text { (i) }[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{m} i_{0 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h n \text { (i) } i=h n \text { (i)i) } h n \text { (i) } e^{H}{ }^{1} i_{0 i}=h n \text { (i) } e^{H}{ }^{1} i_{0 i} \\
& h D \text { (i) } i=h D \text { (i)i) } h D \text { (i)e }{ }^{H}{ }^{1} \dot{L}_{0 i}=h D \quad \text { (i) } e^{H}{ }^{1} i_{0 i} \\
& h T \text { (i) } i=h T \text { (i)i) } h T \text { (i) } e^{H{ }^{1} i_{0 i}=h T \quad \text { (i) } e^{H}{ }^{1} i_{0 i}, ~}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ry macalling the de nition. and using the property

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{hD} \quad \text { (i) }[\mathrm{n} \quad \text { (i) }]^{p} \mathrm{i}_{0 \mathrm{i}}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{p}}}\left[2 \mathrm{X}_{2}+3 \mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right. \\
& +\mathrm{b}_{1}^{(\mathrm{p})}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}+6 \mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{X}_{2}^{2}+2 \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}+3 \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{3}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{b}_{2}^{(\mathrm{p})}\left(3 \mathrm{X}_{3}+2 \mathrm{X}_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}+6 \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{3}+4 \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{b}_{3}^{(\mathrm{p})}\left(3 \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{X}_{2}^{2}+8 \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}+3 \mathrm{X}_{3}^{2}\right) \\
& +2 \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{(\mathrm{p})}\left(2 \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}+3 \mathrm{X}_{3}^{2}\right)+3 \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})} \mathrm{X}_{3}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sum marizing, the three param eters $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are dotorm inod by the coupled self-consistent equations $\quad$ where the averages $h[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{m} i_{0 i}$,
 $m$ eans of respectively. O nce we know the three param eters, we can calculate the correlation functions and all the properties. For exam ple,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1 ; k}=\frac{1 \mathrm{~B}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}}{\text { he }^{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{i}_{0 \mathrm{i}}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & \text { (i) }]^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1} 0 i
\end{array}\right. \\
& C_{1 ; k}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~B}_{1} 4 \mathrm{~B}_{2}+6 \mathrm{~B}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{he}{ }^{\mathrm{H} \mathrm{I}_{0 i}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & \text { (i) }
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1} 0 i}  \tag{5.20}\\
& +X^{X^{6}} \quad f_{m} \quad\left[\begin{array}{llll}
n & \text { (i) }]^{m+k} & 1 & \\
& &
\end{array}\right] \\
& C_{1 ; k}=\frac{\mathrm{B}_{2} \quad 3 \mathrm{~B}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{he} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{i}_{0 i}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & \text { (i) }
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{k}^{1}} 0 \mathrm{i}}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+X_{m=1}^{X^{6}}\left(2 f_{m}+g_{m}\right)[n(i)]^{m+k} 1 \quad{ }_{0 i}\right] \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{C}_{1 ; 1}+\mathrm{C}_{1 ; 1} \\
& \mathrm{hni}=3 \quad 3 \mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{k}} \\
& h D i=3 \quad 3\left(C_{1 ; 1}+\frac{3}{2} C_{1 ; 1}+2 C_{1 ; 1}\right) \\
& \mathrm{hT} i=1 \quad 3\left(\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{C}_{1 ; 1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}_{1 ; 1}+\mathrm{C}_{1 ; 1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +X_{m=1}^{X^{6}}\left(B_{1} f_{m}+B_{2} g_{m}+B_{3} h_{m}\right) \frac{h[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{m+} p_{i_{0 i}}}{h e^{H} i_{0 i}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hn (i) } \left.[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{p} i=B_{1} \frac{h[n}{h n^{H}} \text { (i) }\right]^{I} i_{0 i} i_{i 0} \\
& +X^{6}\left[\left(B_{1}+2 B_{2}\right) f_{m}+\left(2 B_{2}+3 B_{3}\right) g_{m}\right. \\
& m=1 \\
& \left.+3 B_{3} h_{m}\right] \frac{h[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{m+p_{0 i}}}{h^{H} i_{0 i}} \tag{526}
\end{align*}
$$

The coe cients $f_{m}, g_{m}$ and $h_{m}$ are de ned in Appendix $C$ The average $e^{H}{ }^{\mathrm{I}}{ }_{0 i}$ can be com puted by $m$ eans of and has the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{H{ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{0 i}}=1+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{6}}\left(B_{1} f_{m}+B_{2} g_{m}+B_{3} h_{m}\right) h[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{m} i_{0 i} \tag{527}
\end{equation*}
$$

## VI. RESULTS

$$
\text { A. Ferrom agnetic coupling } J=1
$$

In $F$ ig we report the $m$ agnetization per site $m$ as a function of the $m$ agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$, the double $D$ and the triple $T$ occupancies per site as functions of the chem icalpotential at $V=1$, the square $m$ agnetic m om ent $h \mathrm{~S}^{2}$ i per site as function of the $m$ agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$ and the $m$ agnetic susceptibility as a function of the $m$ agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$ and $T=0$ (only in $m$ iddle bottom panel), 0:5 (except form iddle bottom panel), 1 and 2. At the low er tem perature ( $T=0: 5$ ), going from negative to positive values of the $m$ agnetic eld, them agnetization jumps from $\frac{3}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{2}$ and the susceptibility diverges exactly at zero eld. This is the signature of a quite sharp transition between spin con gurations with $m$ axim um possible $m$ agnetization and direction dictated by the value of the extemalm agnetic eld. This im mediately re ects on the values of the double and triple occupancies that quite shanply jum ps from zero to theirm axim um possible values: 3 and 1, respectively. The tem perature just $m$ akes the transition $s m$ oother and forces the system to pass through excited states w th a lower value of the absolute $m$ agnetization as it results clear by looking at the values of the square $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent.

In $F$ ig we report the inverse $m$ agnetic susceptibility ${ }^{1}$ at $\mathrm{n}=0$ and the m agnetic susceptibility at $h=0: 1$ as functions of the tem perature $T$ at $J=1$ and spin $1=2$, 1 and $3=2$. The sharp transition at zero tem perature in zero extemal magnetic eld is here clearly signalled by the divergence of the $m$ agnetic susceptibility for any value of the spin. At nite extemalm agnetic eld $h=0: 1$, the susceptibility presents a maxim um at a nite tem perature w hose value depends on the value of the spin. B oth at very low and very high tem peratures, the $m$ agnetization stays constant at its $m$ axim um value or at zero, respectively, alm ost independently on the value of


FIG. 1: (top) M agnetization per site $m$ as a function of the $m$ agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$. ( $m$ iddle top) D ouble D and triple T occupancies per site as functions of the chem icalpotential at $V=1$. ( m iddle bottom ) Square $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent $h S^{2}$ i per site as function of them agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$. (bottom) $M$ agnetic susceptibility as a function of the $m$ agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$. In allpanels, $T=0$ (only in middle bottom ), $0: 5$ (except for $m$ iddle bottom ), 1 and 2 .


FIG .2: (top) Inversem agnetic susceptibility ${ }^{1}$ ath $=0$ and (bottom) m agnetic susceptibility at $\mathrm{h}=0: 1$ as functions of the tem perature $T$ at $J=1$ and sp in $1=2,1$ and $3=2$.


F IG. 3: Speci c heat $C$ as a function of the tem perature T at $J=1$ and $h=0: 1,0: 5,1$ and $1: 5$.
the extemalm agnetic eld. A ccording to this, in these two regim es the susceptibility is zero or practically zero. At interm ediate tem peratures, the value of the $m$ agnetization de nitely depends on the value of the extemal $m$ agnetic eld. This explains the bell-shape of the susceptibilu curve and the presence of a $m$ axim um .

In $F$ if we report the speci $c$ heat $C$ as a function of the tem perature $T$ at $J=1$ and $h=0: 1,0: 5,1$ and $1: 5$. The exponential behavior at low tem peratures clearly
signals the presence of at least one gap in the excitation spectrum betw een the filly polarized state, which is the ground state for any non-zero value of the extemal $m$ agnetic eld, and the rst excited state to which corresponds a low er value of the $m$ agnetization. $T$ his behavior also explains why we have been able to see a sharp transition in the $m$ agnetization as a function of the extemal m agnetic eld at tem peratures as high as $\mathrm{T}=0: 5$. The tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}}$, at which is clearly observable a m axi$\mathrm{m} u m$ in all curves, strongly depends on the value of the extemalm agnetic eld $h$. In particular, $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ increases on increasing $h$ : the gap betw een the ground state and the
rst excited state also increase on increasing $h$. $W$ e can speculate that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}} \quad \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{~h}+2 \mathrm{~J})$, that is half of the gap existing betw een the fully polarized states w ith spin $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$.

## B . A ntiferrom agnetic coupling $J=1$

In $F$ id we report the $m$ agnetization per site $m$ as a fiunction of the $m$ agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$, the square m agnetic m om ent $\mathrm{hS}^{2}$ i per site as function of the m agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$ and the double $D$ and the triple $T$ occupancies per site as functions of the chem ical potential at $V=1$ and $T=0,1$ and 2 . At zero tem perature, going from negative to positive values of the $m$ agnetic eld, the $m$ agnetization rst jumps from $\frac{3}{2}$ to 0 at $\mathrm{h}=3 \mathrm{~J}$ and then from 0 to $\frac{3}{2}$ at $\mathrm{h}=3 \mathrm{~J}$. At these values of the extemalm agnetic eld, the state of low er energy changes from the fiully polarized one w th spin $\frac{3}{2}(E=0)$ to the antiferrom agnetically aligned one $w$ ith spins $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}\left(E=\frac{3}{2} h+\frac{9}{2} J\right)$ and from this latter to the the fully polarized one w ith spin $\frac{3}{2}(E=3 h)$, respectively. T he double and the triple occupancies behave accordingly. The tem perature just $m$ akes the transition sm oother as it results clear by looking at the values of the square $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent. These latter also show the typical antiferrom agnetic behavior that w illbe easily identi ed in the features of the susceptibility discussed below.

In $F$ ig we report the $m$ agnetic susceptibility at $J=1$ asa function of the extemalm agnetic eld $h$ for $\mathrm{T}=0: 8,1,1: 5,2,3$ and the tem perature T for $\mathrm{h}=0$ and spin $1=2,1$ and $3=2$. A s we could expect from the $m$ agnetization curves, the susceptibility diverges, at zero tem perature, at the critical values discussed above and is null for all other values. O $n$ increasing the tem perature, the susceptibility becom es nite for any value of the extemalm agnetic eld, although not negligible only in an increasing, but nite, range of values. A ccording to this, as the integral of the curves over the whole axis should give a constant value, 3, that is, the larger jum $p$ in the $m$ agnetization, the heights of the tw o peaks steadily decrease on increasing the tem perature. As a function of the tem perature, the susceptibility has the typical therm al activated behavior of the parallel antiferrom agnetic


F IG . 4: (top) M agnetization per site $m$ as a function of the $m$ agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$. ( $m$ iddle) $D$ ouble $D$ and triple $T$ occupancies per site as functions of the chem ical potential at $V=1$. In both panels, $T=0,1$ and 2. (bottom) Square m agnetic m om ent $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{S}^{2}$ i per site as function of the m agnetic eld $h$ at $J=1$.
susceptibility for any value of the spin. A ccording to this, we can interpret the position of the $m$ axim um as the tem perature at which the system loses any $m$ em ory of its antiferrom agnetic ground state at zero tem perature.

In $F$ ig we report the speci $c$ heat $C$ as a function of the tem perature $T$ at $J=1$ and $h=5$ ) $1: 5$. The curves seem to show the typical pattem caused by the interplay betw een at least tw o gaps in the excitation spectrum : h 3 J and h 2 J . These would correspond to transitions betw een the fully polarized state $w$ ith spin $\frac{3}{2}$ and the antiferrom agnetically aligned state w ith spins


FIG.5: M agnetic susceptibility at $J=1$ as a function of (top) extemalm agnetic eld $h$ for $T=0: 8,1,1: 5,2,3$ and (bottom) tem perature $T$ for $h=0$ and spin $1=2,1$ and $3=2$.
$\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ and the fiully polarized state $w$ ith spin $\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. The vanishing value of the rst gap, in the range of values of the extemalm agnetic eld presented in the gures, could explain the $m$ uch larger sensitivity of the $m$ agnetization to tem perature $w$ th respect to what happens in the ferrom agnetic state. It is worth noticing the appearance of crossing poin for $3 \mathrm{~J}<\mathrm{h}<2: 5 \mathrm{~J}$ and 2:5J < h < 1:5J.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

$W$ e have studied the Ising $m$ odel $w$ ith general spin $S$ in presence of an extemalm agnetic eld by $m$ eans of the equations of $m$ otion $m$ ethod and of the $G$ reen's function form alism. F irst, them odelhas been show $n$ to be isom orphic to a ferm ionic one constituted of 2 S species of localized particles interacting via an intersite C oulom b interaction. Then, an exact solution has been found, for any dim ension, in term s ofa nite, com plete set ofeigenoperators of the latter H am iltonian and of the corresponding eigenenergies. T his explicit know ledge hasm ade possible writing exact expressions for the corresponding $G$ reen's function and correlation functions, which tum out to depend on a nite set of param eters to be self-consistently determ ined. $F$ inally, we have presented an originalproce-


F IG . 6: Speci c heat C as a function of the tem perature $T$ at $J=1$ and $h=5$ ) 1:5.

APPENDIX A:ALGEBRAICRELATIONS

1. Form ula for $n^{p}$ (i)
$W$ e want to nd a recurrence relation for the operator $\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{p}}$ ( i ), w th p 1. At rst we note that

B ecause of the property $n_{k}^{p}=n_{k}$, takes the form
where D (i) and (i) are the double and triple occupancy operators as de ned ir
$T$ he sum $s$ in can be analytically perform ed

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}^{(p)}=\begin{array}{ll}
P_{p}^{p} \\
\mathrm{n}=1 & \mathrm{p} \\
\mathrm{n}
\end{array} \quad=2^{\mathrm{p}} \quad 2 \\
& \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{(\mathrm{p})}=\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{P} \\
\mathrm{p} 1 \\
\mathrm{n}=2 & \mathrm{p} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{n} 1 \\
\mathrm{n} & \mathrm{l}=1 & \mathrm{n} \\
\mathrm{l}
\end{array} \quad=3\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.2^{\mathrm{p}}+3^{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{1}\right)
\end{array}\right. \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

and we have the algebraic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{p}(i)=n(i)+b_{1}^{(p)} D(i)+b_{2}^{(p)} T(i) \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Form ula for $[\mathrm{n} \text { (i) }]^{p}$

By recalling that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & (i)
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[n(i+a)+n\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & a \tag{A5}
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

we have for $p=2 ; 3$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { [n (i) }]^{p}={\frac{1}{2^{p}}}^{P} \underset{m}{p}=0 \quad \underset{m}{p} \quad n^{p m}(i+a) n^{m}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & a
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{p}} n^{p}(i+a)+\frac{1}{2^{p}} n^{p}(i \quad a)+{\frac{1}{2^{p}}}^{P}{ }^{p} \begin{array}{ll}
p=1 & p \\
m
\end{array} \quad n^{p m}(i+a) n^{m}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & a
\end{array}\right) \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

B ecause of the algebraic relation we obtain

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & \text { (i) } \tag{A7}
\end{array}\right]^{p}=\frac{1}{2^{p}}{ }_{m=0}^{X^{5}} b_{m}^{(p)} Z_{m} \quad \text { (i) }
$$

where the operators $Z_{m}$ (i) are de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{0}(i)=2 n \text { (i) } \\
& Z_{1}(i)=2 D \quad \text { (i) }+n(i+a) n(i \quad a) \\
& Z_{2}(i)=2 T \quad \text { (i) }+n(i+a) D(i \quad a)+n(i \quad a) D(i+a) \\
& Z_{3}(i)=n(i+a) T(i \quad a)+D(i+a) D(i \quad a)+n(i \quad a) T(i+a) \\
& Z_{4}(i)=D(i+a) T(i \quad a)+D(i \quad a) T(i+a) \\
& Z_{5}(\mathbf{i})=T(i+a) T\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & a)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and the new coe cients $\mathrm{b}_{n}^{(\mathrm{p})}$ have the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{0}^{(\mathrm{p})}=1 \\
& b_{3}^{(p)}=\begin{array}{ll}
P \\
p_{m=1}^{1} & p \\
m
\end{array} \quad b_{2}^{(m)}=4\left(1+2^{2 p 2}+3 \quad \mathrm{q}^{1} \quad 3^{p}\right) \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{5}^{(p)}=\begin{array}{lllllll}
P \\
p_{m=1}^{1} & p & p
\end{array} \quad b_{2}^{(p m)} b_{2}^{(m)}=6\left(1+5 \quad 2^{1}+5 \quad 2 p^{1} \quad 10 \quad B^{1} \quad 5^{p}+6^{p 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coe cients $A_{m}^{(p)}$ are rational num bers de ned as

W e note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\sigma^{6}}^{m} A_{m}^{(p)}=1 \\
& A_{m}^{(p)}=m_{m}^{(p)} \quad(p \quad 6) \tag{A12}
\end{align*}
$$

In table 1 we give som e values of the coe cients $A_{m}^{(p)}(p>6)$.

| p | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{(\mathrm{p})}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{(\mathrm{p})}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3}^{(\mathrm{p})}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{4}^{(\mathrm{p})}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $\frac{45}{4}$ | $\frac{441}{8}$ | $\frac{203}{2}$ | $\frac{735}{8}$ | $\frac{175}{4}$ | $\frac{21}{2}$ |
| 8 | $\frac{945}{8}$ | $\frac{9081}{16}$ | $\frac{8085}{8}$ | $\frac{13811}{16}$ | $\frac{735}{2}$ | $\frac{133}{2}$ |
| 9 | $\frac{5985}{8}$ | $\frac{56763}{16}$ | $\frac{98915}{16}$ | $\frac{81585}{16}$ | $\frac{32739}{16}$ | $\frac{1323}{4}$ |
| 10 | $\frac{59535}{16}$ | $\frac{559503}{32}$ | $\frac{480375}{16}$ | $\frac{774575}{32}$ | $\frac{37485}{4}$ | $\frac{22827}{16}$ |

## APPENDIX B:ALGEBRA OF THE PROJECTION OPERATORS

On the basis of the canonical anti-com $m$ utation relations it is straightforw ard to derive the algebra satis ed by the operators a (i), a (i), a (i) de ned ir . Their antrom $m$ utation relations are

$$
0
$$

$$
1
$$

It easy to verify that

O ther relevant algebraic properties are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{3} \\
& P{ }_{3}^{3}=1 \quad \text { (i) } \frac{y}{a} \text { (i) }=3(1 \quad n(i)+D \text { (i) } \quad T \text { (i)) } \\
& { }_{a=1}^{3} \quad \underset{a}{y}(i) a(i)=n(i) \quad 2 D(i)+3 T(i) \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
P_{3}^{3} \\
P_{3}^{a=1} & \text { a (i) } \frac{y}{y}(i)=2 n(i) \quad 4 D(i)+6 T(i)
\end{array} \\
& { }_{a=1}^{3} \underset{a}{y} \text { (i) } a(i)=2 D \text { (i) 6T (i) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}_{2} \text { (i) } \mathrm{n}_{3} \text { (i) } \quad \mathrm{C}_{1} \text { (i) } \mathrm{c}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \text { (i) } \mathrm{n}_{3} \text { (i) } \mathrm{C}_{1} \text { (i) } \mathrm{c}_{3}^{\mathrm{y}} \text { (i) } \mathrm{n}_{2} \text { (i) } \\
& \text { f (i); }{ }^{y}(j) g={ }_{i j}{ }^{B} C_{2} \text { (i) } C_{1}^{y} \text { (i) } n_{3} \text { (i) } n_{3} \text { (i) } n_{1} \text { (i) } \quad C_{2} \text { (i) } c_{3}^{y} \text { (i) } n_{1} \text { (i) } A  \tag{B3}\\
& c_{3} \text { (i) } c_{1}^{y} \text { (i) } n_{2} \text { (i) } c_{3} \text { (i) } c_{2}^{y} \text { (i) } n_{1} \text { (i) } n_{1} \text { (i) } n_{2} \text { (i) }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{3} \text { (i) } c_{1}^{y} \text { (i) (1 } \quad \mathrm{n}_{2} \text { (i)) } \quad c_{3} \text { (i) } \mathrm{c}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \text { (i) (1 } \quad \mathrm{n}_{1} \text { (i)) (1 } \quad \mathrm{n}_{1} \text { (i)) (1 } \quad \mathrm{n}_{2} \text { (i)) } \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}^{(p)}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{p}}}\left[2 \mathrm{~b}_{0}^{(\mathrm{p})} \quad \mathrm{b}_{1}^{(\mathrm{p})}+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{(\mathrm{p})} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~b}_{3}^{(\mathrm{p})}+\frac{2}{5} \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{(\mathrm{p})} \quad \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})}\right] \\
& \mathrm{A}_{2}^{(\mathrm{p})}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{p}}}\left[2 \mathrm{~b}_{1}^{(\mathrm{p})} \quad 2 \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{(\mathrm{p})}+\frac{11}{6} \mathrm{~b}_{3}^{(\mathrm{p})} \quad \frac{5}{3} \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{(\mathrm{p})}+\frac{137}{90} \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})}\right] \\
& \mathrm{A}_{3}^{(\mathrm{p})}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{p}}}\left[\frac{4}{3} \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{(\mathrm{p})} \quad 2 \mathrm{~b}_{3}^{(\mathrm{p})}+\frac{7}{3} \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{(\mathrm{p})} \quad \frac{5}{2} \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})}\right] \\
& \mathrm{A}_{4}^{(\mathrm{p})}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{p}}}\left[\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{~b}_{3}^{(\mathrm{p})} \quad \frac{4}{3} \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{(\mathrm{p})}+\frac{17}{9} \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})}\right] \\
& \mathrm{A}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{p}}}\left[\frac{4}{15} \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{(\mathrm{p})} \quad \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})}\right] \\
& \mathrm{A}_{6}^{(\mathrm{p})}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{p}}} \frac{4}{45} \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{(\mathrm{p})}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{3} & \text { (i) } y(i)=D \text { (i) } \quad 3 T \text { (i) }  \tag{B7}\\
P \begin{array}{l}
a=1 \\
3 \\
a=1
\end{array} \quad \frac{y}{a} \text { (i) } \text { a (i) }=3 T \text { (i) }
\end{array}
$$

By m eans of these relations we can express the particle density operator, the double and triple occupancy operators as

A lso, the follow ing relations hold

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}^{2}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{i})+2 \mathrm{D} \text { (i) } & \mathrm{D}^{2}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{i})+6 \mathrm{~T} \text { (i) } \\
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{i})=2 \mathrm{D} \text { (i) }+3 \mathrm{~T} \text { (i) } & \mathrm{D} \text { (i) } \mathrm{T} \text { (i) }=3 \mathrm{~T} \text { (i) }  \tag{B9}\\
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{i})=3 \mathrm{~T} \text { (i) } & \mathrm{T}^{2} \text { (i) }=\mathrm{T} \text { (i) }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { APPENDIX C:CALCULATION OF } e^{H I i}
$$

From the de nition $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ii}}=2 \mathrm{Vn}$ (i) n (i)we have
$e^{H^{I i}=1+X_{p=1}^{X^{2}} \frac{1}{p!}(1)^{p}(2 V)^{p} n^{p}(i)[n \quad \text { (i) }]^{p} . ~}$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{m}=P_{1}^{1} \frac{1}{p=1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1)^{p}(2 \mathrm{~V})^{p} A_{m}^{(p)} \\
g_{m}=P_{1}^{p} \quad \frac{1}{p!}(1)^{p}(2 \mathrm{~V})^{p} b_{1}^{(p)} A_{m}^{(p)} \\
h_{m}=P_{1}^{p=1} \frac{1}{p!}(1)^{p}(2 \mathrm{~V})^{p} b_{2}^{(p)} A_{m}^{(p)}
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

By using the explicit expression ofthe coe cients $A_{m}^{(p)}$, the in nite sum si can be analytically perform ed. Straightforw ard calculations show that the param eters $f_{m}, g_{m}$ and $h_{m}$ are linear combinations ofe ${ }^{n} v$ w th $n$ ranging from 0 to 18 .
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